

Christchurch City Council Representation Review Hearings SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA

Notice of Meeting:

The Christchurch City Council – Representation Review Hearings will reconvene on:

Date: 3 June 2021

Time: At the Conclusion of the Council Meeting

Venue: Council Chambers, Civic Offices,
53 Hereford Street, Christchurch

Membership

Chairperson

Mayor Lianne Dalziel

Members

Deputy Mayor Andrew Turner

Councillor Jimmy Chen

Councillor Catherine Chu

Councillor Melanie Coker

Councillor Pauline Cotter

Councillor James Daniels

Councillor Mike Davidson

Councillor Anne Galloway

Councillor James Gough

Councillor Yani Johanson

Councillor Aaron Keown

Councillor Sam MacDonald

Councillor Phil Mauger

Councillor Jake McLellan

Councillor Tim Scandrett

Councillor Sara Templeton

2 June 2021

Aidan Kimberley

Community Board Advisor

941 6566

aidan.kimberley@ccc.govt.nz

www.ccc.govt.nz

Note: The reports contained within this agenda are for consideration and should not be construed as Council policy unless and until adopted. If you require further information relating to any reports, please contact the person named on the report.

Watch Council meetings live on the web:

<http://councillive.ccc.govt.nz/live-stream>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4. Resolution to Include Supplementary Reports..... 4

5. Representation Review - Additional Responses to Submissions and Updated
Maps 5

4. Resolution to Include Supplementary Reports

1. Background

- 1.1 Approval is sought to submit the following report to the Council - Representation Review Hearings meeting reconvened on 3 June 2021:
 - 5. Representation Review - Additional Responses to Submissions and Updated Maps
- 1.2 The reason, in terms of section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, why the report was not included on the main agenda is that it was not available at the time the agenda was prepared.
- 1.3 It is appropriate that the Council - Representation Review Hearings receive the report at the current meeting.

2. Recommendation

- 2.1 That the report be received and considered at the Council - Representation Review Hearings meeting reconvened on 3 June 2021.
 - 5. Representation Review - Additional Responses to Submissions and Updated Maps

5. Representation Review - Additional Responses to Submissions and Updated Maps

Reference Te Tohutoro:	21/757139 Christopher Turner-Bullock, Community Governance Manager christopher.turner@ccc.govt.nz
Report of Te Pou Matua:	John Filsell, Head of Community Support, Governance and Partnerships john.filsell@ccc.govt.nz
General Manager Pouwhakarae:	Mary Richardson, General Manager Citizens and Community mary.richardson@ccc.govt.nz

1. Brief Summary

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to provide updated maps and recommendations for the Council to consider as it concludes deliberations following the [Representation Review Hearings](#).
- 1.2 This report has been written following the staff presentation given at the conclusion of the Hearings, where officers presented a summary of possible changes that could be made to the initial proposal in response to submissions received and feedback from elected members.
- 1.3 When the Council is considering submissions, it must determine:
 - 1.3.1 Which submissions are accepted (if any) and the corresponding amendments to the Initial Proposal.
 - 1.3.2 The reasons for the amendments.
 - 1.3.3 Which submissions have been rejected (if any).
 - 1.3.4 The reasons for rejecting any submissions.
- 1.4 The attachments to this report outline the proposed changes to the ward boundaries following the hearing of submissions:
 - 1.4.1 **Attachment A:** Option 1 maps.
 - 1.4.2 **Attachment B:** Option 2 maps.
 - 1.4.3 **Attachment C:** broad description of the amendments.
- 1.5 If the Council makes the decisions recommended in this report, it accepts or rejects each submission received accordingly. Acceptance of a submission may have the corresponding effect of rejecting another submission.
- 1.6 In order for staff to prepare the Final Proposal for the 18 June 2021 Council meeting, the Council is required to make a decision on Option 1 or 2.

2. Officer Recommendations Ngā Tūtohu

That the Council, after considering submissions, request staff to prepare a Final Proposal for the 2022 Local Authority Election representation arrangements to report back to the Council for a decision on 18 June 2021, as follows:

1. Confirms its initial proposal that the Christchurch City Council shall comprise a Mayor and 16 Councillors.
 - a. Rejects the submissions to amend the proposal to have fewer than 16 councillors.
 - b. Rejects the submissions to amend the proposal to have more than 16 councillors, including the proposal for two councillors for Banks Peninsula.
2. Confirms its initial proposal that the members of Christchurch City Council, other than the mayor, continue to be elected by the electors of wards.
 - a. Rejects the submissions for at large elections.
 - b. Rejects the submissions for multi-member wards.
3. Confirms its initial proposal that the City continues to be divided into 16 wards with the electors of each ward electing one member.
 - a. Rejects the submission for more or fewer than 16 wards.
 - b. Rejects the submissions for multi-member wards.
4. Confirms the names of the wards set out in its initial proposal, as follows:

Ward
Banks Peninsula
Burwood
Cashmere
Central
Coastal
Fendalton
Halswell
Harewood
Heathcote
Hornby
Innes
Linwood
Papanui
Riccarton
Spreydon
Waimairi

- a. Rejects submissions proposing alternative names for the wards.
 - b. Rejects the submissions opposing a separate ward for Banks Peninsula.
 - c. Rejects the submissions proposing Banks Peninsula ward is abolished and amalgamated with another ward(s).
5. Amends the initial proposal so that the maps tabled at this meeting are the final arrangements for ward boundaries (**Attachment A**), with the provision for small adjustments to be made to specific meshblock numbers to comply with section 19V (2) of the Local Electoral Act 2001, and notes that the details of how the submissions have been addressed are set out in **Attachment C**.

OR

- Amends the initial proposal so that the maps tabled at this meeting are the final arrangements for ward boundaries (**Attachment B**), with the provision for small adjustments to be made to specific meshblock numbers to comply with section 19V (2) of the Local Electoral Act 2001, and notes that the details of how the submissions have been addressed are set out in **Attachment C**.
6. Amends the initial proposal to reflect the communities of interest for each ward as set out in **Attachment A or B**.
 7. Amends the initial proposal to reflect that, in accordance with section 19V(2) of the Local Electoral Act 2001, the population that each member represents is within the range of +/- 10%; and that only the representation of the Banks Peninsula Ward falls outside the stipulated range for fair representation.
 8. Confirms its initial proposal that the Banks Peninsula Ward warrants a single member because Banks Peninsula is an isolated community in terms of section 19V(3) of the Local Electoral Act 2001.
 - a. Rejects submissions that oppose a single councillor for Banks Peninsula ward.
 - b. Rejects submissions proposing increased councillor representation for the Banks Peninsula ward.
 9. Confirms its initial proposal that there be six communities represented by six Community Boards in Christchurch.
 - a. Rejects the submissions opposing reducing the number of Community Boards.
 - b. Rejects the submissions proposing two-ward Community Boards.
 - c. Rejects submissions seeking alternative community representation.
 10. Confirms the names of the Community Boards set out in its initial proposal, as follows:

Community Board
Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula Community Board
Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board
Waimāero Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood Community Board
Waipuna Hornby-Halswell-Riccarton Community Board
Waipapa Papanui-Innes-Central Community Board
Waihoru Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote Community Board

a. a.

Rejects the submissions proposing alternative Community Board names.

11. Confirms its initial proposal that the current Linwood Central Heathcote community is abolished, and the Linwood, Central, and Heathcote wards are united with other communities.
 - a. Rejects the submissions to retain the current Linwood Central Heathcote community.
12. Amends the initial proposal so that the maps tabled at this meeting are the final arrangements for the boundaries of each community and of its subdivisions (**Attachment A or B**).
 - a. Rejects the submissions proposing a “Coastal” Community Board.
 - b. Rejects the submissions proposing alternative community arrangements.
13. Confirms the initial proposal that communities continue to be subdivided for electoral purposes, as follows:

Community Board	Ward/Subdivision	a.
Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū Banks Peninsula Community Board	Akaroa subdivision	
	Lyttelton subdivision	
	Mt Herbert subdivision	
	Wairewa subdivision	
Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board	Burwood ward	
	Coastal ward	
	Linwood ward	
Waimāero Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood Community Board	Fendalton ward	
	Harewood ward	
	Waimairi ward	
Waipuna Hornby-Halswell-Riccarton Community Board	Halswell ward	
	Hornby ward	
	Riccarton ward	
Waipapa Papanui-Innes-Central Community Board	Central ward	
	Innes ward	
	Papanui ward	
Waihoru Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote Community Board	Cashmere ward	
	Heathcote ward	
	Spreydon ward	

Rejects the submissions opposing changes to the subdivisions in the Banks Peninsula ward.

14. Confirms the five urban Community Boards comprising nine members each, being both elected and appointed members as set out in the initial proposal.

15. Confirms the Te Pātaka o Rakaihautū Banks Peninsula Community Board comprises eight members being both elected and appointed members as set out in the initial proposal.
 - a. Rejects the submissions proposing fewer than eight members for Banks Peninsula.
 - b. Rejects the submissions proposing two councillors for Banks Peninsula.
 - c. Rejects the submissions proposing two representatives for Wairewa ward.
16. Notes that in making these decisions, the Council accepts or rejects each submission received accordingly.

Attachments Ngā Tāpirihanga

No.	Title	Page
A ↓	Option 1 Maps	10
B ↓	Option 2 Maps	28
C ↓	Broad Description of the Amendments to the Representation Review Initial Proposal	46

Additional background information may be noted in the below table:

Document Name	Location / File Link

Confirmation of Statutory Compliance Te Whakatūtutanga ā-Ture

Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).


(a) This report contains:


- (i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and
- (ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.

(b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council's significance and engagement policy.


Signatories Ngā Kaiwaitohu

Authors	Christopher Turner-Bullock - Manager Community Governance, Coastal-Burwood Libby Elvidge - Senior Policy Analyst
Approved By	John Filsell - Head of Community Support, Governance and Partnerships







Heathcote Ward




Banks Peninsula Ward




Cashmere Ward




Central Ward




Halswell Ward




Harewood Ward



Fendalton Ward




Hornby Ward




Linwood Ward




Riccarton Ward



Spreydon Ward




Waimairi Ward




Burwood Ward

Initial Proposal Boundaries	Cashmere	Fendalton	Heathcote	Linwood	Spreydon
Banks Peninsula	Central	Halswell	Hornby	Papanui	Waimairi
Burwood	Coastal	Harewood	Innes	Riccarton	



Coastal Ward

Initial Proposal Boundaries	Cashmere	Fendalton	Heathcote	Linwood	Spreydon
Banks Peninsula	Central	Halswell	Hornby	Papanui	Waimairi
Burwood	Coastal	Harewood	Innes	Riccarton	



Innes Ward


Initial Proposal Boundaries	Cashmere	Fendalton	Heathcote	Linwood	Spreydon
Banks Peninsula	Central	Halswell	Hornby	Papanui	Waimairi
Burwood	Coastal	Harewood	Innes	Riccarton	




Item No.: 5


Current Ward Population Per Councillor
Stats NZ 2018 Census Usually Resident Population
Compiled by MRT; February 2021
Note: due to rounding total figures will not equal the sum total of area unit or meshblock data for the same area
PR: AH 17/02/2021

Option 1						
Current Ward Name	Initial	Proposal	Revised	Total % of City Average	% over or under	Remaining Capacity
Banks Peninsula			9400	38	-62	17,716
Burwood			24780	101	1	2,336
Cashmere			26390	107	7	726
Central			24020	97	-3	3,096
Coastal			26490	107	7	626
Fendalton			25390	103	3	1,726
Halswell			24770	100	0	2,346
Harewood			26010	106	6	1,106
Heathcote			26110	106	6	1,006
Hornby			26720	108	8	396
Innes			25320	103	3	1,796
Linwood			24780	101	1	2,336
Papanui			26140	106	6	976
Riccarton			26490	107	7	626
Spreydon			27100	110	10	16
Waimairi			24500	99	-1	2,616
Total			394410			







Banks Peninsula Ward




Cashmere Ward




Central Ward



Halswell Ward




Harewood Ward




Heathcote Ward




Fendalton Ward




Hornby Ward




Linwood Ward



Spreydon Ward




Waimairi Ward




Burwood Ward

	Initial Proposal Boundaries		Cashmere		Fendalton		Heathcote		Linwood		Spreydon
	Banks Peninsula		Central		Halswell		Hornby		Papanui		Waimairi
	Burwood		Coastal		Harewood		Innes		Riccarton		



Coastal Ward






Innes Ward

Initial Proposal Boundaries	Cashmere	Fendalton	Heathcote	Linwood	Spreydon
Banks Peninsula	Central	Halswell	Hornby	Papanui	Waimairi
Burwood	Coastal	Harewood	Innes	Riccarton	





Riccarton Ward

Current Ward Population Per Councillor
Stats NZ 2018 Census Usually Resident Population
Compiled by MRT; June 2021
Note: due to rounding total figures will not equal the sum total of area unit or meshblock data for the same area
PR: AH 17/02/2021

Option 2						
Current Ward Name	Initial	Proposal	Revised	Total % of City Average	% over or under	Remaining Capacity
Banks Peninsula			9400	38	-62	17,716
Burwood			26490	107	7	626
Cashmere			26390	107	7	726
Central			23530	95	-5	3,586
Coastal			26490	107	7	626
Fendalton			25390	103	3	1,726
Halswell			24770	100	0	2,346
Harewood			26010	106	6	1,106
Heathcote			26110	106	6	1,006
Hornby			26720	108	8	396
Innes			22800	92	-8	4,316
Linwood			26080	106	6	1,036
Papanui			26140	106	6	976
Riccarton			26490	107	7	626
Spreydon			27100	110	10	16
Waimairi			24500	99	-1	2,616
Total			394410			

Attachment C: Amendments to the Representation Review Initial Proposal

Broomfield & Riccarton Racecourse

1. Wigram is split across the Hornby and Halswell wards, with the older parts in Hornby and the newer Wigram Skies area in Halswell. This change enables us to retain Broomfield in the Hornby ward without any further changes to the other wards.
2. The proposed boundary between the Riccarton and Hornby wards is moved to Racecourse and Epsom Road.

Kennedy's Bush

3. The current boundary between the Cashmere and Halswell boundaries along Worsleys Road is retained, keeping the Kennedy's Bush area in Halswell ward.

Peer Street & the University

4. The boundary between the Riccarton and Waimairi wards is moved to Peer Street, Athol Terrace, Parkstone Avenue, Solway Avenue and Maidstone Road. This retains the entirety of the university campus within the Riccarton ward.
5. To enable the boundary revision around the university and peer street, a small change is required to the boundary between the Riccarton and Fendalton wards. This boundary is shifted to Totara Street and Clyde Road.

Matai Street

6. There are 240 people in the two meshblocks between Matai Street and the river. The changes to the Riccarton ward around Totara Street and Clyde Road mean that this change can be made.

Hillmorton & Hoon Hay

7. The boundary between the Spreydon and Heathcote wards is moved to Antigua, Brougham and Strickland Streets, and the small area bounded by Milton, Colombo and Strickland Streets is moved from the Heathcote to the Cashmere ward.
8. This changes allow for all of Hoon Hay and Hillmorton to be retained in Spreydon as per the current arrangements.

Rapaki

9. A small area to the east of Rapaki road is moved from the Heathcote ward into the Cashmere ward so that both sides of Rapaki Road are in the same ward.

Fendalton/Innes/Papanui Boundary

10. A small change to the boundary between the Papanui, Innes and Fendalton wards is required to accommodate other changes requested by submitters whilst keeping the wards within the +/-10% threshold.
11. The boundary between the Fendalton and Innes ward would move from Rutland Street to Browns Road, St Albans Street and Bristol Street. The boundary between the Fendalton and Papanui wards is moved from Rutland Street to Bretts Road.

Redwood Springs

12. The Redwood Springs area is retained within the Papanui ward, and the area to the north of Innes is moved to the Harewood ward to help balance other changes.

13. The boundary between the Innes and Papanui wards is moved to Philpotts Road instead of Innes and Hills Roads, as in the initial proposal, to help balance the population.

Linwood

	Option 1	Option 2
Charleston	The Charleston area is moved into the Central ward to keep Charleston with the Phillipstown and Inner City east communities. No further change is required to accommodate this.	The current boundary between the Linwood and Central wards along Fitzgerald Avenue, Tuam Street and Olliviers Road is retained.
Richmond	<p>The Richmond community requested that they are contained within a single ward, preferably Central ward. To achieve this, the area bounded by North Parade, Banks Avenue, Fitzgerald Avenue, Whitmore Street, Hills Road and Shirley road are moved into the Central ward.</p> <p>To accommodate this and bring the population of each ward into the +/-10% threshold, the southern boundary of the Innes ward is moved to Bealey Avenue.</p>	<p>The area bounded by Pages Road, Anzac Drive, Bexley Road, Breezes Road, Cuthberts Road and Shuttle Drive is retained within the Burwood ward. To keep the population of the Central ward within the +/- 10% threshold, the area bounded by the Avon River, McBratneys Road, Banks Avenue, North Parade, Shirley Road, Hills Road, Whitmore Street, Fitzgerald Avenue, River Road, Linwood Avenue, Worcester Street, Woodham Road and Ngarimu Street is moved into the Central ward.</p> <p>To balance the population, the area bounded by Bealey Avenue, Papanui Road, Durham Street North, Purchas Street and Whitmore Street is moved from the Innes ward into the Central ward.</p>

