

Waipapa
Papanui-Innes Community Board Submissions
Committee
OPEN MINUTES

Date: Friday 6 May 2022
Time: 11.06am
Venue: Held by Audio/Visual Link

Present

Chairperson	Emma Norrish
Deputy Chairperson	Simon Britten
Members	Ali Jones Emma Twaddell

Matthew Pratt
Manager Community Governance, Papanui-Innes
941 5428
matthew.pratt@ccc.govt.nz
www.ccc.govt.nz

Part A Matters Requiring a Council Decision

Part B Reports for Information

Part C Decisions Under Delegation

Secretarial Note: This meeting was held via audio/visual link on the Zoom platform due to New Zealand being at the orange setting of the Covid-19 Protection Framework (the Traffic Alert system) on the date the meeting was scheduled. These minutes provide a written summary of the meeting proceedings.

1. Apologies Ngā Whakapāha

There were no apologies.

2. Declarations of Interest Ngā Whakapuaki Aronga

There were no declarations of interest recorded.

2A. Suspension of Standing Orders

Ali Jones moved that the relevant Standing Orders be suspended to enable informal discussion to consider making a submission on behalf of the Board to the Council on the Draft Housing and Business Choice Plan Change, and on any other of the concurrent consultations referenced in Agenda Item 3 that the Committee may wish to. The motion was seconded by Simon Britten and when put to the vote was carried unanimously.

Committee Resolved PCBSC/2022/00004

That pursuant to Standing Order 3.5 (Temporarily Suspension of Standing Orders), the following Standing Orders be suspended to enable informal discussion regarding Agenda Item 3:

17.5 Members may speak only once

18.1 General procedure for speaking and moving motions.

Ali Jones/Simon Britten

Carried

3. Draft Plan Change Consultations

Committee Consideration

The Committee took the report as read, and noting its delegated authority to lodge submissions on behalf of the Waipapa Papanui-Innes Community Board, considered that it would make a submission on behalf of the Board in respect of the Draft Housing and Business Choice Plan Change, and on the concurrent consultation on the Draft Heritage Plan Change, but did not think it should submit on the other two concurrent consultations noted.

The Committee discussed and developed the contents of the Board submissions framed around the questions asked in the consultations. Working through those questions starting with those for the consultation on the Draft Housing and Business Choice Plan Change, the Committee reviewed the proposed zones as depicted in the consultation document, with particular focus on the town

centres in the Board area, especially the emerging metropolitan centre in Papanui, and considered that the right areas for development above 12 metres are being proposed, adding comment, however, that for example within the Papanui area where this is proposed, there must be genuine regard given to additional community spaces, transport infrastructure, schooling and other key components of the health and wellbeing of our communities.

The Committee then discussed what comments it had about the proposed Qualifying Matters that will restrict intensified development or thresholds for needing a resource consent, and considered to submit that the Board supports the proposed Qualifying Matters, and supports the heritage areas in St Albans and Shirley.

The Committee discussed the 'yes or no' question in the submission form about whether the proposed plan change allows for enough business intensification, ultimately considering it could submit in the affirmative.

In respect of the final question on the Draft Housing and Business Choice Plan Change consultation about any other comments on the proposed plan changes, the Committee considered to submit that the Board supports the proposed changes generally speaking, however strongly recommends strategic planning and looking ahead to, for example, 15-Minute City, 5-Minute Neighbourhoods, and a city for the future. The Committee considered that explanation of the concepts of 15-Minute City and 5-Minute Neighbourhoods could be added to the submission prior to it being submitted.

The Committee then considered that submission be made on behalf of the Board in relation to the consultation on the Draft Heritage Plan Change, commenting on the proposed Residential Heritage Areas that the Board supports them, and would strongly recommend additional areas be considered for inclusion, for example, and not limited to, Dover Street (original workers' cottages of historical significance).

In respect the other question on the the Draft Heritage Plan Change as to any comments about other elements of it, the Committee considered to submit that the Board supports the other heritage elements indicated in the proposal, and that it acknowledges the sense of place and history that individuals and neighbourhoods identify with and associate with their local community. Concluding the submission that as such, the Board implores the Council to seriously consider any additional suggestions of historical significance that are received through this process.

The Committee considered that it had no submission to make on the Draft Coastal Hazards Plan Change or the Draft Radio Communication Pathways Plan Change.

Finally, Ali Jones moved that the Standing Orders set aside at the start of the meeting be resumed. That motion was seconded by Simon Britten and when put to the vote was carried unanimously.

Thereupon Emma Norrish moved that the submissions drafted by the Committee within the meeting be approved as the Board's submissions to the Council in these matters, with authorisation given to the Chairperson to approve any amendments prior to lodging the submissions that are considered to: improve the expression or detail of the Board's submissions; add explanation to the submissions agreed; correct any mistake; or address any unintended omission. That motion was seconded by Emma Twaddell and when put to the vote was carried unanimously.

Staff Recommendations

That the Waipapa Papanui-Innes Community Board Submissions Committee:

1. Notes its delegated authority to lodge submissions on behalf of the Waipapa Papanui-Innes Community Board.
2. Considers making a submission on behalf of the Board to the Council on the Draft Housing and Business Choice Plan Change.
3. Notes the concurrent consultations that submissions may also optionally be made on:
 - a. Draft Heritage Plan Change.
 - b. Draft Coastal Hazards Plan Change.
 - c. Draft Radio Communication Pathways Plan Change.

Committee Resolved PCBSC/2022/00005

Resumption of Standing Orders

That the standing orders set aside in 2A. above, be resumed.

Ali Jones/Simon Britten

Carried

Committee Resolved PCBSC/2022/00006

That the Waipapa Papanui-Innes Community Board Submissions Committee:

1. Notes its delegated authority to lodge submissions on behalf of the Waipapa Papanui-Innes Community Board.
2. Approves the following submission to the Council on behalf of the Waipapa Papanui-Innes Community Board regarding the Draft Housing and Business Choice Plan Change:

Question	Feedback
Are we proposing the right areas for development above 12 metres?	Yes. However, for example within the Papanui area where this is proposed, there must be genuine regard given to additional community spaces, transport infrastructure, schooling and other key components of the health and wellbeing of our communities.
Do you have any comments about the proposed Qualifying Matters that will restrict intensified development or thresholds for needing a resource consent?	The Board supports the proposed qualifying matters. The Board supports the heritage areas in St Albans and Shirley.
Does the proposed plan change allow for enough business intensification?	Yes.
Any other comments about the proposed plan changes?	The Board supports the proposed changes generally speaking, however strongly recommends strategic planning and looking ahead to, for example, 15-Minute City, 5-Minute Neighbourhoods, and a city for the future.

3. Approves the following submission to the Council on behalf of the Waipapa Papanui-Innes Community Board regarding the Draft Heritage Plan Change:

Question	Feedback
Do you have any comments on the proposed Residential Heritage Areas?	The Board supports the Residential Heritage Areas, and would strongly recommend additional areas be considered for inclusion, for example, and not limited to, Dover Street (original workers' cottages of historical significance).
Do you have any comments about other elements of the proposed Heritage Plan Change?	<p>The Board supports the other heritage elements indicated in the proposal.</p> <p>The Board acknowledges the sense of place and history that individuals and neighbourhoods identify with and associate with their local community. As such, the Board implores the Council to seriously consider any additional suggestions of historical significance that are received through this process.</p>

4. Authorises the Chairperson to approve any amendments to the submissions before they are submitted that are considered to: improve the expression or detail of the Board's submissions; add explanation to the submissions agreed; correct any mistake; or address any unintended omission.

Emma Norrish/Emma Twaddell

Carried

Meeting concluded at 11.51am.

CONFIRMED THIS 18th DAY OF MAY 2022.

**EMMA NORRISH
CHAIRPERSON**