Christchurch City Council
AGENDA

Notice of Meeting:

An ordinary meeting of the Christchurch City Council will be held on:

Date: **Friday 18 June 2021**
Time: **1pm**
Venue: **Council Chambers, Civic Offices, 53 Hereford Street, Christchurch**

Membership

Chairperson	Mayor Lianne Dalziel
Deputy Chairperson	Deputy Mayor Andrew Turner
Members	Councillor Jimmy Chen
	Councillor Catherine Chu
	Councillor Melanie Coker
	Councillor Pauline Cotter
	Councillor James Daniels
	Councillor Mike Davidson
	Councillor Anne Galloway
	Councillor James Gough
	Councillor Yani Johanson
	Councillor Aaron Keown
	Councillor Sam MacDonald
	Councillor Phil Mauger
	Councillor Jake McLellan
	Councillor Tim Scandrett
	Councillor Sara Templeton

15 June 2021

Principal Advisor
Dawn Baxendale
Chief Executive
Tel: 941 6996

Jo Daly
Council Secretary
941 8581
jo.daly@ccc.govt.nz
www.ccc.govt.nz

Watch Council meetings live on the web:
http://councillive.ccc.govt.nz/live-stream

Developing Resilience in the 21st Century

Strategic Framework

Whiria ngā whenu o ngā papa, honoa ki te maurua tāukiuki

Bind together the strands of each mat and join together with the seams of respect and reciprocity

Ōtautahi–Christchurch is a city of opportunity for all

Open to new ideas, new people and new ways of doing things – a city where anything is possible

Principles

- Being open, transparent and democratically accountable
- Promoting equity, valuing diversity and fostering inclusion
- Taking an inter-generational approach to sustainable development, prioritising the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people and communities and the quality of the environment, now and into the future
- Building on the relationship with Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu and the Te Hononga–Council Papatipu Rūnanga partnership, reflecting mutual understanding and respect
- Ensuring the diversity and interests of our communities across the city and the district are reflected in decision-making
- Actively collaborating and co-operating with other local, regional and national organisations

Community Outcomes

Resilient communities

- Strong sense of community
- Active participation in civic life
- Safe and healthy communities
- Celebration of our identity through arts, culture, heritage, sport and recreation
- Valuing the voices of all cultures and ages (including children)

Liveable city

- Vibrant and thriving city centre
- Sustainable suburban and rural centres
- A well connected and accessible city promoting active and public transport
- Sufficient supply of, and access to, a range of housing
- 21st century garden city we are proud to live in

Healthy environment

- Healthy water bodies
- High quality drinking water
- Unique landscapes and indigenous biodiversity are valued and stewardship exercised
- Sustainable use of resources and minimising waste

Prosperous economy

- Great place for people, business and investment
- An inclusive, equitable economy with broad-based prosperity for all
- A productive, adaptive and resilient economic base
- Modern and robust city infrastructure and community facilities

Strategic Priorities

- **Enabling active and connected communities to own their future**
- **Meeting the challenge of climate change through every means available**
- **Ensuring a high quality drinking water supply that is safe and sustainable**
- **Accelerating the momentum the city needs**
- **Ensuring rates are affordable and sustainable**

Ensuring we get core business done while delivering on our Strategic Priorities and achieving our Community Outcomes

- Engagement with the community and partners
- Strategies, Plans and Partnerships
- Long Term Plan and Annual Plan
- Our service delivery approach
- Monitoring and reporting on our progress

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Karakia Timatanga

1. Apologies Ngā Whakapāha

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

2. Declarations of Interest Ngā Whakapuaki Aronga

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant and to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as an elected representative and any private or other external interest they might have.

Item 3

3. Council - Representation Review Hearings Minutes - 24 May 2021

Reference Te Tohutoro: 21/798159

Report of Te Pou Matua: Aidan Kimberley, Community Board Advisor, Aidan.kimberley@ccc.govt.nz

General Manager Pouwhakarae: Mary Richardson, General Manager Citizens and Community, mary.richardson@ccc.govt.nz

1. Purpose of Report Te Pūtake Pūrongo

For the Council to confirm the minutes from the Council - Representation Review Hearings meeting held which commenced on 24 May 2021 and concluded on 3 June 2021.

2. Recommendation to Council

That the Council confirm the Minutes from the Council - Representation Review Hearings meeting which commenced on 24 May 2021 and concluded on 3 June 2021.

Attachments Ngā Tāpirihanga

No.	Title	Page
A⇩	Minutes Council - Representation Review Hearings - 24 May 2021	6

Signatories Ngā Kaiwaitohu

Author	Aidan Kimberley - Community Board Advisor

Christchurch City Council

Christchurch City Council – Representation Review Hearings
OPEN MINUTES

Date:	**Monday 24 May 2021, Wednesday 26 May 2021 and Thursday 3 June 2021**
Time:	**9.30am**
Venue:	**Council Chambers, Civic Offices, 53 Hereford Street, Christchurch**

Present

Chairperson	Mayor Lianne Dalziel
Members	Deputy Mayor Andrew Turner
	Councillor Jimmy Chen
	Councillor Catherine Chu
	Councillor Melanie Coker
	Councillor Pauline Cotter
	Councillor James Daniels
	Councillor Mike Davidson
	Councillor Anne Galloway
	Councillor James Gough
	Councillor Yani Johanson
	Councillor Aaron Keown
	Councillor Sam MacDonald
	Councillor Phil Mauger
	Councillor Jake McLellan
	Councillor Tim Scandrett
	Councillor Sara Templeton

21 May 2021

Principal Advisor
Mary Richardson
General Manager Citizens and Community
Tel: 941 8999

Elizabeth Hovell
Community Governance Manager
941 8637
elizabeth.hovell@ccc.govt.nz
www.ccc.govt.nz

Watch Council meetings live on the web:
http://councillive.ccc.govt.nz/live-stream

Karakia Timatanga: Councillor Daniels.

The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

1. Apologies / Ngā Whakapāha

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00001

That the apologies for the session on Monday 24 May 2021 from Councillor Keown for lateness, Councillor Gough for early departure and from Councillor Mauger for partial absence be accepted.

Councillor Daniels/Councillor Templeton **Carried**

2. Declarations of Interest / Ngā Whakapuaki Aronga

There were no declarations of interest recorded.

3. Representation Review Consideration of Submissions

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00002

That the Council:

1. Receives and considers the submissions on the Representation Review Initial Proposal for the 2022 Local Authority Election, which are appended to this report (**Attachment A**).
2. Notes the submitters wishing to be heard are listed in the Representation Review Hearings Schedule of Submitters (**Attachment B**).
3. Receives the staff analysis summary of submissions (**Attachment C**).

Mayor/Councillor Scandrett **Carried**

The Council proceeded to hear verbal submissions on the Representation Review Initial Proposal. Submitters presented in the following order:

- Kelly Barber and Bebe Frayle on behalf of the Waitai Coastal-Burwood Community Board.
- Tori Peden and Tyrone Fields on behalf of Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula Community Board.
- Mike Mora, Helen Broughton and Debbie Mora on behalf of the Waipuna Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board.
- Bridget Williams on behalf of the Waimāero Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood Community Board.
- Emma Norrish and Simon Britten on behalf of the Waipapa Papanui-Innes Community Board.
- Karolin Potter on behalf of the Waihoro Spreydon-Cashmere Community Board.

The meeting adjourned at 10.35 am and resumed at 11.00am.

- Nick Clark and Pam Richardson on behalf of North Canterbury Federated Farmers
- Pam Richardson
- Jeremy Agar
- Peter Tuffley on behalf of the Beckenham Neighbourhood Association
- Chrissie Williams

- Tracey Buunk
- Dr Lynette Wills on behalf of the Ilam and Upper Riccarton Residents' Association.
- Ann Taylor.
- Megan Thompson and Bebe Frayle on behalf of the Canterbury Women's Branch of the New Zealand Labour Party.
- Viviana Zanetti.
- Rosemary Neave.
- Rosalee Jenkin.
- David East.

The meeting adjourned at 12.47pm and resumed at 1.52pm.

- Alexandra Davids on behalf of the Waikura Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board.
- Sylvia Lukey on behalf of the Kennedys Bush Road Neighbourhood Association .
- Paul O'Connor.
- Paul Loughton.
- David Hawke on behalf of the Halswell Residents' Association.
- Alison Ross.
- Harry Stronach.

Attachments

A Paul O'Connor - Handout

B Sylvia Lukey - Handout

C Peter Tuffley - Beckenham Neighbourhood Association - Handout

D Mike Mora, Debbie Mora and Helen Broughton - Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board - Presentation

E Chrissie Williams - Presentation

The meeting adjourned at 3pm and reconvened at 10.00am on Wednesday 26 May 2021.
Councillors Daniels, Mauger, MacDonald and Keown were not present at this time. Councillor Gough was attending via audio-visual link.

1. Apologies / Ngā Whakapāha

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00003

That the apologies for the session on Wednesday 26 May 2021 from Councillors Mauger, Daniels and MacDonald for lateness and from Councillor Keown for absence be accepted.

Councillor Scandrett/Councillor Templeton **Carried**

3. Representation Review Consideration of Submissions - Continued

The Council continued to hear verbal submissions on the Representation Review Initial Proposal. Submitters presented in the following order:

- Victoria Andrews and Michael Norris on behalf of the Akaroa Civic Trust. Victoria and Michael also presented their individual presentations concurrently.

Councillor MacDonald returned to the meeting at 10.21am during the presentation from Victoria Andrews and Michael Norris.

- Tony Simons on behalf of the Riccarton Bush Kilmarnock Residents' Association.
- Greg Partridge on behalf of the Richmond Residents and Business Association.
- Marc Duff and Ross Goldstein on behalf of the Greater Hornby Residents' Association.
- Axel Downard-Wilkie on behalf of the Victoria Neighbourhood Association (via audio-visual link).
- Garth Wilson.
- Aaron Campbell.
- Finn Jackson.
- Felicity Richards.
- Emma Twaddell on behalf of the St Albans Residents' Association.
- Celeste Donovan.
- Helen Broughton.
- Rik Tindall on behalf of the Cashmere Residents' Association.

Attachments

A Victoria Andrews - Presentation
B Axel Downard-Wilkie - Victoria Neighbourhood Association - Presentation
C Emma Twaddell - St Albans Residents' Association - Presentation
D Helen Broughton - Presentation
E Rik Tindall - Cashmere Residents' Association - Presentation

The meeting adjourned at 11.59am and reconvened at 2.56pm.

Councillor Scandrett left the meeting during the adjournment.

Councillor Daniels and Councillor Mauger returned to the meeting at 2.56pm.

The meeting adjourned at 3.58pm and resumed at 4.08pm during the consideration and deliberations.

Consideration and Deliberation

Council Officers delivered a presentation explaining the process for forming the Council's final proposal, and outlined what matters the Council would need to consider in determining whether or not to accept the changes requested by submitters.

Attachments

A Officers' Presentation - Wednesday 26 May 2021

The meeting adjourned at 4.12 pm and resumed at 2.03pm on Thursday 3 June 2021.

All members were present when the meeting reconvened, with Councillor Daniels attending via audio-visual link.

4. Resolution to Include Supplementary Report

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00004

That the report be received and considered at the Council – Representation Review Hearings meeting which commenced on 24 May 2021 and is continuing on 3 June 2021:

- Representation Review – Additional Responses to Submissions and Updated Maps

Mayor/Councillor Chen **Carried**

Suspension of Standing Orders

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00005

That pursuant to Standing Order 3.5 (Temporary Suspension of Standing Orders) the following Standing Orders be suspended to enable more informal discussion:

- 17.5: members may speak only once;
- 17.6: limits on number of speakers;
- 18.1: general procedure for speaking and moving motions;
- 18.8: foreshadowed amendments;
- 18.9: lost amendments

Mayor/Councillor Davidson **Carried**

5. Representation Review - Additional Responses to Submissions and Updated Maps

Council Comment

The Council adopted the officer recommendations. In the case of recommendation 5 regarding the arrangements for ward boundaries, the Council adopted the option set out in Attachment A to the report in the supplementary agenda.

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00006

Preamble and Composition of the Council

That the Council, after considering submissions, request staff to prepare a Final Proposal for the 2022 Local Authority Election representation arrangements to report back to the Council for a decision on 18 June 2021, as follows:

1. Confirms its initial proposal that the Christchurch City Council shall comprise a Mayor and 16 Councillors.
    a. Rejects the submissions to amend the proposal to have fewer than 16 councillors.
    b. Rejects the submissions to amend the proposal to have more than 16 councillors, including the proposal for two councillors for Banks Peninsula.

Mayor/Deputy Mayor **Carried**

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00007

Members elected by wards

2. Confirms its initial proposal that the members of Christchurch City Council, other than the mayor, continue to be elected by the electors of wards.
    a. Rejects the submissions for at large elections.
    b. Rejects the submissions for multi-member wards.

Mayor/Councillor Scandrett **Carried**

Original Motion

Single member wards

3. Confirms its initial proposal that the City continues to be divided into 16 wards with the electors of each ward electing one member.
    a. Rejects the submission for more or fewer than 16 wards.
    b. Rejects the submissions for multi-member wards.

Mayor/Councillor Galloway

Amendment

Councillor Davidson moved by way of amendment, seconded by Councillor Templeton, that clause 3. be amended as follows:

3. Amends the initial proposal so that the city is divided into six wards, as per the proposed community board configurations, with electors of each ward electing three Councillors, apart from Banks Peninsula who will elect one Councillor

When put to the meeting by way of division the amendment was declared lost by 3 votes to 14 votes, the voting being as follows:

For: *Councillor Daniels, Councillor Davidson and Councillor Templeton*

Against: *Mayor Dalziel, Deputy Mayor Turner, Councillor Chen, Councillor Chu, Councillor Coker, Councillor Cotter, Councillor Galloway, Councillor Gough, Councillor Johanson, Councillor Keown, Councillor MacDonald, Councillor Mauger, Councillor McLellan and Councillor Scandrett*

Councillor Davidson/Councillor Templeton **Lost**

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00008

Substantive Motion

3. Confirms its initial proposal that the City continues to be divided into 16 wards with the electors of each ward electing one member.
    a. Rejects the submission for more or fewer than 16 wards.
    b. Rejects the submissions for multi-member wards.

Mayor/Councillor Galloway **Carried**

Councillors Daniels, Davidson and Templeton requested that their vote against resolution 3. be recorded.

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00009

Ward names

4. Confirms the names of the wards set out in its initial proposal, as follows:

Ward
Banks Peninsula
Burwood
Cashmere
Central
Coastal
Fendalton
Halswell
Harewood
Heathcote
Hornby
Innes
Linwood
Papanui
Riccarton
Spreydon
Waimairi

   a. Rejects submissions proposing alternative names for the wards.

   b. Rejects the submissions opposing a separate ward for Banks Peninsula.

   c. Rejects the submissions proposing Banks Peninsula ward is abolished and amalgamated with another ward(s).

Mayor/Councillor Chen **Carried**

Councillors Davidson and Templeton abstained from voting on this item.

Setting Ward Boundaries – Option 2 (Attachment B)

5. Amends the initial proposal so that the maps tabled at this meeting are the final arrangements for ward boundaries (Attachment B), with the provision for small adjustments to be made to specific meshblock numbers to comply with section 19V (2) of the Local Electoral Act 2001, and notes that the details of how the submissions have been addressed are set out in Attachment C.

*The division was declared **lost** by 3 votes to 13 votes the voting being as follows:*

For: *Councillor Chen, Councillor Coker and Councillor Johanson*

Against: *Deputy Mayor Turner, Councillor Chu, Councillor Cotter, Councillor Daniels, Councillor Davidson, Councillor Galloway, Councillor Gough, Councillor Keown, Councillor MacDonald, Councillor Mauger, Councillor McLellan, Councillor Scandrett and Councillor Templeton*

Abstained: *Mayor Dalziel*

Councillor Johanson/Councillor Coker **Lost**

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00010

Setting ward boundaries – Option 1 (Attahcment A)

5. Amends the initial proposal so that the maps tabled at this meeting are the final arrangements for ward boundaries (**Attachment A**), with the provision for small adjustments to be made to specific meshblock numbers to comply with section 19V (2) of the Local Electoral Act 2001, and notes that the details of how the submissions have been addressed are set out in **Attachment C**.

Councillor McLellan/Councillor MacDonald **Carried**

Councillors Coker, Johanson and Keown requested that their votes against the resolution be recorded.

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00011

Communities of interest

6. Amends the initial proposal to reflect the communities of interest for each ward as set out in **Attachment A**.

Mayor/Councillor McLellan **Carried**

Councillors Davidson and Templeton abstained from voting on this item.

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00012

Population of wards

7. Amends the initial proposal to reflect that, in accordance with section 19V(2) of the Local Electoral Act 2001, the population that each member represents is within the range of +/- 10%; and that only the representation of the Banks Peninsula Ward falls outside the stipulated range for fair representation.

Mayor/Councillor Gough **Carried**

Councillors Davidson and Templeton abstained from voting on this item.

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00013

Banks Peninsula as an isolated community

8. Confirms its initial proposal that the Banks Peninsula Ward warrants a single member because Banks Peninsula is an isolated community in terms of section 19V(3) of the Local Electoral Act 2001.
    a. Rejects submissions that oppose a single councillor for Banks Peninsula ward.
    b. Rejects submissions proposing increased councillor representation for the Banks Peninsula ward.

Mayor/Deputy Mayor **Carried**

Councillors Davidson and Templeton abstained from voting on this item.

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00014

Number of community boards

9. Confirms its initial proposal that there be six communities represented by six Community Boards in Christchurch.
    a. Rejects the submissions opposing reducing the number of Community Boards.

b. Rejects the submissions proposing two-ward Community Boards.

c. Rejects submissions seeking alternative community representation.

Mayor/Councillor Chen **Carried**

Councillor Johanson requested that his vote against the resolution be recorded.
Councillors Davidson and Templeton abstained from voting on this item.

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00015

Name of community boards

10. Confirms the names of the Community Boards set out in its initial proposal, as follows:

Community Board
Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula Community Board
Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board
Waimāero Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood Community Board
Waipuna Hornby-Halswell-Riccarton Community Board
Waipapa Papanui-Innes-Central Community Board
Waihoro Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote Community Board

a. Rejects the submissions proposing alternative Community Board names.

Mayor/Councillor Mauger **Carried**

Councillors Davidson and Templeton abstained from voting on this item.

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00016

Waikura Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board

11. Confirms its initial proposal that the current Linwood Central Heathcote community is abolished, and the Linwood, Central, and Heathcote wards are united with other communities.

    a. Rejects the submissions to retain the current Linwood Central Heathcote community.

Mayor/Councillor MacDonald **Carried**

Councillor Johanson requested that his vote against the resolution be recorded.
Councillors Davidson and Templeton abstained from voting on this item.

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00017

Boundaries of community board areas and subdivisions

12. Amends the initial proposal so that the maps tabled at this meeting are the final arrangements for the boundaries of each community and of its subdivisions (**Attachment A**).

    a. Rejects the submissions proposing a "Coastal" Community Board.

    b. Rejects the submissions proposing alternative community arrangements.

Mayor/Councillor Cotter **Carried**

Councillor Johanson requested that his vote against the resolution be recorded.
Councillors Davidson and Templeton abstained from voting on this item.

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00018

Subdivision of communities (community board areas)

13. Confirms the initial proposal that communities continue to be subdivided for electoral purposes, as follows:

Community Board	Ward/Subdivision
Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula Community Board	Akaroa subdivision
	Lyttelton subdivision
	Mt Herbert subdivision
	Wairewa subdivision
Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board	Burwood ward
	Coastal ward
	Linwood ward
Waimāero Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood Community Board	Fendalton ward
	Harewood ward
	Waimairi ward
Waipuna Hornby-Halswell-Riccarton Community Board	Halswell ward
	Hornby ward
	Riccarton ward
Waipapa Papanui-Innes-Central Community Board	Central ward
	Innes ward
	Papanui ward
Waihoro Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote Community Board	Cashmere ward
	Heathcote ward
	Spreydon ward

a. Rejects the submissions opposing changes to the subdivisions in the Banks Peninsula ward.

Councillor Cotter/Councillor Scandrett **Carried**

Councillors Davidson and Templeton abstained from voting on this item.

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00019

Composition of metropolitan community boards

14. Confirms the five urban Community Boards comprising nine members each, being both elected and appointed members as set out in the initial proposal.

Mayor/Councillor Chen **Carried**

Councillors Davidson and Templeton abstained from voting on this item.

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00020

Composition of Banks Peninsula Community Board

15. Confirms the Te Pātaka o Rakaihautū Banks Peninsula Community Board comprises eight members being both elected and appointed members as set out in the initial proposal.
    a. Rejects the submissions proposing fewer than eight members for Banks Peninsula.
    b. Rejects the submissions proposing two councillors for Banks Peninsula.
    c. Rejects the submissions proposing two representatives for Wairewa ward.

Mayor/Deputy Mayor **Carried**

Councillors Davidson and Templeton abstained from voting on this item.

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00021

Final Note

16. Notes that in making these decisions, the Council accepts or rejects each submission received accordingly.

Mayor/Councillor Scandrett **Carried**

Councillors Davidson and Templeton abstained from voting on this item.

Council Decision

Poll on Electoral System

That the Council:

1. Requests staff prepare a report to the Council by the end of 2021 on holding a poll alongside the 2022 local government election on the electoral system, Single Transferable Voting (STV) or First Past the Post (FPP), to be used at the 2025 and 2028 elections.

*The division was declared **lost** by 7 votes to 10 votes the voting being as follows:*

For: *Mayor Dalziel, Councillor Daniels, Councillor Davidson, Councillor Johanson, Councillor Keown, Councillor Scandrett and Councillor Templeton*

Against: *Deputy Mayor Turner, Councillor Chen, Councillor Chu, Councillor Coker, Councillor Cotter, Councillor Galloway, Councillor Gough, Councillor MacDonald, Councillor Mauger and Councillor McLellan*

Councillor Templeton/Councillor Davidson **Lost**

Resumption of Standing Orders

Council Resolved C–RRH/2021/00022

That the Standing Orders previously suspended, be resumed.

Mayor/Councillor Templeton **Carried**

Karakia Whakamutunga: Councillor Daniels

Meeting concluded at 3.48pm.

CONFIRMED THIS 18TH DAY OF JUNE 2021

MAYOR LIANNE DALZIEL
CHAIRPERSON

Item 4

4. Representation Review - Final Proposal

Reference Te Tohutoro:	21/660867
Report of Te Pou Matua:	John Filsell, Head of Community Support, Governance and Partnerships, john.filsell@ccc.govt.nz Christopher Turner-Bullock, Community Governance Manager christopher.turner@ccc.govt.nz
General Manager Pouwhakarae:	Mary Richardson, General Manager, Citizens and Community mary.richardson@ccc.govt.nz

1. Purpose of the Report Te Pūtake Pūrongo

1.1 The purpose of this report is for the Council to resolve its final proposal (**Attachment A**) for representation arrangements for the 2022 and 2025 local authority elections, and that the proposal be publicly notified. This report has been written following consideration of submissions by the Council, resulting in direction for staff to prepare a final proposal.

1.2 The decisions in this report are of medium significance in relation to the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy. Although the representation review impacts all residents of Christchurch, the final proposal retains much of the current representation arrangements. Changes to ward boundaries must occur to meet the fair representation requirements, the significance of which differs between wards. The community engagement and consultation outlined in this report reflect the requirements of the Local Electoral Act 2001 (LEA 2001) and the Local Government Act 2002 (LGA).

2. Officer Recommendations Ngā Tūtohu

That the Council:

1. Note that the Council deliberated on all 226 written and 41 oral submissions on the initial proposal and resolved whether to accept or reject submissions as set out in the minutes of the meeting commencing on 24 May 2021.

2. Has reviewed its representation arrangements in accordance with sections 19H and 19J of the Local Electoral Act 2001, and resolves that the following final proposal applies for the Council and its community boards for the elections to be held on 8 October 2022 :

   a. the Christchurch City Council shall comprise a Mayor and 16 Councillors.

   b. the members of the Christchurch City Council, other than the Mayor, continue to be elected by the electors of each ward.

   c. the City continues to be divided into 16 wards with the population of each ward electing one member.

   d. that the names of the wards are set out in the table below together with the population each member will represent:

Ward	Members	Pop. Per Member	+/- 10%
Banks Peninsula	1	9400	-62
Burwood	1	24,780	1

Cashmere	1	26,390	7
Central	1	24,020	-3
Coastal	1	26,490	7
Fendalton	1	25,390	3
Halswell	1	26,360	7
Harewood	1	26,010	6
Heathcote	1	26,110	6
Hornby	1	25,130	2
Innes	1	25,320	3
Linwood	1	24,780	1
Papanui	1	26,140	6
Riccarton	1	26,490	7
Spreydon	1	27,100	10
Waimairi	1	24,500	-1

e. that the boundaries of each ward are those set out in the attached maps (**see final proposal document as attached**).

f. that the 16 wards reflect the following identified communities of interest:

*Communities in **bold** signal that the community may be split across two or more wards. As there are no official suburb boundaries in Christchurch (with the exception of Cracroft), this is open to a certain level of interpretation.

Ward	Communities	Statistical Area 2 Name	Statistical Area 2 ID
Banks Peninsula	Akaroa, Barrys Bay, Birdlings Flat, Diamond Harbour, Duvauchelle, French Farm, Gebbies Valley, Governors Bay, Little River, Lyttelton, Port Levy, Purau, Rapaki, Takamatua, Wainui	Akaroa, Akaroa Harbour, Banks Peninsula South, Diamond Harbour, Eastern Bays-Banks Peninsula, Governors Bay, Inland water Lake Ellesmere/Te Waihora South, Inlet Akaroa Harbour, Inlet Port Lyttelton, Inlets other Christchurch City, Lyttelton, Port Hills, Teddington	333500, 333300, 333100, 332900, 333200, 332200, 333000, 333400, 332600, 332300, 332500, 331600, 332800
Burwood	**Aranui**, Avondale, **Avonside**, **Bexley,** Burwood, Dallington, **Marshland**, **Parklands**, **Shirley**, **Wainoni**	Aranui, Avondale , Avonside, Burwood, Dallington, Otakaro-Avon River Corridor, Prestons, Shirley East, Travis Wetlands, Wainoni, Waitikiri	321300, 321600, 324000, 324600, 325600, 326200, 326500, 327500, 327800, 328400, 328600
Cashmere	Beckenham, Cashmere, Cracroft, Hillsborough, Huntsbury, St Martins, **Somerfield**, Westmorland	Beckenham, Cashmere East, Cashmere West, Hillsborough , Hoon Hay South, Huntsbury, Port Hills, Somerfield East, Somerfield West, St Martins, Sydenham West, Westmorland	328700, 329100, 329200, 329500, 330000, 330300, 330600, 330800, 331200, 331300, 331400, 331600

Central	Christchurch Central, Charleston, Phillipstown, Richmond, **Waltham**	Charleston , Christchurch Central, Christchurch Central-East, Christchurch Central-North, Christchurch Central-South, Christchurch Central-West, Hagley Park, Lancaster Park, Linwood West, Phillipstown, Richmond North , Richmond South , Woolston North	324900, 325700, 325800, 325900, 326600, 326800, 327000, 327100, 327900, 328800, 328900, 329600, 330100
Coastal	**Bexley**, Bottle Lake, Brooklands, Kainga, New Brighton, **Parklands**, South New Brighton, Southshore, Spencerville, Waimairi Beach	Brooklands-Spencerville, New Brighton, Inlets other Christchurch City, North Beach, Otakaro-Avon River Corridor, Parklands, Queenspark, Rawhiti, South New Brighton, Styx, Travis Wetlands, Waimairi Beach, Waitikiri	317100, 321600, 317200, 323700, 324000, 325100, 326000, 326200, 327200, 328300, 330200, 331700, 332300
Fendalton	**Bryndwr**, Burnside, Fendalton, **Ilam**, Merivale, Strowan	Bryndwr North, Bryndwr South, Burnside, Deans Bush, Fendalton, Holmwood, Ilam North, Jellie Park, Merivale, Mona Vale, Rutland, St Albans West, Strowan	319200, 319900, 320200, 320600, 320800, 321400, 321500, 322200, 322400, 322600, 323000, 323200, 323900
Halswell	Aidanfield, Halswell, **Hillmorton**, Kennedys Bush, **Wigram**	Aidanfield, Awatea North, Awatea South, Broken Run, Halswell North, Halswell South, Halswell West, Hillmorton, Kennedys Bush, Oaklands East, Oaklands West, Port Hills, Sockburn South, Westmorland, Wigram East, Wigram North, Wigram South, Wigram West	321900, 322300, 322500, 322800, 322900, 323600, 324100, 324300, 324500, 324700, 325000, 325400, 326300, 327300, 328000, 329000, 330000, 331600
Harewood	Belfast, Bishopdale, **Casebrook**, Harewood, Northwood, **Yaldhurst**, **Russley**	Belfast East, Belfast West, Bishopdale North, Bishopdale South, Bishopdale West, Casebrook, Christchurch Airport, Clearwater, Harewood, Marshland, McLeans Island, Northwood, Paparua, Regents Park, Russley, Styx, Yaldhurst	316400, 316500, 316600, 316700, 316800, 316900, 317000, 317200, 317300, 317400, 317500, 317600, 317800, 317900, 318000, 318400, 319000
Heathcote	Clifton, Ferrymead, Heathcote Valley, McCormacks Bay. Moncks Bay, Mount Pleasant, Opawa, Redcliffs, Richmond Hill, Scarborough, Sumner, Sydenham, **Waltham**	Addington East, Brookhaven-Ferrymead, Clifton Hill, Heathcote Valley, Hillsborough , Inlets other Christchurch City, Lancaster Park, Mount Pleasant, Opawa, Port Hills, Redcliffs, Sumner, Sydenham Central, Sydenham North, Sydenham South, Sydenham West, Waltham, Woolston East, Woolston South	327400, 328100, 328700, 328800, 329400, 329700, 329900, 330900, 331100, 331400, 331500, 331600, 331800, 331900, 332000, 332100, 332300, 332400, 332700
Hornby	Broomfield, Hei Hei, Hornby, Hornby South, Islington, **Sockburn**, Templeton, **Wigram**, **Yaldhurst**	Awatea North, Awatea South, Hei Hei, Broomfield, Hornby Central, Hornby South, Hornby West, Islington, Islington-Hornby Industrial, Oaklands West, Riccarton Racecourse, Paparua, Sockburn South, Templeton, Wigram North, Wigram West, Yaldhurst	316500, 316600, 318200, 318100, 318700, 319100, 319300, 319800, 320300, 320400, 321900, 321100, 322300, 322500, 322800, 322900, 324300

Innes	Edgeware, **Mairehau**, Marshland, **Shirley**, **St Albans**	Edgeware, Mairehau North, Mairehau South, Malvern, Marshland, Rutland, Shirley West, St Albans East, St Albans North, St Albans West	318400, 321700, 322100, 322200, 323100, 323400, 323800, 323900, 324800, 325300
Linwood	**Aranui**, **Avonside**, Bromley, Linwood, **Wainoni**, Woolston	Avonside, Bexley, Bromley North, Bromley South, Ensors, Linwood East, Linwood North, Otakaro-Avon River Corridor, Wainoni, Woolston East, Woolston North, Woolston South, Woolston West	326200, 327800, 328400, 328500, 329300, 329800, 330100, 330400, 330500, 330700, 331000, 331100, 331500
Papanui	**Bryndwr**, **Casebrook**, **Mairehau**, Northcote, Papanui, Redwood, **St Albans**	Bryndwr North, Bryndwr South, Casebrook, Mairehau North, Malvern, Marshland, Northcote , Northlands , Papanui East, Papanui North, Papanui West, Redwood East, Redwood North, Redwood West, Rutland,	317900, 318400, 318600, 318800, 319400, 319600, 319900, 320000, 320100, 320500, 320800, 320900, 321700, 322100, 322200
Riccarton	**Ilam**, Riccarton, Sockburn, Upper Riccarton	Bush Inn, Deans Bush, Ilam South, Ilam University, Middleton, Mona Vale, Riccarton Central, Riccarton East, Riccarton South, Riccarton West, Sockburn South, Sockburn North, Tower Junction, Upper Riccarton, Wharenui	320700, 321000, 321200, 321800, 322000, 322300, 322400, 322700, 323200, 323300, 324200, 323500, 324400, 325200, 325500
Spreydon	Addington, Hoon Hay, **Hillmorton**, Middleton, Spreydon	Addington East, Addington North, Addington West, Hillmorton, Hoon Hay East, Hoon Hay South, Hoon Hay West, Middleton, Somerfield West, Spreydon North, Spreydon South, Spreydon West, Tower Junction, Westmorland	323500, 325000, 325500, 326100, 326400, 326700, 326900, 327400, 327600, 327700, 328200, 329200, 329500, 330000
Waimairi	Avonhead, Burnside, **Ilam**, **Russley**	Avonhead East, Avonhead North, Avonhead South, Avonhead West, Burnside, Burnside Park, Bush Inn, Hawthornden, Ilam North, Ilam South, Russley	317500, 317700, 318300, 318500, 318900, 319200, 319500, 319700, 320200, 320700, 321800

g. in accordance with section 19V(2) of the Local Electoral Act 2001, the population that each member represents is within the range of 24,651 +/- 10%; and that only the representation of the Banks Peninsula Ward falls outside the stipulated range for fair representation.

h. that the Banks Peninsula Ward warrants a single member because Banks Peninsula is an isolated community in terms of section 19V(3) of the Local Electoral Act 2001.

i. that in light of the principle set out in section 4(1)(a) of the Local Electoral Act 2001, there be six communities represented by six Community Boards in Christchurch.

j. that the names of the Community Boards are set out in the table below:

Community Board	Subdivision	Communities	SNZ SA2 Name	SNZ SA2 ID
Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula	Akaroa	Akaroa, Barrys Bay, Childrens Bay, Duvauchelle, French Farm, Lavericks Bay, Le Bons Bay, Little Akaloa, Okains Bay, Pigeon Bay, Robinsons Bay, Wainui	Akaroa, Akaroa Harbour, Banks Peninsula South, Eastern Bays-Banks Peninsula, Inlet Akaroa Harbour, Inlets other Christchurch City	332300, 333100, 333200, 333300, 333400, 333500
	Lyttelton	Cass Bay, Corsiar Bay, Lyttelton	Inlet Port Lyttelton, Lyttelton, Port Hills	331600, 332500, 332600
	Mt Herbert	Diamond Harbour, Governors Bay, Purau, Rapaki, Teddington	Banks Peninsula South, Diamond Harbour, Eastern Bays-Banks Peninsula, Governors Bay, Inlet Port Lyttelton, Inlets other Christchurch City, Lyttelton, Teddington, Port Hills	331600, 332200, 332300, 332500, 332600, 332800, 332900, 333200, 333100
	Wairewa	Birdlings Flat, Lake Ellesmere, Little River, Prices Valley, Teddington	Akaroa Harbour, Banks Peninsula South, Eastern Bays-Banks Peninsula, Inland water Lake Ellesmere/Te Waihora, South, Teddington	332800, 333000, 333100, 333200, 333300

Community Board	Communities	SNZ SA2 Name	SNZ SA2 ID
Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood	Aranui, Avondale, Avonside, Bexley, Bottle Lake, Bromley, Brooklands, Burwood, Dallington, Kainga, Linwood, Marshland, New Brighton, Parklands, Shirley, South New Brighton, Southshore, Spencerville, Waimairi Beach, Wainoni, Woolston	Aranui, Avondale , Avonside, Bexley, Bromley North, Bromley South, Brookhaven-Ferrymead, Brooklands-Spencerville, Burwood, Charleston, Dallington, Ensors, Hillsborough , Lancaster Park, Linwood East, Linwood North, Marshland, Linwood West, New Brighton, North Beach, Opawa, Otakaro-Avon River Corridor, Parklands, Phillipstown, Prestons, Queenspark, Rawhiti, Richmond North , Richmond South , Shirley East, Shirley West, South New Brighton, Styx, Travis Wetlands, Waimairi Beach, Waitikiri, Wainoni, Woolston	317100, 317200, 318400, 321300, 321600, 323400, 323700, 324000, 324600, 325100, 325600, 325900, 326000, 326500, 326800, 327200, 327800, 327500, 327900, 328300, 328400, 328500, 328600, 328800, 328900, 329300, 329600, 329800, 330100, 330200, 330400, 330500, 330700, 330900, 331000, 331400, 331100, 331500, 331700, 331800, 332300

		East, Woolston North, Woolston South, Woolston West	
Waimāero Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood	Avonhead, Belfast, Bishopdale, Bryndwr, Burnside, Casebrook, Fendalton, Harewood, Ilam, Merivale, Northwood, Russley, Strowan, Yaldhurst	Avonhead East, Avonhead North, Avonhead South, Avonhead West, Belfast East, Belfast West, Bishopdale North, Bishopdale South, Bishopdale West, Broomfield, Bryndwr North, Bryndwr South, Burnside, Burnside Park, Bush Inn, Casebrook, Christchurch Airport, Christchurch Central-West, Clearwater, Deans Bush, Fendalton, Hagley Park, Harewood, Hawthornden, Holmwood, Ilam North, Ilam South, Ilam University, Jellie Park, Marshland, McLeans Island, Merivale, Mona Vale, Northlands , Northwood, Papanui East, Papanui North, Papanui West, Paparua, Redwood North, Redwood West, Regents Park, Riccarton Racecourse, Russley, Rutland, Sockburn North, St Albans West, Strowan, Styx, Yaldhurst	316400, 316500, 316600, 316700, 316800, 316900, 317000, 317200, 317300, 317400, 317500, 317600, 317700, 317800, 317900, 318000, 318300, 318400, 318500, 318600, 318700, 318800, 318900, 319000, 319200, 319400, 319500, 319700, 319800, 319900, 320000, 320100, 320200, 320600, 320700, 320800, 320900, 321000, 321200, 321400, 321500, 321800, 322200, 322400, 322600, 323000, 323200, 323900, 324900, 325700
Waipuna Hornby-Halswell-Riccarton	Aidanfield, Broomfield, Halswell, HeiHei, Hillmorton, Hornby, Hornby South, Ilam, Islington, Kennedys Bush, Riccarton, Sockburn, Templeton, Upper Riccarton, Wigram, Yaldhurst	Addington North, Addington West, Aidanfield, Avonhead South, Awatea North, Awatea South, Broken Run, Broomfield, Deans Bush, Bush Inn, Hagley Park, Halswell North, Halswell South, Halswell West, Hawthornden, Hei Hei, Hillmorton, Hoon Hay East, Hoon Hay West, Hornby Central, Hornby South, Hornby West, Ilam North, Ilam South, Ilam University, Islington, Islington-Hornby Industrial, Kennedys Bush, Middleton, Mona Vale, Oaklands East, Oaklands West, Paparua, Port Hills, Riccarton Central, Riccarton East, Riccarton Racecourse, Riccarton South, Riccarton	316500, 316600, 317700, 318100, 318200, 318700, 319100, 319300, 319800, 319700, 320200, 320300, 320400, 320700, 321000, 321100, 321200, 321800, 321900, 322000, 322300, 322400, 322500, 322700, 322800, 322900, 323200, 323300, 323500, 323600, 324100, 324200, 324300, 324400, 324500, 324700, 324900, 325000, 325200, 325400, 325500, 326100, 326300, 326400, 326700, 327300, 327700, 328000, 329000, 330000, 331600, 332800

		West, Sockburn North, Sockburn South, Teddington, Templeton, Tower Junction, Upper Riccarton, Westmorland, Wharenui, Wigram East, Wigram North, Wigram South, Wigram West, Yaldhurst	
Waipapa Papanui-Innes-Central	Bryndwr, Casebrook, Charleston, Christchurch Central, Edgeware, Mairehau, Marshland, Northcote, Papanui, Phillipstown, Redwood, Richmond, Shirley, St Albans, Waltham	Addington North, Avonside, Bishopdale North, Bishopdale South, Bryndwr North, Bryndwr South, Casebrook, Charleston, Christchurch Central, Christchurch Central-East, Christchurch Central-North, Christchurch Central-South, Dallington, Christchurch Central-West, Edgeware, Ensors, Hagley Park, Holmwood, Lancaster Park, Linwood North, Linwood West, Mairehau North, Mairehau South, Malvern, Marshland, Merivale, Mona Vale, Northcote, Northlands, Otakaro-Avon River Corridor, Papanui East, Papanui North, Papanui West, Phillipstown, Prestons, Redwood East, Redwood North, Redwood West, Regents Park, Riccarton East, Richmond North, Richmond South, Rutland, Shirley East, Shirley West, St Albans East, St Albans North, St Albans West, Strowan, Styx, Sydenham Central, Woolston North	317200, 317600, 317800, 317900, 318400, 318600, 318800, 319000, 319400, 319600, 319900, 320000, 320500, 320100, 320800, 320900, 321300, 321400, 321700, 322100, 322200, 322600, 323000, 323100, 323200, 323400, 323800, 323900, 324600, 324800, 324900, 325200, 325300, 325700, 325800, 325900, 326200, 326400, 326500, 326600, 326800, 327000, 327100, 327800, 327900, 328100, 328500, 328800, 328900, 329600, 330100, 330500
Waihoro Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote	Addington, Beckenham, Cashmere, Clifton, Cracroft, Heathcote Valley, Ferrymead, Hillmorton, Hillsborough, Hoon Hay, Huntsbury, McCormacks Bay, Middleton, Moncks Bay, Mount Pleasant, Opawa, Recliffs, Richmond Hill, Scarborough, Somerfield, Spreydon, St Martins, Sumner, Sydenham, Waltham, Westmorland	Addington East, Addington North, Addington West, Beckenham, Bromley North, Bromley South, Brookhaven-Ferrymead, Cashmere East, Cashmere West, Christchurch Central-South, Clifton Hill, Ensors, Hagley Park, Halswell North, Heathcote Valley, Hillmorton, Hillsborough, Hoon Hay East, Hoon Hay South, Hoon Hay West, Huntsbury, Inlet Port Lyttelton, Inlets other Christchurch City, Kennedys Bush, Lancaster Park, Middleton, Mount Pleasant, Opawa, Port Hills, Redcliffs, Somerfield East, Sockburn South, Somerfield West, Spreydon North, Spreydon	322300, 323500, 324900, 325000, 325500, 326100, 326400, 326700, 326900, 327100, 327300, 327400, 327600, 327700, 328100, 328200, 328700, 328800, 329000, 329100, 329200, 329400, 329500, 329700, 329900, 330000, 330300, 330400, 330500, 330600, 330800, 330700, 330900, 331000, 331100, 331200, 331300, 331400, 331500, 331600, 331800, 331900, 332000, 332100, 332300, 332400, 332700, 332600,

		South, Spreydon West, St Martins, Sumner, Sydenham Central, Sydenham North, Sydenham South, Sydenham West, Tower Junction, Waltham, Westmorland, Woolston East, Woolston West, Woolston South	

k. notes that the current Linwood Central Heathcote community is abolished, and the Linwood, Central, and Heathcote wards are united with other communities.

l. that the boundaries of each community and of its subdivisions are altered and are those set out in the attached maps (**see final proposal document as attached**).

m. that the communities continue to be subdivided for electoral purposes as follows:

Community Board	Ward/Subdivision
Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula Community Board	Akaroa subdivision
	Lyttelton subdivision
	Mt Herbert subdivision
	Wairewa subdivision
Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board	Burwood ward
	Coastal ward
	Linwood ward
Waimāero Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood Community Board	Fendalton ward
	Harewood ward
	Waimairi ward
Waipuna Hornby-Halswell-Riccarton Community Board	Halswell ward
	Hornby ward
	Riccarton ward
Waipapa Papanui-Innes-Central Community Board	Central ward
	Innes ward
	Papanui ward
Waihoro Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote Community Board	Cashmere ward
	Heathcote ward
	Spreydon ward

n. that with respect to the five Community Boards being Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board, Waimāero Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood Community Board,

Waipuna Hornby-Halswell-Riccarton Community Board, Waipapa Papanui-Innes-Central Community Board and Waihoro Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote:

i. comprise nine members each, being both elected and appointed members as set out in the table below; and

Community Board	Ward/ Subdivision	Ward/ Subdivision Population	Elected Members	Community Board Population	Pop Per Elected Member	Appointed Members (Councillors)
Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood	Burwood	25,380	2	77,040	12,840	1
	Coastal	26,490	2			1
	Linwood	25,170	2			1
Waimāero Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood	Fendalton	26,410	2	79,490	13,248	1
	Harewood	26,570	2			1
	Waimairi	26,510	2			1
Waipuna Hornby-Halswell-Riccarton	Halswell	22,970	2	75,710	12,618	1
	Hornby	25,710	2			1
	Riccarton	27,030	2			1
Waipapa Papanui-Innes-Central	Central	23,260	2	75,520	12,587	1
	Innes	25,990	2			1
	Papanui	26,270	2			1
Waihoro Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote	Cashmere	26,700	2	77,250	12,875	1
	Heathcote	25,470	2			1
	Spreydon	25,080	2			1

ii. that the population each elected member will represent is as set out in the table below:

o. that the Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula Community Board:

i. comprises eight members being both elected and appointed members as set out in the table below; and

ii. that the population each elected member will represent is as set out in the table below:

Community Board	Subdivision	Subdivision Population	Elected Members	Community Board Population	Pop Per Elected Member	Appointed Members (Councillors)
Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula	Akaroa	1950	2	9400	1,343	1
	Lyttelton	3080	2			
	Mt Herbert	3130	2			
	Wairewa	1240	1			

3. That in accordance with section 19K of the Local Electoral Act 2001, the reasons for the changes to the existing representation arrangements are:
    a. The adjustments to ward boundaries provide population equality per member, complying with the +/- 10% rule, with the exception of the Banks Peninsula Ward.
    b. The continued retention of the Banks Peninsula ward and the Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula Community Board reflects the isolated nature of the ward, the distinct communities of interest and groups together communities with common interest and issues.
    c. The reduction in the number of community boards to six best provides for fair and equitable representation city-wide based on geographical and community perspectives.
    d. The adjustments to community boundaries reflect the reduction in the number of community boards and the changed boundaries given that five urban communities now all comprise three wards.
    e. The use of gifted te reo Māori names for community boards recognises the gifts, the relationship and formalises the use of the names.
4. Notes that:
    a. The Council must give public notice of its final decision within six weeks of submissions closing (i.e. by 25 June 2021), and that there is an appeals and objections process to follow.
    b. As Banks Peninsula does not comply with the +/-10% rule, the Council must refer its final proposal to the Commission regardless of any appeals or objections on the initial proposal.
5. Agrees that the Chief Executive be authorised to make any necessary minor corrections in the documents prior to Notification.

3. Reason for Report Recommendations Ngā Take mō te Whakatau

3.1 The LEA 2001 requires local authorities to undertake a review of their representation arrangements at least once every six years to ensure the arrangements provide fair and effective representation for communities. The LEA 2001 prescribes a statutory timeframe to undertake representation reviews.

3.2 On 11 March 2021 the Council resolved its initial proposal, and on 20 March 2021, the initial proposal was released for public consultation. Once the initial proposal has been resolved by the Council, there is no opportunity to delay or alter the statutory process.

3.3 On 24 and 26 May 2021, the Council considered all submissions and, on 3 June 2021 resolved whether to accept or reject submissions and therefore gave direction to staff to make the amendments discussed in this report and prepare the final proposal. The Council must give public notice of its final decision within six weeks of the closing date of submissions (i.e. by 25 June 2021). The review is subject to rights of appeal and/or objections which will be considered by the Local Government Commission.

4. Alternative Options Considered Ētahi atu Kōwhiringa

4.1 **No amendments to the initial proposal** – after considering the written and oral submissions, the Council gave direction to staff to prepare a final proposal with amendments to some aspects of the initial proposal. Therefore, confirming the initial proposal with no amendments would not be consistent with Council direction and the hearings process.

5. Detail Te Whakamahuki

5.1 On 11 March 2021 (item 21), the Council authorised staff to consult the public on the initial proposal. On 20 March 2021, within the 14 days statutory requirement, public notice was given and submissions were invited from 20 March to 16 May 2021.

5.2 A number of methods of engagement and communication were undertaken to ensure the representation review was widely publicised. These methods were outlined in the report to Council on 24 May 2021.

5.3 On 24 and 26 May 2021 the Council considered submissions and heard from submitters on the Council's initial proposal. The Council was provided with a report and attachments providing all 226 written submissions. There were 41 oral submissions made over two days by individuals, organisations and Community Boards in support of their written submissions. A summary of the oral submissions is included as **Attachment B** of this report.

5.4 On 3 June 2021, the Council concluded deliberations following consideration of submissions and hearing from submitters to the initial proposal. Additional information was provided with updated maps following the consideration of submissions and discussion by the Council.

5.5 The Council gave direction to staff to prepare the final proposal as outlined in the minutes from the hearings and deliberations. By giving that direction and making the decisions on the final proposal recommended in this report, the Council accepted or rejected each submission received accordingly (as resolved in those minutes). Acceptance of a submission may have the corresponding effect of rejecting another submission.

5.6 In considering both the written and oral submissions, the Council must ensure that the representation arrangements meet the requirements of the LEA 2001. Previous reports and minutes have outlined the legislative framework and obligations.

5.7 The Council took into consideration the views put forward by each submitter when determining specific representation arrangements, including:

5.7.1 The need to avoid arrangements that create barriers to participation (both for voters and those wishing to stand for council or community boards).

5.7.2 The need to avoid splitting recognised communities of interest, or to grouping together two or more communities of interest that have few common interests.

5.7.3 Accessibility, size, configuration, including electors' reasonable access to the elected member and vice versa.

5.7.4 Elected members' ability to effectively represent the views of their area, attend public meetings, and provide opportunities for face to face meetings.

5.8 The majority of submitters supported most aspects of the initial proposal and the reasons put forward in the proposal. There were a number of issues raised in submissions that were discussed in detail by the Council, including:

5.8.1 *Multi-member wards* - Councillors voted against amending the initial proposal to have multi-member wards as this method of election could lead to a number of representatives being elected from a single ward area, preventing fair representation of having a member for each ward area. The method of election (by ward or by multi-member wards) has an impact on the overall +/-10% population rule in terms of providing flexibility on the ward boundary over a larger area.

5.8.2 *Community Boards* - The Council discussed a number of alternative community board aggregations that had been raised through submissions, in particular Coastal community board models. Some submitters saw benefit in all the coastal hazard issues being dealt with by one urban community board (and Banks Peninsula issues by that community board), whereas others considered the workload would be too large. A Coastal Community Board model similar to that proposed by the Waikura Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board was presented as an early option to Council and was not supported. Some submitters noted hesitation around Linwood joining with the Coastal-Burwood areas and asked that sufficient resourcing followed to support the community. The Council confirmed its initial proposal as the most equitable option to ensure fair and effective representation as it has evenly sized communities and community boards across the district, with the exception of Banks Peninsula.

5.8.3 *Banks Peninsula* – submitters emphasised the reasons included in the initial proposal for why Banks Peninsula should be considered an isolated community, and provided detail about local experiences. Consideration was given to whether the Peninsula could be merged with Halswell or Heathcote to enable "city" councillors to better understand the issues on the Peninsula. However, as parts of Banks Peninsula are distinct culturally, historically, geographically, and economically, the Council confirmed its initial proposal that Banks Peninsula should be considered as unique and an isolated community. The Commission's 2016 determination considered that non-compliance with the +/-10% rule for a Banks Peninsula ward was necessary in order to provide effective representation of the community. This view has again come through strongly in the submissions.

5.8.4 *Ward boundaries* - a large number of submitters asked for further ward boundary adjustments to retain certain communities together. The Council agreed to make amendments to the initial proposal to take some of these into account, where possible (discussed below).

5.9 Some submitters raised matters that are outside the representation review, for example a possible Banks Peninsula Rural Advisory Panel, Māori representation on Committees of the Whole, and a change to the electoral system to Single Transferable Voting. No recommendations were made by the Council in regards to these matters as part of the representation review. However, a separate motion was put forward to request staff prepare a report to the Council by the end of 2021 on holding a poll alongside the 2022 local government election on the electoral system, Single Transferable Voting (STV) or First Past the Post (FPP), to be used at the 2025 and 2028 elections. This motion was lost.

5.10 The hearings and deliberations were live streamed and the recording is available at the following links for future reference:

- 24 May 2021 - https://councillive.ccc.govt.nz/video/10239.
- 26 May 2021 - https://councillive.ccc.govt.nz/video/10264.
- 3 June 2021 - https://councillive.ccc.govt.nz/video/10303

Amendments to the initial proposal

5.11 Under the LEA 2001, the Council is required to provide reasons for any amendments to its initial proposal and any amendments can only made in the light of submissions. The Council is also required to indicate the reasons for the rejection of any submission.

5.12 At the conclusion of the hearings on 3 June 2021, the Council deliberated on any further amendments, and directed staff to prepare the final proposal with some amendments to ward boundaries. In doing so, the Council rejected a number of submissions that were not aligned. Ward boundary changes have a flow on effect for population numbers and some communities of interest.

5.13 Amendments that were made to the initial proposal following consideration of submissions, and the reason for the change are set out in **Attachment C**. The amendments to the initial proposal has resulted in changes to each of the ward boundaries in order to maintain fair and effective representation and the +/-10% rule.

5.14 Some amendments were as a direct result of a request from a submitter whereas others were as a consequence of other changes to the ward boundaries requiring the population to be rebalanced to maintain the +/-10% rule.

5.15 An additional amendment made since the conclusion of the hearings retains all of the Knights Stream subdivision in the same ward, resulting a small change to the boundary between the Halswell and Hornby wards.

6. Procedural steps and timeline for the representation review

6.1 A summary of the timeline to meet the statutory requirements is:

	Date	Statutory requirement
Report to Council – Initial Proposal	11 March 2021	No earlier than 1 March the year prior to an election
Public Notice	20 March 2021	Within 14 days of adoption of initial proposal and no later than 8 September 2021
Consultation Period	20 March - 16 May 2021 (8 weeks)	Submissions close no earlier than one month after public notice
Council Considers Submissions	24 and 26 May; 3 June (deliberations)	The Council must consider any submissions it receives within six weeks of closing of submissions
Report to Council – Final Proposal	18 June 2021	Within six weeks of closing of submissions
Public Notice of Final Proposal	25 June 2021 at the latest	**Statutory Deadline** Within six weeks of closing of submissions
Appeals and Objections close	26 July 2021	One month from public notice of final proposal
Forward appeals and objections and any other information to the Commission	As soon as practicable	No later than 15 January 2022
Commission makes Determination	By 11 April 2022	By 11 April 2022

Referral to the Local Government Commission

6.2 The Local Government Commission is not involved in developing initial or final local authority representation proposals, other than providing procedural or technical advice when requested. However, the local authority must refer its final proposal to the Commission if:

- An appeal is made by a submitter on the initial proposal about matters related to their original submission (s19O).
- An objection is lodged by any person or organisation (including a community board) if a local authority's final proposal differs from its initial proposal (s19P). The objection must identify the matters to which the objection relates.
- The proposal does not comply with the requirements for achieving fair representation in s19V(2), i.e. the '+/-10% rule'.

6.3 In these situations the Commission must determine the representation arrangements for the local authority, including any constituent community boards, for the upcoming local authority election (s19R). It must issue its decision no later than 11 April of the election year (2022).

6.4 If appeals or objections are received (the process is outlined below), the Commission must rectify any element of the Council's final proposal that it considers does not comply with the statutory provisions, whether or not that element of the proposal was the subject of an appeal or objection. Therefore, there may be occasions when the Commission's determination is not founded on any particular proposal, submission, objection, or appeal.

6.5 If the only reason for referral to the Commission is non-compliance with s19V(2), the Commission's role is solely to determine the non-complying arrangements. As Banks

Peninsula does not comply with the +/-10% rule, the Council must refer its final proposal to the Commission regardless of any appeals or objections on the initial proposal.

6.6 If the Commission does not consider that the Council has established grounds for a departure from the '+/-10% fair representation rule', then the Commission is required to ensure that this requirement is met.

6.7 Commission determinations may be:

- Appealed only on a point of law.
- Subject to judicial review regarding matters of process.

Appeals and Objections process

6.8 The Council will issue a Public Notice of the final proposal on 25 June 2021 and include detail about the next stage of the process. Notification will also be sent directly to all submitters to the initial proposal, so that they are aware of the content of the final proposal and the process should they wish to appeal the final proposal.

6.9 The Council will include use of the "Have Your Say" platform for the public to provide any appeals or objections. The appeals and objections process will be open for one month (i.e. until 26 July 2021). Staff will collate appeals and objections for the Commission to consider.

6.10 After the period for appeals and objections ends, the Council must forward the appeals and objections and any other information to the Local Government Commission. This must occur as soon as practicable, and no later than 15 January 2022.

6.11 When considering appeals and/or objections against the final proposal, the Commission has the option of either making a decision based on the papers provided or by holding a hearing at which the parties may put forward their respective viewpoints. If a hearing is required, staff will work with the Commission to determine a timeline, and inform the Council.

7. Policy Framework Implications Ngā Hīraunga ā- Kaupapa here

Strategic Alignment Te Rautaki Tīaroaro

7.1 Regular reviews of the representation arrangements give citizens an opportunity to input into the decision making to achieve fair and effective representation for our city. This aligns with the Council's Strategic Priority "Enabling active and connected communities to own their future".

7.2 It also aligns with the Community Outcomes for Resilient Communities, in particular:

- Active participation in civic life
- Strong sense of community
- Valuing the voice of all cultures and ages

7.3 This report supports the Council's Long Term Plan (2018 - 2028):

7.3.1 Activity: Governance & Decision Making

- Level of Service: 4.1.2 Provide processes that ensure all local elections, polls and representation reviews are held with full statutory compliance - 100% compliance

Policy Consistency Te Whai Kaupapa here

7.4 The decision is consistent with Council's Plans and Policies. It is also consistent with the Local Electoral Act 2001 requirements and the Local Government Act 2002.

Impact on Mana Whenua Ngā Whai Take Mana Whenua

7.5 The decision does not involve a significant decision in relation to ancestral land or a body of water or other elements of intrinsic value, therefore this decision does not specifically impact Mana Whenua, their culture and traditions.

7.6 Te reo Māori names were gifted to the current community boards. Following discussions with Council's Ngāi Tahu Partnership Team it is confirmed that the proposed change in the arrangement of community boards will not require new or changed te reo Māori names. It is intended that the te reo Māori names become part of the legal name of each community board.

Climate Change Impact Considerations Ngā Whai Whakaaro mā te Āhuarangi

7.7 There are no climate change implications associated with the legislative process to review representation arrangements, including the consideration of submissions.

Accessibility Considerations Ngā Whai Whakaaro mā te Hunga Hauā

7.8 There are no accessibility implications associated with the legislative process to review representation arrangements, including the consideration of submissions.

8. Resource Implications Ngā Hīraunga Rauemi

Capex/Opex Ngā Utu Whakahaere

8.1 Cost to Implement - A provisional sum of $30,000 has been set aside to cover the implementation of any proposed changes. This includes but is not limited to communication, signage, changes to data systems and processes.

8.2 Maintenance/Ongoing costs - Once proposed changes are made any ongoing costs are the same (or less) than the existing costs to support elected members, so no additional funding has been budgeted.

8.3 Funding Source - The cost to implement proposed change along with the costs to support elected members is fully provided for in the 2018-28 LTP and draft 2021-31 LTP.

9. Legal Implications Ngā Hīraunga ā-Ture

Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report Te Manatū Whakahaere Kaupapa

9.1 Part 1A of the LEA 2001 sets out the provisions for the Council's representation arrangements and the requirement for a review of those arrangements. Under section 19J, a representation review must be carried out at least once in a six year period. This Council must carry out a representation review before the 2022 election as its last review was six years ago.

9.2 Section 19J of the LEA 2001 also requires that when the Council carries out a representation review it must also determine whether there should be communities and community boards, and if so, the nature of any community and the structure of any community board.

Other Legal Implications Ētahi atu Hīraunga-ā-Ture

9.3 The statutory process is detailed in sections 3 and 6 of this report.

9.4 This report has been reviewed and approved by the Legal Services Unit.

10. Risk Management Implications Ngā Hīraunga Tūraru

10.1 The Council must complete its representation review within the prescribed timeframe in the LEA 2001 in the year prior to an election being held. The Council must consider submissions and notify its Final Proposal within six weeks of submissions closing (i.e. by 25 June 2021).

10.2 If it does not meet the review process requirements, it would be a significant breach of the Council's statutory obligations. All elected members were briefed during the development of the Initial Proposal, and were made aware of Local Electoral Act 2001 requirements.

Attachments Ngā Tāpirihanga

No.	Title	Page
A ⇩	Final Proposal for Representation Review	37
B ⇩	Representation Review Hearings - Summary of Oral Submissions	75
C ⇩	Summary of Changes and the Reasons for Change	96

Additional background information may be noted in the below table:

Document Name	Location / File Link
11 March 2021	• Agenda (item 21) https://christchurch.infocouncil.biz/Open/2021/03/CNCL_20210311_AGN_5372_AT_WEB.htm • Minutes https://christchurch.infocouncil.biz/Open/2021/03/CNCL_20210311_MIN_5372_AT_WEB.htm
24, 26 May and 3 June	• Agenda https://christchurch.infocouncil.biz/Open/2021/05/C%e2%80%93RRH_20210524_AGN_7066_AT_WEB.htm • Supplementary Agenda https://christchurch.infocouncil.biz/Open/2021/05/C%e2%80%93RRH_20210524_ATT_7066_EXCLUDED_WEB.htm • Minutes https://christchurch.infocouncil.biz/Open/2021/05/C%e2%80%93RRH_20210524_MIN_7066_AT.PDF • Minutes attachments https://christchurch.infocouncil.biz/Open/2021/05/C%e2%80%93RRH_20210524_MAT_7066_WEB.htm

Confirmation of Statutory Compliance Te Whakatūturutanga ā-Ture

Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).

(a) This report contains:

(i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and

(ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.

(b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council's significance and engagement policy.

Signatories Ngā Kaiwaitohu

Authors	Libby Elvidge - Senior Policy Analyst Jo Daly - Council Secretary Christopher Turner-Bullock - Manager Community Governance, Coastal-Burwood Vivienne Wilson - Senior Legal Counsel Aimee Martin - Research Analyst Ashleigh Hamilton - Assistant Research Analyst John Filsell - Head of Community Support, Governance and Partnerships Tessa Zant - Senior Engagement Advisor Dean Kilbride - Senior Communications Advisor
Approved By	John Filsell - Head of Community Support, Governance and Partnerships Mary Richardson - General Manager Citizens & Community

Christchurch City Council Representation Review
Final Proposal for the
2022 Local Authority Election

Final Proposal for Christchurch City Council

1. The Final Proposal reflects the general consensus of elected members; that the current arrangement is the preferred representation model, though with small changes to ward boundaries to bring them into line with the requirements for population equality under the legislation and a reduction in community boards to six in total.
2. The rationale for this approach takes into account:
    - The comprehensive approach of the review for the 2016 election to address the profound effect the earthquakes had on Christchurch's population and on the need for fair representation.
    - Feedback during the development of the initial proposal from elected members and others, that city-based community boards of either two or three wards creates an uneven distribution of resources, workload and perceived ability to fairly represent the community.
    - The consultation and hearings undertaken on the initial proposal. The Council received 226 submissions on the initial proposal and heard 41 oral submissions. The majority of submitters expressed support for most aspects of the initial proposal and the reasons put forward in the proposal. A number of issues were raised and considered by the Council, including ward boundaries, multi-members wards, community boards and the Banks Peninsula ward. The Council's decisions on these matters resulted in further changes to ward boundaries. Some amendments were as a direct result of a request from a submitter whereas others were as a consequence of other changes to the ward boundaries requiring the population to be rebalanced to maintain the +/-10% rule for fair and effective representation. These changes are incorporated in this final proposal.
    - The Banks Peninsula ward does not comply with the +/-10 per cent fair representation requirement of section 19V(2). This ward is sparsely populated and some parts are distinct culturally, historically, geographically, and economically. The Banks Peninsula ward warrants a single member and should be considered as unique and an isolated community.
3. The following representation arrangements for future local body elections, including those in 2022 and 2025 are:

Council representation

4. We propose:
    - Christchurch City Council comprise a mayor and 16 councillors.
    - The members of the Christchurch City Council, other than the mayor, continue to be elected by the ward system.
    - That the Banks Peninsula ward warrants a single member because Banks Peninsula is an isolated community in terms of section 19V(3) of the Local Electoral Act 2001.

Wards

5. Section 19V(2) of the Local Electoral Act 2001 requires the population to comply with the '+/-10 per cent rule' for fair representation. Ward boundaries must coincide with the boundaries of the current statistical meshblock areas determined by Statistics New Zealand and used for parliamentary electoral purposes. Where practicable, ward boundaries should also coincide with any local board area or community boundaries.
6. Apart from Banks Peninsula, the population that each member represents in this Initial Proposal is within the range of 24,651 +/-10 per cent. This meant that we had to adjust some of the existing ward boundaries to ensure the fair representation requirements are met.
7. The city continues to be divided into 16 wards with the population of each ward electing one member. The boundaries of each ward are set out in the attached maps.
8. The names of the wards are retained and are set out in the table below together with the population each member will represent:

Wards, elected members and population per member:

Ward	Members	Pop. Per Member	+/- 10%
Banks Peninsula	1	9400	-62
Burwood	1	24,780	1
Cashmere	1	26,390	7
Central	1	24,020	-3
Coastal	1	26,490	7
Fendalton	1	25,390	3
Halswell	1	26,360	7
Harewood	1	26,010	6
Heathcote	1	26,110	6
Hornby	1	25,130	2
Innes	1	25,320	3
Linwood	1	24,780	1
Papanui	1	26,140	6
Riccarton	1	26,490	7
Spreydon	1	27,100	10
Waimairi	1	24,500	-1

Communities of interest

9. Communities of interest take into account distinct and recognisable geographical boundaries, similarities in activities and characteristics of the residents of a community and services in an area. Wards may contain more than one distinct community of interest, but these communities must have sufficient commonalities to be grouped together.
10. Effective representation is not defined in the LEA but relates to representation for identified communities of interest. This needs to take account of the nature and locality of those communities of interest and the size, nature and diversity of the district as a whole.
11. Known existing communities of interest have been retained where possible, however some new and developing communities of interest have been identified. These are largely residential neighbourhoods that are new or have been significantly developed since the last representation review.
12. The 16 wards reflect the following identified communities of interest:

Table of Communities by ward

Communities in bold signal that the community may be split across two or more wards. As there are no official suburb boundaries in Christchurch (with the exception of Cracroft), this is open to a certain level of interpretation.

Ward	Communities	Statistical Area 2 Name	Statistical Area 2 ID
Banks Peninsula	Akaroa, Barrys Bay, Birdlings Flat, Diamond Harbour, Duvauchelle, French Farm, Gebbies Valley, Governors Bay, Little River, Lyttelton, Port Levy, Purau, Rapaki, Takamatua, Wainui	Akaroa, Akaroa Harbour, Banks Peninsula South, Diamond Harbour, Eastern Bays-Banks Peninsula, Governors Bay, Inland water Lake Ellesmere/Te Waihora South, Inlet Akaroa Harbour, Inlet Port Lyttelton, Inlets other Christchurch City, Lyttelton, Port Hills, Teddington	333500, 333300, 333100, 332900, 333200, 332200, 333000, 333400, 332600, 332300, 332500, 331600, 332800
Burwood	**Aranui**, Avondale, **Avonside**, **Bexley**, Burwood, Dallington, **Marshland**, **Parklands**, **Shirley**, **Wainoni**	Aranui, Avondale , Avonside, Burwood, Dallington, Otakaro-Avon River Corridor, Prestons, Shirley East, Travis Wetlands, Wainoni, Waitikiri	321300, 321600, 324000, 324600, 325600, 326200, 326500, 327500, 327800, 328400, 328600
Cashmere	Beckenham, Cashmere, Cracroft, Hillsborough, Huntsbury, St Martins, **Somerfield**, Westmorland	Beckenham, Cashmere East, Cashmere West, Hillsborough , Hoon Hay South, Huntsbury, Port Hills, Somerfield East, Somerfield West, St Martins, Sydenham West, Westmorland	328700, 329100, 329200, 329500, 330000, 330300, 330600, 330800, 331200, 331300, 331400, 331600
Central	Christchurch Central, Charleston, Phillipstown, Richmond, **Waltham**	Charleston , Christchurch Central, Christchurch Central-East, Christchurch Central-North, Christchurch Central-South, Christchurch Central-West, Hagley Park, Lancaster Park, Linwood West, Phillipstown, Richmond North , Richmond South , Woolston North	324900, 325700, 325800, 325900, 326600, 326800, 327000, 327100, 327900, 328800, 328900, 329600, 330100
Coastal	**Bexley**, Bottle Lake, Brooklands, Kainga, New Brighton, **Parklands**, South New Brighton, Southshore, Spencerville, Waimairi Beach	Brooklands-Spencerville, New Brighton, Inlets other Christchurch City, North Beach, Otakaro-Avon River Corridor, Parklands, Queenspark, Rawhiti, South New Brighton, Styx,	317100, 321600, 317200, 323700, 324000, 325100, 326000, 326200, 327200,

Ward	Communities	Statistical Area 2 Name	Statistical Area 2 ID
		Travis Wetlands, Waimairi Beach, Waitikiri	328300, 330200, 331700, 332300
Fendalton	**Bryndwr**, Burnside, Fendalton, **Ilam**, Merivale, Strowan	Bryndwr North, Bryndwr South, Burnside, Deans Bush, Fendalton, Holmwood, Ilam North, Jellie Park, Merivale, Mona Vale, Rutland, St Albans West, Strowan	319200, 319900, 320200, 320600, 320800, 321400, 321500, 322200, 322400, 322600, 323000, 323200, 323900
Halswell	Aidanfield, Halswell, **Hillmorton**, Kennedys Bush, **Wigram**	Aidanfield, Awatea North, Awatea South, Broken Run, Halswell North, Halswell South, Halswell West, Hillmorton, Kennedys Bush, Oaklands East, Oaklands West, Port Hills, Sockburn South, Westmorland, Wigram East, Wigram North, Wigram South, Wigram West	321900, 322300, 322500, 322800, 322900, 323600, 324100, 324300, 324500, 324700, 325000, 325400, 326300, 327300, 328000, 329000, 330000, 331600
Harewood	Belfast, Bishopdale, **Casebrook**, Harewood, Northwood, Yaldhurst, **Russley**	Belfast East, Belfast West, Bishopdale North, Bishopdale South, Bishopdale West, Casebrook, Christchurch Airport, Clearwater, Harewood, Marshland, McLeans Island, Northwood, Paparua, Regents Park, Russley, Styx, Yaldhurst	316400, 316500, 316600, 316700, 316800, 316900, 317000, 317200, 317300, 317400, 317500, 317600, 317800, 317900, 318000, 318400, 319000
Heathcote	Clifton, Ferrymead, Heathcote Valley, McCormacks Bay. Moncks Bay, Mount Pleasant, Opawa, Recliffs, Richmond Hill, Scarborough, Sumner, Sydenham, **Waltham**	Addington East, Brookhaven-Ferrymead, Clifton Hill, Heathcote Valley, Hillsborough , Inlets other Christchurch City, Lancaster Park, Mount Pleasant, Opawa, Port Hills, Redcliffs, Sumner, Sydenham Central, Sydenham North, Sydenham South, Sydenham West, Waltham, Woolston East, Woolston South	327400, 328100, 328700, 328800, 329400, 329700, 329900, 330900, 331100, 331400, 331500, 331600, 331800, 331900, 332000, 332100, 332300, 332400, 332700
Hornby	Broomfield, Hei Hei, Hornby, Hornby South, Islington, **Sockburn**, Templeton, **Wigram**, **Yaldhurst**	Awatea North, Awatea South, Hei Hei, Broomfield, Hornby Central, Hornby South, Hornby West, Islington, Islington-Hornby Industrial, Oaklands West, Riccarton Racecourse, Paparua, Sockburn South, Templeton, Wigram North, Wigram West, Yaldhurst	316500, 316600, 318200, 318100, 318700, 319100, 319300, 319800, 320300, 320400, 321900, 321100, 322300, 322500, 322800, 322900, 324300
Innes	Edgeware, **Mairehau**, Marshland, **Shirley**, **St Albans**	Edgeware, Mairehau North, Mairehau South, Malvern, Marshland, Rutland, Shirley West, St Albans East, St Albans North, St Albans West	318400, 321700, 322100, 322200, 323100, 323400, 323800, 323900, 324800, 325300
Linwood	**Aranui**, **Avonside**, Bromley, Linwood, **Wainoni**, Woolston	Avonside, Bexley, Bromley North, Bromley South, Ensors, Linwood East, Linwood North, Otakaro-Avon River Corridor, Wainoni, Woolston East, Woolston North, Woolston South, Woolston West	326200, 327800, 328400, 328500, 329300, 329800, 330100, 330400, 330500, 330700, 331000, 331100, 331500

Ward	Communities	Statistical Area 2 Name	Statistical Area 2 ID
Papanui	Bryndwr, Casebrook, Mairehau, Northcote, Papanui, Redwood, St Albans	Bryndwr North, Bryndwr South, Casebrook, Mairehau North, Malvern, Marshland, Northcote , Northlands , Papanui East, Papanui North, Papanui West, Redwood East, Redwood North, Redwood West, Rutland,	317900, 318400, 318600, 318800, 319400, 319600, 319900, 320000, 320100, 320500, 320800, 320900, 321700, 322100, 322200
Riccarton	Ilam, Riccarton, Sockburn, Upper Riccarton	Bush Inn, Deans Bush, Ilam South, Ilam University, Middleton, Mona Vale, Riccarton Central, Riccarton East, Riccarton South, Riccarton West, Sockburn South, Sockburn North, Tower Junction, Upper Riccarton, Wharenui	320700, 321000, 321200, 321800, 322000, 322300, 322400, 322700, 323200, 323300, 324200, 323500, 324400, 325200, 325500
Spreydon	Addington, Hoon Hay, Hillmorton, Middleton, Spreydon	Addington East, Addington North, Addington West, Hillmorton, Hoon Hay East, Hoon Hay South, Hoon Hay West, Middleton, Somerfield West, Spreydon North, Spreydon South, Spreydon West, Tower Junction, Westmorland	323500, 325000, 325500, 326100, 326400, 326700, 326900, 327400, 327600, 327700, 328200, 329200, 329500, 330000
Waimairi	Avonhead, Burnside, Ilam, Russley	Avonhead East, Avonhead North, Avonhead South, Avonhead West, Burnside, Burnside Park, Bush Inn, Hawthornden, Ilam North, Ilam South, Russley	317500, 317700, 318300, 318500, 318900, 319200, 319500, 319700, 320200, 320700, 321800

Community Boards

13. The main roles of community boards are to:
    - Represent and act as an advocate for the interests of its community.
    - Consider and report on all matters referred to it by the Council, or any matter of interest or concern to the board.
    - Maintain an overview of services provided by the Council within the community.
    - Prepare an annual submission to the Council for expenditure within the community.
    - Communicate with community organisations and special interest groups within the community.
    - Undertake any other responsibilities that are delegated to them by the Council.
14. Every community board must consist of at least four members but not more than 12 members. It must include at least four elected members and may include appointed members. The number of appointed members is to be less than half the total number of members.
15. We are changing the community board arrangement from seven community boards to six, being:
    - Five urban community boards made up of three wards each (a change from the current six which are a mix of three three-ward boards and three two-ward boards)

- A Banks Peninsula community board with four subdivisions (no change from the status quo).

16. This is considered to be the most equitable option to ensure fair and effective representation as it has evenly sized communities and community boards across the district, with the exception of Banks Peninsula. Having city- based community boards of varying sizes has resulted in the uneven distribution of resources, workload and perceived ability to fairly and equitably represent the community. The proposed new boundaries for community boards incorporate the adjusted ward boundaries. The Banks Peninsula board area will remain the same as it currently is.
17. In order to make the current two-ward community boards into three-ward community boards, the Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board is disestablished and the wards that currently make it up included in other community boards, namely:
    - The Linwood ward joins the existing Burwood-Coastal Community Board area.
    - The Central Ward joins the existing Papanui-Innes Community Board area.
    - The Heathcote Ward joins the existing Spreydon-Cashmere Community Board area.
18. This results in the majority of community boards across the city being retained; aligns with how communities in each of the affected wards affiliate with neighbouring wards to form larger communities of interest; and best provides for fair and equitable representation city wide.
19. The community boards will formally use their gifted te reo Māori name, with the name of each ward that comprises the board area describing the coverage. There are no changes to any other community board member representation arrangement. Therefore, the number of members elected to community boards is not affected. Community board members will continue to be elected by ward.

20. The communities continue to be subdivided for electoral purposes as follows:

Community Board subdivisions for electoral purposes:

Community Board	Ward/Subdivision
Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula Community Board	Akaroa subdivision
	Lyttelton subdivision
	Mt Herbert subdivision
	Wairewa subdivision
Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board	Burwood ward
	Coastal ward
	Linwood ward
Waimāero Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood Community Board	Fendalton ward
	Harewood ward
	Waimairi ward
Waipuna Hornby-Halswell-Riccarton Community Board	Halswell ward
	Hornby ward
	Riccarton ward
Waipapa Papanui-Innes-Central Community Board	Central ward
	Innes ward
	Papanui ward
Waihoro Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote Community Board	Cashmere ward
	Heathcote ward
	Spreydon ward

21. The boundaries of each community board area are set out in the attached maps.

22. The five community boards being Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood, Waimāero Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood, Waipuna Hornby-Halswell-Riccarton, Waipapa Papanui-Innes-Central and Waihoro Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote:
    - comprise nine members each, being both elected and appointed members as set out in the table below:
    - that the population each elected member will represent is as set out in the table below:

Urban Community Board representation:

Community Board	Ward/ Subdivision	Ward/ Subdivision Population	Elected Members	Community Board Population	Pop Per Elected Member	Appointed Members (Councillors)
Waitai **Coastal-Burwood-Linwood**	Burwood	25,380	2	77,040	12,840	1
	Coastal	26,490	2			1
	Linwood	25,170	2			1
Waimāero **Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood**	Fendalton	26,410	2	79,490	13,248	1
	Harewood	26,570	2			1
	Waimairi	26,510	2			1
Waipuna Hornby-Halswell-Riccarton	Halswell	22,970	2	75,710	12,618	1
	Hornby	25,710	2			1
	Riccarton	27,030	2			1
Waipapa Papanui-Innes-Central	Central	23,260	2	75,520	12,587	1
	Innes	25,990	2			1
	Papanui	26,270	2			1
Waihoro Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote	Cashmere	26,700	2	77,250	12,875	1
	Heathcote	25,470	2			1
	Spreydon	25,080	2			1

23. The Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula Community Board:

- comprises eight members being both elected and appointed members as set out in the table below; and
- that the population each elected member will represent is as set out in the table below:

Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula Community Board representation

Community Board	Subdivision	Subdivision Population	Elected Members	Community Board Population	Pop Per Elected Member	Appointed Members (Councillors)
Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula	Akaroa	1950	2	9400	1,343	1
	Lyttelton	3080	2			
	Mt Herbert	3130	2			
	Wairewa	1240	1			

24. The community boards will include the following communities:

Table of Communities by community board

Communities in bold signal that the community may be split across two or more wards. As there are no official suburb boundaries in Christchurch (with the exception of Cracroft), this is open to a certain level of interpretation.

Community Board	Subdivision	Communities	SNZ SA2 Name	SNZ SA2 ID
Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula	Akaroa	Akaroa, Barrys Bay, Childrens Bay, Duvauchelle, French Farm, Lavericks Bay, Le Bons Bay, Little Akaloa, Okains Bay, Pigeon Bay, Robinsons Bay, Wainui	Akaroa, Akaroa Harbour, Banks Peninsula South, Eastern Bays-Banks Peninsula, Inlet Akaroa Harbour, Inlets other Christchurch City	332300, 333100, 333200, 333300, 333400, 333500
	Lyttelton	Cass Bay, Corsiar Bay, Lyttelton	Inlet Port Lyttelton, Lyttelton, Port Hills	331600, 332500, 332600
	Mt Herbert	Diamond Harbour, Governors Bay, Purau, Rapaki, Teddington	Banks Peninsula South, Diamond Harbour, Eastern Bays-Banks Peninsula, Governors Bay, Inlet Port Lyttelton, Inlets other Christchurch City, Lyttelton, Teddington, Port Hills	331600, 332200, 332300, 332500, 332600, 332800, 332900, 333200, 333100
	Wairewa	Birdlings Flat, Lake Ellesmere, Little River, Prices Valley, Teddington	Akaroa Harbour, Banks Peninsula South, Eastern Bays-Banks Peninsula, Inland water Lake Ellesmere/Te Waihora, South, Teddington	332800, 333000, 333100, 333200, 333300

Community Board	Communities	SNZ SA2 Name	SNZ SA2 ID
Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood	Aranui, Avondale, Avonside, Bexley, Bottle Lake, Bromley, Brooklands, Burwood, Dallington, Kainga, Linwood, Marshland, New Brighton, Parklands, Shirley, South New Brighton, Southshore, Spencerville, Waimairi Beach, Wainoni, Woolston	Aranui, Avondale , Avonside, Bexley, Bromley North, Bromley South, Brookhaven-Ferrymead, Brooklands-Spencerville, Burwood, Charleston, Dallington, Ensors, Hillsborough , Lancaster Park, Linwood East, Linwood North, Marshland, Linwood West, New Brighton, North Beach, Opawa, Otakaro-Avon River Corridor, Parklands, Phillipstown, Prestons, Queenspark, Rawhiti, Richmond North , Richmond South , Shirley East, Shirley West, South New Brighton, Styx, Travis Wetlands, Waimairi Beach, Waitikiri, Wainoni, Woolston East, Woolston North, Woolston South, Woolston West	317100, 317200, 318400, 321300, 321600, 323400, 323700, 324000, 324600, 325100, 325600, 325900, 326000, 326500, 326800, 327200, 327800, 327500, 327900, 328300, 328400, 328500, 328600, 328800, 328900, 329300, 329600, 329800, 330100, 330200, 330400, 330500, 330700, 330900, 331000, 331400, 331100, 331500, 331700, 331800, 332300
Waimāero Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood	Avonhead, Belfast, Bishopdale, Bryndwr, Burnside, Casebrook, Fendalton, Harewood, Ilam, Merivale, Northwood, Russley, Strowan, Yaldhurst	Avonhead East, Avonhead North, Avonhead South, Avonhead West, Belfast East, Belfast West, Bishopdale North, Bishopdale South, Bishopdale West, Broomfield, Bryndwr North, Bryndwr South, Burnside, Burnside Park, Bush Inn, Casebrook, Christchurch Airport, Christchurch Central-West, Clearwater, Deans Bush, Fendalton, Hagley Park, Harewood, Hawthornden, Holmwood, Ilam North, Ilam South, Ilam University, Jellie Park, Marshland, McLeans Island, Merivale, Mona Vale, Northlands , Northwood, Papanui East, Papanui North, Papanui West, Paparua, Redwood North, Redwood West, Regents Park, Riccarton Racecourse, Russley, Rutland, Sockburn North, St Albans West, Strowan, Styx, Yaldhurst	316400, 316500, 316600, 316700, 316800, 316900, 317000, 317200, 317300, 317400, 317500, 317600, 317700, 317800, 317900, 318000, 318300, 318400, 318500, 318600, 318700, 318800, 318900, 319000, 319200, 319400, 319500, 319700, 319800, 319900, 320000, 320100, 320200, 320600, 320700, 320800, 320900, 321000, 321200, 321400, 321500, 321800, 322200, 322400, 322600, 323000, 323200, 323900, 324900, 325700
Waipuna Hornby-Halswell-Riccarton	Aidanfield, Broomfield, Halswell, HeiHei, Hillmorton, Hornby, Hornby South, Ilam, Islington, Kennedys Bush, Riccarton, Sockburn, Templeton, Upper Riccarton, Wigram, Yaldhurst	Addington North, Addington West, Aidanfield, Avonhead South, Awatea North, Awatea South, Broken Run, Broomfield, Deans Bush, Bush Inn, Hagley Park, Halswell North, Halswell South, Halswell West, Hawthornden, Hei Hei,	316500, 316600, 317700, 318100, 318200, 318700, 319100, 319300, 319800, 319700, 320200, 320300, 320400, 320700, 321000, 321100, 321200, 321800, 321900, 322000, 322300, 322400, 322500, 322700,

		Hillmorton, Hoon Hay East, Hoon Hay West, Hornby Central, Hornby South, Hornby West, Ilam North, Ilam South, Ilam University, Islington, Islington-Hornby Industrial, Kennedys Bush, Middleton, Mona Vale, Oaklands East, Oaklands West, Paparua, Port Hills, Riccarton Central, Riccarton East, Riccarton Racecourse, Riccarton South, Riccarton West, Sockburn North, Sockburn South, Teddington, Templeton, Tower Junction, Upper Riccarton, Westmorland, Wharenui, Wigram East, Wigram North, Wigram South, Wigram West, Yaldhurst	322800, 322900, 323200, 323300, 323500, 323600, 324100, 324200, 324300, 324400, 324500, 324700, 324900, 325000, 325200, 325400, 325500, 326100, 326300, 326400, 326700, 327300, 327700, 328000, 329000, 330000, 331600, 332800
Waipapa Papanui-Innes-Central	Bryndwr, Casebrook, Charleston, Christchurch Central, Edgeware, Mairehau, Marshland, Northcote, Papanui, Phillipstown, Redwood, Richmond, Shirley, St Albans, Waltham	Addington North, Avonside, Bishopdale North, Bishopdale South, Bryndwr North, Bryndwr South, Casebrook, Charleston, Christchurch Central, Christchurch Central-East, Christchurch Central-North, Christchurch Central-South, Dallington, Christchurch Central-West, Edgeware, Ensors, Hagley Park, Holmwood, Lancaster Park, Linwood North, Linwood West, Mairehau North, Mairehau South, Malvern, Marshland, Merivale, Mona Vale, Northcote, Northlands, Otakaro-Avon River Corridor, Papanui East, Papanui North, Papanui West, Phillipstown, Prestons, Redwood East, Redwood North, Redwood West, Regents Park, Riccarton East, Richmond North, Richmond South, Rutland, Shirley East, Shirley West, St Albans East, St Albans North, St Albans West, Strowan, Styx, Sydenham Central, Woolston North	317200, 317600, 317800, 317900, 318400, 318600, 318800, 319000, 319400, 319600, 319900, 320000, 320500, 320100, 320800, 320900, 321300, 321400, 321700, 322100, 322200, 322600, 323000, 323100, 323200, 323400, 323800, 323900, 324600, 324800, 324900, 325200, 325300, 325700, 325800, 325900, 326200, 326400, 326500, 326600, 326800, 327000, 327100, 327800, 327900, 328100, 328500, 328800, 328900, 329600, 330100, 330500
Waihoro Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote	Addington, Beckenham, Cashmere, Clifton, Cracroft, Heathcote Valley, Ferrymead, Hillmorton, Hillsborough, Hoon Hay, Huntsbury, McCormacks Bay, Middleton, Moncks Bay, Mount Pleasant, Opawa, Recliffs, Richmond Hill,	Addington East, Addington North, Addington West, Beckenham, Bromley North, Bromley South, Brookhaven-Ferrymead, Cashmere East, Cashmere West, Christchurch Central-South, Clifton Hill, Ensors, Hagley Park, Halswell	322300, 323500, 324900, 325000, 325500, 326100, 326400, 326700, 326900, 327100, 327300, 327400, 327600, 327700, 328100, 328200, 328700, 328800, 329000, 329100, 329200, 329400, 329500, 329700,

	Scarborough, Somerfield, Spreydon, St Martins, Sumner, Sydenham, Waltham, Westmorland	North, Heathcote Valley, Hillmorton, Hillsborough, Hoon Hay East, Hoon Hay South, Hoon Hay West, Huntsbury, Inlet Port Lyttelton, Inlets other Christchurch City, Kennedys Bush, Lancaster Park, Middleton, Mount Pleasant, Opawa, Port Hills, Redcliffs, Somerfield East, Sockburn South, Somerfield West, Spreydon North, Spreydon South, Spreydon West, St Martins, Sumner, Sydenham Central, Sydenham North, Sydenham South, Sydenham West, Tower Junction, Waltham, Westmorland, Woolston East, Woolston West, Woolston South	329900, 330000, 330300, 330400, 330500, 330600, 330800, 330700, 330900, 331000, 331100, 331200, 331300, 331400, 331500, 331600, 331800, 331900, 332000, 332100, 332300, 332400, 332700, 332600,



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Proposed Ward Arrangement



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Banks Peninsula Ward | Population 9400



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Burwood Ward | Population 24780

Christchurch City Council



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Cashmere Ward | Population 26390



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Central Ward | Population 24020

Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Coastal Ward | Population 26490

Christchurch City Council



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Fendalton Ward | Population 25390

Christchurch City Council

Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Halswell Ward | Population 26360

Christchurch City Council



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Harewood Ward | Population 26010

Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Heathcote Ward | Population 26110

Christchurch City Council

Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Hornby Ward | Population 25130



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Innes Ward | Population 25320

Christchurch City Council



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Linwood Ward | Population 24780

Christchurch City Council



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Papanui Ward | Population 26140

Christchurch City Council



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Riccarton Ward | Population 26490



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Spreydon Ward | Population 27100



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Waimairi Ward | Population 24500



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Proposed Community Board Arrangement



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Proposed Banks Peninsula Subdivision Arrangement



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Banks Peninsula Community Board | Population 9400



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board | Population 76050

Christchurch City Council

Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Waimaero Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood Community Board | Population 75900



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Waipuna Hornby-Halswell-Riccarton Community Board | Population 77980



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Waipapa Papanui-Innes-Central Community Board | Population 75480

Christchurch City Council



Christchurch City Council Representation Review 2021

Waihoro Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote Community Board | Population 79600

Christchurch City Council

Representation Review Hearings 24 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
39640	Kelly Barber and Bebe Frayle – Waitai Coastal Burwood Community Board	• The Community Boards need to have an equal number of Councillors so they have an equal level of influence around the Council table • The local community has provided feedback that they feel an affinity with coastal communities and would support a coastal community board stretching round into Sumner. Having a unified voice would assist coastal communities with the challenges they are facing. • The use of meshblocks to draw ward boundaries is frustrating and results in communities being divided in unusual ways that do not make sense to our residents. • It is hard for community groups to access effective support and representation when they work across multiple wards. • Combining three wards with very high levels of deprivation into one community board area is concerning. The organisation needs to be mindful that people in these areas struggle to make ends meet and therefore have little spare capacity to engage with the Council. This makes it a challenging area to represent and support, and will require significant resourcing. These communities are effectively starting a race from behind the start line. • Ideally the board room will be as close to the centre of the ward as possible, with easy public transport access. • Suggest moving the North Parade boundary further east to keep Richmond in one ward.
40025	Tori Pedan and Tyrone Fields -Te Pātaka-o-Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula Community Board	• Strongly support retaining the Banks Peninsula Ward as set out in the staff recommendations. Banks Peninsula is an isolated community. • Support the Akaroa having two board members. • The population formulas do not fit with Banks Peninsula. • The Ward covers 70% of the Council district, 4 Runanga, two harbours, 90% of the Council's unsealed rural roads, 145 local parks, 116 Council

Representation Review Hearings 24 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		parks, 15 regional parks. This is a snapshot of the issues the Board deals with. We are united behind our support for a Banks Peninsula ward. • The remuneration for the Banks Peninsula Community Board is so minimal it puts people off standing for election. Banks Peninsula has the highest voter turnout in the district which suggests that engagement is not the problem. • The Board supports the current subdivisions. Due to the physical separation between the various communities on the Peninsula, it would be very challenging to provide effective representation without subdivisions.
39946	Mike Mora, Debbie Mora and Helen Broughton – Waipuna Halswell Hornby Riccarton Community Board	• Agree it is time to review the ward boundaries with the population changes. • Support the distribution of wards across community boards as proposed. • Kennedy's Bush strongly associate with Halswell, they don't associate with Cashmere. They don't want to be split up. • The Broken Run area on the south side of Wigram Road and the Awatea South area associate with Halswell, not Hornby. • Broomfield has a strong affinity with Hornby and should remain in the Hornby Ward. Support retaining the Riccarton Racecourse area in the Hornby Ward too. We see Yaldhurst Road as a sensible boundary. • The proposed Riccarton ward boundary is Waimairi Road, however there is a triangle between Peer Street, Waimairi Road and Riccarton Road which should be in Riccarton. To compensate there is an area from Carmen Road to Buchanans Road which has a natural affinity with Hornby and the area north of Racecourse Road should also stay in Hornby. • The two university campuses need to remain in one ward area. When dealing with issues to do with student accommodation and parking, having the whole university campus in one ward will allow for a more efficient interface between students, the university, local residents and

Representation Review Hearings 24 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		elected representatives. The Riccarton ward has traditionally played this role. • These suggested changes are unanimously supported by the Board.
39557	Bridget Williams – Waimaero Fendalton Waimariri Harewood Community Board	• The Broomfield area affiliates to the Hornby Ward so do not agree with moving that into this ward. • Splitting the two university campuses is concerning. • If these changes proceed, this will be the largest metropolitan board both in terms of population and geographical area. We believe this will need to be reflected in the Board's resourcing.
39530	Emma Norrish and Simon Britten – Waipapa Papanui Innes Community Board	• Commend the minimising of changes in this proposal. Understand need to provide equal sized community boards. • Concerned when boundary lines are moved to support some communities at the expense of others. • Request changes to the boundary between Papanui and Innes. There is a very clear natural boundary which should be used instead of dividing Mairehau between the two Wards. • Support keeping Richmond in one ward area. • Support St Albans being represented by two Community Boards instead of the current three. • We do see Bealey Ave as a more natural boundary for the Innes Ward but respect that the Innes Ward is large and this is a balancing act. • Support Richmond staying in one ward. Having it together in one ward is more important than which ward it is. • Concerned that bringing Phillipstown into the Central Ward will create a very geographically dispersed Board area.

Representation Review Hearings 24 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
40030	Karolin Potter – Waihoro Spreydon Cashmere Community Board	• Agree broadly with the proposal for one fewer community board and the metropolitan boards comprising of three wards. We have found it difficult to operate with only two wards. • We feel we should take Halswell instead of Heathcote. Our board area has minimal affiliation with Sumner and have little knowledge of the issues facing coastal communities so it would be challenging to provide effective representation. However we share many common interests with the Halswell community. • The Warren Crescent area of Hoon Hay affiliates with Hoon Hay. It has no connection with Riccarton and it would be illogical to include it in that Ward.
40035	Nick Clark - North Canterbury Federated Farmers, and Pam Richardson	• Support the retention of the Banks Peninsula Ward with the current subdivisions. • Concerned about the level of engagement with the rural communities. Feel this is partly because the population is so small and dispersed that it is treated as an afterthought. • Believe better pre-engagement would've led to a better outcome and less angst in the community. • Believe there are win-win solutions available to improve outcomes. Would like to see a rural advisory panel established such as what Auckland did when rural communities were absorbed into metropolitan representation arrangements. • Need to bolster the rural characteristics of the Peninsula and the Council needs to strengthen its relationship with the Banks Peninsula community. • Support the current subdivisions. Communities on the Peninsula have different needs so it makes sense to have their own representation. • The Council needs to get out there and be with our community. We feel that there is a lack of understanding of the rural community and how things work out there.

Representation Review Hearings 24 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		• The subdivisions work because each subdivision is different and difficult. Lyttelton has very different needs to Akaroa. All these spaces have different communities around them, it is a natural process of where people relate to, where they form their support hubs. • If Banks Peninsula was absorbed into one or two urban wards, our voice would be lost because our population is so small. The issues we face are so different from what urban board members deal with it is difficult to comprehend how they could understand what Banks Peninsula deals with. • Subdivisions are also important to ensure fair representation of isolated communities instead of the biggest population base hoarding all the elected officials. • We are happy to have Lyttelton in the Banks Peninsula Ward. While the urban area of Lyttelton has close links to the city, the issues faced by the surrounding areas are also faced by the rest of the Peninsula. • While Councillors are required to act in the best interests of the whole District, it is also in the best interest of the Council to have a voice who understands rural communities.
39985	Jeremy Agar	• Was a Councillor for the outgoing Banks Peninsula Council. • At the time of amalgamation, accepted that the Banks Peninsula Council was not a viable entity due to the small rating base. There was a sense back then that Christchurch had little interest in the Peninsula except as a recreation space. • Feel that there have been many benefits to the amalgamation and support retaining the current Banks Peninsula Ward. • One example; pest Free Banks Peninsula is an exciting project getting national recognition and would not have been able to happen without the current support arrangements. • Believe the current subdivision arrangement are fair.

Representation Review Hearings 24 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
40081	Peter Tuffley - Beckenham Neighbourhood Association	• Minor correction to original submission – number of councillors was 2 Councillors for each of 12 Wards. • Concerned about the philosophy that the Council should be like a corporate board with smaller membership. This severely discounts the role of Councillors in community advocacy and accountability. • Remain of the view that the Council was well served by a 24 Councillor system and believe that an eventual return to this system would enhance representation. However this would require another disruptive review process which is why we are not opposing the current proposal. • Concerned about giving Banks Peninsula more voting weight per population, this is undemocratic and could be balanced by having more Councillors for metropolitan Christchurch. • Comfortable with a return to something similar to the Spreydon-Heathcote Community Board from 2016. It worked fine back then, not worried that our voice would be lost.
40045	Chrissie Williams	• There are issues other than boundary issues which are not addressed in depth in the report which is disappointing. • Recommend amending proposal to have wards based on community board areas, with three Councillors elected at large across each board area but with subdivisions for community board elections. There is compelling evidence that this creates more diversity in elected officials. Accept that this system has flaws of its own but believe the benefits outweigh the disadvantages. • There has been a noticeable reduction in the percentage of Women on the Council since the change to single member Wards. • Suggest you engage with Dr Jean Drage who is a local government academic and has studied this issue.

Representation Review Hearings 24 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		• Concerned that the views of all Maori have not been considered and would encourage you to look at Maori Wards. In the meantime, consider allowing Mana Whenua seats on standing Committees. • Supports the STV voting system.
40073	Tracey Buunk	• Been a Community Developer in the Hornby area for over 20 years. • The Council is a very important part of what we do, including providing assistance to secure a building for us to use. • Want to change the boundaries so Hei Hei and Broomfield are in one Ward. Would mean we only need to apply to one Board for support, instead of two. Not opposed to getting to know a new ward but it would require spending resources and staff time that would take us away from helping the people who need us. • Worried about Broomfield getting lost, it isn't a very big area. Suggest moving the boundary to Buchanans Road and keeping Broomfield on the Hornby side.
40110	Dr Lynette Wills - Ilam and Upper Riccarton Residents' Association	• It makes no sense to split the University campuses. They belong together, all the parking issues etc. need to be managed by one Board and I believe it best fits with Riccarton. • The area from Peer St to Maidstone is a community of interest which looks towards the university. Yaldhurst Road is a natural boundary, people tend to stay on their side. I believe this area relates to the University Campus and needs to stay together with it. • This area was initially united by issues of air pollution from the Feltex Plant, University and Teachers College. Have also worked on concerns about student accommodation in the area and this contributed to the important alcohol free zone.

Representation Review Hearings 24 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		• It is one area and the local residents work well together to deal with local issues. To split it up would be to undo 25 years of community collaboration.
39504	Ann Taylor	• Live in the Belfast area. Concerned about lack of representation at the Council as there seems to be nobody representing rural issues. • Many rural regulations are not enforced, deemed unenforceable by Council staff. • Don't believe we are fairly represented by current arrangements. • Concerned about elected officials being increasingly reliant on recommendations from staff and not paying attention to the needs of the community. • Feel we are an afterthought, we don't relate to St Albans issues and this is difficult to gain effective representation when most of the voters are in the urban area. • Also don't feel represented by the Belfast Residents association.
40042	Megan Thompson and Bebe Frayle - Canterbury Women's Branch of the NZ Labour Party	• The primary consideration is effective representation of communities of interest. Are you an effective representation of your community? Women are 30% of the Council but 51% of the community. This points to a systemic problem. • Women are 55% of the Labour Party Caucus after a commitment to achieve a 50% target, so it can be done if there is a will to do so. • More needs to be done to represent other community groups such as gender diverse, LGBQT+, and ethnic groups. • This review is tinkering with the boundaries and is inadequate to deal with the bigger issues for representation which is already unbalanced. • Representation does fluctuate naturally so you need to look at patterns over time. • Don't have enough personal expertise to know how to fix this, know that the Council can't impose a quota like the Labour Party has done.

Representation Review Hearings 24 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		• The mechanisms of candidate selection, campaigning, voting are the systems which need to change.
39944	Viviana Zanetti - Phillipstown Community Centre Charitable Trust	• Support the Maori names and keeping the 16 Councillors with current voting systems. • Suggest that making the elections for community Board members use the STV system. • Do have concerns about the idea of Phillipstown being split. Support it being retained in one Ward. • Believe Phillipstown relates more to the Linwood Ward. Phillipstown look towards Linwood to access their services. Fitzgerald Ave is a natural boundary. • Don't see our community of interest extending north into the Innes/Papanui wards. This area has no relevance to us. • Would suggest a Linwood-Central-Fendalton Community Board. • Understand the logic of reorganising the Wards into equal sized Community Boards, but we relate to Linwood support services and community groups. Being put into the Central Ward would significantly change how we work. We have put a lot of time and resources into building relationships with Linwood groups and would have to do that all over again. • Would hope that we can still find ways collaborate with the Linwood teams and support networks if this change still goes ahead.
40024	Rosemary Neave	• Very supportive of including Maori names. • Anxious about the one Community Board in the East which is significantly more deprived than other areas and need to think about how to support that. • Want to see Iwi actively involved in governance, look at Maori wards in future. • Want an investigation into poor voter turnout.

Representation Review Hearings 24 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		• Want to have a poll on using STV at the next election. • There's no education about our local representation systems and an advantage of having an STV poll is that it would raise awareness.
39623	David East	• The pending coastal hazards chapter of the district plan is possibly the most significant issue facing the coastal communities. • Decisions made now might make have very big implications for our current generation of children when they grow into adults. • Support the reconfiguring of the Board areas to address challenges caused by the different sized Boards, but don't support adding Linwood to Coastal and Burwood. Would instead support bringing Burwood, the Coastal Ward, Heathcote, Redcliffs and Sumner together into one community board area. They are all facing similar problems of climate change and sea level rise. Also there is a long standing perception that the Redcliffs/Sumner shoreline has received a higher level of service from the Council than their neighbours across the Estuary so this might soothe that issue. • Would be open to a Coastal/Linwood/Heathcote Ward for similar reasons.
40019	Alexandra Davids – Waikura Linwood Central Heathcote Community Board	• Can understand the rationale for splitting up the Board area to create more evenly sized boards. However do not support the proposed allocations of Wards to Boards. • Feel that this proposal has been done to our community, not done with them. Don't feel that the proposal recognises communities of interest. • Concerns about putting the Linwood and Burwood wards together. • An alternative proposal is to put the Linwood, Coastal and Heathcote wards together. • The local community is concerned that their excellent relationship with the community board will be lost.

Representation Review Hearings 24 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		• Phillipstown are worried about being broken up; the community has put a lot of work into community development and it wouldn't be ideal to lose it from the Board area. • A forum to enable cross-boundary community relationships would be very helpful.
40101	Sylvia Lukey - Kennedys Bush Road Neighbourhood Association	• Our association covers the length of Kennedys Bush Road including the roads coming off it. • The residents of the Kennedys Bush hill section have always worked together to protect and enhance the natural environment. • We have also protected the quarry basin against being turned into a rubbish dump and tried to save reserve land from being sold for private development. • We are now confronted with a plan to divide our neighbourhood between Cashmere and Halswell. • We have constituted the definition of a continuous community for over 70 years so to say we are not a part of Halwell is a nonsense. • Connection to Halswell and the Quarry Park is part of our sense of identity. Halswell has always been our centre of interest. We are not a good fit with the Cashmere ward. • Fire risk and property values are not good identifiers for our community, that is not how we think.
40059	Paul O'Connor	• Concerned about the Kennedys Bush boundary change. The proposal will disenfranchise members of our community from the area that they relate to and forms part of their identity. • We have no affiliation with Cashmere. • The Community need common representation to deal with common interests.

Representation Review Hearings 24 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		• Concerned about how this proposal was communicated. We did a survey and found that nobody in the Kennedys Bush knew about this proposal.
40052	Paul Loughton	• Suggest moving the boundary to Hoon Hay Valley Rd and to the West in a straight line all the way to the Selwyn District Boundary.
40032	David Hawke - Halswell Residents' Association	• We are concerned about the unacceptably low voter turnout. Also find most people have no idea how Council works. We see the representation review as an opportunity to turn these issues around. • We do not think that it is fair for our ward Councillor to have to represent more than twice as many people to represent as the Banks Peninsula Councillor. • To us isolation has four components: Internet access, public transport, roading, mobile phone coverage. • Internet access – fibre is not universal but there are other technologies available to most areas such as satellite. There are people throughout the city unable to connect to the internet for a range of reasons so this form of isolation is not specific to the Peninsula. • Mobile phone coverage – accept there are blank spots but this is not specific to Banks Peninsula either and there are people who cannot afford a phone throughout the city. • We feel we have more in common with the Peninsula than with Addington. • In our experience, Community Boards struggle to get into the Community. There is too much focus on expecting the Community to come to the Board. • We feel that boards comprising two wards are more responsive and nimble, better able to respond to community needs. • We aren't saying that Halswell should combine with Banks Peninsula, but we feel that having Banks Peninsula separate creates unequal levels of

Representation Review Hearings 24 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		representation and that the justification for it being an isolated community is not as strong as it has been made to look. • We want to bring communities together so we can hear each other's viewpoints. We recently started meeting with groups from Addington and found it very eye opening.
40020	Alison Ross	• The 1989 local government review recommended putting most of Banks Peninsula into the Selwyn District with Lyttelton going to Christchurch City. The local people were unhappy and the local government commission listened, leaving Banks Peninsula as a separate entity. • Don't feel that the Christchurch City Council understands rural matters very well, you are not farmers. • Banks Peninsula is the only Ward that has to deal with issues like fragmented wastewater systems, and limited sources for potable water supplies. • Lyttelton relates mostly to the city, which is fine, but as you move towards the hills and into Akaroa most people don't think like that. There are issues there that I don't think the Council understands very well or deals with well. • Don't think that the amalgamation has worked well for us. Would suggest looking to the Selwyn District instead.
40037	Harry Stronach - Akaroa Ratepayers and Residents Association	• To get to Akaroa from central Christchurch, you drive through 60-70km's of rural countryside, and go through the Selwyn District. You don't arrive at a mini Christchurch, you arrive in a unique rural community. • The Peninsula is turning itself into a conservation zone. This seems to be well regarded by the city, but perhaps city people don't realise the effort involved. • If we were to suggest that the Council is systematically deconstructing local communities, most Council staff would think it is nonsense but if you asked the same question to someone in Akaroa they would say that ever

Representation Review Hearings 24 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		since the 2006 amalgamation the Council has been chipping away at the local identity of the Banks Peninsula community. • We want effective representation to input into the planning and decisions which effect how we live. We may have been critical of our representatives in the past but we know that our representatives work hard to protect our interests and we respect that. • We already have a strong community, we want the Council to accept that and to help us to keep it that way. Keep what we have and build on it. • What is different about our community which justifies a separate Ward? Our aspirations and ideas truly differ from the urban areas. This includes the rural nature and land management practices, and tourism is handled quite differently from how it is handled in a city. • Don't see it is fair for the Peninsula to have a lower pay for its Community Board. • What is the disadvantage of merging the Peninsula into one or two urban Wards? – We'd feel that our voice is completely drowned out by the mass of people in the city. While having the Peninsula separate might exacerbate some issues around the urban area not understanding the rural, this is outweighed by the sheer remoteness of the Peninsula and the importance of effective representation for those areas.

Representation Review Hearings 26 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
40002	Victoria Andrews and Mike Norris – Akaroa Civic Trust	• When Christchurch amalgamated with Banks Peninsula, two very different districts merged but without the City having an understanding of the challenges of living on the Peninsula. • The city has applied a one size fits all approach to services for the Peninsula. • Akaroa residents have challenged policies designed for the City which do not fit with the local Peninsula environment. • We are concerned that our representation has reduced since amalgamation and merging Banks Peninsula into an urban Ward would see this reduce again. • Christchurch residents don't have to contend with possums contaminating the water supply, or driving 75km to buy a pair of work shoes. The Peninsula is a truly isolated community. • Here is an example of current community representation: The decision to close the Akaroa service centre did not involve the local Community Board and the Board was not told that this proposal was in the LTP. Our voice would be further diluted if we were absorbed into an urban ward. • Instead of providing support to the Peninsula, the Council is proposing to increase funding for ChristchurchNZ to help with them find more employers for the urban city area and not the Peninsula. • Even internet facilities are limited, it is difficult to find a facility which is set up for Zoom to allow us to communicate over that technology with representatives in Christchurch. • These are all examples of why the Peninsula needs strong local representatives and not be absorbed into an urban ward. • We are concerned that the low remuneration for Community Board members is discouraging people from standing for election. • It is not that we are unhappy that to be in the Christchurch City Council District. But we are very concerned with the ominous tone in the last local government review which appeared to say that the governance

Representation Review Hearings 26 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		arrangements in Banks Peninsula are transitional. We want to support you in advocating to the Commission that Banks Peninsula is a very unique case. • Acknowledge the apparent contradiction between saying that the Council does not understand the Peninsula, and wanting to keep the current governance arrangements. The concern is the overall organisation does not understand the Peninsula which is why we need to continue having strong local representation. If we were absorbed into an urban ward, we would be concerned to lose the full focus of a dedicated Councillor. • We are philosophically opposed to centralisation. Believe ChristchurchNZ is not the right body for managing a destination management plan. • We need effective representation to be able to effectively deal with the unique issues we face, including the influx of tourism.
39782	Tony Simons - Riccarton Bush Kilmarnock Residents Association	• Request that the Riccarton Ward includes residents of Matai St West, regardless of what side of the street they live on. Those residents share a clear community of interest, evidenced by about 12% of our members coming from that one street (dispersed equally over both sides of the street). • The MCR has been a focus of discussion and that in itself is a unifying factor for residents of the street.
39833	Greg Partridge - Richmond Residents and Business Association	• We want all of Richmond to be in one Board area. We sometimes get lost between the two boards, as if each Community Governance Team thinks that the other is dealing with issues. • Agnostic about which Board we go in, most important is to be in one Board area.
40055	Marc Duff and Ross Goldstein – Greater Hornby Residents Association	• We support the one Councillor per ward system, not Councillors at large. We like having one Councillor to work with and have accountability with. It is a difficult enough job to represent an area in the current system, if we did a councillor at large system the job would be much harder due to the larger area.

Representation Review Hearings 26 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		• Concerned about losing Broomfield from the Hornby Ward. The two areas are family. If moved into the Harewood Ward, Broomfield would become a forgotten community. • Happy to have the area around Warren Park come into Hornby, the residents there have long said they associate with Hornby. • Wigram is another matter, the Wigram Skies development sees itself as Halswell but the established parts of Wigram identify with Hornby. • We support the Riccarton racecourse staying in Hornby. • We support Banks Peninsula. Don't discount the value of face to face interaction with your local representative. If anything, putting some of the city into Banks Peninsula would be better than bringing the Peninsula into an urban ward. • Does the remuneration of Board members fairly represent their increased workloads? • Support including Maori names and would like to see the English translation of the Maori names in the agendas as an educational tool. • We would not support the three wards being split up into another Community Board.
39942	Axel Downard-Wilke - Victoria Neighbourhood Association	• We were disappointed that the election system is not up for discussion. We would suggest using STV if the Councillors are elected at large across the Board area.
40062	Garth Wilson	• Use the term 'pocket borough' to describe Banks Peninsula because I believe it is over-represented on the Council. I believe their influence is unrepresentative. For example, my ward is three times the population but has the same number of members on the Council, which is effectively the parliament of Christchurch. • Some parliamentary electorates are very geographically large, significantly larger than urban electorates, but we still consider it important for them to have equal populations.

Representation Review Hearings 26 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		• I believe that this process should not be determined by elected Councillors. Parliamentary electorates are decided by totally independent decision-makers. Would encourage the Council to advocate for a similar system. • I believe that members elected at large across the ward is a better system, has historically led to less dysfunction. • To ensure each area still has a member, suggest putting the candidates for each area in separate columns on the voting paper and voters in the whole Board area vote for people from each column. Means the whole board is accountable to the whole ward area while also ensuring each area has a representative. • Accept that Councillors still need to be elected by individual wards to ensure fair representation.
39825	Aaron Campbell	• The recommendations do not go far enough trying to address issues of effective representation, and giving people greater democratic choice. • Support the submissions from Chrissie Williams, Rosemary Neave, and Rosemary Jenkins which said that Councillors should be elected at large across a board area using STV. • The current system has been shown to limit diversity in elected officials. • There is data to show that 32% of electors didn't vote despite being interested in doing so, because they didn't feel they had enough information to make an informed decision. • The Chief Executive has a statutory role to increase participation in Council elections. What KPI's have you set your Chief Executive?
40105	Finn Jackson	• Strongly support Heathcote joining the Waihoro Ward. • Makes sense to bring Opawa and Waltham into the same area as St Martins. They are a natural fit.

Representation Review Hearings 26 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		• Suggest moving the ward boundary to the railway line. The railway is a more natural boundary in this area; the two sides of Moorhouse Ave have more in common with each other than they do with the suburbs to the south. • Want more citizen engagement in drawing the boundaries. Would also like to see the workshops minuted and published on the Council website in line with the Ombudsman recommendations. • Support the STV system.
40098	Felicity Richards	• Recently joined the Ilam Upper-Riccarton residents association, and only learnt of the proposal two weeks ago. • Particularly concerned about the two university campuses being split. This will mean if there is an issue with the university there are going to be multiple community boards having to deal with the same problem. • Concerned about Ilam being split into three Wards, and Upper Riccarton into two. This does not seem logical; using the current Avonhead Road boundary to keep the university in one ward would be better.
40129	Emma Twaddell – St Albans Resident Association (SARA)	• SARA believes that the representation review does not comply with the requirements of the Act due to the inaccurate definition of the communities of interest. • Because communities of interest have not been properly recognised you are not representing communities of interest in Christchurch. • Even the name Saint Albans in not correct, it is St Albans as established by the geographical board in 2010. SARA wants that corrected in any documentation. • Several communities listed in the consultation sit within the identified boundaries of St Albans, diminishing our community identity. • St Albans has developed into an identified community providing its residents with a deep support network. • St Albans residents want their suburb recognised as a distinct suburb and community.

Representation Review Hearings 26 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
		• The Council has failed to recognised and represent our distinct community of interest. • Using meshblocks does not stand up to scrutiny when used for defining communities of interest. • We do not want to fight the system meant to support our lives, we want to feel part of it.
	Celeste Donovan	• The consultation did not ask how representation could be improved. • Want this to be less about boundaries, more about engagement in decision making. Local polling has indicated our residents feel that the local Community Board can do better at local engagement. • Common feedback heard from community members is the Council needs to update its communication techniques, and we need to modernise the structure of local government. • For example, live streaming community board meetings, be available outside normal work hours. • Support changing the voting system to STV, has been shown to lead to greater diversity. • Have seen evidence that communities adopting STV have been happy with how it is performing.
40108	Helen Broughton	• If you do need shift the Boundary due to the Matai St cycleway, would suggest using the river. • Riccarton has become lopsided, extending all the way from Deans Avenye to Hornby. Supports putting the Riccarton Racecourse area into Hornby and using this to allow the area around Peer Street and the university to stay in the Riccarton ward. • Suggests that you have over-estimated how much future growth to allow for in Halswell. Lowering that forecast would allow more of the university area to remain in the Riccarton Ward.

Representation Review Hearings 26 May 2021

Submission Number	Name/Organisation	Summary of Verbal Submission
40073	Rik Tindall – Cashmere Residents' Association	• Want to return to something similar to the ward system used prior to the previous representation review. • Concerned about the ideology that more Councillors means better representation. • Bigger wards require fewer boundaries, meaning fewer communities divided. Communities like to see that they are being recognised and not divided up. Giving communities that recognition has a wellbeing benefit. • Don't recall any issues in the past to do with communities being concerned about being divided between wards. This seems to be a new problem introduced by the previous representation review. • Need to review the remuneration system to recognise the work done by board members. • Would support moving to the STV system. Understand it can't be done now but support investigating it for later. • Support the coastal areas being put together in one community board.

Broomfield & Riccarton Racecourse

1. The current Yaldhurst Road boundary between the Hornby and Harewood and Waimairi wards is retained, keeping all of Broomfield in the Hornby ward. *(Submitter Request)*
2. The boundary between the Riccarton and Hornby wards is adjusted, moving to Racecourse and Epsom Road. *(Submitter Request)*
3. To enable these changes the boundary between the Halswell and Hornby wards shifts from the Southern Motorway to Halswell Junction Road, Wigram Road, Awatea Road, Awatea Gardens, Buckhurst Avenue & Lodestar Avenue. (*Submitter Request & Consequential)*

Kennedy's Bush

4. The current boundary between the Cashmere and Halswell boundaries along Worsleys road is retained, keeping the Kennedys Bush area in the Halswell ward. *(Submitter Request)*

Peer Street & the University

5. The boundary between the Riccarton and Waimairi wards is moved to Peer Street, Athol Terrace, Parkstone Avenue, Solway Avenue and Maidstone Road. This retains the entirety of the university campus within the Riccarton ward. *(Submitter Request)*
6. To enable the boundary revision around the university and peer street, boundary between the Riccarton and Fendalton wards shifts from Kotare Street to Clyde Road and Totara Street. *(Consequential)*

Hillmorton & Hoon Hay

7. The boundary between the Spreydon and Heathcote wards is moved to Antigua, Brougham and Strickland Streets, and that the small area bounded by Milton, Colombo and Strickland Streets is moved from the Heathcote to the Cashmere ward. *(Consequential)*
8. The current boundaries between the Halswell and Spreydon wards are retained in Hoon Hay (Hendersons Road) and Hillmorton (Heathcote River). *(Submitter Request)*

Rapaki

9. A small area to the east of Rapaki road moves from the Heathcote ward into the Cashmere ward so that both sides of Rapaki Road are in the same ward. The boundary between the two wards becomes Port Hills Road, and the edge of Montgomery Spur Reserve and Linda Woods Reserve. *(Submitter Request)*

Fendalton/Innes/Papanui Boundary

10. The boundary between the Fendalton and Innes wards moves from Rutland Street to Browns Road, St Albans Street and Bristol Street. *(Consequential)*
11. The boundary between the Fendalton and Papanui wards would move from Rutland Street to Bretts Road. These changes are required to accommodate other changes requested by submitters whilst keeping the wards within the +/-10% threshold. *(Consequential)*

Redwood Springs

12. The current boundary of the Papanui ward is retained, keeping Redwood Springs within the Papanui ward. *(Submitter Request)*
13. The area to the north of Innes bounded by Main North Road, Marshland Road and the Styx River is moved to the Harewood ward to help balance other changes and keep all wards within the +/-10% threshold. *(Consequential)*
14. The boundary between the Innes and Papanui wards moves to Philpotts Road instead of Innes and Hills Roads as in the initial proposal to help balance the population. *(Consequential)*

Charleston & Phillipstown

15. The Charleston area is moves into the Central ward to keep them with the Phillipstown and Inner City east communities. No further change is required to accommodate this. The boundary between the Central and Heathcote wards becomes Falsgrave Street, the railway line. *(Submitter Request)*
16. The boundary between the Central and Linwood wards moves to Ensors Road, Ferry Road, Randolph Street, Aldwins Road, and Linwood Avenue, retaining the Phillipstown community within a single ward. *(Submitter Request)*

Richmond

17. The Richmond community requested that they are contained within a single ward, preferably Central. To achieve this the area bounded by North Parade Banks Avenue, Fitzgerald Avenue, Whitmore Street, Hills Road and Shirley road moves into the Central ward. *(Submitter Request)*
18. To accommodate this and bring the population of each ward into the +/-10% threshold, the southern boundary of the Innes ward moves to Bealey Avenue. *(Consequential)*