

Council Information Session/Workshop AGENDA

Notice of Information Session/Workshop:

A Council Information Session/Workshop will be held on:

Date: Tuesday 23 July 2024 Time: 9.30 am - 12.00 pm

Venue: Council Chambers, Civic Offices,

53 Hereford Street, Christchurch

Zoom Link:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/6439418430?omn=86418455067

Meeting ID: 643 941 8430

Membership

Chairperson Mayor Phil Mauger

Deputy Chairperson Deputy Mayor Pauline Cotter

Members Councillor Kelly Barber

> Councillor Melanie Coker Councillor Celeste Donovan Councillor Tyrone Fields Councillor James Gough Councillor Tyla Harrison-Hunt Councillor Victoria Henstock Councillor Yani Johanson Councillor Aaron Keown Councillor Sam MacDonald Councillor Jake McLellan Councillor Andrei Moore Councillor Mark Peters Councillor Tim Scandrett Councillor Sara Templeton

> > 19 July 2024

Principal Advisor

Mary Richardson Interim Chief Executive Tel: 941 8999

www.ccc.govt.nz

Please Note:

This forum has no decision-making powers and is purely for information sharing.



TAB	TABLE OF CONTENTS NGĀ IHIRANGI		
1.	Apologies Ngā Whakapāha 4		
INFO	PRMATION SESSION/WORKSHOP ITEMS		
	am-9.30am cillors' informal		
9.30a Break	am-9.45am <		
2.	Coal Tar 5		
	9.45am-10.15am		
	Presenters: Jacob Bradbury - Manager Planning & Delivery TransportMark Tipper - Senior Environmental Advisor, Jeanine Keller - Senior Environmental & Resource Planner and Dave Little - Manager Residential Red Zone		
3.	Public Consultation for Cranford Street between Innes Road and Berwick Street 7		
	10.15am-10.45am		
	Presenters: Jacob Bradbury - Manager Planning and Delivery Transport and David Sun - Transport Project Manager		
10.45	5am-11.00am		
Break	<		
4.	Antigua Street - Design and Options to Proceed9		
	11.00am-11.30am		
	Presenters: Jacob Bradbury - Manager Planning and Delivery Transport, and Ann Tomlinson - Project Manager Transport		
5.	Implementation of Greater Christchurch Partnership - Joint Housing Action Plan Action 713		
	11.30am-12.00pm		
	Presenter: Bruce Rendall - Head of City Growth and Property		
12.00	0pm-1.00pm		

Lunch



1.00pm-2.00pm

Drop In session – <u>Elected Members Lounge</u>

This is to discuss Plan Change 14 and preparing for decision making on Panel recommendations. Brent Pizzey and City Planning staff will be available to interested councillors.



1. Apologies Ngā Whakapāha

An apology for absence was recieved from Councillor MacDonald.



2. Coal Tar

Reference Te Tohutoro: 24/970976

Jacob Bradbury, Manager Planning & Delivery Transport

Presenter(s) Te Kaipāhō:

Mark Tipper, Senior Environmental Advisor

Jeanine Keller, Senior Environmental & Resource Planner

Dave Little, Manager Residential Red Zone

1. Detail Te Whakamahuki

	The purpose of this session is to give Elected Members an understanding of Coal Tar, and how it affects Council's work		
Purpose and Origin	This Information Session is in response to an action from the Finance and Performance Committee on 18 April 2024:		
	Staff to provide information to elected members on how coal tar is dealt with when encountered in projects, possibly through an information session		
Timing	This information session is expected to last for 30 minutes.		
Confidentiality	The session and any shared information are not confidential.		
Outcome Sought	This session is to give Elected Members an understanding of Coal Tar, the implications of it on Council's Capital programme, and ways that staff manage the risks.		
	This is for information only.		
ELT Consideration	This is a result of a direct request from Elected Members and is for information only, so has not currently been considered by ELT.		
Next Steps	This is for information only, so no further steps are planned.		
	The aim of the session will be to cover the following points:		
	 Coal Tar: what is it, where did it come from, why is it there, what are its defining features? 		
	What are the risks to human health and the environment, and what are Council's legal responsibilities?		
Key points /	Case studies:		
Background	 3 Waters example, to cover pre-construction testing 		
	 Transport example, to cover sampling technique and disposal management 		
	 OARC example, to cover other ways to manage the risk, and potential opportunities 		
	Question and Answer session.		

Item No.: 2 Page 5



Useful Links	
OSCIUL LIIIKS	

Attachments Ngā Tāpirihanga

There are no attachments to this coversheet.

Signatories Ngā Kaiwaitohu

Author	Jacob Bradbury - Manager Planning & Delivery Transport
Approved By	Lynette Ellis - Head of Transport & Waste Management
	Brent Smith - Acting General Manager City Infrastructure

Item No.: 2 Page 6



3. Public Consultation for Cranford Street between Innes Road and Berwick Street

Reference Te Tohutoro: 24/834987

Presenter(s) Te Kaipāhō:

Jacob Bradbury, Manager Planning and Delivery Transport

David Sun, Transport Project Manager

1. Detail Te Whakamahuki

Purpose and Origin	This information session aims to provide information on the proposed options for Cranford Street between Innes Road and Berwick Street before the public consultation. The session will cover: Background information Options investigated Options for public consultation Consultation arrangements	
	Post-consultation decision-making process	
Timing	This information session is expected to last for 30 minutes.	
Confidentiality	The session and any shared information are not confidential.	
Outcome Sought	For information only – the Community Board is the delegated decision-making body for DEMP-related issues. However, the options for consultation include elements that require Council delegation to be installed (ie Special Vehicle Lanes). The intent of this session is therefore to ensure that Council are aware of the options and consultation strategy, so they are in a strong position to decide on these	
ELT Consideration	Has not been formally discussed with ELT. However, the relevant General Manager is aware of the consultation strategy and decision-making process.	
Next Steps	 Public consultation from 22 July to 19 August. Post consultation briefing to the Community board on 26 September Post consultation briefing to the Council on 1 October Recommodation to Board in November Board recommendation to the Council in December 2024 	
Key points / Background	Background The Christchurch Northern Corridor (CNC) opened in late 2020. It has also resulted increased traffic on Cranford Street.	

Item No.: 3 Page 7



	Cranford Street between Innes Road and Berwick Street is a two-lane, two-way corridor. Over the past three years, peak-hour bus lanes have been trialed on this section of Cranford Street to address the challenging downstream impacts of increased traffic from the motorway.	
	Five options have been investigated for the final solution, it is proposed that three options will be publicly consulted in July 2024.	
	Key Issues	
	The consent requires that Council consider traffic effects along the Cranford Street corridor and identified local streets as a result of the opening of the Northern Motorway.	
	Attempts have been made to balance these obligations for traffic efficiency against local views on safety, travel choice, and other priorities.	
	This consultation aims to reach local residents, but also consider the views of commuters from further afield.	
	Approval process and requirements.	
Useful Links		

Attachments Ngā Tāpirihanga

There are no attachments to this coversheet.

Signatories Ngā Kaiwaitohu

Author	David Sun - Project Manager
Approved By	Jacob Bradbury - Manager Planning & Delivery Transport
	Lynette Ellis - Head of Transport & Waste Management
	Brent Smith - Acting General Manager City Infrastructure

Item No.: 3 Page 8



4. Antigua Street - Design and Options to Proceed

Reference Te Tohutoro: 24/856401

Presenter(s) Te Kaipāhō:

Jacob Bradbury, Manager Planning and Delivery Transport

Ann Tomlinson, Project Manager Transport

1. Detail Te Whakamahuki

Purpose and Origin of the Workshop	 Explain issues around current design for Antigua Street Offer potential options for exploration 			
Timing	This workshop is expected to last for 30 minutes.			
Confidentiality	The workshop and any shared information are not confidential.			
Outcome Sought	Direction from Council about whether they believe there would be value in staff looking at alternatives to the approved design.			
Jought	If Council wishes the design to be re-evaluated, then direction over what elements are key to the design.			
ELT	Held on 4 th June 2024.			
Consideration	ELT requested "that on-budget options be included in the presentation and reporting t Council".			
	This information session is not currently timed to coincide with a paper to Council. However, the outcomes from the discussion will determine the type and timing of any future report to Council/F&P.			
	The most likely outcomes are that staff will either:			
Next Steps	a) Produce a report to Council/Finance & Performance Committee to request additional funding, which will be approved as part of the FY26 Annual Plan			
	b) Develop outline alternative designs, which will be presented to Council as part of a formal report. This will include key considerations relating to the designs (eg. high-level costs and timing, impacts on consultation, etc)			
	Background:			
	The approved design for Antigua Street between St Asaph and Moorhouse has not yet moved to construction, due to delays with the construction of Parakiore.			
Key points / Background	The current approved design is in line with Streets and Spaces, where it is tasked with "provid[ing] a fitting and attractive address for [Parakiore]"			
	The design, and likely outturn cost, generated a level of concern when approved. The costs are anticipated to have increased further.			
	Based on the draft GPS, staff believe it is unlikely that this project will attract subsidy through the NLTF in the current Triennium			

Item No.: 4 Page 9



 This is a key link into the city for both vehicles and cyclists, and has high numbers of both. Cycle numbers here have grown around 10% in the past year, and vehicle numbers are expected to increase when Parakiore opens.

Key discussion points:

Based on learnings from other projects staff believe there could be alternative ways to construct this section of road and cycleway. However, these would require compromises, so staff would like to understand:

- Do Council have any appetite to re-visit the design, given the likely cost and time impact?
- If so, what elements of the design are able to be changed, and what are considered to be non-negotiables? Options include:
 - Road space
 - Separated cycleways
 - On-street parking
 - Drainage
- Would Council be open to expanding the scope slightly to investigate known Public Transport delays at the St Asaph/Antigua intersection?
- Is Council open to using different materials?
 - Permanent (similar to MCRs)
 - Semi-permanent (similar to Wellington transitional cycleways)
 - Temporary (similar to Park Terrace/Gloucester Street)

Staff specimen design:

Based on feedback from ELT, staff have developed a high-level concept and price, to demonstrate that it is possible to deliver an effective project within the budget. The key design elements of this are:

- · Priority to moving vehicles and cyclists along the corridor
- Remain within original road boundaries by reducing parking and greenery within the corridor
- Use of semi-permanent materials to separate cycleways
- Maintain drainage level of service
- No substation relocation
- Any planting will be installed behind the footpath

Useful Links

- Consultation webpage: https://ccc.govt.nz/the-council/community-consultation-archive/show/487

Item No.: 4 Page 10



Recommendations from Hearings Panel (Council Agenda 12 May 2022):
 https://christchurch.infocouncil.biz/Open/2022/05/CNCL_20220512_AGN_7424_AT.P_DF#page=389

 Minutes of Council Decision (12 May 2022):
 https://christchurch.infocouncil.biz/Open/2022/05/CNCL_20220512_MIN_7424_AT.P_DF#page=11

Attachments Ngā Tāpirihanga

There are no attachments to this coversheet.

Signatories Ngā Kaiwaitohu

Author	Jacob Bradbury - Manager Planning & Delivery Transport
Approved By	Lynette Ellis - Head of Transport & Waste Management
	Brent Smith - Acting General Manager City Infrastructure

Item No.: 4 Page 11



5. Implementation of Greater Christchurch Partnership - Joint Housing Action Plan Action 7

Reference Te Tohutoro: 24/1087904

Presenter(s) Te Kaipāhō: Bruce Rendall, Head of City Growth and Property

1. Detail Te Whakamahuki

Purpose and Origin of the Workshop	 The Greater Christchurch Partnership's Joint Housing Action Plan (JHAP) includes an action to "investigate expanding the Ōtautahi Community Housing Trust model". In this workshop officers will present the preferred option for delivering this action and seek guidance from Councillors on the Council's response to the consequences of expanding the model. This workshop is staff originated in response to the ongoing implementation of the JHAP. 		
Timing	This workshop is expected to last for 30 minutes.		
Confidentiality	The workshop and any shared information are not confidential.		
Outcome Sought	Officers are looking to inform Councillors about progress and the preferred option to implement the JHAP action. If successful there are consequential impacts that will require guidance from Councillors. Following the workshop, staff prepare a formal report on this matter for Council's consideration.		
ELT Consideration	The CE has approved this matter proceeding to a workshop. No ELT consideration of the matter is planned		
Next Steps	A report to Council on the 21 August 2024.		
Key points / Background	 There is interest from the Selwyn and Waimakariri District Council's in having the Ōtautahi Community Housing Trust (OCHT) developing and operating community housing in the areas. 		

Item No.: 5 Page 13



OCHT is interested in doing this, but its Trust agreement restricts it to only operating within Christchurch and Banks Peninsula.
Changing the relevant section of the Trust Deed is not straight forward. There are five options, three of which are not feasible. The not feasible options are:
 Take the matter to the High Court – this is an expensive and time-consuming option and not guaranteed of success; Ask a Member of Parliament to submit a Private Members Bill – this process is subject to luck, as bills are selected by ballot; or Set up a sister trust that operates in the other areas – this is expensive due to the need to have duplicate rules, governors and reporting arrangements.
There are two feasible options, which are variations of a similar approach. The feasible options are:
 A Local Bill, which is submitted by the Council's supporting the legislation; or A Private Bill, which can be submitted by an individual or organisation supporting the legislation
The initial view is that the Private Bill is preferred.
Any change will not impact on tenants, Council's portfolio or OCHT's financial viability because of other, non-affected, protections.
Joint Housing Action Plan : Greater Christchurch
Introducing Private and Local Bills - New Zealand Parliament (www.parliament.nz).

Attachments Ngā Tāpirihanga

There are no attachments to this coversheet.

Signatories Ngā Kaiwaitohu

Author	Bruce Rendall - Head of City Growth & Property
Approved By	Brent Smith - Acting General Manager City Infrastructure

Item No.: 5 Page 14