

**Waipuna Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community
Board Information Session/Workshop
NOTES**

Date: Monday 25 November 2024
Time: 1 pm
Venue: Rārākau: Riccarton Centre,
199 Clarence Street, Christchurch

Present

Chairperson	Marie Pollisco
Members	Sarah Brunton Tyla Harrison-Hunt Mark Peters

Principal Advisor

Bailey Peterson
Manager Community Governance
Tel: 941 6743
bailey.peterson@ccc.govt.nz

Meeting Advisor

Faye Collins
Community Board Advisor
Tel: 941 5108
faye.collins@ccc.govt.nz

Website: www.ccc.govt.nz

Please Note:
This forum has no decision-making powers and is purely for information sharing.

The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

1. Apologies Ngā Whakapāha

There was an apology for absence received from Helen Broughton and an apology for early departure received from Tyla Harrison-Hunt.

Gamal Fouda, Henk Buunk, Andrei Moore and Debbie Mora were absent from the meeting and no apologies were received.

2. Submission consideration

Community Board Information Session/Workshop Notes

Board members considered the following submission opportunities:

- Draft Ōtautahi Christchurch Future Transport 30-year strategy
- Draft Dog Control Bylaw and Policy 2024
- Draft Parks and Reserves Bylaw 2025
- Draft Trade Waste Bylaw 2025.

and agreed to submit to each as follows:

Draft Ōtautahi Christchurch Future Transport 30-year strategy

Question: *Overall, do you support Ōtautahi Christchurch Future Transport?*

Response: **Yes**

Question: *Do you support the draft vision for Ōtautahi Christchurch Future Transport?*

Response: **Somewhat**

Comment: The Board considers that the second paragraph of the Vision Statement should include the words “efficiently” and “reliably” so that it reads:

“It shapes and connects Ōtautahi Christchurch and Te Pātaka-o-Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula and enables everyone to move around safely, easily, efficiently and reliably.”

Question: *Do you agree with the strategic challenges identified in Ōtautahi Christchurch Future Transport?*

Response: **Yes**

Comments:

- **The Board considers that it is important to recognise the greater challenge with the growth of Neighbouring Districts and Financial support from Central Government**
- **The Board considers that there is a need for recognition that that future infrastructure demands will result in changes to transport patterns and demands and that there needs to be an ability to act and adapt in a timely manner.**

Question: *Do you agree with the goals prioritised in Ōtautahi Christchurch Future Transport?*

Response: **Yes**

Question: *Is there anything else we need to know before deciding whether or not to approve these plans?*

Response: **The Board overall supports the strategy but considers that it needs to be flexible enough to accommodate the introduction of mass rapid transport within a short to medium term timeframe.**

Tyla Harrison Hunt left the workshop at 1.25 pm.

Draft Dog Control Bylaw and Policy 2024

Question: *Do you support the proposal to require dogs to be on a short leash on footpaths, shared paths and formed tracks in greenspace areas where dogs are allowed?*

Response: **Yes**

Question: *Do you support the proposal to require dogs to be on a short leash on shared paths and pedestrian areas on or near roads?*

Response: **Yes**

Question: *Do you support the proposal for the Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor?*

Response: **Yes**

Question: *Do you support the proposal for Te Ihutai Avon Heathcote Estuary?*

Response: **Yes**

Question: *Do you support the proposal to regulate stormwater basins?*

Response: **Yes**

Question: *Do you support the proposal to limit the number of dogs one person can be in control of in a public place?*

Response: **Yes**

Question: *Do you have feedback on any other parts of the Dog Control Bylaw or Policy?*

Response: **The Board considers that there needs to be education of dog owners, particularly new owners regarding the requirements of the Dog Control Bylaw especially around what constitutes effective control of dogs. The Board suggests that some form of literature that can be easily retained and referred to, be provided to dog owners as part of the dog registration process such as a pocket card.**

Draft Parks and Reserves Bylaw 2025

Question: *Do you have comments about the proposed changes to the parks and reserves bylaw?*

Response:

- **The Board notes that it is intended to update legislation, amend definitions (Aircraft, Authorised Officer, Vehicle, Park or Reserve) and add a new definition of Emergency Services. The Board considers that the definition of “Emergency Services” in Section 3 should include Civil Defence and Emergency Management so that the Section 18 exemption will extend to this group.**
- **The Board considers that in the Section 3 definition of “Park or Reserve” (c) the words should be prefaced by “for the purposes of this bylaw” so that it will read:**

(c) “for the purposes of this Bylaw does not include any such land, park, domain, foreshore or greenspace area which is subject to a lease that has been granted by the Council under the Local Government Act 2002 or the Reserves Act 1977.”

- Section 15 includes the riding of electric bicycles, scooters and electric scooters as prohibited activities in the Christchurch Botanic Gardens. The Board would like to see provision of an exemption for riding of scooters by those under five years.
- The Board appreciates the form of the consultation documents that make it easy to identify the changes by clearly showing additions and deletions.

Draft Trade Waste Bylaw 2025

Question: *Do you support the proposal to reduce the total suspended solids limit to 100g/m³ for discharges containing only inorganic mineral solids (the current total suspended solids limit of 600g/m³ for other discharges will remain).*

Response: **Yes**

Question: *Do you support prohibiting POPs (including PFAS, perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)) from any trade waste discharge?*

Response: **Yes**

Question: *For dewatering activities, do you support the proposed changes to clarify the need for a trade waste consent, in some circumstances?*

Response: **Yes**

Question: *Do you support these proposed changes to improve regulation of tankered waste discharges?*

Response: **Yes**

Question: *Do you support these proposed changes (application processing and consent expiries)*

Response: **Yes**

Question: *Do you support introducing a maximum duration of up to 10 years for all permitted consents?*

Response: **Yes**

Question: *Is there anything else you would like to comment on in the Draft Trade Waste bylaw 2025?*

Response: **The Board comments that it would have been more helpful to submitters for the consultation documents to have clearly shown the changes from the current to the proposed bylaw as in the recent consultation on the Draft Parks and Reserves Bylaw 2025.**

Workshop concluded at 2.02pm.