



---

## Christchurch City Council AGENDA

---

### Notice of Meeting:

An ordinary meeting of the Christchurch City Council will be held on:

**Date:** Wednesday 19 June 2024  
**Time:** 9.30 am  
**Venue:** Council Chambers, Civic Offices,  
53 Hereford Street, Christchurch

---

### Membership

Chairperson	Mayor Phil Mauger
Deputy Chairperson	Deputy Mayor Pauline Cotter
Members	Councillor Kelly Barber
	Councillor Melanie Coker
	Councillor Celeste Donovan
	Councillor Tyrone Fields
	Councillor James Gough
	Councillor Tyla Harrison-Hunt
	Councillor Victoria Henstock
	Councillor Yani Johanson
	Councillor Aaron Keown
	Councillor Sam MacDonald
	Councillor Jake McLellan
	Councillor Andrei Moore
	Councillor Mark Peters
	Councillor Tim Scandrett
	Councillor Sara Templeton

---

**13 June 2024**

### Principal Advisor

Mary Richardson  
Interim Chief Executive  
Tel: 941 8999

Katie Matheis  
Democratic Services Advisor  
941 5643

[Katie.Matheis@ccc.govt.nz](mailto:Katie.Matheis@ccc.govt.nz)

Note: The reports contained within this agenda are for consideration and should not be construed as Council policy unless and until adopted. If you require further information relating to any reports, please contact the person named on the report.

**To watch the meeting live, or a recording after the meeting date, go to:**

<http://councillive.ccc.govt.nz/live-stream>

**To view copies of Agendas and Minutes, go to:**

<https://www.ccc.govt.nz/the-council/meetings-agendas-and-minutes/>  
[www.ccc.govt.nz](http://www.ccc.govt.nz)



# What is important to us?

Our Strategic Framework is a big picture view of what the Council is aiming to achieve for our community

## Our focus this Council term 2022–2025

### Strategic Priorities



Be an inclusive and equitable city which puts people at the centre of developing our city and district, prioritising wellbeing, accessibility and connection.



Champion Ōtautahi-Christchurch and collaborate to build our role as a leading New Zealand city.



Build trust and confidence in the Council through meaningful partnerships and communication, listening to and working with residents.

Adopted by the Council on 5 April 2023



Reduce emissions as a Council and as a city, and invest in adaptation and resilience, leading a city-wide response to climate change while protecting our indigenous biodiversity, water bodies and tree canopy.



Manage ratepayers' money wisely, delivering quality core services to the whole community and addressing the issues that are important to our residents.



Actively balance the needs of today's residents with the needs of future generations, with the aim of leaving no one behind.

## Our goals for this Long Term Plan 2024–2034

### Draft Community Outcomes



#### Collaborative and confident

Our residents have the opportunity to actively participate in community and city life, have a strong sense of belonging and identity, and feel safe.



#### Green and liveable

Our neighbourhoods and communities are accessible and well connected, supporting our goals to reduce emissions, build climate resilience and protect and regenerate the environment, especially our biodiversity, water bodies and tree canopy.

To be adopted by the Council as part of the Long Term Plan 2024–2034



#### A cultural powerhouse

Our diverse communities are supported to understand and protect their heritage, pursue their arts, cultural and sporting interests, and contribute to making our city a creative, cultural and events 'powerhouse'.



#### Thriving and prosperous

Our city is a great place for people, business and investment where we can all grow our potential, where enterprises are innovative and smart, and where together we raise productivity and reduce emissions.

## Our intergenerational vision

A place of opportunity for all.

Open to new ideas, new people,  
new investment and new ways  
of doing things – a place where  
anything is possible.



Ngāi Tahu has rangatiratanga over its takiwā – the Council is committed to partnering with Ngāi Tahu to achieve meaningful outcomes that benefit the whole community

## TABLE OF CONTENTS NGĀ IHIRANGI

Karakia Tīmatanga .....	4
1. Apologies Ngā Whakapāha .....	4
2. Declarations of Interest Ngā Whakapuaki Aronga .....	4
3. Public Participation Te Huinga Tūmatanui .....	4
3.1 Public Forum Te Huinga Whānui .....	4
3.2 Deputations by Appointment Ngā Huinga Whakaritenga .....	4
4. Presentation of Petitions Ngā Pākikitanga .....	5

## COMMITTEE MINUTES REPORTS

5. Central City Parking Restrictions Committee Minutes - 1 March 2024 .....	7
---	---

## COUNCIL

6. Council Minutes - 15 May 2024 .....	17
--	----

## STAFF REPORTS

7. Ōtākaro-Avon Stormwater Management Plan .....	37
8. New Zealand Local Government Association Inc: payment of annual membership subscription .....	45
9. Heritage Incentive Grant Applications .....	53
10. Staff Submission: Local Government (Water Services Preliminary Arrangements) Bill .....	71
11. Three Waters Activities Report - January, February and March 2024 .....	79

## GOVERNANCE ITEMS

12. Notice of Motion - Infrastructure Delivery Working Group .....	91
13. Resolution to Exclude the Public .....	93

Karakia Whakamutunga

## Karakia Tīmatanga

Whakataka te hau ki te uru

Whakataka te hau ki te tonga

Kia mākinakina ki uta

Kia mātaratara ki tai

E hī ake ana te atakura

He tio, he huka, he hau hū

Tihei mauri ora

### 1. Apologies Ngā Whakapāha

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

### 2. Declarations of Interest Ngā Whakapuaki Aronga

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant and to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as an elected representative and any private or other external interest they might have.

### 3. Public Participation Te Huinga Tūmatanui

#### 3.1 Public Forum Te Huinga Whānui

A period of up to 30 minutes is available for people to speak for up to five minutes on any issue that is not the subject of a separate hearings process.

There were no public forum requests received at the time the agenda was prepared.

#### 3.2 Deputations by Appointment Ngā Huinga Whakaritenga

Deputations may be heard on a matter or matters covered by a report on this agenda and approved by the Chairperson.

##### 3.2.1 Christchurch International Airport Limited

Jesse Aimer, Senior Environment and Planning Advisor, will speak on behalf of Christchurch International Airport Limited regarding Item 7 – the Ōtākaro-Avon Stormwater Management Plan.

##### 3.2.2 Avon Ōtākaro Network Inc

Hayley Guglietta, Network Manager, will speak on behalf of Avon Ōtākaro Network Inc. regarding Item 7 – the Ōtākaro-Avon Stormwater Management Plan.

##### 3.2.3 Sustainable Ōtautahi Christchurch

Colleen Philip will speak on behalf of Sustainable Ōtautahi Christchurch regarding Item 7 – the Ōtākaro-Avon Stormwater Management Plan.

##### 3.2.4 Don Gould

Don Gould will speak regarding Item 7 – the Ōtākaro-Avon Stormwater Management Plan.



---

#### **4. Presentation of Petitions Ngā Pākikitanga**

There were no Presentation of Petitions at the time the agenda was prepared.



## 5. Central City Parking Restrictions Committee Minutes - 1 March 2024

Reference Te Tohutoro: 24/891116  
Responsible Officer(s) Te Pou Matua: Simone Gordon, Democratic Services Advisor  
Accountable ELT Member Pouwhakarae: Helen White, General Counsel / Head of Legal & Democratic Services

### 1. Purpose of Report Te Pūtake Pūrongo

The Central City Parking Restrictions Committee held a meeting on 1 March 2024 and is circulating the Minutes recorded to the Council for its information.

### 2. Recommendation Te Tūtohu Council

That the Council receives the Minutes from the Central City Parking Restrictions Committee meeting held 1 March 2024.

### Attachments Ngā Tāpirihanga

No.	Title	Reference	Page
<a href="#">A</a> 	Minutes Central City Parking Restrictions Committee - 1 March 2024	24/308574	8

### Signatories Ngā Kaiwaitohu

Author	Simone Gordon - Democratic Services Advisor
--------	---

## Central City Parking Restrictions Committee OPEN MINUTES

Item 5

Attachment A

**Date:** Friday 1 March 2024  
**Time:** 9.37 am  
**Venue:** Committee Room 2, Level 2, Civic Offices,  
53 Hereford Street, Christchurch

**Present**  
Chairperson Deputy Mayor Pauline Cotter  
Members Lynette Ellis  
Stephen Wright

**Acting Principal Advisor**  
Lachlan Beban  
Principal Advisor Transportation  
Signals  
Tel: 941 6890

Simone Gordon  
Democratic Services Advisor  
941 6527  
simone.gordon@ccc.govt.nz  
[www.ccc.govt.nz](http://www.ccc.govt.nz)

- Part A**      **Matters Requiring a Council Decision**  
**Part B**      **Reports for Information**  
**Part C**      **Decisions Under Delegation**
- 

The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

**1. Apologies Ngā Whakapāha**

**Part C**  
**Committee Resolved CCPRC/2024/00001**

That the apologies received from Jake McLellan be accepted.

Deputy Mayor/Member Ellis

**Carried**

**Secretarial note:** In the Chair's absence, Deputy Mayor Pauline Cotter assumed position of the Chair with agreement from Members Ellis and Wright.

**2. Declarations of Interest Ngā Whakapuaki Aronga**

**Part B**  
There were no declarations of interest recorded.

**3. Confirmation of Previous Minutes Te Whakaāe o te hui o mua**

**Part C**  
**Committee Resolved CCPRC/2024/00003**

That the minutes of the Central City Parking Restrictions Committee meeting held on Monday, 27 November 2023 be confirmed.

Member Wright/Deputy Mayor

**Carried**

**4. Deputations by Appointment Ngā Huinga Whakaritenga**

**Part B**  
There were no deputations by appointment.

## 5. Worcester Street - Cambridge Terrace to Montreal Street Parking & Stopping Restrictions

**Committee Resolved CCPRC/2024/00004**

**Officer Recommendations accepted without change**

### Part C

That the Central City Parking Restrictions Committee:

1. Approves that all previously resolved parking and stopping restrictions on the north and south sides of Worcester Street, commencing at its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction to its intersection with Montreal Street, be revoked.
2. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the stopping of all vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south side of Worcester Street, commencing at its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction to its intersection with Montreal Street, as detailed on Attachment A.
3. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the stopping of all vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 15 metres, as detailed on Attachment A.
4. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the parking of all vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 120 minutes and be controlled by Parking Meters (including Pay by Plate machines or any approved means of payment), on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 15 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of nine metres, as detailed on Attachment A. This restriction is to apply Monday to Sunday 9:00am to 6:00pm.
5. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the stopping of all vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 24 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of seven metres, as detailed on Attachment A.
6. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the parking of all vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 120 minutes and be controlled by Parking Meters (including Pay by Plate machines or any approved means of payment), on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 31 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 16 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. This restriction is to apply Monday to Sunday 9:00am to 6:00pm.
7. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the stopping of all vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 47 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of two metres, as detailed on Attachment A.

8. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the parking of all vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 120 minutes and be reserved for vehicles with an approved disabled person's parking permit, prominently displayed in the vehicle, on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 49 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of nine metres, as detailed on Attachment A. This parking restriction is to apply at any time.
9. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the stopping of all vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 58 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of four metres, as detailed on Attachment A.
10. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the parking of all vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 120 minutes and be reserved for vehicles with an approved disabled person's parking permit, prominently displayed in the vehicle, on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 62 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of five metres, as detailed on Attachment A. This parking restriction is to apply at any time.
11. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the stopping of all vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 67 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of two metres, as detailed on Attachment A.
12. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that parking be restricted to Motorcycles only, on the north side of Colombo Street, commencing at a point 69 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of seven metres, as detailed on Attachment A. This restriction is to apply at any time.
13. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the stopping of all vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 76 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of eight metres, as detailed on Attachment A.
14. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the parking of all vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 120 minutes and be controlled by Parking Meters (including Pay by Plate machines or any approved means of payment), on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 84 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of seven metres, as detailed on Attachment A. This restriction is to apply Monday to Sunday 9:00am to 6:00pm.
15. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the stopping of all vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 91 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of two metres, as detailed on Attachment A.
16. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the parking of all vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 120

minutes and be controlled by Parking Meters (including Pay by Plate machines or any approved means of payment), on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 93 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 12 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. This restriction is to apply Monday to Sunday 9:00am to 6:00pm.

17. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the parking of all vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of five minutes on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 105 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 12 metres, as detailed on Attachment A This restriction is to apply at any time.
18. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the stopping of all vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 117 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of two metres, as detailed on Attachment A.
19. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the parking of all vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of five minutes on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 119 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 10 metres, as detailed on Attachment A This restriction is to apply at any time.
20. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that parking be restricted to Motorcycles only, on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 129 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 11 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. This restriction is to apply at any time.
21. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the stopping of all vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Worcester Street, commencing at a point 140 metres west of its intersection with Cambridge Terrace and extending in a westerly direction to its intersection with Montreal Street, as detailed on Attachment A.
22. Approves that these resolutions take effect when parking signage and/or road markings that evidence the restrictions described in the staff report are in place (or removed in the case of revocations).

Deputy Mayor/Member Wright

**Carried**

**Secretarial note:** The Committee requested that staff look into the original loading zone design to see what can be done for formalising loading zones.

## **6. Bus Stop 53214 and Colombo Street Parking Changes**

**Committee Resolved CCPRC/2024/00005**

**Officer Recommendations accepted without change**

**Part C**



That the Central City Parking Restrictions Committee:

*Lichfield Street*

1. Approves that any previously approved resolutions be revoked , in accordance with Clause 6 (2) of the Christchurch City Council Traffic & Parking Bylaw 2017, on the south side of Lichfield Street from its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 49.5 metres, pertaining to parking and/or stopping restrictions, made pursuant to any Bylaw, Local Government Act, or any Land Transport Rule, to the extent that they are in conflict with the parking and /or stopping restrictions described in recommendation 2 below.
2. Approves, pursuant to Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017 and as shown on Attachment A (Drawing: TG146874, Issue 1: 29/01/2024):
  - a. That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at all times on the south side of Lichfield Street from its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 32.5 metres.
  - b. That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited on the south side of Lichfield Street, commencing at a point 32.5 metres east of its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 17 metres. The clearway is to apply 6:00am to 11:00pm, Monday to Sunday.
  - c. That a Bus Stop be installed, on the south side of Lichfield Street, commencing at a point 32.5 metres east of its intersection with Colombo Street and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 17 metres. This restriction is to apply 11:00pm to 6:00am the following day, Monday to Sunday.

*Colombo Street*

3. Approves that any previously approved resolutions be revoked , in accordance with Clause 6 (2) of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, on the west side of Colombo Street from its intersection with Lichfield Street and extending in a northerly direction to its intersection with Cashel Street, pertaining to parking and /or stopping restrictions, made pursuant to any Bylaw, Local Government Act, or any Land Transport Rule, to the extent that they are in conflict with the parking and /or stopping restrictions described in recommendations 4 to 8 below.
4. Approves, pursuant to Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017 and as shown on Attachment A that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at all times on the west side of Colombo Street from its intersection with Lichfield Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 29 metres.
5. Approves, pursuant to Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017 and in accordance with Section 12.4 (8) of the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004, the west side of Colombo Street, commencing at a point 29 metres north of its intersection with Lichfield Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 17.5 metres is reserved for Small Passenger Service Vehicles Only, as detailed on Attachment A.
6. Approves, pursuant to Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017 and as shown on Attachment A that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at all times, on the west side of Colombo Street, commencing at a point 46.5 metres north of its intersection with Lichfield Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 17 metres.
7. Approves, pursuant to Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, and in accordance with section 12.4 of the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices

- 2004, that the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 120 minutes and be reserved for vehicles with an approved disabled person's parking permit, prominently displayed in the vehicle, on the west side of Colombo street, commencing at a point 63.5 metres north of its intersection with Lichfield Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 6.5 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. This restriction is to apply at all times.
8. Approves, pursuant to Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017 and as shown on Attachment A:
    - a. That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at all times, on the west side of Colombo Street, commencing at a point 70 metres north of its intersection with Lichfield Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 5.5 metres.
    - b. That the parking of all vehicles be restricted to maximum period of 5 minutes, on the west side of Colombo Street, commencing at a point 75.5 metres north of its intersection with Lichfield Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 13 metres.
    - c. That a Loading Zone be installed on the west side of Colombo Street, commencing at a point 88 metres north of its intersection with Lichfield Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 12.5 metres. This Loading Zone is to be restricted to a maximum loading period of 5 minutes and further restricted to Goods Vehicles only.
    - d. That the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at all times, on the west side of Colombo Street, commencing at a point 101 metres north of its intersection with Lichfield Street and extending in a northerly direction to its intersection with Cashel Street.
  9. Approves, pursuant to Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017 and in accordance with Section 12.4 (8) of the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004, the west side of Colombo Street, commencing at a point 75.5 metres north of its intersection with Lichfield Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 25.5 metres is reserved for Small Passenger Service Vehicles Only. This restriction is to apply 6:00pm to 8:00am the following day, Monday to Sunday as detailed on Attachment A.
  10. Approves that these resolutions take effect when parking signage and/or road markings that evidence the restrictions described in the staff report are in place (or removed in the case of revocations).

Member Wright/Member Ellis

**Carried**

## **7. Manchester Street, Gloucester Street to Armagh Street - Proposed No Stopping and Parking Restrictions**

**Committee Resolved CCPRC/2024/00006**

**Officer Recommendations accepted without change**

### **Part C**

That the Central City Parking Restrictions Committee:

1. Revoke any previous resolutions pertaining to traffic controls made pursuant to any bylaw to the extent that they are in conflict with the parking or stopping restrictions described in recommendations 2-6 below.

2. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the stopping of all vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Manchester Street, commencing at its intersection with Gloucester Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 23 metres.
3. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017 that the parking of all vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 10 minutes, on the west side of Manchester Street, commencing at a point 23 metres north of its intersection with Gloucester Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of six metres.
4. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the stopping of all vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Manchester Street, commencing at a point 29 metres north of its intersection with Gloucester Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 15 metres.
5. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017 that the parking of all vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 10 minutes, on the west side of Manchester Street, commencing at a point 44 metres north of its intersection with Gloucester Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 15 metres.
6. Approves that in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the stopping of all vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Manchester Street, commencing at a point 59 metres north of its intersection with Gloucester Street and extending in a northerly direction to its intersection with Armagh Street.
7. Approve that these resolutions take effect when parking signage and/or road markings that evidence the restrictions described in this staff report are in place (or removed in the case of revocations).

Member Wright/Deputy Mayor

**Carried**

**Meeting concluded at 10.01am**

**CONFIRMED THIS 24<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF MAY 2024.**

**COUNCILLOR PAULINE COTTER  
ACTING CHAIRPERSON**



6. Council Minutes - 15 May 2024

Reference Te Tohutoro: 24/927375  
Responsible Officer(s) Te Katie Matheis, Democratic Services Advisor  
Pou Matua: (Katie.Matheis@ccc.govt.nz)  
Accountable ELT Helen White, General Counsel / Head of Legal & Democratic Services  
Member Pouwhakarae:


1. Purpose of Report Te Pūtake Pūrongo

For the Council to confirm the Minutes from the Council meeting held 15 May 2024.

2. Recommendation Te Tūtohu Council

That the Council confirms the Minutes from the Council meeting held 15 May 2024.

Attachments Ngā Tāpirihanga

No.	Title	Reference	Page
<a href="#">A</a> 	Minutes Council - 15 May 2024	24/814159	18

Signatories Ngā Kaiwaitohu

Author	Katie Matheis - Democratic Services Advisor
--------	---

## Christchurch City Council MINUTES

Item 6

Attachment A

**Date:** Wednesday 15 May 2024  
**Time:** 9.32 am  
**Venue:** Council Chambers, Civic Offices,  
53 Hereford Street, Christchurch

### Present

Chairperson	Mayor Phil Mauer
Deputy Chairperson	Deputy Mayor Pauline Cotter
Members	Councillor Kelly Barber
	Councillor Melanie Coker
	Councillor Celeste Donovan
	Councillor Tyrone Fields
	Councillor James Gough – via audio / visual link
	Councillor Tyla Harrison-Hunt
	Councillor Victoria Henstock
	Councillor Yani Johanson
	Councillor Aaron Keown
	Councillor Sam MacDonald
	Councillor Jake McLellan
	Councillor Andrei Moore
	Councillor Mark Peters
	Councillor Tim Scandrett
	Councillor Sara Templeton

### Principal Advisor

Mary Richardson  
Interim Chief Executive  
Tel: 941 8999

Katie Matheis  
Democratic Services Advisor  
941 5643

[Katie.Matheis@ccc.govt.nz](mailto:Katie.Matheis@ccc.govt.nz)  
[www.ccc.govt.nz](http://www.ccc.govt.nz)

To watch a recording of this meeting, or future meetings live, go to:

<http://councillive.ccc.govt.nz/live-stream>

To view copies of Agendas and Minutes, visit:

[www.ccc.govt.nz/the-council/meetings-agendas-and-minutes/](http://www.ccc.govt.nz/the-council/meetings-agendas-and-minutes/)



**Karakia Tīmatanga:** All Councillors

The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

**1. Apologies Ngā Whakapāha**

**Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00050**

That the apologies from Councillor Gough for lateness and from Councillor Scandrett for partial absence be accepted.

Councillor Henstock/Councillor Barber

Carried

Councillor Fields joined the meeting at 9.33am.

**2. Declarations of Interest Ngā Whakapuaki Aronga**

Councillor Keown declared an interest in Item 7 – Better Off Funding – Ferrymead Heritage Park Third Tranche.

Deputy Mayor Cotter declared an interest in Item 9 – Discretionary Response Fund – Green Effect Trust, The Christchurch Foundation, regarding the Christchurch Foundation only.

Councillor Johanson declared an interest in Item 16 - Process for Changing Approved Design - MCR Nor'West Arc.

**3. Public Participation Te Huinga Tūmatanui**

**3.1 Public Forum Te Huinga Whānui**

Councillor Harrison-Hunt joined the meeting in Chambers at 9.36am during consideration of Item 3.1.1.

**3.1.1 Stephen Wood**

Stephen Wood spoke regarding cycle infrastructure and road safety.

**Attachments**

A Stephen Wood - Presentation to Council

**3.2 Deputations by Appointment Ngā Huinga Whakaritenga**

**3.2.2 Mayor Sam Broughton**

Selwyn District Council Mayor and LGNZ President, Sam Broughton, spoke regarding Item 12 - New Zealand Local Government Association Inc: payment of annual membership subscription.

Councillor Barber left the meeting at 9.54am and returned at 9.58am during consideration of Item 3.2.3.  
Councillor MacDonald left the meeting at 9.55am and returned at 9.56am during consideration of Item 3.2.3.

Councillor MacDonald left the meeting at 9.57am and returned at 10.04am during consideration of Item 3.2.3.

Deputy Mayor Cotter left the meeting at 10.02am and returned at 10.08am during consideration of Item 3.2.3.

Councillor Gough joined the meeting via audio / visual link at 10.04am during consideration of Item 3.2.3.

**3.2.3 Simon Britten**

Simon Britten, in his capacity as an individual, spoke regarding Item 12 - New Zealand Local Government Association Inc: payment of annual membership subscription.

**3.2.1 Sport Canterbury**

Julyan Falloon, Chief Executive, spoke on behalf of Sport Canterbury regarding Item 6 - Sports Field Network Plan.

**Attachments**

A Sport Canterbury - Presentation to Council

**3.2.4 Climate Liberation Aotearoa**

Michael Apathy and Bugs Sullivan, spoke on behalf of Climate Liberation Aotearoa regarding Item 13 - Council Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory - Financial Year 2022/23.

**Attachments**

A Climate Liberation Aotearoa - Presentation to Council

**3.2.5 Piers Locke**

Piers Locke spoke regarding Item 13 - Council Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory - Financial Year 2022/23.

**Attachments**

A Piers Locke - Presentation to Council

**3.2.6 350 Ōtautahi Christchurch**

Graham Townsend, spoke on behalf of 350 Ōtautahi Christchurch regarding Item 13 - Council Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory - Financial Year 2022/23.

**Attachments**

A 350 Ōtautahi Christchurch - Presentation to Council

**3.2.7 James Higham**

James Higham spoke regarding Item 13 - Council Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory - Financial Year 2022/23.

**Attachments**

A James Higham - Presentation to Council

**3.2.8 Spokes Canterbury**



Anne Scott, spoke on behalf of Spokes Canterbury regarding Item 14 - MCR Northern Line - Design Adjustment to Restell Street as Part of the Harewood Road Railway Crossing Upgrade and Item 16 - Process for Changing Approved Design - MCR Nor'West Arc.

#### **Attachments**

A Spokes Canterbury - Presentation to Council

Councillor Barber left the meeting at 10.53am and returned at 10.55am during consideration of Item 3.2.9.

#### **3.2.9 Fiona Bennetts**

Fiona Bennetts spoke regarding Item 14 - MCR Northern Line - Design Adjustment to Restell Street as Part of the Harewood Road Railway Crossing Upgrade and Item 16 - Process for Changing Approved Design - MCR Nor'West Arc.

#### **3.2.10 Cody Cooper**

Cody Cooper spoke regarding Item 14 - MCR Northern Line - Design Adjustment to Restell Street as Part of the Harewood Road Railway Crossing Upgrade, Item 15 - Christchurch Northern Corridor - Downstream Effects Bus Lane Trial: Request for Time Extension and Item 16 - Process for Changing Approved Design - MCR Nor'West Arc.

Councillor MacDonald left the meeting at 11.05am during consideration of Item 3.2.11 and returned at 11.13am during consideration of Item 3.2.12.

#### **3.2.11 Jono De Wit**

Jono De Wit spoke regarding Item 14 - MCR Northern Line - Design Adjustment to Restell Street as Part of the Harewood Road Railway Crossing Upgrade and Item 16 - Process for Changing Approved Design - MCR Nor'West Arc.

#### **Attachments**

A Jono De Wit - Presentation to Council

#### **3.2.12 Jonty Coulson**

Jonty Coulson spoke regarding Item 16 - Process for Changing Approved Design - MCR Nor'West Arc.

### **4. Presentation of Petitions Ngā Pākikitanga**

There was no presentation of petitions.

## **19. Resolution to Include Supplementary Report**

**Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00051**

That the reports be received and considered at the Council meeting on Wednesday, 15 May 2024.

**Open Items**

20. Mayor's Monthly Report

Deputy Mayor/Councillor MacDonald

**Carried**

**Item 6**

**Attachment A**

**Report from Waipuna Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board - 15 February 2024**

**5. Church Corner and Waimairi Road Safety Improvements**

**Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00052**

**Community Board recommendations accepted without change**

That the Council:

1. Approves, pursuant to Clause 18 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017:
  - a. That a Special Vehicle Lane for the use of northbound road users as defined in Section 11.1A of the Land Transport (Road User) Rule: 2004, excepting pedestrians and riders of mobility devices, be installed on the west side of Waimairi Road, commencing at its intersection with Riccarton Road and extending in a northerly direction to its intersection with Bowen Street.
  - b. That a Special Vehicle Lane for the use of southbound road users as defined in Section 11.1A of the Land Transport (Road User) Rule: 2004, excepting pedestrians and riders of mobility devices, be installed on the east side of Waimairi Road, commencing at a point 149 metres south of its intersection with Hanrahan Street and extending in a southerly distance to its intersection with Riccarton Road.
  - c. That a Special Vehicle Lane for the use of south eastbound road users as defined in Section 11.1A of the Land Transport (Road User) Rule: 2004, excepting pedestrians and riders of mobility devices, be installed on the north-east side of Yaldhurst Road, commencing at a point 94 metres south-east of its intersection with Angela Street and extending in a south easterly direction to its intersection with Riccarton Road.
  - d. That a Special Vehicle Lane, in accordance with Clause 18 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic & Parking Bylaw 2017, for the use of eastbound road users as defined in Section 1.6 (definition of Bus lane) of the Land Transport (Road User) Rule 2004 and also as defined in Section 11.1A of the Land Transport (Road User) Rule: 2004, excepting pedestrians and riders of mobility devices, be installed on the north side of Riccarton Road, commencing at its intersection with Yaldhurst Road and extending in an easterly direction to a point located 105 metres west of its intersection with Waimairi Road.
  - e. That a Special Vehicle Lane for the use of eastbound road users as defined in Section 11.1A of the Land Transport (Road User) Rule: 2004, excepting pedestrians and riders of mobility devices, be installed on the northwest side of Main South Road, commencing at its intersection with Curletts Road and extending in a south-westerly direction for a distance of 50 metres as detailed on plan TG145703, dated 20/02/2024 and attached to the minutes of the Waipuna Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board Meeting 15 February 2024.

2. Approves that in accordance with Section 8.5 of the Land Transport Rule – Traffic Control Devices: 2004 that a signalised roadway crossing be installed on Waimairi Road, located 23 metres north from its intersection with Leslie Street, and as detailed on plan TG145701, dated 23/01/2024 and attached to the report on the meeting agenda as Attachment A. This signalised crossing is for the use by the classes of road user as defined in Section 11.1A of the Land Transport (Road User) Rule: 2004.
3. Approves that in accordance with Clause 21 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic & Parking Bylaw 2017, that the path on the west side of Waimairi Road, commencing at a point 20 metres north of its intersection with Leslie Street, and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 11 metres be resolved as a Shared Path and in accordance with section 11.4 of the Land Transport Act - Traffic Control Devices Rules: 2004. This Shared Path is for the use by the classes of road user only as defined in Section 11.1A of the Land Transport (Road User) Rule: 2004.
4. Approves that in accordance with Clause 21 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic & Parking Bylaw 2017, that the path on the east side of Waimairi Road, commencing at a point 165 metres south of its intersection with Hanrahan Street, and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 13 metres be resolved as a Shared Path and in accordance with section 11.4 of the Land Transport Act - Traffic Control Devices Rules: 2004. This Shared Path is for the use by the classes of road user only as defined in Section 11.1A of the Land Transport (Road User) Rule: 2004.

Councillor Harrison-Hunt/Councillor Coker

**Carried**

**Attachments**

- A Church Corner and Waimairi Road Safety Improvements - Presentation to Council

The meeting adjourned at 11.14am and reconvened at 11.34am.

Councillor Harrison-Hunt left the meeting at 12.05pm and returned at 12.09pm during consideration of Item 6.

Councillor Moore left the meeting at 12.07pm and returned at 12.09pm during consideration of Item 6.

## 6. Sports Field Network Plan

Council Officers Nigel Cox, Rupert Bool, and Richard Gibbs joined the table to present Item 6 and answer questions from Elected Members. The Officer Recommendations were Moved by Councillor MacDonald and Seconded by Councillor Keown.

During debate Councillor Johanson Moved and Councillor Templeton Seconded an amendment (refer Recommendation 4 to acknowledge staff and stakeholders, and Recommendation 5 to adopt the draft Sports Field Network Plan for consultation). With the agreement of the Mover and Seconder, the meeting added recommendation 4 to the Motion. Recommendation 5, the amendment to adopt the Plan for consultation, was voted on by division and declared lost.

The meeting then voted on the Substantive Motion, as amended, which was declared carried.

### Officer Recommendations

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the Sports Field Network Plan report.

2. Note that the decision in this report is assessed as having a medium to low level significance based on the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.
3. Adopt the Sports Field Network Plan – Attachment A to this report.

### Updated Officer Recommendations Moved and Seconded

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the Sports Field Network Plan report.
2. Note that the decision in this report is assessed as having a medium to low level significance based on the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.
3. Adopt the Sports Field Network Plan – Attachment A to this report.
4. Acknowledge and commend staff and stakeholders for the extensive engagement in drafting the Sports Fields Network Plan for adoption.

Councillor MacDonald/Councillor Keown

**Moved /Seconded**

### Council Decision

That the Council:

5. Adopt the draft Sports Field Network Plan - Attachment A to this report for formal public consultation given its impact on a large number of people across various sports clubs, recreation users, and the wider public.

*The division was declared **lost** by 5 votes to 9 votes the voting being as follows:*

**For:** *Councillor Coker, Councillor Donovan, Councillor Johanson, Councillor McLellan and Councillor Templeton*

**Against:** *Mayor Mauger, Deputy Mayor Cotter, Councillor Barber, Councillor Gough, Councillor Henstock, Councillor Keown, Councillor MacDonald, Councillor Peters and Councillor Scandrett*

**Abstained:** *Councillor Fields, Councillor Harrison-Hunt and Councillor Moore*

Councillor Johanson/Councillor Templeton

**Lost**

### Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00053

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the Sports Field Network Plan report.
2. Note that the decision in this report is assessed as having a medium to low level significance based on the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.
3. Adopt the Sports Field Network Plan – Attachment A to this report.
4. Acknowledge and commend staff and stakeholders for the extensive engagement in drafting the Sports Fields Network Plan for adoption.

Councillor MacDonald/Councillor Keown

**Carried**

Councillor Scandrett left the meeting at 12.32pm during consideration of Item 7.

Councillor Barber left the meeting at 12.32pm and returned at 12.33pm during consideration of Item 7.

## **7. Better Off Funding - Ferrymead Heritage Park Third Tranche**

**Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00054**

**Officer recommendations accepted without change**

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the Better Off Funding – Ferrymead Heritage Park Third Tranche Report.
2. Approve a third and final tranche payment of \$148,300 from the Metropolitan Better Off Fund to The Ferrymead Trust towards business plan implementation for the betterment and long-term sustainability of Ferrymead Heritage Park.
3. Note that the decision in this report is assessed as low significance based on the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.

Mayor/Councillor McLellan

**Carried**

Councillor Keown declared an interest in this Item, sat back from the table and took no part in the discussion or vote.

## **8. Mount Pleasant Community Centre - Community Loan Reschedule**

**Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00055**

**Officer recommendations accepted without change**

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the Mount Pleasant Community Centre – Community Loan Reschedule Report.
2. Agree to extend the existing community loan with the Mt. Pleasant Memorial Community Centre & Residents' Association from a total term of 13 years to a total term of 16 years with interest rates maintained at 2% and quarterly repayments increasing by \$1,000 p/a.
3. Note that the decision in this report is assessed as low significance based on the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.

Mayor/Councillor Templeton

**Carried**

Deputy Mayor Cotter left the meeting at 12.38pm and returned at 12.39pm during consideration of Item 9.

## **9. Discretionary Response Fund - Green Effect Trust, The Christchurch Foundation**

Council Officers Joshua Wharton and Rose Crossland joined the table to present Item 9 and answer questions from Elected Members. The Officer Recommendations were Moved by the Mayor and Seconded by Councillor Harrison-Hunt.

During debate Councillor Templeton Moved and Councillor Keown Seconded an amendment to approve a grant of \$25,000 to the Green Effect Trust (the amount requested by the Trust in its application; refer Resolution 3). With the agreement of the Mayor as Mover and Councillor Harrison-Hunt as Seconder of the original Motion, the amendment was incorporated into the Substantive Motion.

The meeting requested that Resolution 4 regarding the funding allocation to The Christchurch Foundation be voted on separately. The meeting voted on Resolutions 1 through 3, which were declared carried. The meeting then voted on Resolution 4 which was also declared carried.

### **Officer Recommendations**

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the Discretionary Response Fund - Green Effect Trust, The Christchurch Foundation Report.
2. Note that the decisions in this report are assessed as low significance based on the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.
3. Approve a grant of \$20,000 from its 2023/24 Discretionary Response Fund to the Green Effect Trust (Trees for Canterbury) towards salaries, wages, and equipment costs.
4. Approve a grant of \$20,000 from its 2023/24 Discretionary Response Fund to The Christchurch Foundation towards short-term funding support, including salaries, wages, and administration.

### **Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00056**

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the Discretionary Response Fund - Green Effect Trust, The Christchurch Foundation Report.
2. Note that the decisions in this report are assessed as low significance based on the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.
3. Approve a grant of \$25,000 from its 2023/24 Discretionary Response Fund to the Green Effect Trust (Trees for Canterbury) towards salaries, wages, and equipment costs.

Mayor/Councillor Harrison-Hunt

**Carried**

### **Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00057**

4. Approve a grant of \$20,000 from its 2023/24 Discretionary Response Fund to The Christchurch Foundation towards short-term funding support, including salaries, wages, and administration.

Mayor/Councillor Harrison-Hunt

**Carried**

Councillor Henstock requested her vote against the resolution be recorded.

Deputy Mayor Cotter declared an interest in this Item as it relates to The Christchurch Foundation and took no part in the discussion or vote.

## 10. Heritage Incentive Grant Applications

### Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00058

#### Officer recommendations accepted without change

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the Heritage Incentive Grant Applications Report.
2. Note that the decision in this report is assessed as low significance based on the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.
3. Approves a Heritage Incentive Grant of up to \$63,000 for relocation, conservation, maintenance and upgrade works to the Rāpaki School building, located at 9 Kina Road, Rāpaki.
  - a. Notes that the applicants have already entered into a 20-year limited conservation covenant.
4. Approves a Heritage Incentive Grant of up to \$58,164 for stained glass conservation works at St Michael and All Angels Church.
  - a. Notes that the applicants have already entered into a 10-year limited conservation covenant.
5. Approves a Heritage Incentive Grant of up to \$54,303 for conservation, maintenance and upgrade works at 860-862 Colombo Street.
  - a. Notes that payment of the 860-862 Colombo Street grant is subject to the applicant entering a 20-year limited conservation covenant with the signed covenant having the Council seal affixed prior to registration against the property title.
6. Approves a Heritage Incentive Grant of \$59,000 for reinstatement, upgrade and maintenance works at 210 St Asaph Street.
  - a. Notes that payment of the 210 St Asaph Street grant is subject to the applicant entering a 20-year limited conservation covenant with the signed covenant having the Council seal affixed prior to registration against the property title.
7. Approves a Heritage Incentive Grant of up to \$8,471 for maintenance and repair works to The Old Shipping Office, 3 Church Street, Akaroa.
8. Approves a Heritage Incentive Grant of up to \$2,313 for the William Gilbert and Hine Te Marino Headstone Conservation project.
9. Approves a Heritage Incentive Grant of up to \$405 for the Marion 'Queenie' McLean headstone repair project.
10. Approves a Heritage Incentive Grant of up to \$7,610 for conservation, repair and maintenance works to 38 Dublin Street, Lyttelton.
11. Approves a Heritage Incentive Grant of up to \$22,800 for conservation, repair and maintenance works to 47 Oxford Street, Lyttelton.
  - a. Notes that payment of the 47 Oxford Street grant is subject to the applicant entering a 10-year limited conservation covenant with the signed covenant having the Council seal affixed prior to registration against the property title.

12. Approves a Heritage Incentive Grant of up to \$12,811 for conservation, repair and maintenance works to 52 Longfellow Street.
13. Approves a Heritage Incentive Grant of \$22,500 for the Lewe Summers Memorial Sculpture project at Mount Pleasant Community Centre.

Councillor Templeton/Councillor Fields

**Carried**

**Item 6**

## **11. Biodiversity Fund Project Approvals 2023-2024 FY**

**Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00059**

### **Officer recommendations accepted without change**

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the Biodiversity Fund Project Approvals 2023-2024 FY Report.
2. Note that the decision in this report is assessed as low significance in relation to the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.
3. Approve a total of \$400,000 from the Christchurch Biodiversity Fund 2023/24 across the following 14 projects:
  - a. \$5,000 Tirowaikare covenant (Beggs) weed control, Banks Peninsula Conservation Trust
  - b. \$31,760 Canty Plains floodplain forest restoration
  - c. \$52,000 Feral pig control te Waihora catchments
  - d. \$12,000 Coastal forest protection and enhancement Raupo Bay
  - e. \$50,000 Hinewai conifer eradication for forest restoration
  - f. \$40,000 Hukahukaturua catchment weed control QEII covenants
  - g. \$7,680 Protection Rare Ecosystems, Linda Woods weeds control
  - h. \$36,000 Living Springs Native Forest Enhancement
  - i. \$25,000 Purau catchment rare ecosystem protection,
  - j. \$11,975 Stencliffe farm – Manatu forest fencing
  - k. \$16,336 Stony Bay forest fencing
  - l. \$41,000 Styx Living Memorial Trust, willow control
  - m. \$44,504 Tokoroa Fencing and weed control
  - n. \$26,744 Wainui rewild
4. Decline the following applications to the Christchurch Biodiversity Fund 2023/24:
  - a. Decanter Bay pigs ear study
  - b. Forest Planting Lansdowne Valley
  - c. Pohatu Penguins
  - d. Tupari Reserve

Councillor Templeton/Councillor Fields

**Carried**

**Attachment A**



## 14. MCR Northern Line - Design Adjustment to Restell Street as Part of the Harewood Road Railway Crossing Upgrade

**Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00060**

### **Officer recommendations accepted without change**

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the MCR Northern Line - Design Adjustment to Restell Street as Part of the Harewood Road Railway Crossing Upgrade Report.
2. Note that the decision in this report is assessed as low significance based on the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.
3. Revoke any previously approved resolutions concerning Restell Street, commencing at its intersection with Harewood Road and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 30 metres, that are in conflict with recommendations 4 and 5 below.
4. Approve all kerb alignments, raised platforms, road surface treatments, road markings, and the removal of the traffic island on Restell Street, commencing at its intersection with Harewood Road, and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 30 metres as detailed on plan for approval RD3832, sheet R1 and attached to this report as Attachment A.
5. Approve, in accordance with Clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic & Parking Bylaw 2017, that the stopping of all vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Restell Street commencing at its intersection with Harewood Road and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 29 metres.

Mayor/Councillor Keown

**Carried**

The meeting adjourned at 12.52pm and reconvened at 2.02pm.

## 17. Resolution to Exclude the Public Te whakataunga kaupare hunga tūmatanui

**Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00061**

That at 2.02pm the resolution to exclude the public set out on pages 375 to 376 of the Agenda be adopted.

Councillor Barber/Councillor Peters

**Carried**

Councillor Johanson requested that his vote against the resolution be recorded.

**The public were re-admitted to the meeting at 3.04pm.**

## 12. New Zealand Local Government Association Inc: payment of annual membership subscription

Consistent with Standing Order 6.8, this Item was withdrawn from the Agenda by the Chairperson to allow additional time for feedback to be sought from the Community Boards.

The meeting adjourned at 3.06pm and reconvened at 3.22pm.

Councillor McLellan left the meeting at 3.30pm and returned at 3.40pm during consideration of Item 13.  
Councillor MacDonald left the meeting at 3.35pm and returned at 3.39pm during consideration of Item 13.

Councillor Donovan left the meeting at 3.37pm and returned at 3.39pm during consideration of Item 13.

### **13. Council Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory - Financial Year 2022/23**

Council Officers Carey Graydon and Elizabeth Wilson joined the table to present Item 13 and answer questions from Elected Members. During discussion, Deputy Mayor Cotter (refer Resolution 2), Councillor Templeton (refer Resolution 3), Councillor Coker (refer Resolutions 4 – 7), and Councillor Keown (refer Resolution 8) put forward additional recommendations for Officer comment.

These additions were all incorporated into the Substantive Motion which was then Moved by Councillor Templeton and Seconded by Councillor Coker. At the conclusion of debate, the meeting voted on the Substantive Motion, which was declared carried.

#### **Officer Recommendations**

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the Council Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory - Financial Year 2022/23 report.

#### **Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00062**

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the Council Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory - Financial Year 2022/23 report.
2. Request staff to provide advice on how Council employees and Elected Members are commuting to and from their workplaces and investigate ways to incentivise changes to employee travel to support emissions reductions.
3. Request staff assess and include staff and elected member commutes in our emissions profile and Emissions Reduction Plan.
4. Request staff provide advice on changing the Council's Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory to the "financial control" approach.
5. Request staff advice regarding the Lyttelton Port Company international shipping emissions, and the Christchurch International Airport Limited international aviation emissions, and how they might be included in the Council's next Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory or District Emissions Inventory, as appropriate.
6. Request staff advice about Council including in its next Letter of Expectations to the Lyttelton Port Company and the Christchurch International Airport Limited that they decrease international shipping and aviation emissions in line with the Council's 2030 emissions reduction goals.
7. Request staff advice on Council signing the Climate Liberation Aotearoa open letter to central government (and tabled at this meeting) to include international shipping and aviation emissions, in relation to New Zealand, in targets and reduction plans.

8. Request staff advice on emissions on data storage.

Councillor Templeton/Councillor Coker

**Carried**

Councillor Barber left the meeting at 4.05pm and returned at 4.07pm during consideration of Item 15.

## **15. Christchurch Northern Corridor - Downstream Effects Bus Lane Trial: Request for Time Extension**

**Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00063**

### **Officer recommendations accepted without changed**

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the Christchurch Northern Corridor - Downstream Effects Bus Lane Trial: Request for Time Extension Report.
2. Note that the decision in this report is assessed as medium significance based on the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.
3. Approve the continued operation and enforcement of special bus priority lanes on Cranford Street between Innes Road and Berwick Street, in conjunction with the approved temporary traffic management plan, until the end of March 2025 (or earlier if a decision on the permanent solution is made before then).
4. Note that staff will continue to review potential options for Cranford Street between Innes Road and Berwick Street, which will then be followed by a public consultation process.

Councillor Templeton/Deputy Mayor

**Carried**

Councillor Keown requested his vote against the resolution be recorded.

## **16. Process for Changing Approved Design - MCR Nor'West Arc**

Council Officers Lynette Ellis and Ron Lemm joined the table to present Item 16 and answer questions from Elected Members. Officers also tabled an updated Attachment D to the report: Table of Items Contributing to Cost by Option (refer Attachment A to this Minutes' Item).

Option B as set out in the Officer Recommendations was Moved by the Mayor and Seconded by Councillor MacDonald. Option A as set out in the Officer Recommendations was Moved as a foreshadowed Motion by Councillor Templeton and Seconded by Councillor Coker.

At the conclusion of debate the meeting voted on Option B by division, which was declared lost. The meeting then voted on Option A by division, which was declared carried.

### **Officer Recommendations**

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the Process for Changing Approved Design – MCR Nor'West Arc report.

2. Agree to progress with one of the following options for the Nor'West Arc Major Cycleway Route (Section 3) along Aorangi Road between Ilam Road and Brookside Terrace:
  - a. Option A - Separated cycleway on the carriageway (the current approved design).
    - i. Agree to retain Option A under the previous decision made by the Urban Development and Transport Committee at its 3 February 2022 meeting (Item 9, Resolution 1(d): *that Section 3 – Aorangi Road from Ilam Road up to Brookside Terrace be a two-way cycleway*) and continue with the current approved design (Attachment A to this report); and
    - ii. Note that staff will bring a report to Council in mid-2024 to agree to the detailed traffic resolutions prior to completing construction procurement.
  - OR
  - b. Option B - Shared path:
    - i. Revoke the previous decision made by the Urban Development and Transport Committee at its 3 February 2022 meeting (Item 9, Resolution 1(d): *that Section 3 – Aorangi Road from Ilam Road up to Brookside Terrace be a two-way cycleway*), following the Committee's consideration of the Hearings Panel report (Attachment E to this report); and
    - ii. Approve the scheme design of the Nor'West Arc section 3 between Ilam Road and Brookside Terrace as a shared path (Attachment B to this report).
    - iii. Note that staff will bring a report to the Council to agree the detailed traffic resolutions prior to completing construction procurement.
    - iv. Note that this option has associated design, budget, resource and delivery impacts and risks (as described in section 4.32 of this report).
  - OR
  - c. Option C – Separated cycleway and footpath on the existing berm:
    - i. Agree to pause any further work as commenced under Option A – Separated cycleway on the carriageway (the current approved design).
    - ii. Direct staff to create a scheme design for a separated cycleway and footpath on the existing berm.
    - iii. Note that this option has associated design, budget, resource and delivery impacts and risks (as described in section 4.33 of this report).
    - iv. Note that this option is likely to require additional consultation, including the formation of a Hearings Panel to evaluate feedback and design options.
3. Note that the decision in this report is assessed as medium significance based on the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.

### Council Decision

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the Process for Changing Approved Design – MCR Nor'West Arc report.
2. Agree to progress with the following option for the Nor'West Arc Major Cycleway Route (Section 3) along Aorangi Road between Ilam Road and Brookside Terrace:

- b. Option B - Shared path:
- i. Revoke the previous decision made by the Urban Development and Transport Committee at its 3 February 2022 meeting (Item 9, Resolution 1(d): *that Section 3 – Aorangi Road from Ilam Road up to Brookside Terrace be a two-way cycleway*), following the Committee’s consideration of the Hearings Panel report (Attachment E to this report); and
  - ii. Approve the scheme design of the Nor’West Arc section 3 between Ilam Road and Brookside Terrace as a shared path (Attachment B to this report).
  - iii. Note that staff will bring a report to the Council to agree the detailed traffic resolutions prior to completing construction procurement.
  - iv. Note that this option has associated design, budget, resource and delivery impacts and risks (as described in section 4.32 of this report).
3. Note that the decision in this report is assessed as medium significance based on the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.

*The division was declared **lost** by 7 votes to 8 votes the voting being as follows:*

**For:** Mayor Mauger, Councillor Barber, Councillor Gough, Councillor Henstock, Councillor Keown, Councillor MacDonald and Councillor Peters

**Against:** Deputy Mayor Cotter, Councillor Coker, Councillor Donovan, Councillor Fields, Councillor Harrison-Hunt, Councillor McLellan, Councillor Moore and Councillor Templeton

Mayor/Councillor MacDonald

**Lost**

Councillor Johanson declared an interest in this Item and took no part in the discussion or vote.

### **Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00064**

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in the Process for Changing Approved Design – MCR Nor’West Arc report.
2. Agree to progress with the following option for the Nor’West Arc Major Cycleway Route (Section 3) along Aorangi Road between Ilam Road and Brookside Terrace:
  - a. Option A - Separated cycleway on the carriageway (the current approved design).
    - i. Agree to retain Option A under the previous decision made by the Urban Development and Transport Committee at its 3 February 2022 meeting (Item 9, Resolution 1(d): *that Section 3 – Aorangi Road from Ilam Road up to Brookside Terrace be a two-way cycleway*) and continue with the current approved design (Attachment A to this report); and
    - ii. Note that staff will bring a report to Council in mid-2024 to agree to the detailed traffic resolutions prior to completing construction procurement.
3. Note that the decision in this report is assessed as medium significance based on the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.

*The division was declared **carried** by 8 votes to 7 votes the voting being as follows:*

**For:** Deputy Mayor Cotter, Councillor Coker, Councillor Donovan, Councillor Fields, Councillor Harrison-Hunt, Councillor McLellan, Councillor Moore and Councillor Scandrett

**Against:** Mayor Mauger, Councillor Barber, Councillor Gough, Councillor Henstock, Councillor Keown, Councillor MacDonald and Councillor Peters

Councillor Templeton/Councillor Coker

**Carried**

Councillor Johanson declared an interest in this Item and took no part in the discussion or vote.

**Attachments**

A Process for Changing Approved Design - MCR Nor'West Arc - Presentation to Council

Councillor Barber left the meeting at 5.06pm and returned at 5.07pm during consideration of Item 20.  
Councillor Harrison-Hunt left the meeting at 5.06pm during consideration of Item 20.

## 20. Mayor's Monthly Report

**Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00065**

**Mayor's recommendations accepted without change**

That the Council:

1. Receive the Mayor's Monthly Report.
2. Submit the Council's submission to the Climate Change Commission for its review of New Zealand's emissions reduction targets and emissions budget (**Attachment B** to this report).
3. Request the Chief Executive to report back to Council before the Long Term Plan 2024-2034 is adopted with advice on options for supporting the restoration and development of the former Dux de Lux/Student Union building and site at 39 Hereford Street, including, but not limited to, options which:
  - a. utilise existing heritage budgets to support an agreed restoration model; and
  - b. support the restoration of the former Dux de Lux/Student Union, including through lease or purchase; and
  - c. note that options may also help address projected deficits at Te Matatiki Toi Ora The Arts Centre Trust.
4. Note that final advice should ensure that the restoration of former Dux de Lux/Student Union will maintain that the building's future use is consistent with the objects of the Arts Centre of Christchurch Trust Act 2015.

Mayor/Deputy Mayor

**Carried**

Councillor Templeton requested her vote against resolution 3 be recorded.

Councillor Moore requested his abstention be recorded.

## Resolution to Exclude the Public

### Council Resolved CNCL/2024/00066

That at 5.12pm the resolution to exclude the public set out on pages 375 to 376 of the Agenda be adopted.

Mayor/Councillor MacDonald

Carried

**The public were re-admitted to the meeting at 12.19pm on 29 May 2024.**

**Karakia Whakamutunga:** All Councillors

**Meeting concluded at 12.19pm on 29 May 2024.**

**CONFIRMED THIS 19<sup>th</sup> DAY OF JUNE 2024**

**MAYOR PHIL MAUGER  
CHAIRPERSON**

**Item 6**

**Attachment A**





## 7. Ōtākaro-Avon Stormwater Management Plan

Reference Te Tohutoro: 24/508020

Responsible Officer(s) Te Pou Matua: Paul Dickson, Drainage Engineer, Three Waters Unit

Accountable ELT Member Pouwhakarae: Brent Smith, Acting General Manager City Infrastructure

### 1. Purpose and Origin of the Report Te Pūtake Pūrongo

- 1.1 The Ōtākaro-Avon Stormwater Management Plan (SMP) is presented for Council adoption ahead of its submission to Canterbury Regional Council by the deadline of 30 June 2024.
- 1.2 The stormwater management plan is required by the Comprehensive Stormwater Network Discharge Consent (CSNDC) CRC231955 Condition 4 that requires the Council to develop SMPs for those parts of the District where there are stormwater networks.
- 1.3 The decision in this report is of medium significance in relation to the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy. The level of significance was determined by considering the requirements under the comprehensive consent, the significance of stormwater management to mana whenua, the degree of expressed public interest in stormwater management, previous Councillor interest, and the quantum of funding during the term of the Stormwater Management Plan (SMP).

### 2. Officer Recommendations Ngā Tūtohu

That the Council:

1. Receives the information in the Ōtākaro-Avon Stormwater Management Plan Report.
2. Notes that the decision in this report is assessed as medium significance based on the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.
3. Adopts the Ōtākaro-Avon Stormwater Management Plan (Attachment B to this report).

### 3. Executive Summary Te Whakarāpopoto Matua

- 3.1 The Council develops stormwater management plans (SMPs) for its 7 major catchments to comply with conditions of the Comprehensive Stormwater Network Discharge Consent (CSNDC). Stormwater management plans demonstrate the means by which urban stormwater discharges will be reduced to improve the quality and quantity of stormwater discharges towards receiving environment objectives.
- 3.2 SMPs are among several responses by the Council (including environmental strategies) to an environment that is degraded by contaminants and can experience water quantity effects. This SMP is developed to enable the Council to plan and fund works, develop targets and construct facilities that will trap contaminants and mitigate water quality and quantity. Contaminant discharges also could be addressed by regulating to eliminate contaminant sources, and by educating or regulating to encourage gains to be made by others. Controls at source or by others is thought to be a more effective strategy in the long term; however, there is significant uncertainty about how to bring such controls into effect. The CSNDC requires ongoing work by the Council on those other methods (condition 40) and the Council is pursuing them.

- 3.3 The SMP is developed to meet consent deadlines, giving effect to programmes of work in the draft 2024-34 Long Term Plan. Its major activity is construction of treatment facilities. The SMP complies with conditions of the CSNDC within the scope of the draft 2024-34 LTP funding provision. A potential SMP proposing a wider range of measures, including controls at source, could not be relied upon to meet targets at this time, because the Council's powers are insufficient to require the necessary changes.
- 3.4 The SMP supports the Community Outcome *Healthy Environment* and is one of many equally important activities toward obtaining a healthier environment.

#### 4. Background/Context Te Horopaki

- 4.1 The CSNDC requires the Council to develop stormwater management plans (SMPs) to demonstrate the means by which the quality and quantity of stormwater discharges will be progressively improved towards meeting receiving environment objectives. The rate of improvement is indicated by contaminant load reduction standards set in the consent and by discretionary targets set for each catchment by the Council. Many potential means of managing stormwater contaminants exist. Controls at source (e.g. choice of building materials) are recognised as effective but would require a change to a Bylaw, and/or changes to the District Plan which may be subject to challenges, and would have limited effectiveness under the District Plan unless there was a change to the RMA provision of existing use rights. Treatment basins and wetlands are moderately effective, relatively easy for the Council install, and serve multiple purposes. Stormwater filters are effective but individually may only treat small areas and can be difficult to install. Street sweeping is an uncomplicated intervention but is considered less effective than others. All methods were considered in the context of effectiveness and practicability.

#### Options Considered Ngā Kōwhiringa Whaiwhakaaro

- 4.2 The following reasonably practicable option was considered and is assessed in this report:
- A plan using accepted methods that is compliant with the conditions of CRC231955 and is supported by funding provisions in the draft 2024-34 Long Term Plan.
- 4.3 The following options were considered but ruled out:
- An aspirational and potentially higher-achieving plan that is compliant with the conditions of CRC231955 but is not supported by funding provisions in the draft 2024-34 Long Term Plan and includes activities that are likely to be difficult to implement due to uncertainty about the Council's powers under the Local Government Act.

#### Options Descriptions Ngā Kōwhiringa

- 4.4 **Preferred Option:** Compliance option.

4.4.1 **Option Description:** A plan complying with conditions of the CSNDC, constructing stormwater treatment facilities provided for in the draft LTP and relying on plans (e.g. Sediment Discharge Management Plan) and regulations (e.g. The Stormwater Bylaw 2022) already effected by the Council to mitigate commercial discharges.

##### 4.4.2 Option Advantages

- achieves a sufficient level of contaminant reduction;
- adopts a programme of capital work provided for in the draft 2024-34 Long Term Plan; and

- relies on regulatory processes that are within the Council's powers under the Local Government Act.

4.6.3 It also complies with all relevant conditions of the CSNDC consent, as follows:

- Conditions 4, 8 and 13 and require consultation with specified parties including Rūnanga and Community Boards when preparing the SMP and providing them with a draft for consideration. The parties were consulted with and provided with the draft SMP.
- Conditions 14-18 require the Council to set up a Technical Peer Review Panel and invite their comments on a draft of the SMP. The Panel was set up and provided its views most of which have been included into the SMP.
- Condition 6 sets out the purpose of SMPs as:
  - Improving the annual load of contaminants so as to strive to achieve targeted reductions over time. The SMP does that to the extent that Council's draft 2024-34 LTP budget allows.
  - Discharge to land infiltration systems where practicable – there is little opportunity to do that in this catchment.
  - Impacts on groundwater mounding beneath infiltration basins; expect advice demonstrates we are doing this.
- Condition 7 lists matters that must be included in SMPs. SMP Table 10, Appendix A sets out how this SMP provides that information.
- Condition 19 sets contaminant load reduction targets. We are planning facilities that in combination with those in other catchments will meet those targets.
- Condition 23 requires use of best practical options to mitigate the effects of discharges. The SMP shows and describes how the Council is meeting that requirement.
- Condition 25 requires that facilities treat existing catchments where practicable. This has been planned to the extent made possible by the draft LTP.
- Condition 28 requires that the SMP consider and include guidelines for basin design to minimise the risk of bird strike. These are in the SMP Appendix H.

4.4.3 **Option Disadvantages**

- Is likely to take longer to approach receiving environment objectives;
- Is less acceptable to tangata whenua and Environment Canterbury and some submitters;

4.5 **Alternative Option:** - Aspirational option.

4.5.1 **Option Description:** A SMP that would develop aspirational stormwater quality and quantity management and contaminant load reduction targets to be affected by a range of measures which could include controls at source (e.g. specified, non-steel roofing materials and mandatory roof painting) and near-source controls such as downpipe filters and on-road stormwater filtration devices.

4.5.2 **Option Advantages**

- Likely to achieve greater contaminant load reductions and to approach receiving environment objectives more quickly;

- Preferred by the majority of consultees including tangata whenua and Zone Committees;

#### 4.5.3 Option Disadvantages

- Water quality and quantity improvement programmes that while ideal are not definitely attainable; and
- Relies on contaminant reduction methods that would need regulatory change;
- Higher costs to Council and public and methods that would be viewed negatively by many;
- Council committed to currently unfunded actions; SMP timing likely to commit the Council to programmes not yet funded in the draft LTP

#### Analysis Criteria Ngā Paearu Wetekina

- 4.6 Officers consider that the Council cannot be committed to projects that are not consulted on in the draft LTP and are not definitely funded and able to be implemented. And since the Council would or may require additional powers to implement some actions the risks for the Council of adopting this option are too high.

## 5. Financial Implications Ngā Hīraunga Rauemi

#### Capex/Opex Ngā Utu Whakahaere

	Recommended Option	Aspirational Option
Cost to Implement	Provided for in the draft 2024-34 Long Term Plan	Greater than the recommended option. Not all costs provided for in the draft 2024-34 LTP and AP.
Maintenance/Ongoing Costs	Provided for in the Annual Plan through operational funding provisions	Greater than the recommended option
Funding Source	Normal operating budgets	Extended operating budgets
Funding Availability	Available	Partially available
Impact on Rates	No	Yes

## 6. Considerations Ngā Whai Whakaaro

#### Risks and Mitigations Ngā Mōrearea me ngā Whakamātautau

- 6.1 The Canterbury Regional Council may question aspects of the stormwater management plan including how targets were set for contaminant load mitigation. There is potential for the two organisations to discuss how targets could be revised. This could also happen at any stage after the plan has been submitted within its ten year term as more effective treatment/mitigation options become available.

#### Legal Considerations Ngā Hīraunga ā-Ture

- 6.2 Statutory and/or delegated authority to undertake proposals in the report:
- 6.2.1 The Local Government Act 2002 provides the statutory power for the Council to make this decision.
- 6.3 Other Legal Implications:
- 6.3.1 The stormwater management plan is produced to enable the Council to comply with a resource consent issued under the Resource Management Act. This report (at 4.6.3) sets

out the relevant conditions of the stormwater discharge resource consent regarding development of the SMP and describes how the SMP meets those requirements.

6.3.2 This report has been reviewed and approved by Legal Services.

### Strategy and Policy Considerations Te Whai Kaupapa here

6.4 The required decision:

6.4.1 Aligns with the [Christchurch City Council's Strategic Framework](#) to manage funds wisely and actively balance the needs of today's residents..

6.4.2 Is assessed as medium significance based on the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy. The level of significance was determined by considering the requirements under the comprehensive consent, the significance of stormwater management to mana whenua, the degree of expressed public interest in stormwater management, previous Councillor interest, and the quantum of funding during the term of the Stormwater Management Plan (SMP).

6.4.3 Is consistent with Council's Plans and Policies, specifically the Integrated Water Strategy.

6.5 This report supports the [Council's Long Term Plan \(2024 - 2034\)](#):

6.6 Flood Protection and Control Works

6.6.1 Activity: Flood Protection and Control Works

- Level of Service: 14.1.7.1 Reduce pollution of waterbodies from discharge of urban contaminants to waterways: Annual rolling average reduction in the discharge of zinc/copper/Total Suspended Solids (TSS) to be equal or greater than that required to meet the reduction set in the Comprehensive Stormwater Network Discharge Consent (CSNDC) for 2023 and 2028, derived through contaminant load reduction modelling of the stormwater treatment facilities which have been installed - Pass

### Community Impacts and Views Ngā Mariu ā-Hāpori

6.7 The decision affects the following wards/Community Board areas:

6.7.1 Waimāero Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood Community Board

6.7.2 Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board

6.7.3 Waipapa Papanui-Innes-Central Community Board

6.8 Consultation started on 21 February 2024 and ran until 22 April 2024.

6.9 An email was sent to 186 key stakeholders, including Mahaanui Kurataiao (MKT), Avon Heathcote Estuary Ihutai Trust, Avon-Ōtākaro Network, Christchurch Estuary Association, The Styx Living Laboratory Trust, Department of Conservation, Ecan, Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu.

6.10 The consultation was posted on the Council Facebook page, the Council LinkedIn page, and 15 local community Facebook pages, inviting submissions on the [Let's Talk webpage](#).

6.11 Consultation documents including the summary and full consultation document were delivered to Aranui Library, New Brighton Community Library, Parklands Community Library, Upper Riccarton Community Library, Linwood Service Centre, Fendalton Service Centre, Shirley Service Centre, Turanga library and Civic Offices on 27 February 2024. In addition, copies of summary documents were provided to Christchurch Community House, Bridge Hub South Brighton, St Albans Community Centre, Phillipstown Hub, and Shirley Community Trust throughout the consultation period.

- 6.12 Staff attended Richmond Community Gala on 23 March 2024, and Phillipstown Community Gala on 13 April 2024, to share the consultation information and answer questions.
- 6.13 Staff attended a meeting with the Ōtākaro Avon River Network on Monday 8 April to provide more information about the consultation process, specific programmes of work that Council is undertaking, and answer any questions.
- 6.14 Staff attended a meeting with Kāinga Ora on 10 April 2024 to discuss implications of future development planning for the catchment and how to work together more closely regarding detention basins and future planning.

### Summary of Submissions Ngā Tāpaetanga

- 6.15 The Let's Talk page was viewed 1425 times, with the Summary Stormwater Management Plan document downloaded 63 times and the Full Stormwater Management Plan document 86 times.
- 6.16 27 submissions were made by three Community Boards (Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board, Waipapa Papanui-Innes-Central Community Board, and Waihoru Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote Community Board), six recognised organisations (Christchurch International Airport Limited, WSP, Spokes Canterbury, Sustainable Ōtautahi Christchurch, Avon Ōtākaro Network INC, and Department of Conservation), and 18 individuals.
- 6.17 All submissions were unique with no themes able to be drawn from them. Therefore, staff have provided responses to each submission. It's advised that elected members read submissions in their entirety.
- 6.18 Key issues raised by submitters are addressed by staff in **Attachment A**.

### Impact on Mana Whenua Ngā Whai Take Mana Whenua

- 6.19 The decision involves a significant decision in relation to ancestral land or a body of water or other elements of intrinsic value, therefore this decision does specifically impact Mana Whenua, their culture, and traditions.
- 6.20 The decision involves a matter of interest to Mana Whenua but should not impact on our agreed partnership priorities with Ngā Papatipu Rūnanga.
- 6.21 The decision is to adopt a plan dealing with the interception and removal of part of the city's urban contaminant load. As Mana Whenua strongly oppose any discharge of contaminants into water, they are unable to endorse any SMP that only partially meets their position on environmental matters. Prior to SMPs, Mana Whenua were consulted during preparation of the Council's consent application and gave provisional support for a proposed programme of facilities construction that is the core of SMPs. That programme and other Council programmes partially achieve Mana Whenua objectives. Mana Whenua choose to neither endorse nor oppose SMPs and continually advocate for more comprehensive protection for water and water bodies.

### Climate Change Impact Considerations Ngā Whai Whakaaro mā te Āhuarangi



- 6.22 The decisions in this report are likely to:
  - 6.22.1 Contribute neutrally to adaptation to the impacts of climate change.
  - 6.22.2 Contribute negatively to emissions reductions.
- 6.23 The plan adopted by this report is expected to initiate construction of stormwater treatment facilities utilising manufactured materials with greenhouse gas signatures.



7. Next Steps Ngā Mahinga ā-muri

- 7.1 If adopted the SMP will be delivered to the Canterbury Regional Council by 30 June. Planning for stormwater facilities will start; some ongoing activities such as erosion and sediment control will continue.

Attachments Ngā Tāpirihanga

No.	Title	Reference	Page
A 	Ōtākaro Avon SMP - Submission Table (Public) (Under Separate Cover)	24/830935	
B 	Ōtākaro-Avon Stormwater Management Plan (Under Separate Cover)	24/895142	

In addition to the attached documents, the following background information is available:

Document Name – Location / File Link
Not applicable

Signatories Ngā Kaiwaitohu

Authors	Paul Dickson - Drainage Engineer Samantha Smith - Engagement Advisor Meghan Pinfold - Senior Legal Counsel Brent Pizzey - Senior Legal Counsel
Approved By	Gavin Hutchison - Acting Head of Three Waters Brent Smith - Acting General Manager City Infrastructure





## 8. New Zealand Local Government Association Inc: payment of annual membership subscription

Reference Te Tohutoro: 24/1007116

Responsible Officer(s) Te Pou Matua: Helen White, General Counsel / Head of Legal & Democratic Services  
Bede Carran, General Manager Finance, Risk & Performance (CFO)

Accountable ELT Member Pouwhakarae: Helen White, General Counsel / Head of Legal & Democratic Services

**Secretarial Note:** this report was initially included in the Council agenda for the 15 May 2024 meeting. Consistent with Standing Order 6.8, the report was withdrawn from the agenda by the Chairperson to allow time for feedback to be sought from the Community Boards. The content of this report has not changed, but a new attachment reflecting the feedback provided by the Community Boards will be circulated under separate cover ahead of the Council meeting.

### 1. Purpose and Origin of the Report Te Pūtake Pūrongo

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is for Council to consider its continued membership of New Zealand Local Government Association Inc (LGNZ) and if membership is confirmed for the invoice to be paid.
- 1.2 The report is in response to Council receiving the membership renewal invoice and to provide analysis that supports the Council deciding whether or not to remain a member.

### 2. Officer Recommendations Ngā Tūtohu

That the Council:

1. Receives the information in the New Zealand Local Government Association Inc: payment of annual membership subscription report.

EITHER:

2. Agrees to renew its membership of Local Government New Zealand Inc for the amount of \$163,254.75 plus GST.

OR:

3. Declines to renew its membership of Local Government New Zealand Inc and:
  - a. Resolves to resign its membership of Local Government New Zealand Inc; and
  - b. Authorises the Mayor to give notice of the resignation in writing to Local Government New Zealand Inc.
4. Notes that the decision in this report is assessed as low significance based on the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy, as it is a decision regarding membership of an organisation and does not affect strategic assets or levels of services.

### 3. Executive Summary Te Whakarāpopoto Matua

- 3.1 The annual subscription renewal of the Council's membership of Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) is due. This year's renewal fee for membership is for the amount of \$163,254.75 plus GST.

- 3.2 This report provides analysis to support the Council decision on payment or not of this year's subscription. Should the Council decide not to renew its membership, it must comply with LGNZ's constitutional requirement to give notice of resignation in writing. The Council will be required to pay a proportion of the membership fee up to the date the resignation takes effect (one month from notification).
- 3.3 A number of the reasons for remaining a member are not readily quantifiable. Council's decision should be based on an overall weighting of the merits of being a member of a body that advocates for local government weighed against the merits of undertaking direct advocacy on its own behalf. Being a member of an organisation such as LGNZ provides the benefit of collective advocacy but with reduced influence on the policy and advocacy positions. Alternatively, by not being a member Council may consider it can advocate more effectively and specifically on the issues important to the communities of the city and district, doing so as the South Island's largest city.

#### 4. Background/Context Te Horopaki

- 4.1 LGNZ is constituted as an incorporated society and is a membership organisation. LGNZ's members are local authorities constituted under the Local Government Act 2002. LGNZ's purpose is to champion, connect, and support local government. The objects of LGNZ are set out in its Rules<sup>1</sup> and summarised are to:
  - 4.1.1 promote the national interests of local government
  - 4.1.2 advocate on matters affecting the national interests of local government and its communities
  - 4.1.3 promote and facilitate regular dialogue with the Government, Parliamentarians, and the agencies of the Government
  - 4.1.4 provide full, accurate, and timely information to its members on matters affecting local government and LGNZ;
  - 4.1.5 research, survey, and investigate matters in which LGNZ and its members have an interest;
  - 4.1.6 provide advice, education, and training opportunities for its members
  - 4.1.7 hold conferences and forums to advance its objectives.
- 4.2 LGNZ is governed by the National Council, comprised of the President and 17 members, including three reserved for Auckland (although currently, it is not a member of LGNZ). To ensure representation across the country, LGNZ's Rules prescribe membership from metropolitan (Christchurch City Council is a member of the metropolitan sector), regional, provincial, and rural New Zealand, and also geographically from across the country. The National Council membership also includes the Chair of Te Maruata and one person appointed from the Young Elected Members Network.
- 4.3 The National Council appoints the Chief Executive of LGNZ who in turn employs LGNZ's staff.
- 4.4 For the financial year ended 31 March 2023 membership subscriptions of \$4.09 million made up approximately 42% of LGNZ's total revenue of \$9.812 million (LGNZ's financial performance for the year ended 31 March 2024 and its financial position as at 31 March 2024

---

<sup>1</sup> [https://d1pepq1a2249p5.cloudfront.net/media/documents/LGNZ-rules-changes-adopted-at-2021-AGM\\_h1x9ruT.pdf](https://d1pepq1a2249p5.cloudfront.net/media/documents/LGNZ-rules-changes-adopted-at-2021-AGM_h1x9ruT.pdf)

are not yet published or available). For the year ended 31 March 2023 LGNZ made an operating deficit of \$578k (rounded).

- 4.5 LGNZ's invoice to Council for the current year (which runs from 1 April 2024 – 31 March 2025) is \$163 254.75 (plus GST). By comparison for the year 1 April 2023 – 31 March 2024 Council paid \$141,960.65 (plus GST).
- 4.6 One of the reasons for the increased membership this year is that there is now full access to Ākona, LGNZ's professional development learning platform. This had been optional in previous years. This platform aims to provide training and information on subjects that will help build council capacity and provide skills members need to do their job well such as speechwriting, media advice, guidance on tax obligations as well as subject information like climate change, financial governance, Te Tiriti partnerships. It also provides a tool for elected members to identify their individual professional development needs. A roadmap of modules is available at: [Akona\\_roadmap.pdf \(d1pepq1a2249p5.cloudfront.net\)](https://d1pepq1a2249p5.cloudfront.net/Akona_roadmap.pdf)
- 4.7 Council also incurs some other associated costs such as hosting costs, media content, and conference attendance, including travel and accommodation, totaling approx. \$25,000 per year.
- 4.8 The Council also contributes \$7,500 to the Mayor's Taskforce for Jobs (MTFJ), which is administered by LGNZ. Ceasing to be a member of LGNZ does not preclude the Mayor/Council from continuing to participate in the MTFJ.
- 4.9 This matter was last considered by the Council on 9 December 2021 and for a full analysis of the services provided by LGNZ, please view [item 32, page 15](#).

### Options Considered Ngā Kōwhiringa Whaiwhakaaro

- 4.10 The following reasonably practicable options were considered and are assessed in this report:
  - For the Council to pay the membership subscription and continue to be a member of LGNZ, or
  - For the Council to not pay the membership subscription and cease to be a member of LGNZ.
- 4.11 The advantages and disadvantages of membership may be summarised as follows:

Advantages	Disadvantages
Participation in the discussions and deliberations of the wider local government sector on matters of national and local interest.	An annual membership cost of \$163,254.75 (GST excl) this money could be redeployed to other activities and/or services, which could include governance and staff resources for direct advocacy on matters of specific interest to Council.
Enhanced access to Central Government Ministers, MPs, and officials through attendance at LGNZ events.	There is a risk that Council may not agree with policy and/or advocacy positions that LGNZ might adopt or pursue.  Similarly, there is a risk that LGNZ may not advocate strongly enough on issues that are important to Council and the community.
Right to vote in Presidential and National Council elections.	LGNZ work programmes may not align with the Council's priorities or wishes, resulting in

	a sense that value for the membership fee is not being delivered.
Right to vote on and influence AGM remits.	<p>There are travel costs associated with attendance at LGNZ events.</p> <p>There are 6 in-person LGNZ meetings in a calendar year, ranging from Metro sector to Combined sectors to Zone 5 &amp; 6 .</p> <p>Depending on the location and the number of days attended, travel costs could range between \$3k - \$5k per person.</p> <p>Depending on Council membership there are also 4-5 in-person National Council meetings per year, but travel costs are paid for by LGNZ.</p>
Right to participate in Zone 5 & 6 meetings (held in various locations throughout the South Island), and right to participate in meetings of the Metropolitan Sector (and with Auckland no longer a member Christchurch is now the largest territorial authority member).	
Ability and right to influence LGNZ positions and advocacy.	
Access to training modules provided by LGNZ through Ākona.	
Access to membership pricing for attendance at the annual LGNZ Conference.	
24/7 counselling and support service for all elected members and access to wellbeing tools.	
Neutral third party advice to LGNZ's members.	

### Analysis Criteria Ngā Paearu Wetekina

- 4.12 A number of the reasons for remaining as a member are not readily quantifiable. The benefits are related to an overall weighting of the merits of participating in a membership body that advocates for local government both with central government and more widely, and the Council may consider it important that there is such a body. Christchurch as a large metropolitan council may consider it important that it is an active member of LGNZ to influence its advocacy on matters of importance to the Council and its communities.
- 4.13 In the alternative, the Council may consider that it can be more influential and represents the interests and concerns of its residents and ratepayers through direct advocacy with government, ministries, and other organisations both in Wellington and nationally. LGNZ with its requirement to reflect the views of its wide and varying membership is hampered in taking positions that reflect specifically the interests of the Council. Aligned to this, Council may

perceive that, while it is a large metro, it is unable to influence sufficiently LGNZ's policy and advocacy decisions it sees as important. As a consequence, Council may consider the subscription monies are better targeted to direct advocacy and representation, or redeployment on to other services and activities. Council may also consider it has sufficient resources to provide on a more direct and targeted basis the benefits that are provided by being a member.

- 4.14 If the Council considers that it should resign its membership of LGNZ, there would be some work required to identify allocation of internal resourcing to focus on the areas of advocacy and representation that better meet its needs. This resource may be able to be partially (or wholly) redirected within existing staff resource including what is utilised with supporting membership.
- 4.15 Broadly, as of 31 March 2023, LGNZ had equity, that is its assets were greater than its liabilities of \$3.76 million. Of the equity \$550,000 (rounded) was held in property, plant and equipment, and intangibles (largely software). The balance of approximately \$3.2 million is essentially held as current assets, essentially cash and cash equivalents.
- 4.16 Assuming that for the financial year ended 31 March 2024 LGNZ's financial position has not materially or substantially deteriorated, the Council's membership, or not as the case may be is unlikely to impugn LGNZ's financial viability for the current year. However, if the Council ceases to be a member it will have unwelcome financial implications for LGNZ, and consequently, it may be necessary for LGNZ to reassess its operating model and what parts of its business it prioritises.
- 4.17 More broadly, if Council does not renew its membership, is the reputational impact on LGNZ of the country's second-largest metro now ceasing to be a member.

## 5. Financial Implications Ngā Hīraunga Rauemi

### Capex/Opex Ngā Utu Whakahaere

	Option 1	Option 2
Description	Retain membership	Resign membership
Cost to Implement	\$163,254.75	\$27,209 (max of 2 months membership to provide notice) Further work is required to determine what further staff resources, if any, may be required to fill any gaps created by the absence of information and advocacy provided by LGNZ.
Maintenance/Ongoing Costs	Approx. \$25,000 per year	Estimated \$10-16k travel costs for meetings
Funding Source	Rates	Rates
Funding Availability	Provided for in LTP/AP	Funding reapplied to direct advocacy - provided for in LTP/AP
Impact on Rates	approx. 0.027%	Estimated 0.006% *

\*subject to full analysis of whether additional staff resource is required

## 6. Considerations Ngā Whai Whakaaro

### Risks and Mitigations Ngā Mōrearea me ngā Whakamātautau

- 6.1 There are disadvantages and risks in remaining a member as set out above.

- 6.2 The risks in leaving LGNZ would be the potential to be isolated within the sector, to find it challenging to have Christchurch's voice heard in isolation by the sector body, and to convey the Council's views effectively to the government.

#### Legal Considerations Ngā Hīraunga ā-Ture

- 6.3 Statutory and/or delegated authority to undertake proposals in the report:
- 6.3.1 The Council can determine whether it will renew its membership of LGNZ.
- 6.4 Other Legal Implications:
- 6.4.1 There is no legal context, issue, or implication relevant to this decision.

#### Community Impacts and Views Ngā Mariu ā-Hāpori

- 6.5 Membership of LGNZ does not directly impact the community.
- 6.6 If the Council resigns its membership, this would also apply to Community Board members and their ability to participate in their representative bodies.

#### Impact on Mana Whenua Ngā Whai Take Mana Whenua

- 6.7 The decision does not involve a significant decision in relation to ancestral land or a body of water or other elements of intrinsic value, therefore this decision does not specifically impact Mana Whenua, their culture, and traditions. This is principally because LGNZ is a membership organisation advancing the interests of the local government sector and organisations.

#### Climate Change Impact Considerations Ngā Whai Whakaaro mā te Āhuarangi

- 6.15 The proposals in this report are unlikely to contribute significantly to adaptation to the impacts of climate change or emissions reductions.

### 7. Next Steps Ngā Mahinga ā-muri

- 7.1 Should the Council decide to remain a member, the Chief Executive will arrange for the invoice to be paid. Full access to all membership benefits will continue.
- 7.2 Should the Council decide to resign its membership, notice will be given.

### Attachments Ngā Tāpirihanga

No.	Title	Reference	Page
A	Community Board Feedback ( <i>Additional Documents - Circulated Separately</i> )		

In addition to the attached documents, the following background information is available:

Document Name – Location / File Link
Not applicable

Signatories Ngā Kaiwaitohu

Authors	Helen White - General Counsel / Head of Legal & Democratic Services Niel Koch - Group Financial Controller Russell Holden - Head of Finance
Approved By	Bede Carran - General Manager Finance, Risk & Performance / Chief Financial Officer

Item 8





## 9. Heritage Incentive Grant Applications

Reference Te Tohutoro: 24/917704

Responsible Officer(s) Te Victoria Bliss, Heritage Conservation Projects Planner

Pou Matua: Victoria.Bliss@ccc.govt.nz

Accountable ELT John Higgins, General Manager Strategy, Planning & Regulatory

Member Pouwhakarae: Services

### 1. Purpose and Origin of the Report Te Pūtake Pūrongo

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is for the Council to consider applications for Heritage Incentive Grant funding for the projects listed below, noting that the recommendations can be accommodated within the funds available.
- 1.2 The report is staff generated in response to applications received for Heritage Incentive Grant funding.
- 1.3 Approval of these grants would support the Community Outcomes: “Resilient Communities”, “Liveable City” and “Prosperous Economy”.

### 2. Officer Recommendations Ngā Tūtohu

That the Council:

1. Receives the information in the Heritage Incentive Grant Applications Report.
2. Notes that the decisions in this report are assessed as low significance based on the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.
3. Approves a Heritage Incentive Grant of up to \$5,220 for conservation, repair and maintenance works to 47 Canterbury Street, Lyttelton.
4. Approves a Heritage Incentive Grant of up to \$30,231 for conservation, repair and maintenance works to 70 Rue Balguerie, Akaroa.
  - a. Notes that payment of the 70 Rue Balguerie grant is subject to the applicant entering a 10-year limited conservation covenant with the signed covenant having the Council seal affixed prior to registration against the property title.

### 3. Executive Summary Te Whakarāpopoto Matua

- 3.1 Heritage Incentive Grant funding aims to incentivise owners and kaitiaki to undertake works to protect, maintain, repair and upgrade heritage buildings, places, structures and objects. This financial support contributes to the protection of the district’s heritage now, and for future generations.
- 3.2 The Heritage Incentive Grant fund was an annual fund provided for in the 2018-28 Long Term Plan. Council approved funding to be diverted into this fund from the now closed Central City Landmark Heritage Grant Fund in 2020. The carry forward of the remaining funds of \$1,042,169 was approved for inclusion in the 2021/2031 Long Term Plan, with the resolution to spread these funds over three financial years. This equates to \$347,389 per annum for each year.
- 3.3 There is currently a balance of \$35,451 remaining in the Heritage Incentive Grant fund scheme.

- 3.4 Allocation of \$35,451 from the 2023-2024 funding provision is recommended by staff in this report.
- 3.5 The staff recommendations will support two heritage conservation projects.
- 3.6 The recommended sum of \$35,451 will support and incentivise a total investment of over \$128,415 in heritage projects across the district.
- 3.7 Both grants meet the eligibility criteria for the grant scheme, which was approved by the Sustainability and Community Resilience Committee on 17 December 2020 (SACRC/2020/000460).

#### 4. Background/Context Te Horopaki

- 4.1 The 'Our Heritage, Our Taonga' Heritage Strategy 2019-2029 was developed in partnership with the six papatipu rūnanga and together with the communities of the district. This engagement affirmed a strong community desire to understand, celebrate and protect its heritage and a recognition of the responsibility to future generations to safeguard Ōtautahi Christchurch's rich and diverse taonga.
- 4.2 The Heritage Incentive Grant Scheme supports delivery of the overarching strategic principle of "Taking an intergenerational approach to sustainable development, prioritising the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people and communities and the quality of the environment, now and into the future." This is because heritage is an intergenerational equity. It contributes to our personal and community sense of identity and belonging and enhances high levels of social connectedness and cohesion.
- 4.3 The Heritage Incentive Grant Scheme aligns to the Community Outcome "Resilient Communities" – 'celebration of our identity through arts, culture, heritage, sport and recreation' and 'strong sense of community'. It also supports "Liveable City" – '21st century garden city we are proud to live in' and "Prosperous Economy" – 'great place for people, business and investment'.
- 4.4 Applications for Heritage Incentive Grant funding received by staff are discussed below:

##### **47 Canterbury Street, Lyttelton conservation, repair and maintenance project**

- 4.5 47 Canterbury Street, Lyttelton was constructed in the early 1850s by John Marsham who also built 45 Canterbury Street. It is significant as one of the earliest cottages constructed in the township, and is also one of the few dwellings from this time which was not destroyed in the 1870 Lyttelton fire. It provides a record of the township's original streetscape as well as the living conditions of its early inhabitants. Situated prominently on the footpath, the cottage is one of a number of heritage buildings in the immediate vicinity and is included in walking tours of the township.
- 4.6 The cottage is scheduled as 'significant' in the Christchurch District Plan with historic, social and cultural as well as contextual significance. It is included in Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga's 'Lyttelton Township Historic Area'. See the attached Heritage Statement of Significance for full details (**Attachment A**).
- 4.7 The building is in need of urgent remedial repair and maintenance as its roof is failing and leaking. The building is no longer weathertight which is threatening its structural integrity. The works are required to prevent ongoing deterioration and are urgent as they are required before winter weather arrives, and the structural integrity of the building is seriously compromised.

- 4.8 The total project cost is \$17,400, and the eligible works total \$17,400. This includes replacement of the roof with new iron, relacement flashings and ridges.
- 4.9 Staff are recommending a grant of \$5,220 (which equates to 30% of eligible costs).
- 4.10 The sum recommended is based on the availability of funding; the heritage values of the building and its significance as a rare surviving example of a very early, pre-fire timber cottage in the township; the contribution the proposed work will make to the retention of the building; the extent to which the works protect the heritage fabric and values; the contribution the building makes to the wider heritage values of the area, and the extent to which the building is highly visible to the public. Staff also note the high risk of damage to the building's structure if ongoing water ingress is not addressed.
- 4.11 The applicant for the grant is the owner Terri Gledhill.
- 4.12 The decision affects the following wards/Community Board areas:
  - 4.12.1 Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula.
  - 4.12.2 Te Hapū o Ngāti Wheke hold mana whenua rights and interests in the area.



47 Canterbury Street, Lyttelton. Image from CCC files.

#### **70 Rue Balguerie, Akaroa conservation, repair and maintenance project**

- 4.13 The dwelling at 70 Rue Balgueri, Akaroa was constructed in the 1870s and designed by Christchurch architect AW Simpson. One of the grandest homes built at the time, it was originally named Linton, but is now more widely known as 'The Giant's House'.
- 4.14 Purchased in 2008 by internationally renowned artist Josie Martin, the dwelling is set in extensive landscaped gardens which display Martin's mosaic sculptures. The garden is designated a "Garden of National Significance, 6 stars" by the New Zealand Gardens Trust and it is open to the public 364 days a year. In addition to the open garden, tours of the dwelling are available, and the house and gardens regularly feature in magazines, television shows, books and articles both in New Zealand and internationally. [The Giants House](#)

- 4.15 The dwelling is scheduled as ‘highly significant’ in the Christchurch District Plan, notably for its high historical and social, cultural and architectural significance. It is also listed by Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga as a Category 2 Historic Place. See the attached Heritage Statement of Significance for full details (**Attachment B**).
- 4.16 The building is in urgent need of remedial repair and maintenance as its timber cladding and exterior joinery is failing. A number of weatherboards have completely rotted, others are decaying, and the whole dwelling requires repainting to protect and maintain its exterior envelope. Repairs are also required to the roof as it is leaking and no longer weathertight which is threatening the structure of the building and damaging its original heritage fabric. The works are required to prevent ongoing deterioration and are urgent as they are required before winter weather arrives, and the structural integrity of the building is seriously compromised.
- 4.17 The total project cost is \$111,015 and the eligible works total \$111,015. This includes repair and replacement of weatherboards and timber joinery, repairs to the roof, flashings and rainware, and exterior repainting.
- 4.18 Staff are recommending a grant of \$30,231 (which equates to just under 30% of eligible costs).
- 4.19 The sum recommended is based on the availability of funding; the high heritage significance of the dwelling; the contribution the proposed works will make to the retention of the building; the extent to which the works protect the heritage fabric and values; the contribution the building makes to the wider heritage values of the area, and the extent to which the building is highly publically accessible. Staff also note the contribution the Giant’s House makes to Akaroa township in attracting visitors, and the high risk of damage to the building’s structure if ongoing water ingress is not addressed.
- 4.20 The applicant for the grant is the owner Josie Martin.
- 4.21 The decision affects the following wards/Community Board areas:
- 4.21.1 Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula.
- 4.21.2 Ōnuku Rūnanga hold mana whenua rights and interests in these areas.



Image from The Giants house website: copyright © 2024 The Giants House. (All Rights Reserved.)



Summary table of these grants are as follows:

Applicant	Project Name	Total eligible costs	Amount Recommended
Terri Gledhill	47 Canterbury Street, Lyttelton conservation, repair and maintenance project	\$17,400	\$5,220
Josie Martin	70 Rue Balguerie, Akaroa conservation, repair and maintenance project	\$111,015	\$30,231
<b>Totals</b>		<b>\$128,415</b>	<b>\$35,451</b>

Item 9

### Options Considered Ngā Kōwhiringa Whaiwhakaaro

4.22 The following reasonably practicable options were considered and are assessed in this report:

- Approving the grants at the funding levels recommended by staff.
- Recommending a higher or lower level of funding.

4.23 The following options were considered but ruled out:

- Declining the grant applications. The option was ruled out as declining the applications would not support the conservation of highly significant and significant heritage items; would reduce positive community wellbeing outcomes; would not align with the Heritage Strategy and is not consistent with the Heritage Incentive Grant Fund – Guidelines (2020).

### Options Descriptions Ngā Kōwhiringa

4.24 **Preferred Option:** Grant funding allocated as per staff recommendations.

**Option Description:** The staff recommendations are for grants of approximately 30% of eligible costs.

- The maximum grant allowed by the scheme is 50% of eligible works.
- The recommendations are based on assessment against the scheme's 'Criteria for Assessment of Applications', and with consideration of the availability of funding, the significance of the heritage item and the level of risk if funding is not approved.
- Details and analysis for the recommended sums are included above in Section 4, 'Background', specifically in 4.10 and 4.19.

#### 4.24.1 Option Advantages

- The levels of funding recommended support the conservation, maintenance and upgrade of highly significant and significant heritage places and items and ensures their ongoing retention and use for future generations.
- The levels of funding are sufficient to incentivise considerable investment by owners and kaitiaki in the heritage items.
- The levels of funding support the retention of places and items which in addition to their heritage significance achieve community social, economic and cultural wellbeing outcomes.
- The recommended levels of funding can be accommodated within the available budget.

#### 4.24.2 Option Disadvantages

- By not providing higher levels of funding, projects may need to be reduced in scope or undertaken over a longer time period.

4.25 **Recommending a higher level or lower level of funding.**

**Option Description:** Recommending a higher level or lower level of funding.

- The Guidelines allow for 0-50% of the eligible works to be awarded funding.
- Under this option a percentage of up to 50% or as low as 1% could be recommended.
- The total remaining Heritage Incentive Grant fund budget is \$35,451

4.25.1 **Option Advantages**

- Higher levels of funding would increase support of highly significant and significant heritage places and objects.
- Lower levels of funding could provide funding for other applications should they be received. However staff note that there is no carry forward on this grant scheme and no confirmation for ongoing Heritage grant funding after 30 June 2024.

4.25.2 **Option Disadvantages**

- Higher level of funding: there is limited funding available in the Heritage Incentive Grant scheme and a higher level of funding is not available. Increasing the sum recommended to one application would reduce the funding available to the other project.
- Lower level of funding: staff have carefully considered the applications and the relative benefits and positive outcomes of each project. Staff consider that the projects are unlikely to proceed with a reduced level of funding which risks the ongoing deterioration and potential loss of significant heritage taonga for future generations.

**Analysis Criteria Ngā Paearu Wetekina**

- 4.26 Degree to which the purpose of the Heritage Incentive Grant Scheme is achieved.
- 4.27 Availability of funding.
- 4.28 Consideration of the Heritage Incentive Grants Policy –Guidelines 2020 ‘Assessment’ criteria.
- 4.29 Degree to which the projects achieve the pou of the ‘Our Heritage, Our Taonga’ Heritage Strategy 2019-2029: Manaakitanga, Tohungatanga, Kaitiakitanga, Rangatiratanga and Wairuatanga.
- 4.30 Impact on mana whenua, noting that the six papatipu rūnanga hold the mana whenua rights and interests over the district and are partners in the ‘Our Heritage, Our Taonga’ Heritage Strategy 2019-2029.
- 4.31 Degree to which the works align with the International Council on Monument and Sites (ICOMOS) New Zealand Charter 2010 (conservation principles).
- 4.32 Extent to which the projects achieve delivery of the overarching strategic principle of “Taking an intergenerational approach to sustainable development, prioritising the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people and communities and the quality of the environment, now and into the future.”
- 4.33 Extent to which the projects achieve delivery of the Community Outcome “Resilient Communities” – ‘celebration of our identity through arts, culture, heritage, sport and recreation’ and ‘strong sense of community’.

- 4.34 Extent to which outcomes achieved support delivery of Te Haumako Te Whitingia Strengthening Communities Together Strategy Pillars of People, Place, Participation and Preparedness.

## 5. Financial Implications Ngā Hīraunga Rauemi

### Capex/Opex Ngā Utu Whakahaere

	Recommended Option	Option 2 – Higher/ Lower level of funding
Cost to Implement	\$35,451	+/- \$35,451
Maintenance/Ongoing Costs	none	none
Funding Source	2021/2031 Long Term Plan	2021/2031 Long Term Plan
Funding Availability	\$35,451	\$35,451
Impact on Rates	None - HIGs are an existing budgeted level of service	None - HIGs are an existing budgeted level of service

- 5.1 The decisions relate to the allocation of an existing Council grant fund.

## 6. Considerations Ngā Whai Whakaaro

### Risks and Mitigations Ngā Mōrearea me ngā Whakamātautau

- 6.1 The grant scheme only allows funds to be paid out upon completion of the works; certification by Council staff that the works have been undertaken in alignment with the ICOMOS NZ Charter 2010; presentation of receipts and confirmation of the conservation covenant (if required) having been registered against the property title or on the Personal Properties Securities Register. This ensures that the grant scheme is effective and that funds are not diverted or lost.
- 6.2 There is a risk of loss of significant and highly significant heritage places and items if funding is not available to support the conservation projects.

### Legal Considerations Ngā Hīraunga ā-Ture

- 6.3 Statutory and/or delegated authority to undertake proposals in the report:
- 6.3.1 The delegated authority for Heritage Incentive Grants decisions was with the Sustainability and Community Resilience Committee but as this committee is no longer sitting, this report is being submitted to Council.
- 6.4 Other Legal Implications:
- 6.4.1 There are no legal contexts, issues, or implications relevant to these decision.

### Strategy and Policy Considerations Te Whai Kaupapa here

- 6.5 The required decisions:
- 6.5.1 Align with the [Christchurch City Council's Strategic Framework](#). The Heritage Incentive Grant Scheme aligns to the Community Outcome “Resilient Communities” – ‘celebration of our identity through arts, culture, heritage, sport and recreation’ and ‘strong sense of community’. They also support “Liveable City” – ‘21st century garden city we are proud to live in’ and “Prosperous Economy” – ‘great place for people, business and investment’.
- 6.5.2 Are assessed as low significance based on the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy. The level of significance is determined by the heritage significance of the items, the cultural and community wellbeing outcomes of the

projects, the amount of funding requested, and the fact that Council has approved Heritage Incentive Grant funds for allocation in the 2023/2024 financial year. There are no engagement requirements in the Heritage Incentive Grant Fund – Guidelines 2020 for this grant scheme.

6.6 This report supports the [Council's Long Term Plan \(2021 - 2031\)](#):

6.7 Strategic Planning and Policy

6.7.1 Activity: Strategic Planning, Future Development and Regeneration:

- Level of Service: 1.4.2 Effectively administer grants within this Activity (including Heritage Incentive Grants, Enliven Places, Innovation and Sustainability) - 100% compliance with agreed management and administration procedures for grants

### **Community Impacts and Views Ngā Mariu ā-Hāpori**

6.8 The decision affects the following wards/Community Board areas:

6.8.1 Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula.

6.8.2 Te Hapū o Ngāti Wheke and Ōnuku Rūnanga hold mana whenua rights and interests in these areas.

### **Impact on Mana Whenua Ngā Whai Take Mana Whenua**

6.9 The decisions do not involve a significant decision in relation to ancestral land or a body of water or other elements of intrinsic value, but do specifically impact Mana Whenua, their culture and traditions.

6.10 The decisions involve a matter of interest to Mana Whenua and could impact on our agreed partnership priorities with Ngā Papatipu Rūnanga.

6.11 The six papatipu rūnanga hold the mana whenua rights and interests over the district and are partners in the Our Heritage, Our Taonga - Heritage Strategy 2019-2029. Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga, Te Hapū o Ngāti Wheke, Te Rūnanga o Koukourārata, Wairewa Rūnanga, Ōnuku Rūnanga and Te Taumutu Rūnanga are primary kaitiaki for the taonga tuku iho of the district. They are guardians for elements of mātauranga Māori reaching back through many generations and are a significant partner in the strategy implementation.

### **Climate Change Impact Considerations Ngā Whai Whakaaro mā te Āhuarangi**

6.12 The decisions in this report are likely to:

6.12.1 Contribute positively to adaptation to the impacts of climate change.

6.12.2 Contribute positively to emissions reductions.

6.12.3 Support the retention of heritage buildings and the embodied energy within them.

Retention and reuse of heritage buildings can contribute to emissions reduction and mitigate the effects of climate change. Retaining and reusing existing built stock reduces our carbon footprint and extends the economic life of buildings.





## **7. Next Steps Ngā Mahinga ā-muri**

7.1 Applicants will be notified of the outcome of their grant applications.





Attachments Ngā Tāpirihanga

No.	Title	Reference	Page
A  	Attachment A: 47 Canterbury Street, Lyttelton: Heritage Statement of Significance	24/922356	62
B  	Attachment B: 70 Rue Balguerie, Akaroa: Heritage Statement of Significance	24/922357	66

In addition to the attached documents, the following background information is available:

Document Name – Location / File Link
Not applicable

Signatories Ngā Kaiwaitohu

Author	Victoria Bliss - Heritage Conservation Projects Planner
Approved By	Brendan Smyth - Team Leader Heritage Mark Stevenson - Acting Head of Planning & Consents John Higgins - General Manager Strategy, Planning & Regulatory Services

DISTRICT PLAN – LISTED HERITAGE PLACE  
HERITAGE ASSESSMENT – STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE  
HERITAGE ITEM NUMBER 1105  
***DWELLING AND SETTING - 47 CANTERBURY STREET,  
LYTTELTON***



PHOTOGRAPH: BRENDAN SMYTH, 2014

**HISTORICAL AND SOCIAL SIGNIFICANCE**

*Historical and social values that demonstrate or are associated with: a particular person, group, organisation, institution, event, phase or activity; the continuity and/or change of a phase or activity; social, historical, traditional, economic, political or other patterns.*

The dwelling at 47 Canterbury Street has social and historical significance as one of the earliest remaining colonial cottages in Lyttelton and for its association with the Cook family. The cottage, along with that at 45 Canterbury Street, was built by John Parker Marshman as an investment property for rental soon after he acquired the land in 1851. Marshman held several senior civil service positions in Canterbury including general manager of Canterbury Railways and Commissioner of Crown Lands. He sold the cottage in 1855 and it passed through two more owners before being bought by Alfred Rhodes in 1858. Rhodes was employed as a boatman for both the Otago and the Canterbury Association's surveyors in the 1840s. Research to date suggests that Rhodes built the cottage at 49 Canterbury Street in 1869. Rhodes sold 47 Canterbury Street, along with 45 and 49, to John Thompson in 1871.

Thompson worked as a civil engineer and was instrumental in bridging the Rakaia and Hurunui Rivers. In 1894 he was elected mayor of the Lyttelton borough, but died a year later. All three cottages remained in the ownership of his family until 1899 when they were subdivided and sold off individually. 47 Canterbury Street was sold to butcher Colin Cook and remained in his family for almost 70 years, before being sold in 1967. Cook (c.1858-1913) was a prominent member of the Lyttelton community being president of the Lyttelton Rowing Club and the Gymnasium Club, Commodore of the Canterbury Yacht Club, a member of the Lyttelton Harbour Board, member of the North Canterbury Hospital Board, Lyttelton Borough Councillor several times and mayor from 1903-1909. It remains in use as a residential dwelling.

### **CULTURAL AND SPIRITUAL SIGNIFICANCE**

*Cultural and spiritual values that demonstrate or are associated with the distinctive characteristics of a way of life, philosophy, tradition, religion, or other belief, including: the symbolic or commemorative value of the place; significance to Tangata Whenua; and/or associations with an identifiable group and esteemed by this group for its cultural values.*

The dwelling has cultural significance as an example of a colonial cottage built for rental purposes. The small size of the dwelling and the number of rooms within it reflect the way of life of its 19<sup>th</sup> century tenant residents

### **ARCHITECTURAL AND AESTHETIC SIGNIFICANCE**

*Architectural and aesthetic values that demonstrate or are associated with: a particular style, period or designer, design values, form, scale, colour, texture and material of the place.*

The dwelling has architectural and aesthetic significance as a mid-19<sup>th</sup> century, single-storey timber cottage with a metal roof. It is an example of the vernacular style in colonial domestic architecture. The street front windows were replaced with casement and fanlight type fenestration in the 1960s and a large extension was made to the rear of the cottage in the mid-1990s. The cottage still reads as a small, colonial building from the street.

### **TECHNOLOGICAL AND CRAFTSMANSHIP SIGNIFICANCE**

*Technological and craftsmanship values that demonstrate or are associated with: the nature and use of materials, finishes and/or technological or constructional methods which were innovative, or of notable quality for the period.*

The cottage at 47 Canterbury Street has technological and craftsmanship significance for its ability to demonstrate cottage construction techniques from the mid-19<sup>th</sup> century. Its construction, materials and detailing evidence the period in which it was built.

### **CONTEXTUAL SIGNIFICANCE**

*Contextual values that demonstrate or are associated with: a relationship to the environment (constructed and natural), a landscape, setting, group, precinct or streetscape; a degree of consistency in terms of type, scale, form, materials, texture, colour, style and/or detail;*

*recognised landmarks and landscape which are recognised and contribute to the unique identity of the environment.*

The dwelling and its setting has contextual significance for its relationship with nos. 45 and 49 Canterbury Street. Together the three dwellings constitute a group of early colonial cottages that contributes to the historic character of Canterbury Street. The setting includes a narrow parcel of land, on which the cottage occupies almost the full width. There is a small garden on the street front, bordered by a picket fence and an area of open space and garden at the rear of the cottage. Two listed houses are located on either side of the cottage.

#### **ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND SCIENTIFIC SIGNIFICANCE**

*Archaeological or scientific values that demonstrate or are associated with: the potential to provide information through physical or scientific evidence an understanding about social historical, cultural, spiritual, technological or other values of past events, activities, structures or people.*

The dwelling and its setting have archaeological significance because of the potential to provide archaeological evidence relating to past building construction methods and materials, and human activity on the site, including that which occurred prior to 1900.

#### **ASSESSMENT STATEMENT**

The dwelling and its setting at 47 Canterbury Street has overall significance to Banks Peninsula and Christchurch. It has social and historical significance as one of the earliest remaining colonial cottages in Lyttelton and for its association with the Cook family. The cottage has cultural significance as a demonstration of a colonial way of life and architectural and aesthetic significance as a mid-19<sup>th</sup> century vernacular dwelling. The dwelling at 45 Canterbury Street has technological and craftsmanship significance for its ability to demonstrate cottage construction techniques from the mid-19<sup>th</sup> century. The cottage has contextual significance as one of a group of early colonial cottages that contribute to the historic streetscape of Canterbury Street. The dwelling and its setting has archaeological significance in view of the date at which development first occurred on this property.

#### **REFERENCES:**

Christchurch City Council Heritage File *47 Canterbury Street, Lyttelton*  
Wright, Gareth, *Background Information Listed heritage Place, Cottages: 45, 47 (1851), 49 (c.1870) Canterbury St*, 2009  
'Tragic Death of Mr Colin Cook'. *Press* 1 May 1913, p. 7

**REPORT DATED: 25 FEBRUARY, 2015**

PLEASE NOTE THIS ASSESSMENT IS BASED ON INFORMATION AVAILABLE AT THE TIME OF WRITING. DUE TO THE ONGOING NATURE OF HERITAGE RESEARCH, FUTURE REASSESSMENT OF THIS HERITAGE ITEM MAY BE NECESSARY TO REFLECT ANY CHANGES IN KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF ITS HERITAGE SIGNIFICANCE.

PLEASE USE IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE CCC HERITAGE FILES.

DISTRICT PLAN – LISTED HERITAGE PLACE  
HERITAGE ASSESSMENT – STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE  
HERITAGE ITEM NUMBER 667  
***DWELLING AND SETTING, LINTON -  
70 RUE BALGUERIE, AKAROA***



**PHOTOGRAPH: BRENDAN SMYTH, 2014**

**HISTORICAL AND SOCIAL SIGNIFICANCE**

*Historical and social values that demonstrate or are associated with: a particular person, group, organisation, institution, event, phase or activity; the continuity and/or change of a phase or activity; social, historical, traditional, economic, political or other patterns.*

70 Rue Balguerie has high historical and social significance through its long association with the Westenra family and its later association with artist Josie Martin. The first title for the site of 70 Rue Balguerie was given to Pierre Darmandaritz who was a master mariner from Le Havre, France and was the first French whaling captain to visit Akaroa in 1836. Arthur Henry Westenra purchased the property in 1877 and constructed the dwelling. Westenra was the manager of the Bank of New Zealand branch in Akaroa, a position he held off and on until 1881. The two-storey house 'Linton' was designed in 1880 by Christchurch architect AW Simpson and is one of the grander houses built in Akaroa during the colonial period. Construction was finished in 1881. Work on the ground continued following construction of the house. In 1886 Westenra attempted to sell the property and again in 1916. In between he had spent time living in Linwood in Christchurch. Westenra was unable to sell the property

and it remained in his family until 1961. The property was subdivided in 1958 and in 1961 the local fisherman who purchased Linton also purchased three other back sections. Following this the property passed through several owners, with additional subdivisions in 1973 and 1979. In 1989 the then owners purchased back much of the area that had been subdivided off in 1979 meaning the property is now 2317m<sup>2</sup>. Further ownership changes have occurred since

In 2008 Josie Martin, a painter, sculptor and mixed media artist who has been exhibiting in New Zealand and internationally since 1981, opened the 'Giant's House Art Gallery' on the property and established a sculpture garden. This together with the restored Linton garden has achieved the status of a 'Garden of National Significance'. She has also hosted international art workshops at Linton. Josie Martin currently hosts art and garden tours at Linton for the public.

#### **CULTURAL AND SPIRITUAL SIGNIFICANCE**

*Cultural and spiritual values that demonstrate or are associated with the distinctive characteristics of a way of life, philosophy, tradition, religion, or other belief, including: the symbolic or commemorative value of the place; significance to Tangata Whenua; and/or associations with an identifiable group and esteemed by this group for its cultural values.*

70 Rue Balguerie has high cultural significance as an illustration of the way of life of the early European settlers in Akaroa. It is a tangible example of the cultural habit of generational longevity of tenure which often happens in more rural or isolated areas. It also has cultural significance as an art gallery which is open to the public.

#### **ARCHITECTURAL AND AESTHETIC SIGNIFICANCE**

*Architectural and aesthetic values that demonstrate or are associated with: a particular style, period or designer, design values, form, scale, colour, texture and material of the place.*

The two storey house 'Linton' has high architectural and aesthetic significance as one of the grander houses built in Akaroa in the colonial period. It was designed by Christchurch architect AW Simpson who also designed the second Theatre Royal, erected in Christchurch in 1872 and the Gaiety Theatre in Akaroa, erected in 1879. As is the case for both these buildings 'Linton' has classical detailing rendered in wood and is a timber dwelling with a hipped, corrugated iron roof. The main façade faces north and has a veranda at ground floor level with a rectangular bay at first floor level. The bay has arched windows with mock pilasters and keystone scrolls and is topped by a pediment. This window detailing occurs only on the main façade. The external weatherboards are not original and have been replaced and clad in a metal finish.

Internally there are five rooms on the ground floor. There is also a large hallway with a barrel vaulted roof, containing a sweeping staircase constructed of mahogany imported from France. The front of the house had a formal dining room (now a lounge) and a drawing room (now a bedroom), both of which have decorative plaster ceilings. To the rear is a kitchen, bathroom and bedroom. The first floor has four more bedrooms and a bathroom. Both floors have a 12 foot stud. Much of the timber used for construction was totara, but kauri is exclusively used for doors, joinery and the match lining in the kitchen. On the eastern side the former drawing room opened onto a conservatory which was demolished but has been rebuilt. A bay window has been added to the former dining room on the western side. Additional architectural significance is attached to the property through the replacement of a lean-to at the rear of the house dating from the 1950s being replaced in 2008 by a new workshop and garage on the ground floor, with an art gallery above, designed by the well-known Christchurch architect Sir Miles Warren.

#### **TECHNOLOGICAL AND CRAFTSMANSHIP SIGNIFICANCE**

*Technological and craftsmanship values that demonstrate or are associated with: the nature and use of materials, finishes and/or technological or constructional methods which were innovative, or of notable quality for the period.*

70 Rue Balguerie has technological and craftsmanship significance for the information it may provide of mid-nineteenth century building practices, methodologies and materials and the craftsmanship and technology used in the creation of the sculpture garden. The materials, fixings and construction are likely to typify this era of timber construction in Akaroa. It also demonstrates technological and craftsmanship significance through the provision of a rare local example of elaborate interior decoration on a grand scale, notably the plaster ceilings and the imported staircase. Additional significance is demonstrated through the fact that by the early 20<sup>th</sup> century Linton had hot and cold running water on both floors which was unusual for the time.

#### **CONTEXTUAL SIGNIFICANCE**

*Contextual values that demonstrate or are associated with: a relationship to the environment (constructed and natural), a landscape, setting, group, precinct or streetscape; a degree of consistency in terms of type, scale, form, materials, texture, colour, style and/or detail; recognised landmarks and landscape which are recognised and contribute to the unique identity of the environment.*

70 Rue Balguerie has contextual significance as a grand house set in extensive, landscaped grounds which have been restored and also turned into a sculpture park. The garden is now designated a 'Garden of National Significance'. It is also distinguished from local cottages by being set back from the road and shielded from public view.

#### **ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND SCIENTIFIC SIGNIFICANCE**

*Archaeological or scientific values that demonstrate or are associated with: the potential to provide information through physical or scientific evidence an understanding about social historical, cultural, spiritual, technological or other values of past events, activities, structures or people.*

The dwelling and its setting are of archaeological significance because they have the potential to provide archaeological evidence relating to past building construction methods and materials, features associated with the early formal gardens and human activity on the site, including that which occurred prior to 1900.

#### **ASSESSMENT STATEMENT**

70 Rue Balguerie, Linton, and its setting has overall high heritage significance to Christchurch and Banks Peninsula for its longevity of ownership by the Westenra family and its more recent association with New Zealand artist Josie Martin. It has high historical and social significance for its association with A H Westenra, Akaroa's colonial bank manager for whom the house was constructed in 1880. The property has considerable cultural significance through the cultural societal habit of longevity of generational family ownership in this area and as the residence and art gallery of Josie Martin which is now open to the public. The dwelling has high architectural and aesthetic significance as a large decorative timber residence from the early 1880s designed by Christchurch architect AW Simpson and for an extension in the early 21<sup>st</sup> century designed by Christchurch architect Sir Miles Warren. Linton and its setting has technological and craftsmanship significance for the information it may provide of mid-nineteenth century building practices, methodologies and materials and the craftsmanship and technology used in the creation of the sculpture garden. It also has



technological and craftsmanship significance through the use of elaborate internal decoration and early running water to the rooms. The building has contextual significance through its setting in large landscaped grounds containing sculptural works. It is set on the hill well back from the road in contrast to local cottages from the same era. The dwelling and its setting are of archaeological significance because they have the potential to provide archaeological evidence relating to past building construction methods and materials, features associated with the early formal gardens and human activity on the site, including that which occurred prior to 1900.

**REFERENCES:**

Christchurch City Council, *Heritage File, Linton, 70 Rue Balguerie*  
Wood, Dr Vaughan, *Background Information Listed heritage item, 70 Rue Balguerie, 'Linton',*  
2013

**REPORT DATED:** 30/04/2014

PLEASE NOTE THIS ASSESSMENT IS BASED ON INFORMATION AVAILABLE AT THE TIME OF WRITING. DUE TO THE ONGOING NATURE OF HERITAGE RESEARCH, FUTURE REASSESSMENT OF THIS HERITAGE ITEM MAY BE NECESSARY TO REFLECT ANY CHANGES IN KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF ITS HERITAGE SIGNIFICANCE.

PLEASE USE IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE CCC HERITAGE FILES.



## 10. Staff Submission: Local Government (Water Services Preliminary Arrangements) Bill

Reference Te Tohutoro: 24/1003908

Responsible Officer(s) Te Pou Matua: David Griffiths, Head of Strategic Policy and Resilience

Accountable ELT Member Pouwhakarae: John Higgins, General Manager Strategy, Planning & Regulatory Services

### 1. Purpose and Origin of the Report Te Pūtake Pūrongo

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to share the staff submission on the [Local Government \(Water Services Preliminary Arrangements\) Bill](#) with the Mayor and Councillors.

### 2. Officer Recommendations Ngā Tūtohu

That the Council:

1. Receives the information in the Staff Submission: Local Government (Water Services Preliminary Arrangements) Bill Report.
2. Notes the submission is being lodged with the Finance and Expenditure Select Committee on Thursday 13 June 2024.

### 3. Background/Context Te Horopaki

- 3.1 Following the repeal of the previous government's *Affordable Waters* legislation, the Coalition Government initiated the *Local Water Done Well (LWDW)* programme.
- 3.2 On 31 May 2024, the *Local Government (Water Services Preliminary Arrangements) Bill* was introduced into Parliament and referred to the Finance and Expenditure Select Committee. The Minister set a very short report-back deadline for the Select Committee (7 weeks, rather than the normal 6 months for Bills). This significantly compressed the time available for the submissions process. Public consultation ran 4 - 13 June 2024.
- 3.3 Due to the limited timeframe, Council staff were unable to go through the usual process of briefing and seeking feedback from elected members on a submission. A staff submission was completed instead, and elected member feedback on the Bill invited prior to finalising this.

### 4. Considerations Ngā Whai Whakaaro

#### **Cross-Council input**



- 4.1 Staff have drafted a submission that includes input from various service areas and examines the potential impacts on our operations and service delivery.
- 4.2 The short deadline for submissions limited staff ability to engage with elected members and the community as thoroughly as desired. Despite this, staff understood the importance of the Bill and its potential impact on local government and our communities, and have developed a thorough submission within the time constraints.

#### **Supplementary information to Select Committee**



- 4.3 Elected members may wish to provide additional feedback to the Select Committee. While this is possible, it is important to note that developing additional feedback now may pre-empt discussions the Council has yet to have regarding how to implement the LWDW framework.
- 4.4 Staff also note this is the second of three Bills, with the third due at the end of the year. The third Bill will be significant in terms of Council decision-making on the delivery of its water services, and its contents are not known at this point.
- 4.5 Staff are preparing an approach and timeline for examining and discussing the LWDW programme. An update on this will be provided soon.

Attachments Ngā Tāpirihanga

No.	Title	Reference	Page
A  	Staff submission on the Local Government (Water Services Preliminary Arrangements) Bill	24/1010441	73

In addition to the attached documents, the following background information is available:

Document Name - Location / File Link
Not applicable

Signatories Ngā Kaiwaitohu

Authors	Sharna O'Neil - Policy Analyst Luke Adams - Principal Advisor Policy
Approved By	Elizabeth Wilson - Team Leader Policy David Griffiths - Head of Strategic Policy & Resilience John Higgins - General Manager Strategy, Planning & Regulatory Services

13 June 2024

03 941 8999

53 Hereford Street  
Christchurch 8013

PO Box 73013  
Christchurch 8154

[ccc.govt.nz](http://ccc.govt.nz)

Committee Secretariat  
Finance and Expenditure Committee  
Parliament Buildings  
Wellington

Email: [fe@parliament.govt.nz](mailto:fe@parliament.govt.nz)

## Christchurch City Council submission on the Local Government (Water Services Preliminary Arrangements) Bill

1. Christchurch City Council (the Council) welcomes the opportunity to provide feedback on the *Local Government (Water Services Preliminary Arrangements) Bill* (the Bill).
2. We recognise the importance of addressing water infrastructure challenges and ensuring the delivery of sustainable water services to our communities. The Council supports the overall intent of the Bill to establish a framework for local government management and delivery of water services.
3. We also appreciate the Department of Internal Affairs for meeting with the Council and presenting an update on the Local Water Done Well framework, which has been invaluable in helping us understand its implications.
4. However, we have several concerns regarding the Bill's provisions and their potential impact on local councils, particularly concerning the feasibility of implementation within the proposed timelines and the alignment with the specific needs and capacities of local governments.
5. Our feedback and recommendations are provided in the following section. In summary, it concentrates on:
  - a. **Consultation Timeframe:** We are concerned about the limited time for providing feedback and recommend extending the consultation period for future Bills (refer to paragraphs 6 to 8).
  - b. **Content Requirements of Water Service Delivery Plans:** We recommend focusing and tailoring the required content for Water Service Delivery Plans, providing clear guidelines, and offering additional support to councils (refer to paragraph 9).
  - c. **Planning and Timelines:** We recommend extending the deadline for submitting plans, a. extending the plan's horizon to 30-years, providing interim guidance, offering additional support, and building flexibility into the planning process (refer to paragraphs 10 to 12).
  - d. **Duplication of Reporting Requirements:** We recommend streamlining reporting requirements, establishing data-sharing agreements, developing a standardised reporting framework, and conducting regular reviews (refer to paragraph 13).
  - e. **Emphasis on Financial Sustainability:** We recommend adopting a balanced approach to sustainability, integrating sustainability targets and indicators, requiring environmental impact assessments, and mandating resilience planning (refer to paragraph 14).

- f. **Lack of Clarity on Council Controlled Organisations (CCOs):** We recommend providing detailed guidelines on financial separation, establishing rules on financial bailouts, and defining revenue-raising powers (refer to paragraph 17).
- g. **Streamlining Consultation Requirements for all Delivery Models:** We recommend extending streamlined processes to all models and providing guidance and support (refer to paragraph 18).
- h. **Additional Recommendations:** We recommend incorporating provisions on environmental impact and resilience, providing technical assistance and capacity building, and developing a monitoring and evaluation framework (refer to paragraph 19).

## Feedback on the Local Government (Water Services Preliminary Arrangements) Bill

### *Consultation Timeframe*

- 6. The Council is deeply concerned about the compressed timeframe for providing feedback on this significant piece of legislation. The tight deadline hinders our ability to thoroughly review and assess the potential impacts, especially as our resources are currently dedicated to finalising our Long Term Plan.
- 7. This limited time also restricts our ability to engage meaningfully with our elected members and the wider community, which is crucial for gathering comprehensive insights and formulating well-considered responses. A rushed review process risks incomplete or inadequate feedback, potentially overlooking crucial details and undermining the democratic process.
- 8. We strongly recommend extending the consultation period for the forthcoming related Bills over the next 12 months to facilitate a more inclusive, informed, and ultimately more effective feedback process.

### *Content Requirements of Water Service Delivery Plans (Clause 11)*

- 9. The extensive content requirements outlined in Clause 11 of the Bill may impose a significant administrative burden on councils, particularly smaller ones with limited resources. The broad and detailed nature of the required information could lead to duplication of efforts and excessive documentation, detracting from the actual delivery of water services. To address this, we recommend the following:
  - a. **Focused and Specific Content Requirements:** Amend Clause 11 to ensure content requirements are clear, specific, and avoid duplication. Focus on essential information that is directly relevant to the delivery of sustainable and affordable water services.
  - b. **Tailored Requirements Based on Council Size and Complexity:** Tailor content requirements based on the size and complexity of each council to prevent undue burdens on smaller councils. This will ensure that the requirements are proportionate and achievable for all local governments.
  - c. **Clear Guidelines and Templates:** Provide clear guidelines and templates to ensure that the requirements are relevant and proportionate to the size and complexity of each council. This will help streamline the planning process and reduce unnecessary administrative burdens.
  - d. **Additional Support for Councils that require it:** Offer additional support and resources to councils as required, such as funding, training, and access to expert advice, to help them

meet the requirements of the Bill. This will ensure that all councils, regardless of their size or complexity, can effectively develop and implement water service delivery plans.

*Planning and Timelines (Clause 13)*

10. The 12-month deadline for submitting water services delivery plans is overly ambitious and may not be feasible for all councils. This challenge is compounded by the lack of detailed information on forthcoming legislation and requirements, making it difficult to develop comprehensive and compliant plans within the given timeframe. We recommend the following:
  - a. **Extended Deadline and Triggered Start:** Extend the deadline for submitting water services delivery plans to 24 months, starting only when all relevant details of the upcoming legislation and requirements are available. This will ensure that councils have sufficient time and information to develop robust plans.
  - b. **Interim Guidance:** Provide clear and detailed guidance on expected requirements and any known elements of future legislation in the interim. This will help councils begin preliminary planning and identify key areas of focus while awaiting full legislative details.
  - c. **Additional Support:** Offer additional support and resources to councils with complex arrangements or those exploring collaborative approaches. This could include expert advice, funding for planning activities, and tools for effective collaboration.
  - d. **Flexible Planning Process:** Build flexibility into the planning process to allow for adjustments as new information becomes available. This could include mechanisms for updating plans and timelines based on evolving legislative requirements and local circumstances.

*Water Service Delivery Plan Horizon (Clause 11)*

11. The Bill's current requirement for a 10-year planning horizon for water service delivery plans is insufficient for addressing the long-term challenges and investment needs of water infrastructure. Given the long lifespan of water assets and the evolving nature of climate change and population growth, a 10-year timeframe does not adequately capture the full scope of financial and operational considerations necessary for sustainable water services.
12. To ensure the long-term financial sustainability and resilience of water services, we recommend:
  - a. **Extending the Plan's Horizon to 30 Years:** Amending Clause 11 to require service delivery plans to cover a period of at least 30 consecutive financial years would enable councils to develop comprehensive long-term financial projections, plan for infrastructure upgrades and renewals, address climate change and environmental risks, and align water service delivery with the long-term needs of their communities. By considering factors such as asset depreciation, renewal cycles, population growth, and climate change impacts, councils can make informed decisions about investment priorities, funding mechanisms, and tariff structures, ensuring the long-term financial viability and sustainability of water services.

*Duplication of Reporting Requirements*

13. The Bill mandates detailed financial and performance reporting that could duplicate information already submitted to Taumata Arowai, the water regulator. This duplication is inefficient, increases the administrative burden on councils, and risks inconsistencies in data, potentially leading to conflicting information. To address this, we recommend:
  - a. **Streamlining Reporting Requirements:** Consolidate all reporting requirements to a single central agency. This would eliminate duplication, ensure consistency in reported data, and

reduce the administrative burden on councils. By centralising reporting, councils can allocate resources more efficiently towards service delivery and compliance, rather than managing multiple reporting channels.

- b. **Data Sharing Agreements:** Establish formal data-sharing agreements between relevant agencies to facilitate the seamless exchange of information. This would eliminate the need for councils to submit the same data to multiple entities, further reducing administrative overhead and ensuring that all stakeholders have access to the most up-to-date and accurate information.
- c. **Standardised Reporting Framework:** Develop a standardised reporting framework that aligns with existing reporting requirements for Taumata Arowai. This would simplify the reporting process for councils, reduce the risk of errors and inconsistencies, and ensure that all relevant information is captured in a unified format.
- d. **Regular Review of Reporting Requirements:** Conduct periodic reviews of reporting requirements to identify and eliminate any remaining redundancies or inefficiencies. This would ensure that the reporting framework remains relevant and responsive to the evolving needs of both the regulator and local councils.

*Emphasis on Financial Sustainability (Clause 8)*

- 14. The Bill's strong emphasis on financial sustainability, as indicated in Clause 8, could overshadow other crucial aspects of water services, such as environmental impact and long-term resilience. Additionally, the Bill lacks a clear definition of financial sustainability, making it difficult for councils to understand and meet the requirements. The absence of a precise definition can lead to varying interpretations and inconsistent application across different councils, potentially resulting in financial plans that focus solely on short-term financial goals, neglecting long-term sustainability and affordability for consumers and ratepayers.
- 15. We recommend:
  - a. **A Balanced Approach to Sustainability:** Amend Clause 8 to incorporate environmental and social considerations alongside financial sustainability. This would ensure a holistic approach to water services that considers the broader societal and environmental impacts, not just financial viability.
  - b. **Sustainability Targets and Indicators:** Integrate specific sustainability targets and indicators into the water services delivery plans. These could include metrics related to water quality, ecosystem health, climate change resilience, and community well-being. By including these targets, the Bill would promote a more comprehensive and balanced approach to water services management.
  - c. **Environmental Impact Assessment:** Require councils to conduct environmental impact assessments as part of their water services delivery plans. This would ensure that potential environmental risks and impacts are identified and mitigated, promoting environmentally responsible water management practices.
  - d. **Resilience Planning:** Mandate the inclusion of resilience plans in water services delivery plans to address the impacts of climate change and natural disasters. This could involve strategies for adapting infrastructure to changing climate conditions, preparing for extreme weather events, and ensuring the continuity of water services in the face of disruptions.
- 16. To address the ambiguous definition of financial sustainability:
  - a. **Clarify the Definition of Financial Sustainability:** Provide a clear and comprehensive definition of financial sustainability within the Bill. This definition should explicitly include



considerations of affordability for consumers and ratepayers, ensuring that water services remain accessible and affordable. Additionally, the definition should encompass long-term sustainability goals, ensuring that financial planning and management consider future needs and challenges, not just immediate financial outcomes.

*Lack of Clarity on Council Controlled Organisations (CCOs) (Part 3)*

17. The Bill lacks clarity on establishing financially separate council-owned CCOs. Uncertainties regarding their financial independence, powers, and accountabilities raise concerns about effective governance and management. To address this, we recommend:
- Detailed Guidelines on Financial Separation:** Provide detailed guidelines on the financial separation and independence of CCOs. This should include clear rules on financial reporting, budgeting, borrowing, and investment to ensure transparency and accountability.
  - Rules on Financial Bailouts:** Establish clear rules on financial bailouts to prevent councils from being held liable for the financial performance of CCOs. This would ensure that CCOs are financially independent and accountable for their own operations.
  - Revenue-Raising Powers:** Define the revenue-raising powers of CCOs, including the ability to set tariffs and charges for water services. This would provide CCOs with the financial autonomy needed to operate effectively while ensuring that they remain accountable to the council and the community.

*Streamlining Consultation Requirements for all Delivery Models*

18. The Bill includes provisions for streamlining the consultation and establishment processes for CCOs. However, these streamlined processes do not apply to other models, such as in-house or hybrid arrangements. This creates an imbalance and potentially limits the flexibility of councils to choose the most suitable model for their specific circumstances. Streamlined processes should be equally available to all models, ensuring that councils are not unfairly advantaged or disadvantaged based on their choice of model. We recommend:
- Flexibility to Choose all Delivery Models:** Amend the Bill to extend the streamlined consultation and establishment processes to include in-house and hybrid models. This ensures that councils have equal opportunity to choose the most suitable operational model without being deterred by procedural burdens.
  - Guidance and Support:** Provide guidelines and resources to support councils in exploring and implementing a range of operational models. This includes offering technical assistance and best practice examples for in-house and hybrid arrangements to ensure they can be established and operated effectively.

*Additional Recommendations*

19. **Timely Feedback from the Secretary:** Require the Secretary for Local Government to advise the territorial authority or joint arrangement of a decision to accept a plan or direct amendments within two months of receipt. This will help maintain momentum in the planning process and avoid unnecessary delays.
20. **Environmental Impact and Resilience:** Incorporate specific provisions in the Bill that require councils to assess and mitigate the environmental impacts of water services and develop resilience plans to address climate change and natural disasters. This could involve setting targets for reducing greenhouse gas emissions, adapting infrastructure to changing climate conditions, and preparing for extreme weather events. By explicitly addressing environmental

and resilience concerns, the Bill would promote a more sustainable and resilient approach to water services management.

21. **Technical Assistance and Capacity Building:** Provide technical assistance and capacity-building programs to all councils to ensure they have the necessary expertise to implement the new water services delivery plans effectively. This could include training on new technologies, best practices in water management, and regulatory compliance. By investing in capacity building, the government can empower councils to deliver high-quality water services and meet the challenges of a changing environment.
22. **Monitoring and Evaluation Framework:** Develop a robust monitoring and evaluation framework to assess the performance of water services delivery plans over time. This framework should include regular reporting, data collection, and analysis to identify areas for improvement and ensure accountability. By establishing a comprehensive monitoring and evaluation system, the government can track progress, identify potential issues, and ensure that water services continue to meet regulatory standards and community needs.

## Conclusion

23. Christchurch City Council supports the overall intent of the *Local Government (Water Services Preliminary Arrangements) Bill* to establish a framework for sustainable water services. However, we urge the government to consider our recommendations to ensure the Bill is practical, equitable, and effective in achieving its objectives. We are committed to working collaboratively with the government to refine and implement this important legislation for the benefit of our community and the environment.
24. For any clarification on points within this submission please contact David Griffiths, Head of Strategic Policy and Resilience ([David.Griffiths@ccc.govt.nz](mailto:David.Griffiths@ccc.govt.nz)).

Yours faithfully,

Mary Richardson  
**Acting Chief Executive**



# 11. Three Waters Activities Report - January, February and March 2024

Reference Te Tohutoro: 24/813470  
Responsible Officer(s) Te Pou Matua: Gavin Hutchison, Acting Head of Three Waters Unit  
Accountable ELT Member Pouwhakarae: Brent Smith, Acting General Manager City Infrastructure

## 1. Purpose and Origin of the Report Te Pūtake Pūrongo

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to update the Council on Three Waters service delivery during the period January, February and March 2024.
- 1.2 The attached report was put together by staff in the Three Waters Unit.

## 2. Officer Recommendations Ngā Tūtohu

- That the Council:
- 1. Receives the information in the Three Waters Activities Report - January, February and March 2024 Report.

## Attachments Ngā Tāpirihanga

No.	Title	Reference	Page
A	Three Waters Activities Report January, February and March 2024	24/961990	80

In addition to the attached documents, the following background information is available:

Document Name - Location / File Link
Not applicable

## Signatories Ngā Kaiwaitohu

Author	Gavin Hutchison - Acting Head of Three Waters
Approved By	Gavin Hutchison - Acting Head of Three Waters Brent Smith - Acting General Manager City Infrastructure

# Three Waters Activities Report



New Stainless Steel suction tank installed in Sydenham

**January, February, March 2024**

Christchurch City Council  
June 2024

## Table of Contents

---

Table of Contents .....	2
1 Overview .....	3
2 Compliance and Quality Assurance .....	3
2.1 Health, Safety and Wellbeing .....	3
2.2 Resource Consents .....	3
2.3 Drinking Water Compliance .....	4
3 Water Supply .....	6
3.1 Latest News .....	6
3.2 Operations .....	6
3.3 Notifications to Taumata Arowai .....	7
4 Wastewater .....	9
4.1 Latest News .....	9
4.2 Operations .....	10
5 Stormwater and Waterways .....	10
5.1 Latest News .....	10
5.2 Operations .....	11

## 1 Overview

The Three Waters Unit, with support from our communications team, is changing its quarterly reporting approach to prioritise delivering meaningful information to Council and highlighting the services we provide to our communities. This report has been reviewed to present key activities for the January-March 2024 quarter, while the new format is being prepared for release in the April-June 2024 quarter.

Going forward the report will focus on compliance status and operational details. The Unit provides Capital Projects and Financial reports to the Council through other reporting mechanisms so this detail has been removed from this report.

## 2 Compliance and Quality Assurance

### 2.1 Health, Safety and Wellbeing

	January to March/2024		July/23 to March/24	
	Three Waters staff	Contractors	Three Waters staff	Contractors
Near Misses	4	44	7	149
Incidents	3	150	17	341
First aid injuries (FAI)	3	7	5	21
Medical Treatment Injuries (MTI)	0	1	1	12
Lost Time Injuries (LTI)	0	0	0	1
No. of days lost to LTIs	0	0	0	74.75
Notifiable to WorkSafe NZ	0	2	0	7

### 2.2 Resource Consents

The Three Waters Unit holds more than 200 resource consents from Environment Canterbury for day-to-day operations and for capital projects to construct new Three Waters infrastructure.

	Number of consents	Percentage of compliance $\left(\frac{\text{Number of compliant conditions}}{\text{Total number of conditions}}\right)$
Water supply	47	99%
Wastewater	47	95%
Stormwater	109	93%























During the January-March 2024 quarter we received five significant non-compliances for resource consents under the Three Waters Unit:

Resource Consent - Condition	Activity	Reason for Significant non-compliance grading
CRC091580 - 2	To discharge treated domestic wastewater onto land from the Wainui Wastewater Treatment Plant	The discharge is not in the consented area as outlined in this condition.
CRC091580 - 13		Detailed records have not been kept of the specific irrigation areas used for wastewater irrigation.
CRC213608 - 2	To discharge treated domestic wastewater onto land temporarily from the Wainui Wastewater Treatment Plant	The discharge is not in the consented area as outlined in this condition.
CRC164462 - 3	To discharge contaminants into air from Christchurch Wastewater Treatment Plant	Offensive and objectional odour has been detected on multiple occasions beyond the property boundary.
CRC231955 - 6	CSNDC - To discharge water and contaminants to land and water from the stormwater network.	The submitted versions of the Opawaho/Heathcote, Huritini/Halswell and Otukaikino Stormwater Management Plans do not meet the purpose of Condition 6.

All the actions agreed with ECan to address the significant non-compliances are underway or completed.

## 2.3 Drinking Water Compliance

Water Supply	Distribution Zone	January to March/2024		July/23 to March/24	
		Treatment	Distribution	Treatment	Distribution
Brooklands/Kainga	Brooklands/Kainga	☹️	☹️	☹️	☹️
Christchurch	Central	☹️	☹️	☹️	☹️
	Ferrymead	☹️	😊	☹️	😊
	Northwest	☹️	😊	☹️	😊
	Parklands	☹️	😊	☹️	☹️
	Rawhiti	☹️	😊	☹️	😊
	Riccarton	☹️	😊	☹️	😊
	West	☹️	😊	☹️	😊
Akaroa	Akaroa/Takamatua	☹️	😊	☹️	☹️

Water Supply	Distribution Zone	January to March/2024		July/23 to March/24	
		Treatment	Distribution	Treatment	Distribution
Birdlings Flat	Birdlings Flat				
Duvauchelle	Duvauchelle				
Little River	Little River				
Pigeon Bay	Pigeon Bay				
Wainui	Wainui				



## 3 Water Supply

### 3.1 Latest News

The Council was asked by ESR to support them in a proposal to undertake a research study about the “Applications of Metagenomics and Quantitative PCR for Safer Drinking Water”. The research study has been submitted to the 2024 Endeavour Fund for Research Programmes and has been approved to proceed to the Impact Assessment stage. The final funding decision will be released in late September. The hypothesis of the research is that using culture-independent methods of metagenomics and qPCR to target the entire microbial population (including bacteria, viruses, and protozoa) in drinking-water, will enable better detection of pathogens presence/ absence of virus in groundwater aquifers. The objective of this research is that by 2029, metagenomics is being routinely used in Aotearoa-New Zealand to help provide more cost-effective high quality drinking water that protects our communities, tourism, export, and food industries.

In addition, the Council was invited to collaborate with Taumata Arowai who have engaged ESR to undertake a research study about the presence of virus in groundwater sources. This research will provide the technical background to review the Drinking Water Quality Assurance Rules and current requirements which are too stringent to achieve bacterial compliance due to high chlorine contact time requirements.

### 3.2 Operations

The volume of water jobs for this quarter is slightly lower than 2023 and is following the usual downturn in job volumes from the summer period. Following the changes to response and completion timeframes within the new maintenance contract our contractor is sustaining improved performance. The main categories of service requests remain unchanged, leaks on connections, sub-mains, hydrants, and valves.

	Number of water supply jobs
April 2023	1872
May 2023	1294
June 2023	1131
July 2023	1248
August 2023	1445
September 2023	1605
October 2023	1680
November 2023	1713
December 2023	1563
January 2024	1802
February 2024	1699
March 2024	1495



#### Response times

Water Supply Response Times (@ Mar 2024)	
Immediate/ Urgent -On Site within 1 Hour	37 minutes
Immediate/ Urgent Service Restored – 5 Hours	2 hours 3 minutes
Normal/Low - On Site within 3 days/ 72 Hours	16 hours 25 minutes
Normal/Low Service Restored - 4 days/ 96 Hours	22 hours 15 minutes

### 3.3 Notifications to Taumata Arowai

Supply Details	Drinking Water Safety, Compliance or Sufficiency Category	Notification ID	Date	Details
BIR001 Birdlings Flat	Water is Non-Compliant	NOT-00006592	25/01/2024	FAC in water leaving the treatment plant was measured to be less than 0.5 mg/L (indicating non-compliance with rule T2.19).
BIR001 Birdlings Flat	Water is Non-Compliant	NOT-00006838 NOT-00007144	16/02/2024 22/03/2024	pH of water leaving the treatment plant was measured to be 8.1 (indicating non-compliance with rule T2.21).
BIR001 Birdlings Flat	Water is Non-Compliant	NOT-00006755	05/02/2024	Low UV Intensity from One UV Reactor for an Extended Period
BRO013 Brooklands- Kainga	Water is Non-Compliant	NOT-00006562	22/01/2024	FAC in water at Nirvana St in Brooklands was measured to be less than 0.1 mg/L (indicating non-compliance with rule D3.19)
CHR009 Christchurch	Unplanned Restriction or Interruption Longer than 8 Hours	NOT-00007179	30/03/2024	Difficult main repair on Memorial Ave due to miscommunication between Citycare and Hydro excavation company.
CHR009 Christchurch	Drinking Water is or may be Unsafe	NOT-00006963	28/2/2024	Total Coliforms detected at a level of 12 MPN per 100 mL in a sample taken from Redwood Well-03
CHR009 Christchurch	Water is Non-Compliant	NOT-00006379	07/01/2024	FAC in water at 12 Ardmore Pl in the Central Zone was measured to be less than 0.1 mg/L (indicating non-compliance with rule D3.19)
CHR009 Christchurch	Water is Non-Compliant	NOT-00006807	14/02/2024	Hillmorton Treatment Plant was operated without chlorination for 7 minutes due to a rapid increase in

Supply Details	Drinking Water Safety, Compliance or Sufficiency Category	Notification ID	Date	Details
				water demand as the result of the Port Hills Fire
CHR009 Christchurch	Water is Non-Compliant	NOT-00007004	01/03/2024	Main Pumps Treatment Plant was operated without chlorination for 1 hour due to a fault with the chlorine dosing system.
DUV001 Duvauchelle	Water is Non-Compliant	NOT-00006927	23/02/2024	FAC in water at Ngaio Point Reservoirs was measured to be less than 0.1 mg/L (indicating non-compliance with rule D2.5).
PIG001 Pigeon Bay	Water is Non-Compliant	NOT-00006564 NOT-00006595 NOT-00006789 NOT-00006836 NOT-00006964	22/01/2024 25/01/2024 13/02/2024 16/02/2024 29/02/2024	FAC in water leaving the treatment plant was measured to be less than 0.5 mg/L (indicating non-compliance with rule T2.19).
WAI138 Wainui	Water is Non-Compliant	NOT-00006591 NOT-00006787 NOT-00006926	25/01/2024 13/02/2024 24/02/2024	FAC in water leaving the treatment plant was measured to be less than 0.5 mg/L (indicating non-compliance with rule T2.19).

## 4 Wastewater

### 4.1 Latest News

The [interactive map](#)<sup>1</sup> below shows what we've got planned or underway to address odour at the Wastewater Treatment Plant. We'll keep this map up-to-date as our work changes.

Select a hot spot to see a description of what work is being done to address odour at different parts of the plant.

- Green: Good treatment stage process performance, the probability of odour is low.
- Orange: Average treatment stage process performance, the probability of odour is possible.
- Red: Poor treatment stage process performance, the probability of odour is high.



<sup>1</sup> [https://ccc.govt.nz/services/water-and-drainage/wastewater/treatment-plants/christchurch-wastewater-treatment-plant/wastewaterfire/the-situation/?fbclid=IwAR3U1Lx9eXgkRcr9WS9eJbWUFuKX8otUCsNbwkg6kTI30TuqyGCFgKHiEz4\\_aem\\_Ac7E11HDxo8MHblhIdo5F7dr18uSiD2eaPohSPYwZXIfYuxQrklNI15N0wMB9L4poiX1v1M7B89K9CKfmk2EBrcce](https://ccc.govt.nz/services/water-and-drainage/wastewater/treatment-plants/christchurch-wastewater-treatment-plant/wastewaterfire/the-situation/?fbclid=IwAR3U1Lx9eXgkRcr9WS9eJbWUFuKX8otUCsNbwkg6kTI30TuqyGCFgKHiEz4_aem_Ac7E11HDxo8MHblhIdo5F7dr18uSiD2eaPohSPYwZXIfYuxQrklNI15N0wMB9L4poiX1v1M7B89K9CKfmk2EBrcce)

## 4.2 Operations

Wastewater Supply Response Times @ Mar 24	
Urgent Urban - On Site 1 Hour	41 minutes
Urgent Rural – On Site 2 Hours	35 hours 17 minutes (1 job)
Non-Urgent Urban - On Site 5 days/ 120 hours	2 hours 54 minutes
Non-Urgent Rural - On Site 5 days / 120 hours	3 hours 48 minutes

# 5 Stormwater and Waterways

## 5.1 Latest News

Following the Port Hills Fire it became clear that the immediate priority was to manage sediment run-off from the fire site. The Council has worked closely with the Adventure Park to support them putting measures in place to reduce the impact of sediment run off, including cut-off drains and gravelling key fire breaks at the Park, removing slash and sediment from Cashmere Stream within its boundaries so water is free flowing.

The Council has also removed sediment and doubled the size of a first-flush basin near the Park, removed sediment from ponds at the Te Kuru Basin in Hoon Hay and trialling mussel-shell bunds which will help reduce contaminants entering the river.



## 5.2 Operations

January to March have been particularly dry months due to El Nino weather conditions that have impacted on the rainfall in Canterbury. The total rainfall recorded ranged from around 50mm in the north and eastern areas of the city to 100mm to the south and west. As such there have been no significant rain events.

The Operations Team has received a total of 385 service requests which is at or above average for this time of year. Due to the lack of rain, the majority of these requests relate to minor repairs and maintenance rather than responding to rain related issues.

This quarter Citycare have continued to meet or been close to meeting their programmed maintenance schedule. This is in part due to improved scheduling and increased resourcing by Citycare as well as, anecdotally, less vegetation growth due to low rainfall. The Operations Team has had staff absences during this period. We developed a more efficient auditing process which has ensured a high volume of auditing of the quality of Citycare's work.

Damage occurred to the temporary stopbank adjacent to Avonside Drive causing scouring along the toe of the river side of the stopbank during a previous rain event. A repair methodology has been finalised and repairs are scheduled for June/July this year.

## 12. Notice of Motion - Infrastructure Delivery Working Group

Reference Te Tohutoro: 24/968109

Responsible Officer(s) Te  
Pou Matua: Councillor Keown

Accountable ELT  
Member Pouwhakarae: Mary Richardson, Interim Chief Executive

Pursuant to Standing Order 22 of the Christchurch City Council's Standing Orders, the following Notice of Motion was submitted by Councillor Keown.

### 1. Notice of Motion to the Council He Pānui Mōtini

That the Council:

1. Requests staff to provide advice in August 2024 on the establishment of an infrastructure delivery working group to review contracting and procurement processes to identify if there are opportunities to improve effectiveness and efficiencies. The advice should consider:
  - a. A potential Terms of Reference for a working group;
  - b. The capacity and cost of undertaking the work;
  - c. The Council's procurement rules;
  - d. New Zealand Government rules of procurement;
  - e. The Auditor-General's guidelines;
  - f. The Local Government Act 2002; and
  - g. Any other legal requirements for considerations.

### Attachments Ngā Tāpirihanga

There are no attachments to this report.





## 13. Resolution to Exclude the Public

*Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.*

I move that the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting, namely items listed overleaf.

Reason for passing this resolution: good reason to withhold exists under section 7.

Specific grounds under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution: Section 48(1)(a)

### Note

Section 48(4) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides as follows:

“(4) Every resolution to exclude the public shall be put at a time when the meeting is open to the public, and the text of that resolution (or copies thereof):

- (a) Shall be available to any member of the public who is present; and
- (b) Shall form part of the minutes of the local authority.”

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by Section 6 or Section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as follows:

ITEM NO.	GENERAL SUBJECT OF EACH MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED	SECTION	SUBCLAUSE AND REASON UNDER THE ACT	PLAIN ENGLISH REASON	WHEN REPORTS CAN BE REVIEWED FOR POTENTIAL RELEASE
14.	PUBLIC EXCLUDED COUNCIL MINUTES - 15 MAY 2024			REFER TO THE PREVIOUS PUBLIC EXCLUDED REASON IN THE AGENDAS FOR THESE MEETINGS.	

## Karakia Whakamutunga

Kia whakairia te tapu

Kia wātea ai te ara

Kia turuki whakataha ai

Kia turuki whakataha ai

Haumi e. Hui e. Tāiki e