

Christchurch City Council
MINUTES ATTACHMENTS

Date: Thursday 24 February 2022
Time: 2pm
Venue: Council Chambers, Civic Offices,
53 Hereford Street, Christchurch

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

3. Draft Annual Plan 2022/23	
A. AP22/23 - Councillor proposed amendments and Officer advice.....	3

Proposed amendments for draft Annual Plan 2022/23			Activity	Officer Advice/Recommendations provided at 27 January 2022 Council Briefing	Outcome/Action/Officer Response following 27.01.22 Briefing
# Proposer	Topic	Description			
19	Mauger	Substitution Just one thing but it is not an amendment as such. It is very important in my mind that we don't bring things forward or add anything in without correspondingly removing it from somewhere else. I feel we are looking down a very long barrel of financial turmoil ie: interest rate and inflation rises coupled with the amount of borrowing the whole group is carrying- all of which some councillors have never seen the likes of. If we are not very disciplined on this annual plan we could create strife for the future.	Not an amendment - for noting	Not an amendment - for noting	Not an amendment - for noting
1	Galloway	Youth Space in the city That funding to increase resource for Te Pai Pūkari (Youth Standing Committee) with a particular focus on further exploring options (in collaboration with them) for a youth space in the city. Staff in both the property and community development teams have done some work on options which is appreciated; however more needs to be done with our young people to identify what will meet the best outcomes for them.	Community Support and Partnerships	Not recommended at this time Staff have recently provided high level advice on vacant land and other sites. Council has invested significantly in accessible youth-focused spaces in the central city. These include components of Tauranga and Parakiore, both leaders in their respective fields. Additionally Te Puna o Waiwhetū have a first rate engaging youth programme. However, from an organisational perspective a youth space per se is not an current level of service. It is not on Council's strategic radar and was not prioritised in the recent Community Facility Network Plan. A youth space was not raised in any submission to the Ōtautahi Christchurch Community Strategy. If there is a need and a desire in the community to gain some momentum for the establishment of a youth space the best course of action is for this to be a community led initiative (the Youth Council or its networks may be interested) Council's funding team could meet with the community group leading this initiative and advise where third party funding may be available. We know that from time to time the Rata Foundation or Lotteries support needs assessments, feasibility studies or similar investigations. There may be other funding associated with COVID recovery we can recommend. Council's Partnerships and Planning team may be able to make introductions to other potential community partners and offer advice on how to proceed.	Outcome: Amendment will not be pursued if further conversation with staff addresses the request. Action: John Filsell to have a further conversation with Councillor Galloway on what existing budget could potentially be used/prioritised for exploring opportunities. Response: Staff met Cllr Galloway on 9 February. The agreed focus will be on using, promoting and/or improving existing and planned spaces rather than building new. This will be an ongoing focus of Te Pai Pūkari. Initially, a community initiative with the giant spray paint cans and an outdoor basketball court will be explored on ECAN land. This will be funded through existing budgets in the Urban Regeneration Team and ECAN.
2	Johanson	Phillipstown Community Centre That \$4 million dollars budget provision be added for a Phillipstown Community Centre.	Community Support and Partnerships	Not recommended The Ministry of Education doesn't need to use buildings which house the Hub for Ministry purposes. As part of the site is still used for educational purposes the ministry will not dispose immediately so the Phillipstown hub is likely to be able to remain for now but long term planning work is required and is underway via CCC Community Development staff. If funds are required an application should be made to a future LTP or AP.	Outcome: Amendment will not be pursued if further conversation with staff addresses the request. Action: John Filsell to have a further conversation with Councillor Johanson and the Mayor on what intermediate steps could be taken. There may be some limited funds for feasibility to inform the next AP or LTP to show commitment. Response: The MOE have confirmed that there are no current plans to dispose of the Phillipstown Hub site or use the buildings currently used by the Hub for other purposes. Council staff are currently facilitating a community planning process in partnership with the Hub and MOE covering, amongst other things the need for a facility as well as wider goals for the Phillipstown Community. The Mayor has asked to be involved and a meeting with MOE/Mayor is scheduled for 28 February. This process will determine the need, if any, for a community facility. This community planning process is a Board Plan priority and is fully resourced through operational budget. A facility feasibility study funded by DIA has been completed and will help inform the wider planning process. As the is community planning process draws to a conclusion the Hub, MOE, staff and Council will be better placed to consider how best to proceed. This will probably be through the 2023/24 AP or the 2024/34 LTP. The Mayor has been fully updated.
3	Donovan	Pukeko Centre That Council fund the Pukeko Centre to develop a sports hall with a focus on youth by using existing budget currently set aside for the maintenance of the Parklands Community Centre in 2022/23 Further Amendment sent through on 17/01/2022 Request staff report back on grant funding for the Pukeko Centre as part of the draft annual plan. This in regards to seeking funding through the capital endowment programme for the completion of the Pukeko Centre – total of 400,000 over two years.	Community Support and Partnerships	Not recommended The Council granted the Pukeko Centre \$200,000 from the Capital Endowment Fund in 2019/20 as Council's contribution to developing a sports hall with a focus on youth. Funding set aside for the Parklands Community Centre is required to undertake maintenance, repairs and renewals needed to keep the facility fit for purpose. Council specifically approved additional funding for this purpose in the 2021/31 LTP in response to the declining condition of the community facility portfolio.	Outcome: Amendment will not be pursued if further conversation with staff addresses the request. Action: John Filsell to have a further conversation with Councillor Donovan about opportunities to help the project progress to the next stage. Response: Staff have met with Cllr Donovan and discussed the project and funding options. The Pukeko centre project is underway. Staff advise that facility is needed in a community that is experiencing growth and adverse effects of the quakes. Council supported an earlier stage of the facility through a \$200K grant from the Capital Endowment Fund (CEF). The facility is consistent with the Community Facilities Network Plan. The Pukeko Centre advise that they need \$800K to be in a position to open the facility. They are confident of fund-matching a grant of \$400K from Council to meet this total. Cllr Donovan can request (through the AP) that Council contribute \$400K from the CEF, most probably \$200K in FY 2023 and \$200K in FY24. This will allow for other applications to be considered as the CEF FY23 balance is estimated at \$522K and the FY24 \$626K. Staff note that whilst the project has merits it has already received CEF funding and further grants from the CEF reduce the amount available to assist others. This will not have an impact on rates. Cllr Donovan can also request (through the AP) that Council contribute \$400K through a one-off capital grant. Staff advise that this request should have been made earlier in the FY23 AP process but understand that Cllr Donovan was not elected at this time. Nevertheless staff advise any such request be considered in the FY24 AP. If granted there will be a small impact on rates as Council will fund the grant through debt. Staff also advise that: • staff will continue to support the Pukeko Centre with funding advice, • any further Council contribution should be matched 1:1, and • no Council funding should be drawn down until the project raises sufficient funds to proceed.
4	Johanson	Trees - district plan change Trees - That Council make budget provision for a district plan change to reinstate the prior method of tree scoring and to review current notable tree listings (\$100k - \$300k)	Parks	Not recommended The cost to undertake a plan change is very high and is unlikely to result in any large scale protection of trees (due to the restrictions of the RMA). The cost to undertake such an exercise would be better invested into a Council driven planting plan focused on locating suitable planting spaces within low canopy areas and installing purpose built tree pits that will support large mature trees. Tree Protection rules are also likely to change through the upcoming RMA reforms which may nullify trees currently listed within our district plan.	Outcome: Amendment to be pursued by Councillor.
5	Johanson	Inspection of notable trees Trees - That Council introduces an ongoing programme to fund a two-yearly inspection of notable trees, including those on private land, and establish a maintenance programme to help private owners.	Parks	Not recommended This should be considered as part of the implementation of the Urban Forest Plan (Council briefing - March 2022) which would look at incentives for the public to retain trees on private land. It would also be best to have a more focused approach where funds are targeted on areas with low canopy cover as oppose to all protected trees. Council currently holds a grant of \$14K per annum to aid in the management of protected trees on private land. There would be scope to increase this grant as this has in some years been insufficient to meet its demand.	Outcome: Amendment will not be pursued if further conversation with staff addresses the request. Action: Andrew Rutledge to have a further conversation with Councillor Johanson on realistic costs and what can be achieved based on the staff advice. Response: A meeting with Cllr Johanson took place on 21/02/2022 with Parks staff.
6	Johanson	Fund brownfield greenspace/amenities That Council make budget provision from resource consent contributions and development contributions for projects that provide greenspace and amenity for areas that have high urban growth in existing brownfield areas.	Parks	Not required There is already a budget provision in the parks capital programme, funded through reserve development contributions, to address urban growth in both greenfield and brownfield areas. 61730 Land Dev-DC funded-Neighbourhood Parks-Catchment 1-Central 61731 Land Dev-DC funded-Neighbourhood Parks-Catchment 2-Suburban 61734 Land Dev-DC funded-Neighbourhood Parks-Catchment 2 Suburban-Infill Growth There is significant funding in FY24 and future years.	Outcome: Amendment will not be pursued if further conversation with staff addresses the request. Action: Councillor Johanson requested more information on how funding is spent and on what projects. Response: Attached are pages as shown in the Development Contributions Policy 2021, adopted by Council 28 July 2021. This lists all of the Parks projects in the LTP and the % of each project funded by DCs.
7	Johanson	Cutler Park playground That Council make budget provision to renew Cutler Park playground.	Parks	Not recommended Playground renewal funding is oversubscribed citywide. Poorest condition playgrounds are the highest priority. Cutler Park is programmed for FY30-31. Bringing this forward will require it to be prioritised over other playgrounds that are in poorer condition and is not supported.	Outcome: Amendment to be pursued by Councillor.
8	Donovan	Parklands Youth Project Is there any budget for improvements to Parklands/Queenspark amenities/parks and for community safety and wellbeing? Comment/Note: Was supposed to be added to 14 December briefing with staff response "bring back to Annual Plan FY24" noting that this is subject to substitution and prioritisation (however this was left out of the draft by staff by mistake). Ruth said they will make sure staff advice is updated with the right guidance in relation to Annual Plan 24 for	Parks	Not required There is a project candidate titled "Parklands Youth Project". The candidate has funding provisionally allocated for FY28-30. This is to address the ongoing demand from the community around activities for children older than 10 years, including request for skate and activities that provide more challenge. Staff recommend status quo.	Outcome: Amendment will not be pursued by Councillor.

Proposed amendments for draft Annual Plan 2022/23			Activity	Officer Advice/Recommendations provided at 27 January 2022 Council Briefing	Outcome/Action/Officer Response following 27.01.22 Briefing																		
# Proposer	Topic	Description																					
9	Johanson	Rubbish bins (Parks)	Parks	<p>Not Supported</p> <p>The programme is part way through delivery, with the new bins already purchased for installation. As requested previously we have halted removal of all the remaining bins until the programme is complete. This is hurting the parks maintenance programme as we are having to cover maintenance costs of 2 regimes.</p> <p>If halted we would require the \$350 k opex saving to be reversed and put back into the Parks Opex budget.</p> <p>The programme has been very successful to date with community feedback almost at nil now. We continue to engage with interested members of the community re additional bins for the 2nd phase relating to location and placement within the parks. Completion is anticipated for 30 June 2022.</p> <p>CSR's relating to rubbish in parks over the last 6 months since the programme began are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1714 CSRs in total, of which: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o 80% relate to dumped material, both fly tipping and bags dumped beside old style bins o 16% relating to old style static bins o and only 4% relate to smart bins 	<p>Outcome: Amendment to be pursued by Councillor.</p>																		
10	Johanson	Social Housing funding from Central City DC	Social Housing	<p>Not recommended</p> <p>There is currently a significant social housing replacement and renewals programme over the next nine years (\$55.6m). There is not currently the capacity to deliver a programme larger than this.</p>	<p>Outcome: Amendment may not be pursued.</p> <p>Action: Councillor Johanson requested more information on how funding is spent and on what projects.</p> <p>Response: We borrow to reimburse DC funds for people we provide rebates for. So there is no funds available, its borrowing commitment. Because the schemes are now closed, whilst we did not use all of the funds, there is not essentially unallocated money sitting around.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rebate</th> <th>Scheme Fund</th> <th>Rebates</th> <th>Rebates processing</th> <th>Remaining Fund</th> <th>Fund Status</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Central City residential rebate scheme:</td> <td>\$20,000,000.00</td> <td>\$15,437,354.40</td> <td>\$510,000.00</td> <td>\$4,052,645.60</td> <td>Closed 24/12/2021, qualifying rebates still processing</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Centry City non-residential rebate scheme:</td> <td>\$5,000,000.00</td> <td>\$2,919,906.55</td> <td>\$ -</td> <td>\$2,080,093.45</td> <td>Closed 30/06/2020</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rebate	Scheme Fund	Rebates	Rebates processing	Remaining Fund	Fund Status	Central City residential rebate scheme:	\$20,000,000.00	\$15,437,354.40	\$510,000.00	\$4,052,645.60	Closed 24/12/2021, qualifying rebates still processing	Centry City non-residential rebate scheme:	\$5,000,000.00	\$2,919,906.55	\$ -	\$2,080,093.45	Closed 30/06/2020
Rebate	Scheme Fund	Rebates	Rebates processing	Remaining Fund	Fund Status																		
Central City residential rebate scheme:	\$20,000,000.00	\$15,437,354.40	\$510,000.00	\$4,052,645.60	Closed 24/12/2021, qualifying rebates still processing																		
Centry City non-residential rebate scheme:	\$5,000,000.00	\$2,919,906.55	\$ -	\$2,080,093.45	Closed 30/06/2020																		
11	Donovan	OEII Pool	Sports and Recreation	<p>Not recommended</p> <p>If the decision could wait until the next LTP in FY24 staff can provide evidence to support a decision based on operation of the full network of facilities and needs assessment with the community. New funding would be required.</p>	<p>Outcome: Amendment will not be pursued by Councillor.</p>																		
12	Johanson	Water quality and stormwater	Three Waters	<p>\$20m not recommended at this time.</p> <p>Stormwater treatment, ecological and waterway health improvement projects, required over time to meet Councils CSNDC obligations and community expectations for water quality improvement can be considered for prioritisation as part of the next LTP.</p> <p>Option: Delivery of Nottingham Stream earlier</p> <p>The Nottingham Stream project would improve the quality of stormwater being discharged into the Huritini-Halswell River by enabling treatment of a highly contaminated urban catchment. Funding would be \$50k in FY23, \$400k in FY24 and \$1.5M per year FY25-30.</p>	<p>Outcome: Amendment to be pursued by Councillor.</p>																		
14	Johanson	Off street parking charges	Transport	<p>Not recommended</p> <p>Generally it is acknowledged that there is not a shortage of available parking in the central city. There are currently two parking buildings that are covered by this resolution – Art Gallery and Litchfield Street. Prior to Christmas 2021 average occupancy was running at approximately 60-70%. If there was a significant event or a large rain event this could increase to 90%. The management of the parking buildings is a balancing act to ensure availability is not seen as an impediment to coming into the central city. The current management appears to be working successfully and the current charges were recently agreed as part of the 2021/31 Long Term Plan.</p> <p>The resolution does not indicate the amount that the reduction should be. Any reduction will result in a loss of revenue that will have an impact on rates calculations. Given the current environment and the volatility of the parking revenue for Council modelling of reductions has not been undertaken.</p>	<p>Outcome: Amendment to be pursued by Councillor.</p>																		
17	Donovan	New Brighton Mall Public Realm Upgrade		<p>Currently included</p> <p>Not an amendment: this was included in the Draft Annual Plan in Dec 21, with the changes being applied against 45165 New Brighton Public Realm Improvements</p>	<p>Outcome: Amendment not required</p>																		
18	Turner	Akaroa Museum Funding		<p>Currently included</p> <p>Not an amendment: this was included in the Draft Annual Plan in Dec 21 based on the staff advice.</p>	<p>Outcome: Amendment not required</p>																		
20	Councillor Briefing	Edgeware Pool - Proposed amendment		<p>Not recommended</p>	<p>Further information request on proposed amendment</p> <p>0.01 in 22/23</p> <p>0.02 in 23/24</p>																		
21	Councillor Briefing	Edgeware Pool - Proposed amendment		<p>Staff Recommend that we maintain LTP approved</p> <p>Staff recommend the \$1.25m capital grant payment remains contingent on the group matching council funding 1:1 and has funded the remainder of the agreed project's capital and operational costs.</p>	<p>Further information request on proposed amendment</p> <p>i. Noting that the Council resolved on 22 June 2018 to make a capital grant of \$1.25 million to the Edgeware Pool Group (i.e. St Albans Pavilion and Pool Incorporated) according to certain conditions, and noting that the St Albans Pavilion and Pool Incorporated have not met those conditions, the Council amends the terms of the grant as follows:</p> <p>a. The Council grants St Albans Pavilion and Pool Incorporated a capital grant of \$3 million to be used for the construction of a community pool on land at 43A Edgeware Road, on terms set out in paragraph (b);</p> <p>b. The grant will only be paid to St Albans Pavilion and Pool Incorporated, in instalments at agreed milestones, on confirmation that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. The Head of Recreation, Sports & Events is satisfied that the project brief/scope is appropriate for an outside community pool; ii. A registered Quantity Surveyor has confirmed the total project costs based on developed design and are within the project budget; iii. That St Albans Pavilion and Pool Incorporated have raised the balance of the funds to complete the full project; iv. That St Albans Pavilion and Pool Incorporated has provided to the Council an operational and capital renewal plan and budget with evidence that the ongoing budgets required will be able to be covered by St Albans Pavilion and Pool Incorporated without any Council funding; v. That St Albans Pavilion and Pool Incorporated have entered into and signed a construction contract that is within the project budget; vi. That St Albans Pavilion and Pool Incorporated are solely responsible for any cost escalations in excess of the proposed budget. <p>c. The grant will be tagged on the basis that</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. If the terms of the grant are breached, St Albans Pavilion and Pool Incorporated must repay the grant to the Council; ii. In the event of the liquidation or wind up of St Albans Pavilion and Pool Incorporated, the grant will constitute a secured first ranking debt owed to the Council and must be repaid to the Council in accordance with clause 17.2(a) of the St Albans Pavilion and Pool Incorporated's Rules. <p>2. The conditions of the grant will be set out in a funding agreement with St Albans Pavilion and Pool Incorporated and the Council delegates to the Chief Executive, the authority to enter into and sign the funding agreement on her being satisfied with its terms.</p> <p>3. The Council will provide a letter of commitment to St Albans Pavilion and Pool Incorporated detailing the above conditions to provide to other contributors to help with their fundraising efforts.</p> <p>4. The Council notes that conditions set out in the Memorandum of Encumbrance (in favour of the Council) and registered against the title for 43A Edgeware Road continue to apply. This encumbrance includes a condition that if a community pool has not been built and issued with a certificate of compliance and/or certificate of public use by 20 February 2028, then the Council may demand that the title be transferred to it. This does not apply if construction works have started.</p>																		