

Waitai
Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board
Submissions Committee
MINUTES ATTACHMENTS

Date: Thursday 28 November 2024
Time: 2.02pm
Venue: Boardroom, Corner Beresford and Union Streets,
New Brighton

TABLE OF CONTENTS NGĀ IHIRANGI	PAGE
3. Draft Ōtautahi Christchurch Future Transport - Board Submission	
A. Ōtautahi Christchurch Future Transport Strategy - Board Submission.....	3
4. Draft Parks and Reserves Bylaw 2025 - Board Submission	
A. Draft Parks and Reserves Bylaw 2025 - Board Submission	5
5. Draft Trade Waste Bylaw 2025 - Board Submission	
A. Draft Trade Waste 2025 - Board Submission.....	6
6. Draft Dog Control Bylaw and Policy 2024 - Board Submission	
A. Draft Dog Control Bylaw and Policy 2024 - Board Submission	9

SUBMISSION TO: Christchurch City Council

ON: Ōtautahi Christchurch Future Transport Strategy

BY: Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board

CONTACT: Paul McMahon
Chairperson, Submissions Committee
C/- PO Box 73023
CHRISTCHURCH 8154
021 184 1072
paul.mcmahon@ccc.govt.nz

1. INTRODUCTION

The Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board appreciates the opportunity to make a submission to the Christchurch City Council on the Ōtautahi Christchurch Future Transport Strategy.

The Board wishes wish to be heard in support of this submission.

2. SUBMISSION

Overall, do you support Ōtautahi Christchurch Future Transport?
Yes

Do you support the draft vision for Ōtautahi Christchurch Future Transport?
Yes

Comments:

Whilst the Board supports the vision, we would like a reference in it to equity due to transport poverty in the east of Christchurch and lack of transport choice for the people we represent.

Accessible communities at the extremities of the Coastal Ward are an ongoing challenge that the Board wishes to ensure the Council considers in its decision making.

Do you agree with the strategic challenges identified in Ōtautahi Christchurch Future Transport?
Yes

Comments:

Inequality is also a strategic challenge and should be referred to. For instance, there are people in some parts of our Board Area who have no easy access to car, bus, nor a major cycle route, which compounds social isolation and access to services.

Do you agree with the goals prioritised in Ōtautahi Christchurch Future Transport?
Yes

Comments:

The Board notes that equitable access to the transport network should be included in the goals.

Is there anything else we need to know before deciding whether or not to approve these plans?
Just as above, there should be a focus on equitably addressing access to transport options.



Paul McMahon
Chairperson, Submissions Committee
WAITAI COASTAL-BURWOOD-LINWOOD COMMUNITY BOARD

28 November 2024

Trim: 24/2200839

SUBMISSION TO: Christchurch City Council

ON: Draft Parks and Reserves Bylaw 2024

BY: Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board

CONTACT: Paul McMahon
Chairperson, Submissions Committee
C/- PO Box 73023
CHRISTCHURCH 8154
021 184 1072
paul.mcmahon@ccc.govt.nz

1. INTRODUCTION

The Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board appreciates the opportunity to make a submission to the Christchurch City Council on the Draft Parks and Reserves Bylaw 2024.

The Board does not wish to be heard in support of this submission.

2. SUBMISSION

Do you have any comments about the proposed changes to the Parks and Reserves Bylaw?

Comments:

The Bylaw needs to be clear that mobility aids are exempt from restrictions, e.g. wheelchairs and knee-scooters.



Paul McMahon
Chairperson, Submissions Committee
WAITAI COASTAL-BURWOOD-LINWOOD COMMUNITY BOARD

28 November 2024

Trim: 24/2200807

SUBMISSION TO: Christchurch City Council

ON: Draft Trade Waste Bylaw 2025

BY: Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board

CONTACT: Paul McMahon
Chairperson, Submissions Committee
C/- PO Box 73023
CHRISTCHURCH 8154
021 184 1072
paul.mcmahon@ccc.govt.nz

1. INTRODUCTION

The Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board appreciates the opportunity to make a submission to the Christchurch City Council on the Draft Trade Waste Bylaw 2025.

The Board wishes to be heard in support of this submission.

2. SUBMISSION

Do you support the proposal to reduce the total suspended solids limit to 100g/m³ for discharge containing only inorganic mineral solids (the current total suspended solids limit of 600g/m³ for other discharges will remain).

Not Sure

Tell us why you feel this way.

The Board strongly supports the targets, however, urges consultation with small businesses about a realistic compliance period.

Do you support prohibiting POPs (including PFAS, perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctane acid (PFOA)) from any trade waste discharge?

Yes

Tell us why you feel this way.

The Board knows these are “forever chemicals”, many of which are identified carcinogens and endocrine disruptors.

For dewatering activities, do you support the proposed changes to clarify the need for a trade waste consent, in some circumstances?

Yes

Tell us why you feel this way.

No Comment

We propose the following changes to support application processing and decision making:

- Set out the way we may consider wider matters in deciding on consent applications.
- Remove the application processing times from the bylaw and communicate processing time targets in other ways.
- Include a new clause setting out the reasons we may decline an application.

Do you support this proposed changes?

Yes

Tell us why you feel this way.

This provides clarity and certainty.

Do you support introducing a maximum duration of up to 10 years for all permitted consents?

Yes

Tell us why you feel this way.

The Board believes that nobody should have discharge consents that last forever. As science and technology develop, the ability to eliminate or mitigate discharges should improve.

However, this will require additional staff resources and potentially will need staging.

We propose to improve regulation of tankered waste discharges by including the following:

- Require, as a possible condition of consent, that records are kept of any tankered waste deliveries accepted.
- Specify bylaw provisions that apply to tankered waste consents, for clarity.
- Add tankered waste as a separate category of discharge, to reflect how consents are categorised.
- Specify the types of waste that be accepted, and that we may refuse certain types of discharges.
- Require provisions of necessary connections.
- Require that Christchurch Wastewater Treatment Plant conditions of entry (e.g. wearing personal protective equipment) are adhered to.
- Provide for the recover of costs for any damages.
- Other minor wording changes in clause 17 and clause 5(1).

Do you support these proposed changes to improve regulation of tankered waste discharge?

Yes

Tell us why you feel this way.

No comment

Is there anything else you would like to comment on in the Draft Trade Waste Bylaw 2025?

Comments:

No Comment



Paul McMahon
Chairperson, Submissions Committee
WAITAI COASTAL-BURWOOD-LINWOOD COMMUNITY BOARD

28 November 2024

Trim: 24/2200828

SUBMISSION TO: Christchurch City Council

ON: Draft Dog Control Bylaw and Policy 2024

BY: Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board

CONTACT: Paul McMahon
Chairperson, Submissions Committee
C/- PO Box 73023
CHRISTCHURCH 8154
021 184 1072
paul.mcmahon@ccc.govt.nz

1. INTRODUCTION

The Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board appreciates the opportunity to make a submission to the Christchurch City Council on the Draft Dog Control Bylaw and Policy 2024.

The Board wishes to be heard in support of this submission.

2. SUBMISSION

Do you support the proposal to require dogs to be on a short leash on footpaths, shared paths and formed tracks in greenspace areas where dogs are allowed?
Yes.

Please tell us why you feel this way:

The Board mostly supports the proposal but notes that it needs to be made very clear that dogs are able to be off the leash under effective control when off the paths. The Board also feels that it is important to highlight the need for increased education/signage on what effective control means and when being under effective control is required.

The Board is not convinced that there should be a blanket rule regarding “formed tracks” on the flat, outside areas of ecological significance. Consistency is a desirable goal, but the removal of all those formed paths for free-running dogs is a significant loss for dogs and their owners.

Do you support the proposal to require dogs to be on a short leash on shared paths and pedestrian areas on or near roads?

Note: dogs are currently required to be leashed on roads and footpaths alongside roads.
Yes

Please tell us why you feel this way:

The Board mostly supports this proposal but there is not much room for error and it protects dogs.

The Board suggests that retractable leashes provided they are reducible to 1.5 metres be permissible within green spaces and on paths.

The Board also notes that the recommended 1.5 metre leash length is too restrictive for people and dogs of different sizes.

Do you support the proposal for the Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor?

We're proposing to regulate the Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor (former residential red zone) by prohibiting dogs in key areas to protect wildlife (river, wetland and planted areas), while continuing to allow dogs off leash and under effective control in over 200 hectares of mowed grass (noting that pathways would come under the general leashed rule).

Not sure

Please tell us why you feel this way:

The Board suggests the general leashed rule for formed pathways does not apply in the OARC outside wildlife areas.

The Board also suggests development of dog parks/spaces in the OARC.

Do you support the proposal for Te Ihutai Avon Heathcote Estuary?

We're proposing to prohibit dogs from Te Ihutai Avon Heathcote Estuary to protect wildlife (including threatened and at-risk migratory birds). This would apply from the landward boundary inwards – people could continue to walk dogs on land nearby, such as along the grassed western edge of Southshore Spit, or on paths like Te Ara Ihutai Christchurch Coastal Pathway. Dogs would not be allowed along the shoreline where birds nest, or in tidal areas where they feed.

Yes

Please tell us why you feel this way:

The Board notes that as this is an important ecological area, the Ihutai should be a place where bird life is prioritised.

Do you support the proposal to regulate stormwater basins? We're proposing to regulate stormwater basins, such as Te Kuru Wetland, by prohibiting dogs in some areas to protect wildlife (wetland and planted areas), while continuing to allow dogs to be off leash and under effective control in mowed grass areas (noting that pathways would come under the general leashed rule).

Not sure

Please tell us why you feel this way:

The Board notes that it depends on the basin, there may be somewhere dogs are not an issue.

Do you support the proposal to limit the number of dogs one person can be in control of in a public place?

We're proposing to limit the number of dogs one person can be in control of in a public place (to two when off-leash and four when leashed), with an exemption process for people with appropriate skills to apply to control a greater number of dogs.

Yes

Please tell us why you feel this way:

The Board notes that it takes a very skilled person to have a pack of dogs under effective control, and safety should be a priority.

The Board also notes that the exemption process should not be onerous on people who depend on walking dogs for their income or wellbeing.

Trim: 24/2200821

The review proposes a number of other changes. Do you have feedback on any other parts of the Dog Control Bylaw or Policy?

Please let us know which proposal or area your feedback is in relation to such as the park name.

Comments:

The Board requests clear signage at all entrances to Parks, reserves, beaches and forests regarding obligations of dog owners e.g. being under effective control and poo bags.

The Board also notes the need for visible and frequent enforcement.



Paul McMahon
Chairperson, Submissions Committee
WAITAI COASTAL-BURWOOD-LINWOOD COMMUNITY BOARD

28 November 2024

Trim: 24/2200821