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Note: The reports contained within this agenda are for consideration and should not be construed as Council policy unless and until adopted. If you require further information relating to any reports, please contact the person named on the report.

Watch Council meetings live on the web:
Strategic Framework

The Council’s Vision – Christchurch is a city of opportunity for all.
Open to new ideas, new people and new ways of doing things – a city where anything is possible.

Whiria ngā whenu o ngā papa
Honoa ki te maurua tāukiuki
Bind together the strands of each mat
And join together with the seams of respect and reciprocity.

The partnership with Papatipu Rūnanga reflects mutual understanding and respect, and a goal of improving the economic, cultural, environmental and social wellbeing for all.

Overarching Principle
Partnership - Our people are our taonga – to be treasured and encouraged. By working together we can create a city that uses their skill and talent, where we can all participate, and be valued.

Supporting Principles
- Accountability
- Agility
- Equity
- Innovation

Community Outcomes
What we want to achieve together as our city evolves

Strong communities
- Strong sense of community
- Active participation in civic life
- Safe and healthy communities
- Celebration of our identity through arts, culture, heritage and sport
- Valuing the voices of children and young people

Liveable city
- Vibrant and thriving central city, suburban and rural centres
- A well connected and accessible city
- Sufficient supply of, and access to, a range of housing
- 21st century garden city we are proud to live in

Healthy environment
- Healthy waterways
- High quality drinking water
- Unique landscapes and indigenous biodiversity are valued
- Sustainable use of resources

Prosperous economy
- Great place for people, business and investment
- An inclusive, equitable economy with broad-based prosperity for all
- A productive, adaptive and resilient economic base
- Modern and robust city infrastructure and community facilities

Strategic Priorities
Our focus for improvement over the next three years and beyond

- Enabling active citizenship and connected communities
- Maximising opportunities to develop a vibrant, prosperous and sustainable 21st century city
- Climate change leadership
- Informed and proactive approaches to natural hazard risks
- Increasing active, public and shared transport opportunities and use
- Safe and sustainable water supply and improved waterways
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1. **Apologies**
   
   At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

2. **Declarations of Interest**
   
   Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant and to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as an elected representative and any private or other external interest they might have.

3. **Public Participation**

   3.1 **Public Forum**
   
   A period of up to 30 minutes is available for people to speak for up to five minutes on any issue that is not the subject of a separate hearings process.

   3.2 **Deputations by Appointment**
   
   Deputations may be heard on a matter or matters covered by a report on this agenda and approved by the Chairperson.

   There were no deputations by appointment at the time the agenda was prepared.

4. **Presentation of Petitions**

   There were no Presentation of Petitions at the time the agenda was prepared.
5. Council Minutes - 27 June 2019

Reference: 19/738093
Presenter(s): Samantha Kelly – Committee and Hearings Advisor

1. Purpose of Report
   For the Council to confirm the minutes from the Council meeting held 27 June 2019.

2. Recommendation to Council
   That the Council confirm the Minutes from the Council meeting held 27 June 2019.

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Minutes Council - 27 June 2019</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signatories

| Author            | Samantha Kelly - Committee and Hearings Advisor |
# Christchurch City Council
## MINUTES

**Date:** Thursday 27 June 2019  
**Time:** 9.37am  
**Venue:** Council Chambers, Civic Offices, 53 Hereford Street, Christchurch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chairperson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Chairperson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor Lianne Dalziel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Mayor Andrew Turner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Vicki Buck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Jimmy Chen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Phil Clearwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Pauline Cotter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Mike Davidson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor David East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Anne Galloway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor James Gough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Yani Johanson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Aaron Keown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Glenn Livingstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Raf Manji</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Tim Scandrett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Deon Swiggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Sara Templeton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**27 June 2019**

**Principal Advisor**  
Mary Richardson  
Acting Chief Executive  
Tel: 941 8999

Samantha Kelly  
Committee and Hearings Advisor  
941 6227  
samantha.kelly@ccc.govt.nz  
www.ccc.govt.nz

Watch Council meetings live on the web:  
The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

1. **Apologies**
   
   Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00113
   
   That the apology from Councillor East for early departure be accepted.
   
   Councillor Scandrett/Councillor Davidson  
   
   **Carried**

2. **Declarations of Interest**
   
   Deputy Mayor Turner and Councillor Buck declared an interest in Item 9 relating to the Ōtāhāi Community Housing Trust.

3. **Public Participation**
   
   3.1 **Public Forum**
   
   There were no public forum presentations.

   3.2 **Deputations by Appointment**
   
   There were no deputations by appointment.

4. **Presentation of Petitions**
   
   There was no presentation of petitions.

33. **Resolution to Include Supplementary Reports**
   
   Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00114
   
   That the reports be received and considered at the Council meeting on Thursday, 27 June 2019.

   **Open Items**
   
   34. Recipients of Councillor-Director fees for 2018/19

   **Public Excluded Items**
   
   35. Changes to constitutions
   
   36. 2019/20 Insurance Renewal
   
   37. Facilities Maintenance Contract
   
   38. Chairperson’s Report Central City Development Forum
   
   39. Regenerate Christchurch Board - Appointment of Chair
   
   40. Regenerate Christchurch Board Appointments

   Mayor/Deputy Mayor  
   
   **Carried**
5. **Council Minutes - 23 May 2019**

**Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00115**

That the Council confirm the Minutes from the Council meeting held 23 May 2019.

AND

That the Council confirm the Minutes from the Council meeting held 13 June 2019.

AND

That the Council receives the Minutes from the Social, Community Development and Housing Committee meeting held 12 June 2019.

AND

That the Council receives the Minutes from the Audit and Risk Management Committee meeting held 30 May 2019.

AND

That the Council receives the Minutes from the Health, Safety and Wellbeing Committee meeting held 7 June 2019.

AND

That the Council receives the Minutes from the Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee meeting held 12 June 2019.

AND

That the Council receives the Minutes from the Innovation and Sustainable Development Committee meeting held 20 May 2019.

AND

That the Council receives the Minutes from the Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee meeting held 21 May 2019.

AND

That the Council receives the Minutes from the Christchurch West Melton Water Management Zone Committee meeting held 23 May 2019.

AND

That the Council receives the Minutes from the Selwyn Waihora Water Management Zone Committee meetings held on 29 January and 5 March 2019.
6. **Council Minutes - 13 June 2019**
   Council Decision
   Refer to item 5.

8. **Social, Community Development and Housing Committee Minutes – 12 June 2019**
   Council Decision
   Refer to item 5.

11. **Audit and Risk Management Committee Minutes - 30 May 2019**
    Council Decision
    Refer to item 5.

12. **Health, Safety and Wellbeing Committee Minutes - 7 June 2019**
    Council Decision
    Refer to item 5.

13. **Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee Minutes – 12 June 2019**
    Council Decision
    Refer to item 5.

14. **Innovation and Sustainable Development Committee Minutes – 20 May 2019**
    Council Decision
    Refer to item 5.

15. **Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee Minutes – 21 May 2019**
    Council Decision
    Refer to item 5.
16. Christchurch West Melton Water Management Zone Committee Minutes - 23 May 2019
Council Decision
Refer to item 5.

17. Selwyn Waihora Zone Committee Minutes - 29 January and 5 March 2019
Council Decision
Refer to item 5.

Report from Social, Community Development and Housing Committee - 12 June 2019

7. Long-term Loan and Installation of Public Artwork - "Lift" on Barkers Plantation
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00116

That the Council:

1. Agree to the relocation and long term installation of the sculpture “Lift” on Barkers Plantation on the corner of Kilmore and Madras Street subject to the following:
   a. All necessary consents and approvals are obtained and provided.
   b. Future maintenance costs are included within existing budgets.
   c. A condition report and long term maintenance and engineering plans are provided.
   d. The first 12 months maintenance is paid for by Ōtākaro.
   e. An Artwork Lease Agreement is to be prepared between the Artist and Council consistent with the Artworks in Public Places Policy.
   f. Note that staff will work with Ōtākaro and the artist regarding the base of the platform.

Councillor Clearwater/Councillor Livingstone  
Carried
Report from Audit and Risk Management Committee - 30 May 2019


Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00117

That the Council:

1. Receives this report on the critical judgements, estimates and assumptions made to date for the Council’s 2019 Annual Report.

Councillor Manji/Deputy Mayor Carried

Report from Audit and Risk Management Committee - 30 May 2019

10. Audit Management Letter - Interim 2018/19

Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00118

That the Council:


Councillor Manji/Deputy Mayor Carried

18. Chairperson's Report: Central City Development Forum

Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00119

That the Council:

1. Receives this report for information.

Councillor Gough/Councillor Templeton Carried
19. Hearings Panel Report to the Council on the Summit Road Speed Limit Review

Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00120

That the Council:

1. Approve, pursuant to Part 4 Section 27 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017 and the Land Transport Rule: Setting of Speed Limits 2017, that the speed limits on the relevant sections of Summit Road and the adjoining side roads of Evans Pass Road, Sumner Road, Mount Pleasant Road, Broadleaf Lane, and Worsleys Road be revoked and set as listed below in clauses 1a-1m, and resultant changes made to the Christchurch City Council Register of Speed Limits and associated Speed Limit Maps:

   a. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 70 kilometres per hour on Summit Road commencing at a point 150m south west of its intersection with Worsleys Road, and extending in an easterly direction, generally, to its end at Godley Head.

   b. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Summit Road (Christchurch City Council controlled sections) commencing at a point 150m south west of its intersection with Worsleys Road, and extending in a southerly direction, generally, to its intersection with Gebbies Pass Road.

   c. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Summit Road (Christchurch City Council controlled sections) commencing at its intersection with Gebbies Pass Road and extending in an easterly direction generally, to its end at Godley Head be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

   d. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 70 kilometres per hour on Worsleys Road commencing at its intersection with Summit Road and extending in a north westerly direction for a distance of 390 metres.

   e. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Worsleys Road commencing at its intersection with Summit Road and extending in a north westerly direction for a distance of 390 metres be 60 kilometres per hour.

   f. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 70 kilometres per hour on Broadleaf Lane commencing at its intersection with Summit Road and extending in a southerly direction to its end.

   g. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Broadleaf Lane commencing at its intersection with Summit Road and extending in a southerly direction to its end be 60 kilometres per hour.

   h. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 70 kilometres per hour on Mount Pleasant Road commencing at its intersection with Summit Road and extending in a northerly direction to a point 50 metres south of its intersection with Upper Major Hornbrook Road.

   i. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Mount Pleasant Road commencing at its intersection with Summit Road and extending in a northerly direction to a point 50 metres south of its intersection with Upper Major Hornbrook Road be 60 kilometres per hour.

   j. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 70 kilometres per hour on Sumner Road commencing at its intersection with Summit Road and extending in a south westerly direction to a point 65 metres north east of its intersection with Reserve Terrace.
k. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Sumner Road commencing at its intersection with Summit Road and extending in a south westerly direction to a point 65 metres north east of its intersection with Reserve Terrace be 60 kilometres per hour.

l. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 70 kilometres per hour on Evans Pass Road commencing at its intersection with Summit Road and extending in a north easterly direction to a point 130 metres south west of its intersection with Ocean View Terrace.

m. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Evans Pass Road commencing at its intersection with Summit Road and extending in a north easterly direction to a point 130 metres south west of its intersection be 60 kilometres per hour.

2. Approve that the speed limit changes listed above in clauses 1a-1m come into force following the date of Council approval and the installation of all the required infrastructure (signage and/or markings) and the removal of redundant infrastructure as indicated on Attachment A.

3. Request staff investigate safety improvements for intersections identified, Summit and Dyers Pass Roads, and Heberden and Evans Pass Roads, and report options to relevant Community Boards.

4. Request the provision of additional signage and upgrading signage in high risk areas, e.g. reflective chevron boards and share-the-road signage, and update progress to the Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee.

5. Request staff provide information relating to the users of Summit and Sumner Roads from video analyses or other data, to the Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee.

Councillor Davidson/Deputy Mayor  Carried


Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00121

That the Council:

1. Receive the report.
2. Note the progress on actions as outlined in the attached table, refer Appendix A.
3. Request staff to report on Central government proposals in relation to any new drinking water safety regime, and to continue monitoring the role of quality assurance and compliance within the three waters unit and the wider organisation, reporting back to the Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee through the three waters and waste bi-monthly update report.
4. Request staff to make explicit in all of the arrangements relating to the drinking water safety regime the importance of the security of our drinking water supply.
5. Invite local Members of Parliament to discuss the new drinking water safety regime and other water matters with Elected members, and to brief them on our progress.

Councillor Cotter/Councillor Davidson  Carried
Councillor Gough left the meeting at 10.41am and returned at 10.43am during consideration of item 20.

20. Timing of the Global Settlement decisions for Council

Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00122

That the Council:

1. Note that the global settlement proposal is expected to be made publicly available on the 29th of July, deputations heard on the 6th of August, and Council consideration and decision on the 8th of August 2019.

Mayor/Deputy Mayor Carried

34. Recipients of Councillor-Director fees for 2018/19

Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00123

That the Council:

1. Notes that donations in lieu of Councillor-director fees from external organisations are likely to be around $267,000 for 2018/19;

2. Endorses the allocation of donations in lieu of Councillor-director fees of $100,000 in 2018/19 to the Innovation and Sustainability Fund as provided for in the Long Term Plan 2018-28;

   a. Approves the Imagination Station (LUG4/2 incorporated) as the recipient of $40,000 of donations in lieu of Councillor-director fees in 2018/19;

3. Approves the Mayor’s Welfare Fund as the recipient of all other donations in lieu of Councillor-director fees in 2018/19;

4. Directs staff to report to the new Council by December 2019 for new decisions on the recipients for the donations in lieu of Councillor-director fees for 2019/20;

5. Requests Christchurch City Holdings Ltd to make donations in lieu of Councillor-director fees of $100,000 to the Innovation and Sustainability Fund and the remainder to the Mayor’s Welfare Fund in 2018/19; and

6. Requests ChristchurchNZ Holdings Ltd and Transwaste Canterbury Ltd to make donations in lieu of Councillor-director fees to the Imagination Station (LUG4/2 incorporated) and the remaining donations to Mayor’s Welfare Fund in 2018/19 as referred to in 2a and 3 above.

Councillor Gough/Councillor Templeton Carried

Councillor East requested his vote against the resolution be recorded.
22. Resolution to Exclude the Public

Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00124

That Karena Finnie of ChristchurchNZ Limited remain after the public have been excluded for Item 25. of the public excluded agenda as she has knowledge that is relevant to that item and will assist the Council.

AND

Brent King and Steve Walsh of Marsh Limited remain after the public have been excluded for Item 36. of the public excluded supplementary agenda No.2 as they have knowledge that is relevant to that item and will assist the Council.

AND

That at 11.04am the resolution to exclude the public set out on pages 196 to 198 of the agenda, pages 9 to 10 of the supplementary agenda, pages 5 to 6 of the supplementary agenda No.2 and pages 5 to 7 of the supplementary agenda No.3 be adopted.

Mayor/Councillor Chen — Carried

Councilor Johanson requested that his vote against item 25. being presented in public excluded be recorded.

The public were re-admitted to the meeting at 3.06pm.

Meeting concluded at 3.06pm.


MAYOR LIANNE DALZIEL
CHAIRPERSON
6. Council Minutes - 11 July 2019

Reference: 19/790771
Presenter(s): Christopher Turner-Bullock – Community Governance Manager

1. Purpose of Report
For the Council to confirm the minutes from the Council meeting held 11 July 2019.

2. Recommendation to Council
That the Council confirm the Minutes from the Council meeting held 11 July 2019.

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Minutes Council - 11 July 2019</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signatories

| Author | Christopher Turner-Bullock - Manager Community Governance, Spreydon-Cashmere |
Christchurch City Council

MINUTES

Date: Thursday 11 July 2019
Time: 9.39am
Venue: Council Chambers, Civic Offices, 53 Hereford Street, Christchurch

Present
Chairperson
Deputy Mayor Andrew Turner
Councillor Vicki Buck
Councillor Jimmy Chen
Councillor Phil Clearwater
Councillor Pauline Cotter
Councillor Mike Davidson
Councillor David East
Councillor Anne Galloway
Councillor James Gough
Councillor Yani Johanson
Councillor Aaron Keown
Councillor Glenn Livingstone
Councillor Tim Scandrett
Councillor Deon Swiggs
Councillor Sara Templeton

Members

11 July 2019

Principal Advisor
Mary Richardson
Acting Chief Executive
Tel: 941 8999

Christopher Turner-Bullock
Community Governance Manager
941 8233
christopher.turner@ccc.govt.nz
www.ccc.govt.nz

Watch Council meetings live on the web:
The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

1. Apologies
   **Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00126**
   That the apology from The Mayor and Councillor Manji for absence be accepted.
   Deputy Mayor/Councillor Cotter **Carried**

2. Declarations of Interest
   Deputy Mayor Turner and Councillor Swiggs declared an interest in Item 22. District Plan amendment – Lyttelton parking – draft section 65 proposal for consultation
   Councillors Johanson and Livingstone declared an interest in Item 13 - 266 Pages Road – Lease.
   Councillors Buck, Davidson and Templeton joined the meeting at 9.41am, during item 3.1.1.

3. Public Participation
   3.1 Public Forum
      **3.1.1** Matthew Mark, CEO/City Missioner and George Blackmore of the Christchurch City Mission presented to the Council regarding their Outreach Social Worker Partnership.

   3.2 Deputations by Appointment
   There were no deputations by appointment.

4. Presentation of Petitions
   There was no presentation of petitions.

27. Resolution to Include Supplementary Reports
   **Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00127**
   That the report be received and considered at the Council meeting on Thursday, 11 July 2019.
   **Public Excluded Items**
   29. Fleet Options
   Deputy Mayor/Councillor Davidson **Carried**
5. **Waipapa/Papanui-Innes Community Board Report to Council**  
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00128

That the Council:
Councillor Davidson/Councillor Cotter  
**Carried**

Karolin Potter, Community Board Chairperson and Christopher Turner-Bullock, Community Governance Manager joined the table for item 6.

6. **Waihora/Spreydon-Cashmere Community Board Report to Council**  
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00129

That the Council:
Councillor Clearwater/Councillor Scandrett  
**Carried**

Councillor Gough left the meeting at 10.11am, during item 7.  
Councillor Buck left the meeting at 10.12am, during item 7.

Pam Richardson, Community Board Chairperson, Tyrone Fields, Community Board Deputy Chairperson, and Penelope Goldstone, Community Governance Manager, joined the table for item 7.

7. **Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū/Banks Peninsula Community Board Report to Council**  
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00130

That the Council:
Deputy Mayor/Councillor Swiggs  
**Carried**

Councillor Gough returned to the meeting at 10.14am, during item 8.  
Councillor Buck returned to the meeting at 10.17am, during item 8.
Kim Money, Community Board Chairperson and Jo Wells, Community Governance Manager joined the table for item 8.

8. Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board Report to Council
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00131

That the Council:
Carried

Councillor East/Councillor Livingstone

Sam MacDonald, Community Board Chairperson and Maryanne Lomax, Community Governance Manager joined the table for item 9.

Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00132

That the Council:
Carried

Councillor Keown/Councillor Swiggs

Mike Mora, Community Board Chairperson and Marie Byrne, Acting Community Governance Manager joined the table for item 10.

10. Waipuna/Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board Report to Council
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00133

That the Council:
Carried

Councillor Chen/Councillor Galloway

Sally Buck, Community Board Chairperson and Arohanui Grace, Community Governance Manager joined the table for item 11.

11. Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board Report to Council
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00134

That the Council:
Carried

Councillor Johanson/Councillor Swiggs
15. Regulatory Performance Committee Minutes - 5 June 2019
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00135
That the Council receives the Minutes from the Regulatory Performance Committee meeting held 5 June 2019.
Deputy Mayor/Councillor Templeton
Carried

16. Audit and Risk Management Committee Minutes - 18 June 2019
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00136
That the Council receives the Minutes from the Audit and Risk Management Committee meeting held 18 June 2019.
Deputy Mayor/Councillor Templeton
Carried

18. Te Hononga Council – Papatipu Rūnanga Committee Minutes – 20 June 2019
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00137
That the Council receives the Minutes from the Te Hononga Council – Papatipu Rūnanga Committee meeting held 20 June 2019.
Deputy Mayor/Councillor Templeton
Carried

20. Innovation and Sustainable Development Committee Minutes – 26 June 2019
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00138
That the Council receives the Minutes from the Innovation and Sustainable Development Committee meeting held 26 June 2019.
Deputy Mayor/Councillor Templeton
Carried
Council
25 July 2019

Report from Banks Peninsula Community Board - 24 June 2019

12. Banks Peninsula Speed Limit Review- Akaroa Harbour, Bays & Little River Areas
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00139

That the Council:

1. Approve, pursuant to Part 4 Section 27 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017 and the Land Transport Rule: Setting of Speed Limits 2017, that the speed limits on the following roads be revoked and set as listed below in clauses a- h, and shown in Attachments A- H of the agenda.

Le Bons Bay Map – Attachment A

a. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 70 kilometres per hour on Le Bons Bay Road commencing at a point 20 metres north west of its intersection with Le Bons Valley Road and extending in an easterly direction to a point 715 metres east of its intersection with Le Bons Valley Road.

b. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Le Bons Bay Road commencing at a point 20 metres north west of its intersection with Le Bons Valley Road and extending in an easterly direction to a point 715 metres east of its intersection with Le Bons Valley Road be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

c. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Le Bons Valley Road commencing at its intersection with Le Bons Bay Road and extending in a south westerly direction to its end.

d. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Le Bons Valley Road commencing at its intersection with Le Bons Bay Road and extending in a south westerly direction to its end be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

e. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on The Branch Road commencing at its intersection with Le Bons Valley Road and extending in a north westerly direction to its end.

f. Approve that the permanent speed limit on The Branch Road commencing at its intersection with Le Bons Valley Road and extending in a north westerly direction to its end be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

g. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Leonardo Lane commencing at its intersection with Le Bons Valley Road and extending in a south easterly direction to its end.

h. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Leonardo Lane commencing at its intersection with Le Bons Valley Road and extending in a south easterly direction to its end be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

Little River Map – Attachment B

i. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Church Road commencing at its intersection with Western Valley Road and extending in a south easterly direction to its intersection with Christchurch Akaroa Road (SH75).

j. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Church Road commencing at its intersection with Western Valley Road and extending in a south easterly direction...
to its intersection with Christchurch Akaroa Road (SH75) be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

k. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Upper Church Road commencing at its intersection with Church Road and extending in a south easterly direction to its end.

l. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Upper Church Road commencing at its intersection with Church Road and extending in a south easterly direction to its end be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

m. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Western Valley Road commencing at a point 30 metres south west of its intersection with Church Road and extending in a northerly direction to a point 470 metres north of its intersection with Church Road.

n. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Western Valley Road commencing at a point 30 metres south west of its intersection with Church Road and extending in a northerly direction to a point 470 metres north of its intersection with Church Road be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

**Little Akaloa Map – Attachment C**

o. **Revoke** the existing permanent 100 kilometres per hour speed limit on Chorlton Road commencing at a point 131 metres south of its intersection with Little Akaloa Road and extending in an easterly direction to a point 75 metres east of its intersection with Lukes Road.

p. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Chorlton Road commencing at a point 131 metres south of its intersection with Little Akaloa Road and extending in an easterly direction to a point 75 metres east of its intersection with Lukes Road be set at 50 kilometres per hour.

q. **Revoke** the existing permanent 100 km/h speed limit on Lukes Road commencing at its intersection with Chorlton Road and extending in a westerly direction to its end.

r. **Approve** that the existing permanent speed limit on Lukes Road commencing at its intersection with Chorlton Road and extending in a westerly direction to its end be set at 50 kilometres per hour.

s. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Little Akaloa Road commencing at a point 397 metres south west of its intersection with Chorlton Road and extending in a south westerly direction for a distance of 300 metres.

t. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Little Akaloa Road commencing at a point 397 metres south west of its intersection with Chorlton and extending in a south westerly direction for a distance of 300 metres be set at 50 kilometres per hour.

**Duvauchelle – Barrys Bay Map – Attachment D**

u. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 50 kilometres per hour on Onawe Flat Road commencing at a point 38 metres south east of its western intersection with Christchurch Akaroa Road (SH75) and extending in a south easterly direction for a distance of 582 metres.
v. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 50 kilometres per hour on Onawe Flat Road commencing at a point 50 metres south west of its eastern intersection with Christchurch Akaroa Road (SH75) and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 569 metres.

w. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 30 kilometres per hour (SL-41) on Onawe Flat Road commencing at a point 620 metres south east of its western intersection with Christchurch Akaroa Road (SH75) and extending in a south easterly direction then a northerly direction to a point 619 metres south of its eastern intersection with Christchurch Akaroa Road (SH75).

x. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Onawe Flat Road commencing at a point 38 metres south east of its western intersection with Christchurch-Akaroa Road (SH75) and extending in a south easterly direction then a northerly direction to a point 50 metres south west of its eastern intersection with Christchurch-Akaroa Road (SH75) be set at 30 kilometres per hour.

**Okains Bay Map – Attachment E**

y. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Okains Bay Road commencing at a point 450 metres south west of its intersection with Chorlton Road and extending in a north easterly direction to its end at Okains Bay Camping Ground.

z. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Okains Bay Road commencing at a point 450 metres south west of its intersection with Chorlton Road and extending to a point 35 metres north east of its intersection with Big Hill Road be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

aa. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Okains Bay Road commencing at a point 35 metres east of its intersection with Big Hill Road and extending in a north easterly direction to its end at Okains Bay Camping Ground be set at 40 kilometres per hour.

bb. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Knapps Road commencing at its intersection with Okains Bay Road and extending in a north westerly direction to its end.

c. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Knapps Road commencing at its intersection with Okains Bay Road and extending in a north westerly direction to its end be set at 40 kilometres per hour.

dd. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on School House Road commencing at its intersection with Okains River Road and extending in a westerly direction to its end.

e. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on School House Road commencing at its intersection with Okains River Road and extending in a westerly direction to its end be set at 40 kilometres per hour.

ff. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Back Road commencing at its eastern intersection with Okains Bay Road and extending in a southerly direction to its end.

gg. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Back Road commencing at its eastern intersection with Okains Bay Road and extending in a southerly direction to its end be set at 50 kilometres per hour.
hh. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Okains River Road commencing at its intersection with Okains Bay Road and extending in a north easterly direction to its intersection with Chorlton Road.

ii. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Okains River Road commencing at its intersection with Okains Bay Road and extending in a north easterly direction to its intersection with Chorlton Road be set at 40 kilometres per hour.

jj. **Revoke** any existing permanent speed limit within the Okains Bay Camping Ground commencing at its intersection with Okains Bay Road and extending throughout the Campground.

kk. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit within Okains Bay Camping Ground commencing at its intersection and extending throughout the Camping Ground be set at 10 kilometres per hour.

ll. **Revoke** the existing permanent 100 kilometre per hour speed limit on Chorlton Road commencing at its intersection with Okains Bay Road and extending in a northerly direction to a point 30 metres north of its intersection with Okains River Road.

mm. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Chorlton Road commencing at its intersection with Okains Bay Road and extending in a northerly direction to a point 30 metres north of its intersection with Okains River Road be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

**Onuku and Akaroa Maps – Attachments F and G**

nn. **Revoke** the existing permanent 50 kilometres per hour speed limit on Beach Road commencing at a point 40 metres south west of its intersection with Bruce Terrace and extending in a south westerly direction to its end.

oo. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Beach Road commencing at a point 40 metres south west of its intersection with Bruce Terrace and extending in a south westerly direction to its end be set at 30 kilometres per hour.

pp. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Grehan Valley Road commencing at a point 926 metres south east of Rue Lavaud and extending in a south easterly direction to its end.

qq. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Grehan Valley Road commencing at a point 926 metres south east of Rue Lavaud and extending in a south easterly direction to its end be set at 50 kilometres per hour.

rr. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Lighthouse Road commencing at a point 154 metres south of its intersection with Onuku Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 146 metres.

ss. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Lighthouse Road be set at 50 kilometres per hour commencing at a point 154 metres south of its intersection with Onuku Road and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 146 metres be set at 50 kilometres per hour.

tt. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Morgans Road commencing at its intersection with Long Bay Road and extending in a westerly direction to a point 209 metres east of its intersection with Old Coach Road.
uu. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Morgans Road commencing at its intersection with Long Bay Road and extending in a westerly direction to a point 209 metres east of its intersection with Old Coach Road be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

vv. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Old Coach Road commencing at its intersection with Long Bay Road and extending in a southerly direction to a point 31 metres north of its intersection with Morgans Road.

ww. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Old Coach Road commencing at its intersection with Long Bay Road and extending in a southerly direction to a point 31 metres north of its intersection with Morgans Road be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

xx. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Rue Balarueire commencing at a point 213 metres south east of its intersection with Rue Cachalot and extending in south easterly direction to its intersection with Stony Bay Road.

yy. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Stony Bay Road commencing at its intersection with Purple Peak Road and extending in south easterly direction for a distance of 40 metres.

zz. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Rue Balarueire commencing at a point 213 metres south east of its intersection with Rue Cachalot and extending in a south east direction to its intersection with Purple Peak Road be set at 50 kilometres per hour.

aaa. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Stony Bay Road commencing at its intersection with Purple Peak Road and extending in a south east direction for a distance of 40 metres be set at 50 kilometres per hour.

bbb. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Purple Peak Road commencing at its intersection with Rue Balarueire and extending in a northerly then easterly direction to its end.

ccc. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Purple Peak Road commencing at its intersection with Rue Balarueire and extending in a northerly then easterly direction to its end be set at 50 kilometres per hour.

ddd. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 50 kilometres per hour on Rue Jolie (North) commencing at its intersection with Woodills Road and extending in a southerly direction to its intersection with Rue Viard.

eee. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Rue Jolie (North) commencing at its intersection with Woodills Road and extending in a southerly direction to its intersection with Rue Viard be set at 20 kilometres per hour.

fff. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 50 kilometres per hour on Rue Viard commencing at its intersection with Rue Lavaud and extending in a westerly direction to its intersection with Rue Jolie (North).

ggg. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Rue Viard commencing at its intersection with Rue Lavaud and extending in a westerly direction to its intersection with Rue Jolie (North) be set at 20 kilometres per hour.
hhh. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Childrens Bay Road commencing at its intersection with Christchurch Akaroa Road (SH75) and extending in a north westerly direction to its end.

iii. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Childrens Bay Road commencing at its intersection with Christchurch Akaroa Road (SH75) and extending in a north westerly direction to its end be set at 20 kilometres per hour.

jjj. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour speed limit on Onuku Road commencing at a point 23 metres south west of its intersection with Stanley Place and extending in a southerly direction to its intersection with Hamiltons Road.

kkk. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Onuku Road commencing at a point 23 metres south west of its intersection with Stanley Place and extending in a southerly direction to a point 825 metres north west of its intersection with Hamiltons Road be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

lll. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Onuku Road commencing at its intersection with Hamiltons Road and extending in a north westerly direction for a distance of 825 metres will be set at 40 kilometres per hour.

mm. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Hamiltons Road commencing at its intersection with Onuku Road and extending in a southerly direction to its end.

nnn. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Hamiltons Road commencing at its intersection with Onuku Road and extending in a southerly direction to its end be set at 40 kilometres per hour.

ooo. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Haylocks Road commencing at its intersection with Onuku Road and extending in a south easterly direction to its end.

ppp. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Haylocks Road commencing at its intersection with Onuku Road and extending in a south easterly direction to its end be set at 40 kilometres per hour.

**French Farm and Wainui Map – Attachment H**

qqq. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Wainui Main Road commencing at its intersection with Christchurch Akaroa Road (SH75) and extending in a southerly direction to a point 100 metres north east of its intersection with French Farm Valley Road.

rrr. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 70 kilometres per hour on Wainui Main Road commencing at a point 100 metres north east of its intersection with French Farm Valley Road and extending in a south westerly direction to a point 425 metres south west of its intersection with Bantry Lodge Road.

sss. **Revoke** the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Wainui Main Road commencing at a point 425 metres south west of its intersection with Bantry Lodge Road and extending in a southerly direction to a point 580 metres east of its western intersection with Cemetery Road.

ttt. **Approve** that the permanent speed limit on Wainui Main Road commencing at its intersection with Christchurch Akaroa Road (SH75) and extending in a southerly
direction to a point 580 metres east of its western intersection with Cemetery Road be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

u uu. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Moores Road commencing at its intersection with Wainui Main Road and extending in a south westerly direction to its end.

vvv. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Moores Road commencing at its intersection with Wainui Main Road and extending in a south westerly direction to its end be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

www. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 70 kilometres per hour on French Farm Valley Road commencing at its intersection with Wainui Main Road and extending in a south westerly direction to its end.

xxx. Approve that the permanent speed limit on French Farm Valley Road commencing at its intersection with Wainui Main Road and extending in a south westerly direction to its end be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

yyy. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 70 kilometres per hour on Winery Road commencing at its intersection with French Farm Valley Road and extending in a westerly direction to its end.

zzz. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Winery Road commencing at its intersection with French Farm Valley Road and extending in a westerly direction to its end be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

aaaa. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Bantry Lodge Road commencing at its intersection with Wainui Main Road and extending in a south westerly direction to its end.

bbbb. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Bantry Lodge Road commencing at its intersection with Wainui Main Road and extending in a south westerly direction to its end be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

cccc. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Tikao Bay Road commencing at its intersection with Wainui Main Road and extending in a north easterly direction for a distance of 100 metres.

dddd. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Tikao Bay Road commencing at its intersection with Wainui Main Road and extending in a north easterly direction for a distance of 100 metres be set at 20 kilometres per hour.

eeee. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Cemetery Road commencing at its northern intersection with Wainui Main Road and extending in a westerly direction to a point 115 metres east of its intersection with Seaview Lane.

ffff. Approve the permanent speed limit on Cemetery Road commencing at its northern intersection with Wainui Main Road and extending in a westerly direction to a point 115 metres east of its intersection with Seaview Lane be set at 60 kilometres per hour.

gggg. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Donovans Road commencing at its intersection with Wainui Valley Road and extending in a north westerly direction to its end.
hhhh. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Donovan's Road commencing at its intersection with Wainui Valley Road and extending in a north westerly direction to its end be set at 40 kilometres per hour.

iii. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Edwards Road commencing at its intersection with Wainui Valley Road and extending in a north westerly direction to its end.

jjji. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Edwards Road commencing at its intersection with Wainui Valley Road and extending in a westerly direction to its end be set at 40 kilometres per hour.

kkkk. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 100 kilometres per hour on Wainui Valley Road commencing at a point 864 metres north west of its intersection with Wainui Main Road and extending in a northerly then a westerly direction to its end.

llll. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Wainui Valley Road commencing at a point 864 metres north of its intersection with Wainui Main Road and extending in a northerly then a westerly direction to its end be set at 40 kilometres per hour.

Deputy Mayor/Councillor Scandrett Carried

Report from Coastal-Burwood Community Board - 1 July 2019

13. 266 Pages Road - Lease
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00140

That the Council:

1. Gifts the dwelling and garage situated at 266 Pages Road to the East Christchurch Housing Trust and

2. Enters into a lease of the land for a term of 6 years with 2 rights of renewal providing that the lessor can cancel the lease for any reason on giving the lessee 12 months written notice and provided that the lessor cannot give such notice within 5 years of the commencement date.

3. Resolve that the sum of $14,020.00 inclusive of GST that is being held for the demolition of the dwelling and garage be gifted to the trust as a one off contribution by Council to the East Christchurch Housing Trust on the condition that:
   a. Those funds are used solely for the reinstatement of the dwelling within 6 months of the date of the lease; and
   b. On termination of the lease the dwelling and garage are removed from the land at the sole cost of the East Christchurch Housing Trust.

4. Delegates to the Manager Property Consultancy the authority to take all necessary steps to negotiate, agree and enter into all necessary documentation on behalf of the Council as he shall consider necessary or desirable to give effect to the above resolutions.

Councillor East/Councillor Clearwater Carried

The meeting adjourned at 10.54am and reconvened at 11.13am.
14. Installation of Public Artwork - Segments of Berlin Wall
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00141

That the Council:

1. Agree to the installation of the two Berlin Wall sections at Rauora – Cashel to Lichfield Street (northern location) subject to the following:
   a. All necessary consents and approvals are obtained and provided
   b. Future maintenance costs for the two Berlin Wall sections are included in future maintenance planning
   c. A condition report and long term maintenance and engineering plans are provided.

Councillor Swiggs/Councillor Buck  **Carried**

Councillors East, Johanson and Livingstone requested that their vote against the resolution be recorded.

Councillor Keown left the meeting at 11.50am, during item 17.

Report from Audit and Risk Management Committee - 18 June 2019

17. Updated Risk Management Policy
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00142

That the Council:

1. Approves the updated draft Risk Management Policy.

2. Requests that a joint briefing with elected members and executive management is scheduled after the 2019 elections to discuss the refreshed expectations set within this policy.

Deputy Mayor/Councillor Gough  **Carried**

Councillor Keown returned to the meeting at 11.55am, during item 19.
19. Climate Change Programme - Consultation on Draft Targets

Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00143

That the Council:

1. Approve that the consultation document on emissions targets (Attachment B of the report in the agenda), be released for public consultation subject to minor amendments based on feedback from the Committee.
2. Approve that the Innovation and Sustainable Development Committee receive and hear from submitters following the consultation.

Councillor Buck/Councillor Templeton  Carried

Councillor Chen left the meeting at 12.37pm and returned to the meeting at 12.40pm, during item 21.

Councillor Livingstone left the meeting at 12.41pm and returned to the meeting at 12.43pm, during item 21.
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00144

That the Council resolves to:

1. Receive the recommendations from the Greater Christchurch Partnership Committee to:
   b. Adopt the final version of Our Space 2018-2048: Greater Christchurch Settlement Pattern Update Whakahāngai O Te Hōropa Nohoanga as recommended by the Hearings Panel as the joint future development strategy for Greater Christchurch for the purposes of meeting the Council’s obligation to produce a future development strategy under Policies PC12 to PC14 of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity; and
   c. Set and incorporate the territorial authority housing targets for the district as identified in Table 2 of Our Space 2018-2048: Greater Christchurch Settlement Pattern Update Whakahāngai O Te Hōropa Nohoanga within respective district plans, in accordance with policies PC9 and PC11 of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity at the Council meeting that considers adopting Our Space 2018-2048: Greater Christchurch Settlement Pattern Update Whakahāngai O Te Hōropa Nohoanga.

2. Adopt the final version of Our Space 2018-2048: Greater Christchurch Settlement Pattern Update Whakahāngai O Te Hōropa Nohoanga as recommended by the Hearings Panel on Our Space 2018-2048: Greater Christchurch Settlement Pattern Update Whakahāngai O Te Hōropa Nohoanga and endorsed by the Greater Christchurch Partnership Committee as the joint future development strategy for Greater Christchurch for the purposes of meeting the Council’s obligation to produce a future development strategy under policies PC12 to PC14 of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity, shown as Attachment B which incorporates the changes identified in Attachment A.

3. Set and incorporate the housing targets for Christchurch City as identified in Table 2 of Our Space 2018-2048: Greater Christchurch Settlement Pattern Update Whakahāngai O Te Hōropa Nohoanga within the Christchurch District Plan, in accordance with policies PC9 and PC11, of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity, shown as Attachment C.

4. Authorise staff to correct any minor errors or make typographical and formatting changes to the final version of Our Space 2018-2048: Greater Christchurch Settlement Pattern Update Whakahāngai O Te Hōropa Nohoanga, following approval from the Independent Chair of the Greater Christchurch Partnership.

Councillor Templeton/Councillor Clearwater

Carried

Councillors Buck and Johanson requested that their vote against the resolution be recorded.

The meeting adjourned at 1.06pm and reconvened at 2.03pm.
Election of a Chair
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00145

Deputy Mayor Turner declared an interest in item 22 and it was resolved on the motion of Deputy Mayor Turner, seconded by Councillor Scandrett that Councillor Gough be appointed Chairperson of the meeting for this item.

Deputy Mayor/Councillor Scandrett

Carried

22. District Plan amendment - Lyttelton parking - draft section 65 proposal for consultation
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00146

That the Council:

1. Approves the draft proposal (Attachment A of the report in the agenda), including the proposed changes to the District Plan and supporting information, to be given to strategic partners, the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet, and Regenerate Christchurch, to seek their views under section 66 of the Greater Christchurch Regeneration Act 2016.

2. Requests that staff then prepare a final proposal (providing that strategic partners support the section 71 process and have provided advice), with a summary of feedback and any amendments to the proposal, in order for the proposal to be submitted to the Minister as soon as practicable.

3. Delegates authority for the Chief Executive to approve this final proposal for submission to the Minister, unless the amendments made as a consequence of feedback result in rules of substantially different effect, in which case the final proposal will be reported to Council for approval.

4. Request staff advice on a similar change in other smaller suburban centres facing similar issues.

Councillor Gough/Councillor Templeton

Carried

Deputy Mayor Turner resumed the Chair.

23. Draft Submission on the Climate Change Response (Zero Carbon) Amendment Bill
Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00147

That the Council:

1. Approve the draft submission on the Climate Change Response (Zero Carbon) Amendment Bill (Attachment A) to enable it to be submitted by 16 July 2019.

Councillor Templeton/Councillor Davidson

Carried
24. Draft Submission on the Kainga Ora-Homes and Communities Bill

Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00148

That the Council:

1. Approve the draft submission on the Kainga Ora-Homes and Communities Bill.

Councillor Livingstone/Councillor Clearwater

Carried


Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00149

That the Council:

1. Receive the information in this report.

Councillor Gough/Deputy Mayor

Carried


Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00150

That the Council:

1. Receive the report.

Deputy Mayor/Councillor Scandrett

Carried

27. Resolution to Exclude the Public

Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00151

That at 2.42pm the resolution to exclude the public set out on pages 5 to 6 of the supplementary agenda be adopted.

Deputy Mayor/Councillor Buck

Carried

The public were re-admitted to the meeting at 3.07pm.

Meeting concluded at 3.08pm.
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The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

1. **Apologies**
   - *Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00042*
     
     That the apology from Councillor Buck for temporary absence be accepted.
     
     Councillor Templeton/Councillor Clearwater
     
     Carried

     Councillor East joined the meeting at 9.37am

     Councillor Galloway joined the meeting at 9.38am

     Councillor Buck joined the meeting at 9.41am

2. **Declarations of Interest**
   
   There were no declarations of interest recorded.

3. **Confirmation of Previous Minutes**
   - *Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00043*
     
     That the minutes of the Council Annual Plan meeting commencing on Tuesday, 30 April 2019 (reconvening on Wednesday 1 May 2019, Friday 3 May 2019 and Saturday, 4 May 2019) be confirmed.
     
     Councillor Templeton/Councillor Keown
     
     Carried

---

**Report from Finance and Performance Committee of the Whole - 6 June 2019**

4. **Central City Residential Development: Mechanisms and Incentives**
   - *Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00044*
     
     1. That 1 above be referred to the Mayor’s Recommendations in Attachment B.

     Secretarial note: “1 above” refers to the following (refer to agenda for the Finance and Performance Committee recommendation to Council):
     
     “Considers providing an allocation of $100,000 for a one year trial in its deliberations on the 2019/20 Annual Plan meeting on 25 June 2019, to extend the current provision of advice for Central City development projects to facilitate discussions with developers and land owners on residential development opportunities and concepts.”

     2. Request a report be brought to Council by the end of July 2019 for discussion and to make recommendations on a wider package of mechanisms and incentives to address the barriers to residential development in the Central City including consideration of the rates remission policy.

     Mayor/Councillor Manji
     
     Carried
Council Annual Plan
25 June 2019

Councillors Buck, Scandrett, Livingstone, Clearwater and Johanson requested that their vote against decision 2. above be recorded.

Annual Plan 2019/20

5. Receive report and note Audit and Risk Management Committee advice
Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00045

That the Council:
1. Receives the information contained in this report and attachments;
2. Notes the advice of the Council’s Audit and Risk Management Committee at its meeting on 18 June 2019 that an appropriate process has been followed in the preparation of the information that provides the basis for this 2019/20 Annual Plan;

Mayor/Deputy Mayor
Carried

6. Suspend Standing Orders
Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00046

That, pursuant to Standing Order 3.5 (Temporary Suspension of Standing Orders) the following Standing Orders be suspended to enable more informal discussion:

17.5 members may speak only once
17.6 limits on numbers of speakers
18.1 general procedure for speaking and moving motions
18.8 foreshadowed amendments
18.9 lost amendments

Mayor/Deputy Mayor
Carried

The meeting adjourned at 10.16am and reconvened at 10.29am.

Councillor Buck left the meeting at 10.58am during discussion on item 8. Regeneration of Allocation Funding.
7. Mayor’s Recommendations

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00047

1. That the Council notes the following commitments have been incorporated into the Annual Plan:

   Multicultural Recreation and Community Centre [CNCL/2019/00110]
   a. The Council agreed to support in principle the development of a multicultural centre in Christchurch by allocating $2 million in capex over two years and a further grant of $1 million over two years from the Capital Endowment Fund. Council will also be undertaking due diligence on this project and will be working with other partners including funders.

   Beyond Wellheads [CNCL/2019/00117]
   b. The inclusion of $1.5 million capital and $0.5 million operating expenditure to install pressure and acoustic monitoring devices in the water supply network.
   c. $0.2 million of operating expenditure for the auditing and inspection of backflow devices.

   Robert McDougall Art Gallery [CNCL/2019/00107]
   d. The Council is initiating negotiations to grant a lease for the Robert McDougall Art Gallery.
   e. That the Council request staff report back to Council in July regarding providing funding for the base isolation of the Robert McDougall Art Gallery, including advice regarding any consultation requirements.

   Christchurch Adventure Park [CNCL/2019/00051]
   f. The cost of Council borrowing to support DCL’s further investment in the Christchurch Adventure Park.

Global Storm Water consent

2. That the Council allocates funding to meet the conditions of the Comprehensive Network Storm Water Discharge Consent as follows [CNCL/2019/00111]:

   a. An additional $347,000 of operating expenditure to cover the expected conditions of this new consent.
   b. Note that this funding will be used for water quality monitoring, water quality reporting, development of storm water management plans, a technical review panel to look at the various aspects embedded within the consent, contaminated load modelling and the funding of water quality specialists as agreed with Ngāi Tahu.
   c. Note that the additional funding will be used in part to develop the Opāwaho/Heathcote River storm water management plan, which will be done in collaboration with stakeholders and the community, with staff reporting back to the
7. Continued - Reallocation of Regeneration funding

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00048

3. That the Council reallocates funding it had budgeted for Regenerate Christchurch over 2019/20 and 2020/21 to the following regeneration initiatives:
   a. $1.3m in each year for Southshore and South New Brighton Regeneration funding, including planning work (for earthquake legacy issues and long term adaptation work) and the operating component (non-capitalisable) for any physical works.

Mayor/Councillor Cotter  
Carried

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00049

3. That the Council reallocates funding it had budgeted for Regenerate Christchurch over 2019/20 and 2020/21 to the following regeneration initiatives:
   b. $800,000 in 2019/20 and $800,000 in 2020/21 for regeneration initiatives, including:
      i. $350,000 seed funding in 2019/20 and $350,000 in 2020/21 for activation projects within the Ōtākaro/Avon river corridor.

Mayor/Councillor Cotter  
Carried

Councillor Davidson requested that his vote against the above decision be recorded.

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00050

3. That the Council reallocates funding it had budgeted for Regenerate Christchurch over 2019/20 and 2020/21 to the following regeneration initiatives:
   b. $800,000 in 2019/20 and $800,000 in 2020/21 for regeneration initiatives, including:
      ii. $100,000 in 2019/20 for a one-year trial to extend the current provision of advice for Central City development on residential development.

The division was declared lost by 7 votes to 9 votes the voting being as follows:

For: Mayor Dalziel, Councillor East, Councillor Galloway, Councillor Gough, Councillor Scandrett, Councillor Swiggs and Councillor Templeton

Against: Deputy Mayor Turner, Councillor Chen, Councillor Clearwater, Councillor Cotter, Councillor Davidson, Councillor Johanson, Councillor Keown, Councillor Livingstone and Councillor Manji  
Carried

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00051

3. That the Council reallocates funding it had budgeted for Regenerate Christchurch over 2019/20 and 2020/21 to the following regeneration initiatives:
b. $800,000 in 2019/20 and $800,000 in 2020/21 for regeneration initiatives, including:
   iii. $60,000 to Predator Free Banks Peninsula initiative in 2019/20 and 2020/21.

Mayor/Councillor Cotter  Carried

Councillor Davidson requested that his vote against the above decision be recorded.

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00052

3. That the Council reallocates funding it had budgeted for Regenerate Christchurch over 2019/20 and 2020/21 to the following regeneration initiatives:
   b. $800,000 in 2019/20 and $800,000 in 2020/21 for regeneration initiatives, including:
      iv. $50,000 funding in 2019/20 and $350,000 funding in 2020/21 as match-funding for the Governors Bay Jetty. Noting that depending on rate of expenditure 2020/21 funding may be brought forward.

Mayor/Councillor Cotter  Carried

Councillors Davidson, Scandrett and Swiggs requested that their votes against the above decision be recorded.

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00053

3. That the Council reallocates funding it had budgeted for Regenerate Christchurch over 2019/20 and 2020/21 to the following regeneration initiatives:
   b. $800,000 in 2019/20 and $800,000 in 2020/21 for regeneration initiatives, including:
      v. Council provide $21,000 to support the Akaroa Design and Appearance Advisory Committee and Lyttelton Urban Design Advisory Committee in the 2019/20 financial year.

Mayor/Councillor Cotter  Carried

Councillors Davidson, Scandrett and Templeton requested that their votes against the above decision be recorded.

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00054

3. That the Council reallocates funding it had budgeted for Regenerate Christchurch over 2019/20 and 2020/21 to the following regeneration initiatives:
   b. $800,000 in 2019/20 and $800,000 in 2020/21 for regeneration initiatives, including:
      vi. Adds a further $20,000 of funding for the Central City Business association (CCBA) for the financial year 2019/20.

Mayor/Councillor Cotter  Carried

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00055

3. That the Council reallocates funding it had budgeted for Regenerate Christchurch over 2019/20 and 2020/21 to the following regeneration initiatives:
   b. $800,000 in 2019/20 and $800,000 in 2020/21 for regeneration initiatives, including:
vii. The remainder is allocated to regeneration and climate change initiatives.

Mayor/Councillor Cotter Carried

Councillors Davidson, Johanson and Swiggs requested that their votes against the above decision be recorded.

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00056

3. That the Council reallocates funding it had budgeted for Regenerate Christchurch over 2019/20 and 2020/21 to the following regeneration initiatives:

   c. $0.9 million in 2019/20 and $0.9 million in 2020/21 will be allocated to projects within the Urban Development and Regeneration portfolio, which will enable the equivalent sum to be allocated to maintenance budgets for roads, footpaths and parks, details of which will be worked through with the Community Boards before being confirmed by Council.

Mayor/Councillor Cotter Carried

Councillors Davidson and Swiggs requested that their votes against the above decision be recorded.

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00057

3. That the Council reallocates funding it had budgeted for Regenerate Christchurch over 2019/20 and 2020/21 to the following regeneration initiatives:

   d. Approve $900,000 capital in 2019/20 to enable any early capital works that may be required following the report back to Council on Southshore and South New Brighton earthquake legacy issues in August 2019. Further capital may be required in future years. Note: This does not change the Annual Plan starting rating of 4.79%.

Mayor/Councillor Cotter Carried

Councillor Buck returned to the meeting at 11.51am during the discussion on Rates Growth.

7. Continued - Rates Growth

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00058

4. That the Council authorise staff to use the increased rates growth from the draft Annual Plan for the following:

   a. $850,000 for further reduction in glyphosate use in public areas, subject to additional advice to support the decision.

Mayor/Councillor Templeton Carried

Councillors East and Keown requested that their vote against the decision be recorded.

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00059

4. That the Council authorise staff to use the increased rates growth from the draft Annual Plan for the following:
b. $1.17m to be allocated to growth-related operating expenditure and contingencies.

Mayor/Councillor Templeton  
Carried

Councillors Johanson and Swiggs requested that their vote against the decision be recorded.

**Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00060**

4. That the Council authorise staff to use the increased rates growth from the draft Annual Plan for the following:
   
c. The balance to be allocated to reducing rates.

Mayor/Councillor Templeton  
Carried

**7. Continued - Capital Re-phasing and Transport Project Funding**

**Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00061**

5. That the Council approves the revised capital programme as outlined in Attachment D.

6. That the Council notes that:
   
a. There is no impact on rates due to the re-phasing of the transport component of the Council’s capital programme to offset the loss of TEFAR funding and reflect uncertainty around normal New Zealand Transport Agency subsidy funding.

b. Projects which have been signalled as unlikely to be funded remain in the programme but will not proceed until funding is confirmed or substitute projects are identified so there is no impact on rates or borrowing.

c. Note that staff will be reporting back on negotiations with New Zealand Transport Agency and with respect to individual projects will report on safety components of those projects.

Mayor/Councillor Templeton  
Carried

The meeting adjourned at 12.30pm and reconvened at 12.46pm.

Councillor Manji returned to the meeting at 12.55pm

Councillor Gough returned to the meeting at 12.57pm

**7. Continued - Post Annual Plan**

**Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00062**

7. That the Council requests that staff:
   
a. Provide advice on how the implementation of the multi-cultural strategy can be prioritised and links to other strategies.

b. Undertake a full review of the rating policy.

c. Hold a workshop with councillors on the Strategy & Policy work programme so climate change work can be prioritised.
d. Provide advice to enable councillors to consider the use of the Capital Endowment Fund in August 2019.

Mayor/Councillor Davidson

Carried

Oram Ave
8. Request staff advice regarding bringing forward funding from FY26 and FY28 to enable purchase and scheme development of Oram Ave extension, (#45165 public realm funding New Brighton) noting that there is no impact on rates on the first two years.

Grassmere Street residential development
9. Request staff to investigate the options available to Council to assist with enabling the Grassmere Street residential development to progress in more detail, including more detail around the Development Contributions, development Infrastructure and risks to the Council, and report back within three months to the appropriate committee with recommendations.

Roading projects
10. Request that the transport team discuss the prioritisation of footpath and re-surfacing and minor safety improvement programmes with the community boards over the 19/20 financial year.

Westhaven Tree Replacement
11. Request that the Transport team investigate the proposed tree and associated footpath repair programme in the Westhaven subdivision.

The Mayor declared an interest in clause 11, Westhaven Tree Replacement and took no part in the discussion or vote for this clause.

Pedestrian Safety
12. Refer the Pedestrian safety improvements on Tennyson Street to the Linwood-Central Heathcote and Spreydon-Cashmere Community Boards to prioritise as part of the minor safety improvement programme.

Annual Plan Engagement and Consultation
13. Agree that a review of the Annual Plan process will be undertaken.

Hereford Street Playground
14. Request staff to assess and report back to the Community Board and Social, Community and Development Committee on options for Hereford Street park maintenance.

Drainage and upgrade of Centennial Park and Hillsborough Domain
15. Request staff to work with regional sports organisations and clubs, and the relevant Community Board to progress viable options on the Drainage and upgrade of Centennial Park and Hillsborough Domain in time for consideration in the next Annual Plan.
Cutler Park Renewal
16. Request staff to assess and report back to the Community Board and Social, Community and Development Committee on options for Cutler Park renewal.

Linwood Pavillion and Linwood Park
17. Request staff to continue to work with local sports groups to evaluate and propose future pavilion solutions and report back to the Community Board with options. This work will include prioritisation of upgrading the number 1 sports field.

Neighbourhood Parks/Urban Fund
18. Request staff to report on the status of the neighbourhood parks/urban fund and provide advice as to whether an allocation can be made from this to upgrade green space areas to cater for the increased growth as a result of infill housing.

Loons Club
19. Request staff to provide advice for funding options for the Loons Club.

Intersection Upgrades
20. Request that staff undertake further work on the prioritisation of intersection upgrades in time to be considered in the next Annual Plan.

Uniform Annual General Charge
21. Request a review of the Uniform Annual General Charge in time for consideration of the next Long Term Plan.

Mayor/Councillor Davidson Carried

Central City Development Contributions rates funded remissions

Council Decision
22. Noting that Council has a funding shortfall to fix its earthquake damaged city housing and that not all the Central City Development Contributions rates funded remissions are allocated that:
   a. the Central City Development Contributions rates funded rebate scheme for residential and commercial development be halted except for social and community housing and
   b. That any unallocated budget from this be allocated towards repairing/rebuilding council’s social housing.

Secretarial Note: The Mayor ruled this clause out of order as the decision making requirements do not comply with the Local Government Act Sections 76 to 82.

Councillor Johanson/Councillor Livingstone

The meeting adjourned at 1.25pm and reconvened at 2.30pm.

The Mayor was absent when the meeting reconvened and the Deputy Mayor Turner assumed the Chair.
8. Resumption of Standing Orders

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00063

That the Council reinstate the Standing Orders suspended earlier in the meeting as follows:

17.5 members may speak only once
17.6 limits on numbers of speakers
18.1 general procedure for speaking and moving motions
18.8 foreshadowed amendments
18.9 lost amendments

Mayor/Councillor Clearwater  Carried

The Mayor returned at 2.47pm during the discussion of adopting attachments C to G and resumed the Chair.

5. Continued - Adopt Attachments C-G

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00064

That the Council:

3. Adopts the proposed changes to the Council’s operating expenditure for 2019/20 set out in Attachment C;
4. Adopts the proposed changes to the Council’s capital expenditure for 2019/20 set out in Attachment D;
6. Adopts the proposed change to the Rates Remission Policy set out in Attachment F
7. Adopts the proposed changes to levels of service set out in Attachment G;

Mayor/Councillor Templeton  Carried

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00065

5. Adopts the proposed changes to fees and charges set out in Attachment E;

Mayor/Councillor Templeton  Carried

5. Continued - Adopt the Annual Plan

Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00066

That the Council:

8. Adopts the 2019/20 Annual Plan, comprising the draft 2019/20 Annual Plan, the Mayor’s recommendations adopted by resolutions above, and the changes set out in Attachments C to G adopted by resolutions 5.3-5.7 above;

Mayor/Deputy Mayor  Carried

Councillor Keown requested that his vote against the above decision be recorded.
5. Continued - Authorises the Chief Financial Officer
Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00067

That the Council:

9. Authorises the Chief Financial Officer to make any amendments required to ensure the published 2019/20 Annual Plan aligns with the Council’s resolutions of 25 June 2019, and to make other non-material changes that may be required;

Mayor/Councillor Manji

Carried

5. Continued - Authorisation to Borrow
Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00068

That the Council:

10. Authorises the Chief Financial Officer and the Head of Financial Management (jointly) to borrow in accordance with the Liability Management Policy sufficient funds to enable the Council to meet its funding requirements as set out in the 2019/20 Annual Plan;

Mayor/Councillor Manji

Carried

5. Continued - Set Rate
Council Resolved CAPL/2019/00069

That the Council:

11. Having set out rates information in the Funding Impact Statement contained in the 2019-20 Annual Plan (adopted by the above resolutions), resolves to set the following rates under the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 for the 2019-20 financial year, commencing on 1 July 2019 and ending on 30 June 2020 (all statutory references are to the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002).

a. a uniform annual general charge under section 15(1)(b) of $130 (incl. GST) per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit;

b. a general rate under sections 13(2)(b) and 14 set differentially based on property type, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Differential Category</th>
<th>Basis for Liability</th>
<th>Rate Factor (incl. GST) (cents/$ of capital value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>Capital Value</td>
<td>0.307431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Capital Value</td>
<td>0.521710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote Rural (Farming and Forestry)</td>
<td>Capital Value</td>
<td>0.230573</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c. a water supply targeted rate under section 16(3)(b) and 16(4)(b) set differentially depending on whether a property is connected or capable of connection to the on-demand water reticulation system, as follows:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Differential Category</th>
<th>Basis for Liability</th>
<th>Rate Factor (incl. GST) (cents/$ of capital value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connected (full charge)</td>
<td>Capital Value</td>
<td>0.060783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serviceable (half charge)</td>
<td>Capital Value</td>
<td>0.030392</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d. a restricted water supply targeted rate under sections 16(3)(b) and 16(4)(a) on all rating units with one or more connections to restricted water supply systems of $205 (incl. GST) for each standard level of service received by a rating unit;

e. a land drainage targeted rate under sections 16(3)(b) and 16(4)(a) on all rating units in the serviced area of 0.040430 cents per dollar of capital value (incl. GST);
f. a sewerage targeted rate under sections 16(3)(b) and 16(4)(a) on all rating units in the serviced area of 0.080000 cents per dollar of capital value (incl. GST);
g. a waste minimisation targeted rate under sections 16(3)(b) and 16(4)(b) set differentially depending on whether a full or partial service is provided, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Differential Category</th>
<th>Basis for Liability</th>
<th>Rate Charge (incl. GST)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full service</td>
<td>Per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit</td>
<td>$168.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial service</td>
<td>Per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit</td>
<td>$126.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: The full service charge is assessed on every separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit in the serviced area. The partial service charge is assessed on every separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit outside the kerbside collection area, where a limited depot collection service is available (75% of the full rate).

h. a water supply fire connection targeted rate under sections 16(3)(b) and 16(4)(a) on all rating units receiving the benefit of a water supply fire connection of $111.75 (incl. GST) per connection;
i. an excess water supply volumetric targeted rate under section 19(2)(a) set for all rating units which receive a commercial water supply as defined in the Water Supply, Wastewater and Stormwater Bylaw 2014, plus land under single ownership on a single certificate of title and used for three or more household residential units, boarding houses, motels, rest homes, and residential properties identified as using significantly in excess of ordinary residential use, of $1 (incl. GST) per m3 or any part of a m3 for consumption in excess of that assessed by the water supply targeted rate for each rating unit, provided that all properties will be entitled to a minimum consumption of 0.6986 cubic metres per day;

Note 2: For example, if a rating unit is assessed $1,000 for the water supply targeted rate, that rating unit's consumption allocation is 1,000m3 ($1000 divided by $1/m3). Liability for the excess water supply volumetric targeted rate commences when consumption commences of the 1,001st cubic metre by that rating unit.

j. an active travel targeted rate under section 16(3)(a) and 16(4)(a) of $20.00 (incl. GST) per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit;
k. a Special Heritage (Cathedral) targeted rate under section 16(3)(a) and 16(4)(a) of $6.52 (incl. GST) per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit;
I. an Akaroa Health Centre targeted rate under section 16(3)(b) and 16(4)(a) of $129.07 (incl. GST) per separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit, for rating units located in areas defined by the following valuation roll numbers: 23890, 23900, 23910, 23920, 23930, 23940 and 23961 (the eastern portion of Banks Peninsula)

12. Resolves that all rates, except for the excess water supply volumetric targeted rate, be due in four instalments, and set the following due dates for payment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instalment</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area 1</td>
<td>15 August 19</td>
<td>15 November 19</td>
<td>15 February 2020</td>
<td>15 May 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 2</td>
<td>15 September 19</td>
<td>15 December 19</td>
<td>15 March 2020</td>
<td>15 June 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 3</td>
<td>31 August 19</td>
<td>30 November 19</td>
<td>28 February 2020</td>
<td>31 May 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where the Instalment Areas are defined geographically as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area 1</th>
<th>Area 2</th>
<th>Area 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Includes generally the Central City and the suburbs of St Albans, Merrivale, Mairehau, Papanui, Riccarton, Addington, Spreydon, Sydenham, Beckenham, Opawa and Banks Peninsula.</td>
<td>Includes generally the suburbs of Shirley, New Brighton, Linwood, Woolston, Mt Pleasant, Sumner, Cashmere and Heathcote.</td>
<td>Includes generally the suburbs of Belfast, Redwood, Parklands, Harewood, Avonhead, Bishopdale, Ilam, Fondalton, Hornby, Templeton and Halswell.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Resolves that excess water supply volumetric target rates be due for payment on the following dates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month in which amounts are invoiced</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 2019</td>
<td>20 August 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2019</td>
<td>20 September 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2019</td>
<td>20 October 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2019</td>
<td>20 November 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>20 December 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2019</td>
<td>20 January 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2020</td>
<td>20 February 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2020</td>
<td>20 March 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2020</td>
<td>20 April 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2020</td>
<td>20 May 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2020</td>
<td>20 June 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2020</td>
<td>20 July 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Resolves to add the following penalties to unpaid rates:

a. a penalty of 10 per cent will be added to any portion of an instalment not paid on or by the due date, to be added on the following dates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instalment</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area 1</td>
<td>20 August 2019</td>
<td>21 November 2019</td>
<td>20 February 2020</td>
<td>20 May 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 2</td>
<td>19 September 2019</td>
<td>19 December 2019</td>
<td>19 March 2020</td>
<td>18 June 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 3</td>
<td>05 September 2019</td>
<td>05 December 2019</td>
<td>04 March 2020</td>
<td>05 June 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b. an additional penalty of 10 per cent will be added on 01 October 2019 to any rates assessed, and penalties added, before 1 July 2019 and which remain unpaid on 01 October 2019;

c. a further penalty of 10 per cent will be added if any rates to which a penalty has been added under (b) above remain unpaid on 01 April 2020.

Mayor/Councillor East

Carried

Councillors Johanson, Livingstone, Clearwater, Turner and Galloway requested their votes against clause 11 (a) be recorded.

Councillors Templeton, Davidson, Swiggs and Johanson requested their votes against clause 11(k) be recorded.

Meeting concluded at 3.33pm.

CONFIRMED THIS 25TH DAY OF JULY 2019

MAYOR LIANNE DALZIEL
CHAIRPERSON
8. Installation of Public Artwork - "The Godwits"

Reference: 19/753511
Presenter(s): Brent Smith - General Manager (Acting) Citizens and Community

1. Social, Community Development and Housing Committee
Recommendation to Council

Part A (Original staff recommendation accepted without change)

That the Council:

1. Agree to the permanent installation of “The Godwits” public artwork on Council road reserve adjacent to the South Brighton Bridge subject to the following:
   a. All necessary consents and approvals are obtained and provided.
   b. Long term maintenance and engineering plans are provided.
   c. As there is no formal commissioning agreement, Council’s requirements are to be addressed in an agreement between all parties.
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Installation of Public Artwork - "The Godwits"

Reference: 19/720790

Presenter(s): Brent Smith – Acting General Manager, Citizens and Community

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Social, Community Development and Housing Committee for the permanent installation and maintenance of “The Godwits” public artwork, to be installed on Council road reserve adjacent to the South Brighton Bridge.

2. Executive Summary

2.1 “The Godwits” public artwork will be gifted to Christchurch by the South Brighton Residents Association.

2.2 Council is being requested to approve the permanent installation and maintenance of “The Godwits” public artwork on Council road reserve adjacent to the South Brighton Bridge.

3. Staff Recommendations

That the Social, Community Development and Housing Committee recommend to Council:

1. Agree to the permanent installation of “The Godwits” public artwork on Council road reserve adjacent to the South Brighton Bridge subject to the following:
   a. All necessary consents and approvals are obtained and provided.
   b. Long term maintenance and engineering plans are provided.
   c. As there is no formal commissioning agreement, Council’s requirements are to be addressed in an agreement between all parties.

4. Key Points

4.1 Council is being requested to approve the permanent installation and maintenance of “The Godwits” public artwork on Council road reserve adjacent to the South Brighton Bridge.

4.2 The artworks is an initiative of the South Brighton Residents Association.

4.3 The artwork is consistent with the Council’s Artworks in Public Places Policy.

4.4 Community Outcomes: Supports Strong Communities: Celebration of our identity through arts, culture, heritage and sport.

4.5 This report supports the Council’s Long Term Plan (2018 – 2028):
   - Activity: Recreation, Sports, Community Arts & Events
   - Level of Service: Support community based organisations to develop, promote and deliver community events and arts in Christchurch
   - Activity: Heritage
   - Level of Service: To manage and maintain Public Monuments, Sculptures, Artworks and Parks Heritage Buildings of significance.
5. **Context/Background**

**The Artwork**

5.1 A new artwork is proposed to be located next to the South Brighton Bridge as a beautification project and to identify South New Brighton as an area of natural beauty and a place where the Godwits come and go from each year.

5.2 The South Brighton Residents Association commissioned sculptor Bon Suter to design the artwork.

5.3 In the absence of a formal commissioning agreement, an agreement between all parties is required to satisfy Council’s requirements.

5.4 The artwork consists of 7 painted stainless steel godwits which are on poles and the birds will rotate in the wind.

5.5 The height ranges from 5.3m to 6.6m tall.

5.6 The birds have been manufactured under Bon Suter’s supervision by Mecca Engineering and the supporting poles were manufactured by Windsor Urban.

**The Artist**

5.7 Bon Suter is a professional sculptor and tutor with 30 years’ experience. She has attended over twenty sculpture symposiums, both nationally and internationally, and more recently, has represented Christchurch City Council at the Festival of Arts in Adelaide. Bon’s work is held in public and private collections around the world.

5.8 As well as exhibiting her work widely throughout New Zealand, Bon has been at the forefront of teaching sculpture, in both stone and clay.

5.9 Her work is a reflection of the human experience. Each piece is exquisitely carved offering a personal insight into the artist’s journey through life. Her wide and varied works capture the essence of hand carved pieces that speak directly from her heart to yours.

**Photo montage of the proposed artwork at the proposed site**

![Photo montage of the proposed artwork at the proposed site](attachment:1 - Original Staff Report Item 8)

5.10 The proposed location is adjacent to the South Brighton Bridge on road reserve.
This location is approximately 90 metres east of the South Brighton Bridge eastern abutment on the southern side of the road reserve.
Strategic Alignment

5.11 The site was not identified in the Artwork in Public Places Five Year Plan 2004.

5.12 The artwork is consistent with the Council’s Artworks in Public Places Policy.

Engagement and support

5.13 The South Brighton Residents Association consulted with Ngai Tahu, Ihutai Trust and the Southshore Residents Association.

5.14 The local Community Board are supportive of this project.

5.15 A staff assessment has been carried out by a staff working party as per the Artworks in Public Places – Operational procedures (ATTACHMENT A)

5.16 This assessment outlines the criteria for assessment, issues, maintenance requirements and finance implications. Also included was an engineering design and assessment for the installation of the sections.

5.17 The Transport Unit has been involved in the project, including reviewing the location of the sculpture on road reserve.

5.18 The necessary sign off from consents and CCC Structural Engineers have been completed.

Financial implications

5.19 Council has contributed to funding for this artwork through contributions from the Coastal (formerly Burwood Pegasus) Community Board Discretionary Fund. The total contribution from the CCC was $12,737.67. The balance was raised through community events and donations.

5.20 The value of the artwork is approximately $89,000.

5.21 Parks have confirmed that they have funding to carry out the on-going maintenance of the artwork which will involve an annual wash-down, any required graffiti removal and an annual engineering check.

5.22 Maintenance has been estimated at $1880 annually

- Annual: clean/wash $700
- Engineer check and report - $180
- Traffic management estimate - $1000

6. Recommendations

6.1 That Council agree to the permanent installation of “The Godwits” public artworks on Council road reserve adjacent to the South Brighton Bridge subject to the following:

1. All necessary consents and approvals are obtained and provided.
2. Long term maintenance and engineering plans are provided.
3. As there is no formal commissioning agreement, Council’s requirements are to be addressed in an agreement between all parties.
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Godwits working party report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Confirmation of Statutory Compliance

Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).

(a) This report contains:
   (i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and
   (ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.

(b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council's significance and engagement policy.

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Brent Smith - Acting General Manager Citizens &amp; Community</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approved By</td>
<td>Andrew Rutledge - Head of Parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brent Smith - Acting General Manager Citizens &amp; Community</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Item No.: 8
## PROJECT WORKING PARTY REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting Date</th>
<th>Tuesday 18th June</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title</strong></td>
<td>Godwits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Artist</strong></td>
<td>Bon Suter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Montage</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Applicant**
Ross Herrett, Senior Project Manager Transport and South Brighton Residents’ Association

**Date submitted**
8 May 2019

**Community Board**
Coastal-Burwood

**Project Working Party Membership**
- Arts Advisor – Kiri Jarden
- Rep of Asset - Maria Adamski
- Rep of land owner – Richard Osborne
- Community Board Staff rep – Heather Davies
- Engineer - Paul Ferguson

**Background**
A new artwork is proposed to be located next to the South Brighton Bridge as a beautification project and to identify South New Brighton as an area of natural beauty and a place where the Godwits come and go from each year.

**Proposal**
The artwork consists of seven painted stainless steel Godwits that rotate in the wind on poles.

## PROJECT WORKING PARTY SUMMARY

The artwork is a community initiative to celebrate the migration of the Godwits to and from the South Brighton Spit. The artwork is made of robust materials with appropriate separations of metals. It is expected to have a life of approx. 50 years and cost approx. $1,800 for an annual wash down and engineering check of the swivel mechanisms.

The following is recommended if the artwork is to become permanent and owned by Council:
## Item 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approvals</th>
<th>All necessary approvals are obtained and provided by South Brighton Residence Association (SBRA).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>A maintenance plan is provided by the artist and the engineer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>Council approve annual funding of $1,880 to maintain the artwork.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreements</td>
<td>There is no commissioning agreement. Council’s requirements are to be addressed in an agreement between all parties.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Supporting Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artist</th>
<th>Bon Suter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bon Suter is a professional sculptor and tutor with 30 years’ experience. Her work is mainly in stone and clay.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artwork Description</th>
<th>Seven painted, aluminium fabricated godwits on stainless steel rods atop galvanised poles. The height ranges from 5.3m to 6.6m.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location(s) Proposed</td>
<td>Road margin, adjacent the Ihutai Estuary and South Shore Bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership</td>
<td>Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>Stainless steel, Aluminium, paint, galvanised steel, nylon plates where metals meet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabricators</td>
<td>Mecca Engineering and Windsor Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funded</td>
<td>Total value approx. $89,091.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$12,500 Community Board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community events and donations raised by SBRA, CCC engineering, Fulton Hogan in kind gift to install.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life of Artwork</td>
<td>50 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement and Support</td>
<td>SBRA has consulted with - Ngai Tahu, Ihutai Trust, Southshore Residents Assn. We understand there is support for the artwork.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consents</td>
<td>None as it is classified as artwork and it sited on road reserve it is exempt from both a Building and Resource consent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Archaeological Authority: No the site has been disturbed and reconstructed following the replacement of the Bridge St bridge after the 2011 Earthquake.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>The structural support structures (poles) has been designed by Structural Engineer Paul Ferguson from Council’s Technical Services and Design Unit and City Services Group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The birds have been designed by sculptor Bon Suter and manufactured under her supervision by Mecca Engineering and the supporting poles were manufactured by Windsor Urban.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Approx. 90m east of the Bridge St Bridge eastern abutment on the southern side of the road reserve.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Art in Public Places Policy considerations for Project Working Party:**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Consultation Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban Designer</td>
<td>Not consulted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Parks and/or Transport planners         | Road Reserve:  
The location of the Godwits artworks is on road reserve and has been agreed with Richard Osborne Head of Transport  City Services 19/692983 |
<p>| Heritage Planner                        | Not consulted        |
| Architect or Landscape Architect       | Not consulted        |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planner Environmental Services</th>
<th>Not consulted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children, Youth or Community Advocate</td>
<td>Not consulted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Art in Public Places Policy Appendix 11 considerations:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practicalities</th>
<th>The artwork can be accessed from the estuary side by a cherry picker avoiding the need to work from the road and traffic management.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relationship to Surrounding Spaces</td>
<td>The artwork relates to the estuary and the surrounding habitat of the Godwits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Safety</td>
<td>There are no potential health and safety issues identified.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Policy and Strategy Considerations:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artworks in Public Places Five Year Plan November 2004</th>
<th>Not an identified site.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management Plans or Conservation Plans</td>
<td>There are no Management or Conservation plans for this site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Board Plan 2017-19</td>
<td>Board have expressed enthusiasm to have more artwork in the area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Technical**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foundation/Plinth</th>
<th>Detailed by Council engineer.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Condition</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind loading</td>
<td>The birds are designed to move in the wind. Prototypes were made and tested in the wind.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural Engineering</td>
<td>Producer Statement 1 supplied 19/675377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazards/Risks</td>
<td>None identified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Maintenance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintenance plan</th>
<th>No plan received it is envisaged an annual clean and engineering check is required. The birds are coated in vehicle paint colour to match as close as possible to the Godwits. The birds have drainage holes to allow water to drain. It is possible birds will</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
sit on them and possibly nest. These will be removed annually. If nesting becomes an issue maintenance visits would be increased at approx. $700 (staff and cherry picker hire).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintenance costs</th>
<th>Estimated at:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual: clean/wash $700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Engineer check and report - $180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic management est - $1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>annual cost approx. <strong>$1880.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replacement of nylon sleeves $560 bush kit, labour approx. $400 (4 hours, two people at $50). Not sure how often annual or five yearly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Images: Godwit poles photos complete in the workshop
9. Social, Community Development and Housing Committee Minutes - 3 July 2019

Reference: 19/753863
Presenter(s): David Corlett - Committee and Hearings Advisor

1. Purpose of Report
The Social, Community Development and Housing Committee held a meeting on 3 July 2019 and is circulating the Minutes recorded to the Council for its information.

2. Recommendation to Council
That the Council receives the Minutes from the Social, Community Development and Housing Committee meeting held 3 July 2019.

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Minutes Social, Community Development and Housing Committee - 3 July 2019</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Corlett - Committee and Hearings Advisor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Social, Community Development and Housing Committee

## OPEN MINUTES

**Date:** Wednesday 3 July 2019  
**Time:** 9.30am  
**Venue:** Council Chambers, Civic Offices, 53 Hereford Street, Christchurch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Chairperson | Councillor Phil Clearwater  
| Deputy Chairperson | Councillor Glenn Livingstone  
| Members | Councillor Jimmy Chen  
| | Councillor Mike Davidson  
| | Councillor Anne Galloway  
| | Councillor Yani Johanson  
| | Councillor Aaron Keown  
| | Councillor Tim Scandrett

---

**28 June 2019**

**Principal Advisor**  
Brent Smith  
Principal Advisor Citizens & Community  
Tel: 941 8645

David Corlett  
Committee and Hearings Advisor  
941 5421  
david.corlett@ccc.govt.nz  
www.ccc.govt.nz

---

To view copies of Agendas and Minutes, visit:  
www.ccc.govt.nz/the-council/meetings-agendas-and-minutes/
The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

1. **Apologies**
   
   **Part C**
   
   *Committee Resolved SOC/2019/00039*
   
   That the apologies from Councillor Johanson for lateness be accepted.
   
   Councillor Clearwater/Councillor Livingstone
   
   **Carried**

2. **Declarations of Interest**
   
   **Part B**
   
   There were no declarations of interest recorded.

3. **Confirmation of Previous Minutes**
   
   **Part C**
   
   *Committee Resolved SOC/2019/00040*
   
   That the minutes of the Social, Community Development and Housing Committee meeting held on Wednesday, 12 June 2019 be confirmed.
   
   Councillor Scandrett/Councillor Chen
   
   **Carried**
   
   Councillor Johansen joined the meeting at 9.33am

4. **Public Forum**
   
   **Part B**
   
   There were no public forum presentations.

5. **Deputations by Appointment**
   
   **Part B**
   
   There were no deputations by appointment.

6. **Presentation of Petitions**
   
   **Part B**
   
   There was no presentation of petitions.
7. Multicultural Subcommittee Minutes - 7 June 2019
Committee Resolved SOC/2019/00041
That the Social, Community Development and Housing Committee receives the Minutes from the Multicultural Subcommittee meeting held 7 June 2019.
Councillor Chen/Councillor Galloway
Carried

8. Heritage Incentive Grant Approval for 1 Ticehurst Road, Lyttelton
Committee Resolved SOC/2019/00042
Part C (Original staff recommendation accepted without change)
That the Social, Community Development and Housing Committee:
1. Approve a Heritage Incentive Grant of up to $50,888 for conservation and maintenance work for the protected heritage building located at 1 Ticehurst Road, Lyttelton.
2. Note that payment of this grant is subject to the applicants entering a 20 year limited conservation covenant with the signed covenant having the Council seal affixed prior to registration against the property titles.
Councillor Livingstone/Councillor Keown
Carried

9. Heritage Incentive Grant Approval for 159/161 High Street, part of the Duncan's Building
Committee Resolved SOC/2019/00043
Part C (Original staff recommendation accepted without change)
That the Social, Community Development and Housing Committee:
1. Approve a Heritage Incentive Grant of up to $90,668 for conservation and maintenance work to the façade of the protected heritage building located at 159/161 High Street, Christchurch.
2. Note that payment of this grant is subject to the applicants entering a 20 year limited conservation covenant with the signed covenant having the Council seal affixed prior to registration against the property titles.
Councillor Scandrett/Councillor Chen
Carried

10. Heritage Incentive Grant Approval for 117 Rue Jolie, Akaroa
Committee Resolved SOC/2019/00044
Part C (Original staff recommendation accepted without change)
That the Social, Community Development and Housing Committee:
11. Heritage Incentive Grant Approval for 58 Rue Lavaud, Akaroa

Committee Comment

1. The Committee debated whether the original decision not to approve the Heritage Incentive Grant should be reconsidered, having regard to the special circumstances.

Staff Recommendations

That the Social, Community Development and Housing Committee, noting the previous resolution of the Committee dated 6 March 2019 to not approve a Heritage Incentive Grant for 58 Rue Lavaud, and having regard to the special circumstances of this application:

1. Confirms its 6 March 2019 decision to not approve a retrospective Heritage Incentive Grant to the protected heritage building located at 58 Rue Lavaud, Akaroa.

   OR

2. Approve a Heritage Incentive Grant of $39,535 for the upgrade works to the protected heritage building located at 58 Rue Lavaud, Akaroa.

3. Note that payment of this grant (if resolution 2 applies) is subject to the applicants entering a 20 year limited conservation covenant with the signed covenant having the Council seal affixed prior to registration against the title.

Committee Resolved SOC/2019/00045

Part C

That the Social, Community Development and Housing Committee, noting the previous resolution of the Committee dated 6 March 2019 to not approve a Heritage Incentive Grant for 58 Rue Lavaud:

1. Having regard to the special circumstances of this application approve a Heritage Incentive Grant of $39,535 for the upgrade works to the protected heritage building located at 58 Rue Lavaud, Akaroa.

2. Note that payment of this grant (if resolution 2 applies) is subject to the applicants entering a 20 year limited conservation covenant with the signed covenant having the Council seal affixed prior to registration against the title.

Councillor Scandrett/Councillor Keown  

Carried

Councillor Livingstone requested that his vote against the recommendation be recorded.
12. Installation of Public Artwork - "The Godwits"

Committee Decided SOC/2019/00046

Part A (Original staff recommendation accepted without change)

That the Social, Community Development and Housing Committee recommend that Council:

1. Agree to the permanent installation of "The Godwits" public artwork on Council road reserve adjacent to the South Brighton Bridge subject to the following:
   a. All necessary consents and approvals are obtained and provided.
   b. Long term maintenance and engineering plans are provided.
   c. As there is no formal commissioning agreement, Council's requirements are to be addressed in an agreement between all parties.

Councillor Livingstone/Councillor Galloway

Meeting concluded at 10.45am.

CONFIRMED THIS 3rd DAY OF JULY 2019

COUNCILLOR PHIL CLEARWATER
CHAIRPERSON
10. Cycle facilities and connection improvements project scope approval

Reference: 19/784640
Presenter(s): Clarrie Pearce – Senior Project Manager
Lynette Ellis – Manager Planning and Delivery, Transport

1. Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee Consideration

1.1 The Committee agreed that projects 13, 15 and 20 as noted below and in Attachment A are particularly urgent and recommended that these be prioritised.

- 13 - Mitigate the safety risks associated with the tram tracks on Armagh St from Rolleston Ave to Colombo St.
- 15 - Transitional kerb changes of level.
- 20 - Tuam St at Justice Precinct.

1.2 The Committee also discussed the recommended delegation. Staff clarified that the intention of the delegation was to provide flexibility to make minor adjustments to the project should the need arise, and would not be used to remove or add significant items. The Committee amended the recommended wording accordingly.

1.3 The Committee noted that the budgets include the cost of delivery as well as investigation and asked for this to be clarified in the attachment.

Secretarial Note: The version of Attachment A attached to this report has been updated and includes clarification regarding paragraph 1.3 above. Changes have been highlighted in yellow.

2. Staff Recommendations

That the Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee recommends that the Council:

1. Approve the scope of the project as detailed in Attachment A.
2. Delegate authority for the General Manager City Services to add or delete items to/from this list for investigation and delivery within the overall allocated budget.

3. Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee Recommendation to Council

Part A

That the Council:

1. Approve the scope of the project as detailed in Attachment A.
2. Delegate authority for the General Manager City Services to make minor alterations from the list of projects for investigation and delivery within the overall allocated budget.
3. Request staff to prioritise projects 13, 15 and 20 as described in Attachment A.
## Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Report Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cycle facilities and connection improvements project scope approval</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Updated Attachment A - Central City cycling accessibility and parking project elements for discussion</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. **Purpose of Report**

   1.1 The purpose of the report is to get approval to proceed with the delivery of the proposed detailed scope (as attached) as individual projects.

2. **Executive Summary**

   2.1 This capital project was created with a broad scope, following the identification of a budget surplus for the Bike Share scheme project as described below. The items in the attached document are being proposed as appropriate to represent the objective detailed in the Council resolution.

   In order to create a proposed list of sub-projects, a project team was created. The team members cover a range of internal Council departments involved in cycling.

   The team worked through a wide range of possible sub-projects and engaged with Council staff regarding the content to propose those representing appropriate deliverables as detailed in Attachment A.

   The proposed sub-projects were discussed with the Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee and representatives of the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board.

3. **Staff Recommendations**

   That the Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee recommends that the Council:

   1. Approve the scope of the project as detailed in Attachment A.
   2. Delegate authority for the General Manager City Services to add or delete items to/from this list for investigation and delivery within the overall allocated budget.

4. **Context/Background**

   **Issue or Opportunity**

   4.1 The LTP project, “City Wide Bike Share” (ID# 41246) aimed to provide facilities and cycles for a citywide bike share scheme. Specific tasks were to procure an end-to-end solution for the design, supply, commencement and operation of a shared bicycle system cycle facilities and connection improvements. This project did not proceed.

   4.2 At the Council meeting on 24 May 2018 the Council resolved (CNCL/2018/00088) to:

   *Instruct staff to carry forward the remaining 17/18 budget to 18/19 financial year and reallocate the funding to cycle projects – such as improving central city cycle connections; and further improving cycle parking facilities across the city. These works will be completed under existing maintenance contracts.*

   4.3 A new project was created and the remaining funding of $1.2m was moved to *Improvements to existing cycle facilities and connections* (ID# 52228).
4.4 The project scope being the provision of new cycle facilities including cycle parking. The objectives of the project are that a package of interventions are proposed which provide a mixture of cycle accessibility, cycle parking and cycle safety improvements to improve the existing levels of service for current users and serve to encourage increased uptake of cycling within the central city.

4.5 A project team was formed to define a range of interventions that would address the objectives of the project. The project team included representatives from the Traffic Operations team, Capital Delivery team, Asset Planning team and Travel Demand Management team. The team undertook a series of workshops involving the project team and other Council staff involved with cycling to develop a long list of options.

4.6 The package of interventions recommended in Attachment A is a balance, designed to improve the connectivity between major cycleways and the central city, as well as facilitating easier, safer circulation within the central city, and providing additional cycle parking facilities to better reflect emerging demand.

4.7 Rough order costing indicates that all the proposed interventions can be achieved within the allocated budget. They have all been prioritised to address the objectives of the resolution.

4.8 The proposed list of interventions has been discussed at a briefing with the Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee and with representatives of the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board on 10 April 2019.

Strategic Alignment

4.9 This project is identified in the Council’s Long Term Plan (2018 – 2028) as Cycle facilities and connection improvements (ID#52228) and is scheduled for completion in financial year 20/21.

4.10 This report supports the Council’s Long Term Plan (2018 - 2028):

4.10.1 Activity: Active Travel

- Level of Service: 10.5.2.0 Improve the perception that Christchurch is a cycling friendly city - =53%

Decision Making Authority

4.11 The Council has the authority to amend / update the scope of this project under the Local Government Act 1974.

Assessment of Significance and Engagement

4.12 The decisions in this report are of low significance in relation to the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.

4.13 The level of significance was determined by internal Council Stakeholder interaction. When individual sub-projects require community engagement, their significance will be re-assessed at that time.

5. Options Analysis

Options Considered

5.1 The following reasonably practicable options were considered and are assessed in this report:

- Option 1 - Create 20 sub-projects and deliver them according to standard Council procedures.

- Option 2 - Add or remove sub-projects based upon Elected Member input.

5.2 The following options were considered but ruled out
- Do nothing / cancel the project. – The Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee indicated support for continuing with this use of the available budgets.
- Possible options that have significant funding implications, more aligned to being delivered as an individual project, were discarded.

**Options Descriptions**

5.3 **Preferred Option:** Option 1 – Deliver all 20 sub-projects.

5.3.1 **Option Description:** Deliver a number of sub-projects, as described in Attachment A.

5.3.2 All 20 sub-projects, detailed in Attachment A, can be delivered in parallel and within the overall budget. The intention is to bundle them as packages of work when practicable, for design and community consultation.

5.3.3 The sub-projects have been created following significant stakeholder discussions within the Council. The proposed sub-projects as per Attachment A were discussed with the Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee and representatives of the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board in April 2019.

5.3.4 A level of prioritisation has been undertaken in order to develop the list of sub-projects. This prioritisation has taken into account safety, improved accessibility, connectivity etc.

5.3.5 **Option Advantages**

- The proposed scope for the overall project meets the original Council intention of improving cycling accessibility and cycle parking within the CBD.
- The proposed scope can be delivered for the available budget.

5.3.6 **Option Disadvantages**

- Should the project not proceed the budget could be allocated to other Council projects.

5.4 **Additional Option:** Option 2 – Add more sub-projects and / or remove some proposed ones.

5.4.1 **Option Description:** Should Elected Members wish to add or remove sub-projects to the list then these can be tabled for staff to process.

5.4.2 **Option Advantages**

- Additional or changed requirements for cycle facilities and connectivity in the central city will be able to be addressed.

5.4.3 **Option Disadvantages**

Additional work would have to be undertaken to ensure the proposed sub-projects have all been validated by staff. Any newly proposed sub-projects will need to be looked at in detail and have an initial cost estimate done.

**Analysis Criteria**

5.5 The Council asked staff to use existing funding to improve cycle parking and accessibility within the central city. The project team undertook a study of the CBD to determine where cycling related issues exist or where opportunities for improvement might be foreseen.

5.6 Options included linking various Major Cycle Routes (MCRs) to the central city. The desire lines for connecting the MCRs across the central city were reviewed and proposals created to meet this demand.
5.7 Cycle parking demand and future growth areas were assessed and a decision to seek feedback from stakeholders across the central city was thought to be appropriate. This involves seeking feedback for locations where more cycle parking would be desirable.

5.8 A previous review of safety concerns relating to the conflicts between cyclists and tram tracks was reviewed.

5.9 Contact was made with Council staff who cycle to find areas of safety concern such as abrupt kerb drop downs or congested areas. This information was factored into the sub-project definition and prioritisation.

6. Community Views and Preferences

6.1 This report is to seek Council approval for the project scope. The community views will be sought during engagement and consultation when the individual sub-projects are progressed through standard Council delivery processes.

7. Legal Implications

7.1 There is no legal context, issue or implication relevant to this decision.

7.2 This report has not been reviewed and approved by the Legal Services Unit.

8. Risks

8.1 There are no identified risks directly related to this decision.

8.2 Once the items proceed to delivery any risks associated with sub-projects will be identified and managed.

9. Next Steps

9.1 Following approval of the scope definition, the individual items will be analysed and packaged for delivery utilising standard Council project delivery processes. Any procurement undertaken will be in line with Council’s Procurement Policy.
10. Options Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Option 1 - &lt;enter text&gt;</th>
<th>Option 2 - &lt;enter text&gt;</th>
<th>Option 3 - &lt;enter text&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost to Implement</td>
<td>$1.2m</td>
<td>$1.2m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance/Ongoing</td>
<td>The additional cost of this option is estimated to be $2000/year and will need to be allowed for in 2021-31 LTP budget planning. The increase is mostly due to the additional cycle stands.</td>
<td></td>
<td>This is unlikely to vary significantly from option 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding Source</td>
<td>FY18 – FY28 LTP $1.2m (CPMS 52228)</td>
<td>FY18 – FY28 LTP $1.2m (CPMS 52228)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact on Rates</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Criteria 1 e.g. Climate Change Impacts

The decisions in this report will encourage or support cycling in the central city and so reduce greenhouse gas emissions from transport. It is not possible to quantify carbon reductions achieved by these decisions, as some improvements relate to ease of use and safety for cyclists.

Criteria 2 e.g. Accessibility Impacts

As individual sub-projects are designed, accessibility and pedestrian access will be considered.

Criteria 3 e.g. Health & Safety Impacts

Seven of the proposed sub-projects are directly targeted at safety improvements. All the...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Option 1 - &lt;enter text&gt;</th>
<th>Option 2 - &lt;enter text&gt;</th>
<th>Option 3 - &lt;enter text&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impact on Mana Whenua</td>
<td>This option does not involve a significant decision in relation to ancestral land or a body of water or other elements of intrinsic value, therefore this decision does not specifically impact Ngāi Tahu, their culture and traditions. This reasoning is based upon these sub-projects only enhancing existing assets.</td>
<td>It is unlikely that this will vary from Option 1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment to Council Plans &amp; Policies</td>
<td>This option is consistent with Council’s Plans and Policies.</td>
<td>This option is consistent with Council’s Plans and Policies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Confirmations of Statutory Compliance

Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).

(a) This report contains:
   (i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and
   (ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.

(b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council's significance and engagement policy.
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Central City cycling accessibility and parking project – possible deliverables for discussion at ITE Workshop prior to formal report to Council for confirmation of project scope:

A project team has been formed.

The team members cover a range of internal CCC departments involved in cycling.

The teams involved include:

- Traffic Operations
- Travel Demand Management
- Transport Asset Planning
- Transport Project Management
- Communications and Marketing

The team and a broader audience within CCC have identified 20 pieces of work to be proposed as meeting the scope of this project. They are categorised into three categories being:

- Accessibility
- Parking
- Safety

Please note that there are hyperlinks within this document. If you “Ctrl click” on an underlined item as above this will link you to the details. Eg “safety” above will go to the summary of the safety selections. Within that table if you Ctrl Click on the description, it will take you to the detail.

Once confirmed by Council as representing the intended project scope, each item will be delivered using standard Inner City Transport processes. Some just as maintenance, some via the Parking Sub-Committee through to full CBD engagement.
### Accessibility:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Proposed Project Name</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Funding Est</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><strong>Rapanui MCR Connectivity from Fitzgerald Ave to the Central City</strong></td>
<td>Create a 30 kph shared road (use sharrows) to get from Worcester / Fitzgerald to Worcester / Manchester such that cyclists on the Rapanui MCR can get into the City. They will go along Worcester and across Latimer Square then follow Worcester to Manchester.</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>Uni Cycle MCR Connectivity on Gloucester to Colombo and Manchester Streets</strong></td>
<td>Gloucester Street has low traffic volumes and can be developed to prioritise cycling having no other priority transport mode status in the AAC. Some minor signals modifications required. The route is relatively central to meet the central city east west connection objective and MCR connectivity. By using Gloucester Street the tram lines on Armagh and Worcester streets are avoided.</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><strong>Shared Path North from Ara on Madras to High</strong></td>
<td>Create a shared path from Ara on the Eastern side of Madras St through to High St. Currently cyclists ride on the footpath. This job involves effectively sealing the berm and the removal of six all day car parks outside Ara. We have a preliminary hand drawn scheme and agreement with Ara.</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>Latimer Square entry points North and South</strong></td>
<td>Investigate possible cycling entry facility / drop downs to enable cyclists to cross Latimer Square, North to South / visa versa. If cycling across Latimer Square is not permitted then this will be removed from the list. A “cycling heat map” of the City shows that cyclists currently use this as a route. An East / West shared path already exists across Latimer Square.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item No.</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cranmer Square entry points North and South</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Diamond Paint marks</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Review shared path by Antigua Boat Sheds</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Investigate possible cycling entry facility / drop downs to enable cyclists to cross Cranmer Square, North to South / vice versa. A “cycling heat map” of the City shows that cyclists currently use this as a route. An East / West shared path already exists across Latimer Square but if cycling across Cranmer Square is not permitted then this will be removed from the list. Cycling has been implemented across Victoria Square so need to check this.

Wherever cycle loops are installed at traffic signals, there should be suitable diamond marks painted on the road to inform cyclists where to cycle. It is not known how many have yet to be painted but if we are to achieve behaviour change and facilitate correct use of the automation, then we need to give the public the tools required to do the job correctly.

The Antigua bridge is 4.35m wide—not desirable for a shared path in this location, but sufficient. The path between the planter boxes on the north side narrows to 2.5m.

If we modify the planter boxes (the Cancer Society have informally indicated they are happy for us to modify the planters), then a shared pathway width of at least 4.35m can be created (the bridge width) with a centre line and directional arrows on each side.

This particular bridge does have a lot of pedestrian dwelling and viewing over the decks and being close to the hospital there are vulnerable users who have high sensitivity to “close shaves” with passing cyclists.
## Parking:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Proposed Project Name</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Funding Est</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>Signage for Lichfield St Cycle parking and other parking buildings with provision for cycles.</strong></td>
<td>Provide guidance to indicate that there is a good cycle parking facility in the centre of the City. The area needs promotional signs on Lichfield St (the City Mall is pedestrian only) and marketing. Also include signs for other CBD Parking Buildings with cycle parking provision.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><strong>Inner City cycle parking and engagement for locations.</strong></td>
<td>Engage with Inner City stakeholders to understand the desire for cycle parking relating to businesses. These might be a &quot;pallet style&quot; that are lifted into an existing car park or hoops on existing build outs etc. We have some anecdotal requests from businesses for cycle parking in &quot;their car park&quot; but need to canvas the broader City to ensure that we aren't &quot;playing favourites&quot; and consult on the loss of parking. Roughly $12,000 each. The estimate includes construction and installation post consultation.</td>
<td>$170,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><strong>Avon Promenade provide additional cycle parking</strong></td>
<td>There is a request for an investigation into the provision of more cycle parking. Staff have done a walk through with Ōtākaro to establish possible locations. Once locations are finalised this includes installation.</td>
<td>$135,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td><strong>Covered cycle parking structure for 60 bikes multi tier</strong></td>
<td>As per the installation at Wellington City, covered and can have security cameras but requires large footprint. Ideally near toilet / changing facilities. <em>We may not have a suitable location.</em> Cost is over $100,000 but potential advertising reduces the cost while consultation increases it.</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td><strong>Botanic Gardens northern entry Cycle Parking from car park</strong></td>
<td>Two removable racks of 10 placed upon concrete pads near the car park entrance to the Botanic Gardens entry from Armagh St. These will be an interim solution pending the overarching Botanical Gardens / Car Park work.</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Safety:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Proposed Project Name</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Funding Est</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Mitigate the safety risks associated with the tram tracks on Armagh St from Rolleston Ave to Colombo St.</td>
<td>The realignment of two intersections needs a draft scheme before installation. Armagh St at Rolleston Ave guiding cyclists away from tram lines and promote off road section and realign lane on the west approach to Durham and again on the east for Durham improve and promote off road section.</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Review Oxford Gap and surrounding area for safety issues</td>
<td>A number of safety issues have been highlighted by CCC staff who cycle the area. Now that it is open we need to review the safety audits vs the observations and a walk through. Once any issues are qualified, discussions will be held with Ōtākaro to resolve responsibility for resolution.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Transitional kerb changes of level</td>
<td>Walk the City looking for areas where there are kerbs where there should be cut downs, where cut downs are too steep etc. eg northern end of Avon Promenade at Armagh St. Need to find them, then qualify a resolution and fix.</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td><strong>Addition of Cycleway push buttons along Manchester St &amp; St Asaph St &amp; Durham St</strong></td>
<td>To increase the optimisation of Manchester St, St Asaph St &amp; Durham St for all vehicles. The cycleway auto detection cameras are now the only form of cycleway activation. They used to be auto demanded but this creates a lot of dead intersection time and frustrates drivers. The cameras are not as reliable as originally intended. Ōtākaro delivered to plan, but with the need to further optimise the bus route and with more vehicles it has created the need for cycle call buttons (with call accept light) to be fitted. This is for 45.</td>
<td>$29,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td><strong>Signal lantern heights</strong></td>
<td>Cycleway lanterns have been delivered by Ōtākaro as per requirements but over the period of development the size of the pole has decreased and the size of the lanterns has increased. This has resulted in vehicle sized (200mm or 300mm) lanterns on short signal poles. The outcome is the bottom of the lantern will potentially injure pedestrians who walk too close to the cycle lanterns. These need to be raised.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item No.</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td><strong>Antigua / St Asaph signals</strong></td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We need 2 cycle aspects to control and provide for cyclists traveling in an</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>east – west direction across the southern arm of Antigua Street. 2 cycle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>push buttons will also be needed to compliment these signal aspects.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td><strong>Bus Tracking Issue over cycle hook turn box</strong></td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Lichfield / Manchester</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Some form of vertical up stand needs to be provided to guide buses around the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>left hand rails from Lichfield Street onto Manchester Street. This will</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>also provide a level of protection to any cyclists and pedestrians using</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the crossing. The tram tracks needed to be considered when developing a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>solution. Further to this the cycle hook turn boxes need to be provided</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to guide northbound cyclists on Manchester Street onto the shared facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>running along the east side of the street. Note the extension of the Tram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>needs consideration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td><strong>Tuam Street at Justice Precinct Entrance</strong></td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vehicle crossing of cycleway at the Justice Precinct on Tuam St as per Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>resolution CNCL/2019/00074 details at end.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Accessibility Details:**

1. **Rapanui MCR Connectivity from Fitzgerald Ave to the Central City**

Create a shared road (use sharrows) to get from Worcester / Fitzgerald to Worcester / Manchester such that cyclists on the Rapanui MCR get into the City. This will go along Worcester and across Latimer Square. Latimer Square already has an East / West shared path with cycle traffic signals to cross Madras. The project will primarily involve painting “Sharrows” and a 30kph restriction.
Gloucester Street has low traffic volumes and can be developed to prioritise cycling having no other priority transport mode status in the transport chapter of the central city recovery plan. The route is relatively central to meet the central city east west connection objective. Marked “B” in the above map. This is intended to give cyclists a “no tram tracks” access link to the other MCRs and the central city.

The Gloucester St Section between Rolleston Ave and Oxford Tce has an adjacent land use mix of mainly residential along with the Art Gallery and a few businesses nearing Cambridge Tce.

The new Convention Centre has a wide shared pathway around its southern perimeter that can provide for and has been planned for the cycle demand nearing the Square. Discussions throughout 2017 with Ōtākaro planners were very positive towards the key cycleway route sharing the pathway around the south side of the convention centre. They recognised the mutual benefits with the Convention Centre and active travel access showcased. The shared use is identified in the Ōtākaro plans below. From the Convention Centre, the cyclists would then reconnect to the road network, crossing Colombo St to use Gloucester St past the new library and east to the Manchester St intersection - crossing to the new shared path on the eastern side of Manchester St.
leading to Worcester St and the connection to the Rapauni-Shagrock MCR. By continuing South on Manchester St a cyclist can connect to the Heathcote MCR Route at Ferry Rd. Alternatively turning left onto Colombo St will provide a connection to the Papanui Parallel MCR route. This route also crosses the Avon Promenade which provides connectivity to the South for the Quarrymans and Little River MCRs.
3. Shared Path North from Ara on Madras to High

Proposed two way shared cycle path on the east side of Madras Street from St Asaph St to Ara Institute. Council traffic operations staff have investigated the provision of a contra flow cycle facility on the east side of Madras Street between the new St Asaph Street separated cycle facility and the Ara Institute. The proposal has a commitment from Ara to complete a connection from the end of the proposed council facility to the entrance to the Ara cycle parking area.

Create a shared path from Ara on the Eastern side of Madras St through to High St. Currently cyclists ride on the footpath. Effectively seal the berm and remove 6 all day car parks outside Ara. Has a preliminary hand drawn scheme and informal agreement with Ara.
4. Latimer Square entry points North and South
Investigate possible cycling entry facility / drop downs to enable cyclists to cross Latimer Square, North to South / visa versa. If cycling across Latimer Square is not permitted then this will be removed from the list. A “cycle heat map” of the City shows that cyclists currently use this as a route. An East / West shared path already exists across Latimer Square.

5. Cranmer Square entry points North and South
Investigate possible cycling entry facility / drop downs to enable cyclists to cross Cranmer Square, North to South / visa versa. A “cycle heat map” of the City shows that cyclists currently use this as a route. An East / West shared path already exists across Latimer Square but if cycling across Cranmer Square is not permitted then this will be removed from the list. Cycling has been implemented across Victoria Square so need to check this.

6. Diamond Paint marks
Wherever cycle loops are installed at traffic signals, there should be suitable diamond marks painted on the road to inform cyclists where to cycle. It is not known how many have yet to be painted but if we are to achieve behaviour change and facilitate correct use of the automation, then we need to give the public the tools required to do the job correctly.

7. Review shared path by Antigua Boat Sheds:
The Antigua bridge is 4.35m wide—not desirable for a shared path in this location, but sufficient. The path between the planter boxes on the north side narrows to 2.5m.

If we modify the planter boxes (the Cancer Society have informally indicated they are happy for us to modify the planters), then a shared pathway width of at least 4.35m can be created (the bridge width) with a centre line and directional arrows on each side.

This particular bridge does have a lot of pedestrian dwelling and viewing over the decks and being close to the hospital there are vulnerable users who have high sensitivity to “close shaves” with passing cyclists.
Parking Details:

8. Signage for Lichfield St Cycle parking and other parking buildings with provision for cycles.
There is an area there to cater for over 100 bikes, currently being used by about 30. No showers but has large toilets to change in and lockers. It is covered by seven security cameras and a prowling security guard and based upon the accessories folk are leaving on their bikes it must be quite secure.
There is no signage for “bike parking” and no promotion.
The area could be modified to cater for at least another 30-40 bikes if current capacity was exceeded.
The area needs promotional signs on Lichfield St (the City Mall is pedestrian only) and marketing.
Investigate other CBD Parking buildings as well.
9. Inner City cycle parking and engagement for locations.
Engage with Inner City stakeholders to understand the desire for cycle parking relating to businesses. These might be a "pallet style" that are lifted into an existing car park or hoops on existing build outs etc. We have some anecdotal requests from businesses for cycle parking in "their car park" but need to canvas the broader City to ensure that we aren't "playing favourites" and consult on the loss of parking. These will be installed post consultation at an approximate cost being $12,000 each.

Possible Locations for Moveable On-Street Cycle Corrals in Central City
1. Walker Street location adjacent to dropdown, 4 Walker Street. For use by ABC customers and Anchorage Café, Community Law Canterbury;
New Regent Street; this is becoming quite cluttered with street furniture. While there could be space for one or two individual stands the preference would be to locate an on-street corral at one or other or both ends (ie; Gloucester Street/Armagh Street), subject to engagement with local businesses.

Various cafes eg St Asaph St and Manchester St. These will be visited as part of the “engagement”.

**Additional enhancements for cycle parking in Central City could include;**

1. Installation of Fix It Stand in Lichfield Building Cycle Parking Area
2. Investigate secure cycle parking upgrade at Bus Interchange to maximise usage (using Metro card?)

*Engagement with Central City Businesses will establish demand for using on-street car parking footprint for moveable cycle parking corral (capacity 8 cycles).*
10. Avon Promenade provide additional cycle parking
There is a request for an investigation into the provision of more cycle parking. Staff have done a walk through with Ōtākaro to establish possible locations as follows:
Possible Locations for Moveable On-Street Cycle Corrals on the Promenade:

In front of Pegasus Arms, 14 Oxford Terrace;

In front of Black & White Café, 32 Oxford Terrace;
In the vicinity of Riverside Farmer’s Market Oxford Terrace (x 2);

Corner of Promenade and Worcester Street (demonstration site with intermittent high usages);
Close to the Bridge of Remembrance (3 x 3 existing stands);
On the Terrace (there are existing stands and a desire to retain the visual connection to river; this may be subject to business demand)
Design Elements for Moveable Cycle Corral;
Platform within on-street car park footprint (2m x 5m);

Design element to highlight purpose without need for signage;

Design criteria currently being scoped and costed;
- Vandal-proof design (galvanised or stainless steel stands welded/ bolted onto wooden or galvanised steel base with stainless steel frame (If stands removable, potential to be more flexible space in future/ mixed use parklet)
- Open design given low rainfall in Christchurch
- Tread plate flap to allow kerbside stormwater flow
- Universal Design principle for all size bikes/e-bikes; Basic staple design stands with crossbar to allow three points of contact for cycles to security and stability. Optimal spacing of 1.1m

Spacing approx. 1.1m as per Minimum cycle parking dimensions (Christchurch Replacement District Plan)
11. Covered Cycle parking structure for 60 bikes multi tier

As per the installation at Wellington City, covered and can have security cameras but requires large footprint. Ideally near toilet / changing facilities. We may not have a suitable location. Cost is over $100,000 but potential advertising reduces the cost to be $70,000 to $80,000.
12. **Botanic Gardens northern entry Cycle Parking from car park**

Two removable racks of 10 placed upon concrete pads near the car park entrance to the Botanic Gardens entry from Armagh St. These will be an interim solution pending the overarching Botanical Gardens / Car Park work.
Safety Details:

13. **Improve the safety risks associated with the tram tracks on Armagh St from Rolleston Ave to Colombo St.**

This is a natural desire line for cyclists from UniCycle into the City, however it has sections with poor design for safe cycling (tram lines) and features as the worst safety record in the recent tram line cycle interaction survey. Previous cycle lanes in the busier section from Oxford Tce to Colombo St were removed by new street developments under Otākaro. This route doesn’t lend itself to the east west demand well for the southern feeder route from Antigua Bridge relative to the other more central options. The proposal is to just mitigate the safety issues associated with the tram tracks at intersections.

The survey project focused on a time span of 2015 to end of Feb 2018 – picking up the post EQ tram operational period and as the inner city travel demand picks up.

Data from the Crash Analysis System (CAS) and Accident Compensation Corporation (ACC) records, along with results of a commissioned public survey were interrogated to better understand the nature of accidents and near-accidents that have occurred since 2015 (i.e. the time from which a full tram loop in the Christchurch CBD was operational after the Canterbury Earthquakes).
Heat map for Tram Track related cycling accidents reported.

CAS had no records, ACC showed 75 claims per year from cycling accidents relating to tram tracks. A specific online survey was developed and publicised to collect information from people who have suffered tram track crashes (or near-crashes) on their bikes since 2015 onwards. The survey responses included 51 accidents (i.e. the person fell off their bike and / or hit the ground or another object) and 35 “near-accidents” Only 12 people who had a tram track cycling accident and 1 who had a near-accident reported their incident to an authority; some of these people reported to more than one authority. Refer to following map points marked “A” for the two locations to be enhanced.
14. **Review Oxford Gap and surrounding area for safety issues**
A number of safety issues have been highlighted by CCC staff who cycle the area. Now that it is open we need to review the safety audits vs the observations and undertake a walk through. **Once any issues are qualified, discussions will be held with Ōtākaro to resolve responsibility for resolution.**

15. **Transitional kerb changes of level**
Walk the City looking for areas where there are kerbs where there should be cut downs, where cut downs are too steep etc. eg northern end of Avon Promenade at Armagh St. **Need to find them, then qualify a resolution and fix.**
16. **Addition of Cycleway push buttons along Manchester St and St Asaph St**

The original design of Manchester Street and many other central city cycleways has tried to rely solely on automation for detection (call placement) and removal of cyclist crossing triggers at signalised crossings. The reality is that automation is never a 100% accurate process. Detection and technology issues outside anyone’s control can occur such as devices fail or go out of adjustment and cyclists not being in the right place for detection by the automation devices. The council has been persevering with automation processes due to the value it provides but the reality is that CCC have to change methodology if there is to be a good combination of safety and optimisation.

The automated detection system provided by thermal cameras simply is not reliable enough for consistent and safe operation. They often work fine but even a good site is not a 100%, and a re-think of how automation and manual operation has had to be carried out. In conjunction with that process there is a stronger emphasis on safety in combination with optimisation of limited road space. With those parameters in mind there is a strong need to isolate manual and automation devices so the automation can still work on its own, but if there is a failure of an automation device/system then the manual system of push buttons will override any automation and provide the traveller with a safe and effective alternative. In order to do this signals personalities will also need to be re-written to accommodate the minor operations differences and cycleway manual push buttons will need to be installed. CCC will now be using a standardised manufactured cycle call button which is half the cost of the bespoke units previously used along with other factors such as being more robust and waterproof.

17. **Signal lantern heights**

The original cycleway signal lanterns within the CBD were going to be a thin small unit that would sit closely to the signal pole and be very unobtrusive. As the rebuild projects have developed there has been a change to a much larger lantern at the request of CCC. The resulting large lantern is now sitting low but is also sitting very proud of the pole and has the ability to scalp a traveller who walks too close to the pole. This is not a desirable situation and could result in harm. It has been highly recommended that CCC review the number of situations where these lanterns are sitting in this position and get the lanterns repositioned to a higher level or consider testing and trialling a change to a “near side” unit to get away from lanterns all together.

18. **Antigua / St Asaph signals**

We need 2 cycle aspects to control and provide for cyclists traveling in an east – west direction across the southern arm of Antigua Street. 2 cycle push buttons will also be needed to compliment these signal aspects. Need details two new aspects $5k
Cycle aspects to be installed.
19. Bus Tracking Issue over cycle hook turn box Lichfield / Manchester

Some form of vertical up stand needs to be provided to guide buses around the left hand rails from Lichfield Street onto Manchester Street. This will also provide a level of protection to any cyclists and pedestrians using the crossing. The tram tracks needed to be considered when developing a solution.

Further to this the cycle hook turn boxes need to be provided to guide northbound cyclists on Manchester Street onto the shared facilities running along the east side of the street.

Please note that the possible extension of the Tram route will require consideration / co-ordination.
20. Tuam Street at Justice Precinct Entrance

41. Tuam Street at Justice Precinct Entrance
   Council Resolved CNCL/2019/00074
   That the Council:
   1. Approves the installation of the detector activated illuminated warning signage on Tuam Street at the accessway to the Justice and Emergency Services Precinct.
   2. Approves the improvement of the existing advance signage on the cycleway that warns cyclists of turning vehicles.
   3. Approves the installation of supplementary signage to the existing Give Way sign for vehicles that states “turning traffic give way to cyclists” in accordance with clause 4.1 of the Land Transport (Road User) rule 2004.

Councillor Cotter/Councillor Davidson Carried
Councillors East, Keown, Manji, Scandrett and Swiggs requested that their votes against the resolutions be recorded.

To deliver as resolved by Council 11th April 2019 as above.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Proposed Project Name</th>
<th>Funding Est</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rapanui MCR Connectivity from Fitzgerald Ave to the Central City</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Uni Cycle MCR Connectivity on Gloucester to Colombo and Manchester Streets</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Shared Path North from Ara on Madras to High</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Latimer Square entry points North and South</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cranmer Square entry points North and South</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Diamond Paint marks</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Review shared path by Antigua Boat Sheds</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Signage for Lichfield St Cycle parking and other parking buildings with provision for cycles.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Inner City cycle parking engagement for locations.</td>
<td>$170,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Avon Promenade provide additional cycle parking</td>
<td>$135,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Covered cycle parking structure for 60 bikes multi tier</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Botanic Gardens northern entry Cycle Parking from car park</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mitigate the safety risks associated with the tram tracks on Armagh St from Rolleston Ave to Colombo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>St.</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Review Oxford Gap and surrounding area for safety issues</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Transitional kerb changes of level</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Addition of Cycleway push buttons along Manchester St &amp; St Asaph St &amp; Durham St</td>
<td>$29,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Signal lantern heights</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Antigua / St Asaph signals</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Bus Tracking Issue over cycle hook turn box Litchfield / Manchester</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Tuam St at Justice Precinct</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Balance for CBD &quot;parking per engagement&quot;</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,088,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$121,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Balance</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,209,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Project Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Review shared path by Antigua Boat Sheds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Tuam St at Justice Precinct Signage for Lichfield St Cycle parking and other parking buildings with provision for cycles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Avon Promenade provide additional cycle parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bus Tracking Issue over cycle hook turn box Lichfield / Manchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Inner City cycle parking engagement for locations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mitigate the safety risks associated with the tram tracks on Armagh St from Rolleston Ave to Colombo St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Addition of Cycleway push buttons along Manchester St &amp; St Asaph St &amp; Durham St</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Antigua / St Asaph signals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rapanui MCR Connectivity from Fitzgerald Ave to the Central City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Shared Path North from Ara on Madras to High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Botanic Gardens northern entry Cycle Parking from car park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Diamond Paint marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Uni Cycle MCR Connectivity on Gloucester to Colombo and Manchester Streets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Transitional kerb changes of level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Signal lantern heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Review Oxford Gap and surrounding area for safety issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cranmer Square entry points North and South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Latimer Square entry points North and South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Covered cycle parking structure for 60 bikes multi tier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11. **Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee Minutes - 10 July 2019**

**Reference:** 19/784747  
**Presenter(s):** Aidan Kimberley – Committee Advisor

1. **Purpose of Report**  
The Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee held a meeting on 10 July 2019 and is circulating the Minutes recorded to the Council for its information.

2. **Recommendation to Council**  
That the Council receives the Minutes from the Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee meeting held 10 July 2019.

**Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Minutes Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee - 10 July 2019</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Signatories**

| Author | Aidan Kimberley - Committee and Hearings Advisor |
Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee
OPEN MINUTES

Date: Wednesday 10 July 2019
Venue: Council Chambers, Civic Offices,
53 Hereford Street, Christchurch

Present
Chairperson
Deputy Chairperson
Members

Councillor Pauline Cotter
Councillor Mike Davidson
Councillor Vicki Buck
Councillor Phil Clearwater
Councillor Anne Galloway
Councillor Aaron Keown
Councillor Tim Scandrett
Councillor Sara Templeton

9 July 2019

Principal Advisor
David Adamson
General Manager City Services
Tel: 941 8235

Aidan Kimberley
Committee and Hearings Advisor
941 6566
aidan.kimberley@ccc.govt.nz
www.ccc.govt.nz

To view copies of Agendas and Minutes, visit:
www.ccc.govt.nz/the-council/meetings-agendas-and-minutes/
The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

1. **Apologies**
   - Part C
   An apology was received from Councillor Templeton for early departure.

2. **Declarations of Interest**
   - Part B
   There were no declarations of interest recorded.

3. **Confirmation of Previous Minutes**
   - Part C
   **Committee Resolved ITES/2019/00023**
   That the minutes of the Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee meeting held on Wednesday, 12 June 2019 be confirmed.

   Councillor Clearwater/Councillor Templeton **Carried**

4. **Public Forum**
   - Part B
   There were no public forum presentations.

5. **Deputations by Appointment**
   - Part B
   There were no deputations by appointment.

6. **Presentation of Petitions**
   - Part B
   There was no presentation of petitions.
7. Cycle facilities and connection improvements project scope approval

Committee Comment

The Committee agreed that projects 13, 15 and 20 as described in Attachment A are particularly urgent and recommended that these be prioritised.

The Committee also discussed the recommended delegation. Staff clarified that the intention of the delegation was to provide flexibility to make minor adjustments to the project should the need arise, and would not be used to remove or add significant items. The Committee amended the recommended wording accordingly.

Staff Recommendations

That the Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee recommends that the Council:
1. Approve the scope of the project as detailed in Attachment A.
2. Delegate authority for the General Manager City Services to add or delete items to/from this list for investigation and delivery within the overall allocated budget.

Committee Decided ITEC/2019/00024

Part A

That the Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee recommends that the Council:
1. Approve the scope of the project as detailed in Attachment A.
2. Delegate authority for the General Manager City Services to make minor alterations from the list of projects for investigation and delivery within the overall allocated budget.
3. Request staff to prioritise projects 13, 15 and 20 as described in Attachment A.

Councillor Cotter/Councillor Clearwater
Councillor Templeton left the meeting at 01:59 p.m.  

Carried

8. Three Waters and Waste report - April/May

Committee Resolved ITEC/2019/00025

Part C

That the Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee:
1. Receive the information in the Three Waters and Waste April/May report attached.

Councillor Clearwater/Councillor Scandrett

Carried
Committee Resolved ITEC/2019/00026

Part C

That the Infrastructure, Transport and Environment Committee:
1. Receive the information in the following Multi-Hazard Analysis project technical reports:
   a. Land Drainage Recovery Programme: Tsunami Study
   b. Tsunami inundation modelling for Lyttelton and Akaroa Harbours

Councillor Scandrett/Councillor Clearwater  Carried

10 Resolution to Exclude the Public
Committee Resolved ITEC/2019/00027

Part C

That at 2.35 the resolution to exclude the public set out on pages 250 to 251 of the agenda be adopted.

Councillor Cotter/Councillor Galloway  Carried

The public were re-admitted to the meeting at 2.54pm at which time the meeting concluded.

CONFIRMED THIS 22ND DAY OF JULY 2019

COUNCILLOR PAULINE COTTER
CHAIRPERSON
12. Innovation and Sustainable Development Committee Minutes - 10 July 2019

Reference: 19/784770
Presenter(s): Aidan Kimberley – Committee Advisor

1. Purpose of Report
   The Innovation and Sustainable Development Committee held a meeting on 10 July 2019 and is circulating the Minutes recorded to the Council for its information.

2. Recommendation to Council
   That the Council receives the Minutes from the Innovation and Sustainable Development Committee meeting held 10 July 2019.

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Minutes Innovation and Sustainable Development Committee - 10 July 2019</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aidan Kimberley - Committee and Hearings Advisor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Innovation and Sustainable Development Committee
EXTRAORDINARY MINUTES

Date: Wednesday 10 July 2019
Time: 12.45pm
Venue: Council Chamber, Level 2, Civic Offices, 53 Hereford Street, Christchurch

Present
Chairperson
Deputy Chairperson
Members
Councillor Vicki Buck
Councillor Sara Templeton
Councillor Mike Davidson
Councillor Anne Galloway

9 July 2019
Principal Advisor
Brendan Anstiss
General Manager Strategy & Transformation
Tel: 941 8472

Aidan Kimberley
Committee and Hearings Advisor
941 6566
Aidan.kimberley@ccc.govt.nz
www.ccc.govt.nz

To view copies of Agendas and Minutes, visit:
www.ccc.govt.nz/the-council/meetings-agendas-and-minutes/
Innovation and Sustainable Development Committee
10 July 2019

Part A  Matters Requiring a Council Decision
Part B  Reports for Information
Part C  Decisions Under Delegation

The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

1. **Apologies**
   
   Part C
   
   Committee Resolved ISDC/2019/00028
   
   That the apologies from Deputy Mayor Turner, Councillor Gough, Councillor Livingstone and Councillor Chen be accepted.
   
   Councillor Davidson/Councillor Templeton  Carried

2. **Declarations of Interest**
   
   Part B
   
   There were no declarations of interest recorded.

3. **Deputations by Appointment**
   
   Part B
   
   There were no deputations by appointment.

4. **Presentation of Petitions**
   
   Part B
   
   There was no presentation of petitions.

5. **Enliven Places Projects Fund - Grant Approval - Little Andromeda 2019**

   Committee Resolved ISDC/2019/00029
   
   Part C
   
   That the Innovation and Sustainable Development Committee:
   
   1. Revoke the following resolution passed on 26 June 2019:

   ISDC/2019/00024
   
   That the Innovation and Sustainable Development Committee recommends that the Council:
   
   
   2. Approve that funding is allocated from the 2018/2019 Urban Design, Urban Regeneration and Heritage Unit operational budget.
2. Provide a grant of $49,855 to the Enliven Places Projects Fund application Little Andromeda 2019, back dated to 30 June 2019

3. Resolves that funding is allocated from the 2018/2019 Urban Design, Urban Regeneration and Heritage Unit operational budget.

Councillor Templeton/Councillor Galloway

Carried

Meeting concluded at 12.48pm.

CONFIRMED THIS 26TH DAY OF JULY 2019

COUNCILLOR VICKI BUCK
CHAIRPERSON
13. Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee Minutes - 18 June 2019

Reference: 19/741946
Presenter(s): Liz Ryley - Committee Advisor

1. Purpose of Report

The Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee held a meeting on 18 June 2019 and is circulating the Minutes recorded to the Council for its information.

2. Recommendation to Council

That the Council receives the Minutes from the Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee meeting held 18 June 2019.

Attachments

<table>
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<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Minutes Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee - 18 June 2019</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signatories

Author | Liz Ryley - Committee Advisor
Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee
OPEN MINUTES

Date: Tuesday 18 June 2019
Time: 4pm
Venue: Lyttelton Community Boardroom, 25 Canterbury Street, Lyttelton

Present
Chairperson Dr Benita Wakefield - Te Rūnanga o Wairewa
Deputy Gina Waibli - Community Representative
Chairperson Rima Herber - Community Representative
Members Elizabeth Macpherson - Community Representative
Ben Manson - Community Representative
Paula Smith - Community Representative
Garrick Thorn - Community Representative
Elizabeth Cunningham - Councillor Environment Canterbury
Aurora Smith - Te Hapū o Ngāti Whēke/Rapaki

In Attendance: ECan - Gillian Jenkins, Birdlings Flat resident - Patsy; DOC – John Benn.

Committee Advisor
Liz Ryley
Tel: 941 8153
Christchurch City Council

Principal Advisor
Peter Kingsbury
Principal Advisor Natural Resources
Tel: 941 8487
Christchurch City Council

Zone Facilitator
Lesley Woudberg
Zone Facilitator
Tel: 027 706 4273
Environment Canterbury

To view copies of Agendas and Minutes, visit:
www.ccc.govt.nz/the-council/meetings-agendas-and-minutes/
Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee
18 June 2019

Dr Benita Wakefield opened the meeting with a Karakia/Timatanga.

The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

1. Apologies
   Committee Resolved BP2C/2019/00022
   That apologies for absence from Manaia Cunningham, Deputy Mayor Turner, and Pere Tainui, be accepted.
   Benita Wakefield/Elizabeth Cunningham
   Carried

2. Updates from Banks Peninsula Zone Committee Members
   Members highlighted events/meetings/issues relevant to the Zone Committee, as follows:

   **Rima Herber**
   1. The weed, Yellow-horned Poppy is establishing down the sides of the canal, and infesting the southern bays. This matter was discussed at the Weed Liaison meeting held on 5 June 2019 involving DOC, ECan and weed contractors. It may be eradicated by grubbing out. Two other weeds noted are Chilean Mayten similar to a native and Great Willow Herb, an issue in wetland areas.
   2. Noted that the surfactant in glyphosate spray affects lizards.
   3. The possibility of a Catchment Management Plan could be considered at some time in the Wairewa Catchment.
   4. Lake Forsyth/Te Roto o Wairewa is often above 2.7metres and inundates neighbouring property. A photograph showed the inundation when the lake was at 2.6m. Benita advised that other strategies in relation to this matter would be discussed by the Wairewa Rūnanga. The meeting noted that the ECan website shows a graph of lake measurements.

   **Liz Macpherson** – Reported on a visit from PhD student Aisling about her freshwater kākahi (freshwater mussels) species project, about moving them between waterways to try and increase numbers. This is about increasing taonga species in freshwater streams and rivers, improving mahinga kai outcomes and as an indicator of water quality. Students would be happy to present the information to the Committee. Consideration should be given to barriers to this happening, such as legislation or funding. Note a permit from DOC is required to transfer species. Liz will circulate the information about this. Contacts in this area may be a PhD student with Mahaanui Kurataiao, and Peter Ramsden, Koukourārata Rūnanga.

   **Gina Waibl**
   1. Attended the Biosecurity Advisory Meeting covering Regional Pest Management Plan pest species, e.g. Old Man’s Beard, not new incursions. ECan are seeking community representatives for groups, applications close 26 July. (Note page 24 of the Banks Peninsula Zone Committee’s agenda regarding the Biosecurity Advisory Group).
   2. Wrote article on possum control and forwarded it to newspapers, Akaroa Mail and Bay Harbour News.
   3. Noted that a ship unloading urea is currently at the Lyttelton Port.
Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee
18 June 2019

Garrick Thorn -
1. Met with David Norton, Ecology Advisor to the Banks Peninsula Trust, about using Living Springs covenants as satellites to grow forests to attract biodiversity. David works with Beef and Lamb regarding Farm Environment Plans and communications about those plans. Many groups have an interest in this, such as Pest Free Banks Peninsula.
2. Garrick is assisting at work teaching bi-cultural competencies.

Aurora Smith -
1. Reported on the Art of Living programme, and about work being carried out in India to revive rivers.
2. Noted that a trip from Rapaki to Ripapa Island last week was postponed.

Ben Manson -
Has talked to Adam Thacker about Okains Bay. Removal work being carried out has had no impact.

Benita Wakefield – The Zone Committee work weaves in and out of other Boards Benita sits on. In future she will share information about the work Ngāi Tahu does across many areas. What makes us unique and special in this zone. Thirty percent of biodiversity remaining is here in Banks Peninsula.

Elizabeth Cunningham -
1. Offered to provide an update on Ngāi Tahu structure.
2. Reported on the Whakaraupō-Lyttelton Harbour next step of the implementation plan, there are four funders. Lyttelton Port Company, Environment Canterbury, Christchurch City Council, Te Wheke and Ngāi Tahu who have signed an MOU. Segments of this Plan could inform work on harbours. Karen Banwell is implementing the Plan, and will arrange for someone to co-ordinate all the groups working in the area. A report will be provided to the Zone Committee every two months.
3. Koukourārata Rūnanga – DOC worked with residents on Horomaka Island pine trees replacement.

In relation to the matters raised by a concerned resident, Adam Thacker, regarding the Opara River at Okains Bay, Peter Kingsbury advised that the work involves various units at Council relating to drainage, parks, road and traffic engineering. Traffic Engineering has assessed the bend identified by the community as acceptable, and not a blind corner, if people are driving to the conditions. Work carried out in the waterway is to ensure flood carrying capacity of the stream is maintained and the banks remain stable. This is not a road safety matter and there is no need to remove any further vegetation. There is no intention to remove fencing, no further changes are to be made.

7. Identification of General Public Contributions

Birdlings Flat resident, Patsy Gibson, raised the matter of high speed power boats on Lake Te Roto o Wairewa-Lake Forsyth. She has spoken to Gary Manch, in the Harbourmaster’s Office, about this, and queried how navigation rules are being accommodated. The definition of a circuit racing boat is that it does 60km/hour with a top end speed of 235km/hour. The speed boat club had temporary exemption from the Navigation Safety Bylaw to race 12 days per year (this number of race days is seldom reached). Neighbours were unaware of any posts to Facebook or notices about lake closure for the power boat racing. There is concern that possibly the club is not meeting the conditions required. From 14 October to 7 April there are nine race days planned. Concern has been expressed that if the lake is closed it complies with health and safety for other users. Concerns are that the
area is narrow with significant wetlands near the boathouse, the effect the boat wake may have on those wetlands, the effect on birdlife and the issue of noise.

The meeting noted that the ZIP Addendum, page 24, 6.9 promotes this as a low speed area.

The Zone Committee recognises this issue in the ZIPA, and suggests during the next Quarterly Report to the Christchurch City Council it raises this matter. The Zone Facilitator will report any further advice from Harbourmaster to the next meeting.

9. Facilitator's Update - 18 June 2019
Committee Comment

1. Lesley spoke to her Facilitator's report. She advised that plantings would be undertaken on 4 August 2019 at Orton Bradley Park, and on 25 August 2019 at Okuti River. Plantings at Koukourārata Marae were being organised in terms of the Immediate Steps project funding.

Committee Resolved BPZC/2019/00023

That the Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee:


Elizabeth Cunningham/Elizabeth Macpherson  
Carried

3. Confirmation of Previous Minutes
Committee Resolved BPZC/2019/00024

That the minutes of the Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee meeting held on Tuesday, 21 May 2019 be confirmed, subject to the following addition:

- Item 9.2 – to note that there are two projects from the Zone Committee’s current Action Plan that are fully aligned with the Whakaraupō Catchment Management Plan. These are Priority Action 1: Support the implementation of the Whakaraupō Catchment Management Plan project to complete riparian planting on four streams ki uta ki tai, and Priority Action 16: Complete Whakaraupō Road cutting Trial by 2021 to determine effective erosion control techniques.

Paula Smith/Benita Wakefield  
Carried

4. Matters Arising from the Minutes

The issue of the Te Roto o Wairewa-Lake Forsyth opening level of 2.7 metres and providing feedback to Joe Power, the Manager of Kinloch, was raised. It was noted that there were graphs of lake levels available from the ECAN website. Ben will provide Joe with a copy of the graphs. Benita advised she had passed information to Councillor Iaean Cranwell about the lake opening issue. Councillor Cunningham will also raise this matter with Deputy Mayor Andrew Turner.

In relation to Immediate Steps funding, Lesley referred the meeting to a Draft Questions and Answers for Landowners document.
5. **Deputations by Appointment**
   There were no deputations by appointment.

6. **Identification of Urgent Items**
   There were no urgent items identified.

8. **Banks Peninsula Zone Committee - Quarterly Update**

   **Committee Comment**

   1. Gill Jenkins spoke to the Quarterly Update report that had been separately circulated.
   2. The meeting noted that Brad Baxter, Land Management Advisor for ECan, has been seconded to another area of ECan and a replacement for his role would be filled soon.
   3. In relation to bank stabilisation and plantings in the Wairewa catchment, Paula suggested it would be helpful to have a plan of what is being achieved, i.e. 80% of stream banks. The possibility of volunteers to assist with plantings from the Art of Living group was suggested.
   4. CCC Information cards – Peter Kingsbury responded that these were not currently a priority for CCC.
   5. Benita referred to the matter of fencing in higher areas that was too expensive. Because these areas were too steep there was natural stock exclusion. Rules around stock exclusion are to be provided to members. There is landowner concern that fencing in higher areas will be too expensive.
   6. In managing septic tanks, Gill advised there was no change to the work, and that the progress of information cards had been delayed.
   7. There was a suggestion of possibly developing a project around waterways in Wainui.
   8. In response to an enquiry regarding compliance around sediment discharges, Gill advised she would check and provide advice on the number of on the spot infringements issued, including the issue of sediment from construction at Norwich Quay, Lyttelton.

   **Committee Resolved BPZC/2019/00025**

   That the Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee:

   1. Receive the information in the Quarterly Update report.  

   Benita Wakefield/Gina Waibl

   **Carried**

   The formal meeting concluded at 6.20pm and a workshop followed.
10. Workshop - Review of the Banks Peninsula Zone Committee's 3-Year Action Plan

Following the formal meeting a workshop was held to review the Zone Committee's 3-Year Action Plan.
At 8.05pm the meeting/workshop closed with a Karakia/Whakamutunga.

CONFIRMED THIS 16TH DAY OF JULY 2019

DR BENITA WAKEFIELD
CHAIRPERSON
14. Christchurch West Melton Water Management Zone Committee
Minutes - 20 June 2019

Reference: 19/741979
Presenter(s): Liz Ryley - Committee Advisor

1. Purpose of Report
   The Christchurch West Melton Water Management Zone Committee held a meeting on 20 June 2019 and is circulating the Minutes recorded to the Council for its information.

2. Recommendation to Council
   That the Council receives the Minutes from the Christchurch West Melton Water Management Zone Committee meeting held 20 June 2019.

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Minutes Christchurch West Melton Water Management Zone Committee - 20 June 2019</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Liz Ryley - Committee Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
## Christchurch West Melton Water Management Zone Committee

**OPEN MINUTES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Thursday 20 June 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time:</td>
<td>6.10pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venue:</td>
<td>Environment Canterbury, 200 Tuam Street</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Present Members**

- Kevin Brown - Community Representative
- Annabelle Hasselman - Community Representative
- Gareth Oddy - Community Representative
- Helen Caley – Community Representative
- Councillor Pauline Cotter - Christchurch City Council
- Councillor Debra Hasson - Selwyn District Council
- Herewini Banks - Te Hapū o Ngāti Whakaipa/Rapaki

In Attendance: Jan Burney; Pauline McGuigan; ECan – Shelley Washington, Ruth Sarson, Lauren Hamilton, Jen Watters; DOC – John Benn;

**20 June 2019**

**Zone Facilitator**
Lesley Woudberg
Tel: 027 706 4273
Environment Canterbury

**Principal Advisor**
Diane Shelander
Senior Policy Analyst
Tel: 941 8304
Christchurch City Council

**Committee Advisor**
Liz Ryley
Tel: 941 8153
Christchurch City Council

www.ccc.govt.nz

To view copies of Agendas and Minutes, visit:
Kevin Brown opened the meeting with a Karakia/Timatanga.

The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

1. **Apologies**  
   Committee Resolved CWZC/2019/00008  
   That the apologies for absence from Arapata Reuben, Carly Sluys, Les Wanhalla and Councillor Tom Lambie, for lateness from Councillor Pauline Cotter, and for early departure from Councillor Debra Hasson, be accepted.  
   Herewini Banks/Annabelle Hasselman  
   **Carried**

2. **Confirmation of Previous Minutes**  
   Committee Resolved CWZC/2019/00009  
   That the minutes of the Christchurch West Melton Water Management Zone Committee meeting held on Thursday, 23 May 2019 be confirmed.  
   Annabelle Hasselman/Gareth Oddy  
   **Carried**

3. **Matters Arising from the Minutes**  
   In response to a query about the Milnes drain eels left on the riverbanks, Ruth Sarson advised that this was a compliance matter under the Resource Management Act. Councillor Hasson referred to an area where clearing of vegetation was only from the top of the banks and queried whether this could be achieved in the Halswell and Christchurch City catchments. Staff will check these matters and provide the Committee with information when it becomes available.

4. **Deputations by Appointment**  
   There were no deputations by appointment.

5. **Identification of Urgent Items**  
   Nil.

6. **Identification of General Public Contributions**  
   Nil.

6a. **Presentation - Recent Heathcote River Sediment Assessment Work**  
   A presentation was given by Zone Committee member, Gareth Oddy, about the recent Heathcote River Sediment Assessment work. This included information on sediments in the river, what it contains and how it can be dealt with. Members requested a future briefing on whether there was benefit for further work to remove sediment/contaminants, and when that should occur. It is understood that there will be further sediment removal above Radley Road bridge as part of CCC’s Land Drainage Recovery Programme.
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Councillor Cotter arrived at 6.19pm. Councillor Hasson departed at 6.55pm.

8. Invitation for Councillors to Attend Christchurch Water Management Field Trip

Committee Comment

1. Lesley reported on the invitation for Christchurch City Council and Environment Councillors to attend the Christchurch Water Management field trip early 2020, similar to the "What’s Happening Under Our Feet" field trip undertaken during March/April 2019.
2. Other catchments could be considered such as the Heathcote, depending on enthusiasm of Committee members to help lead trips.

Committee Resolved CWZC/2019/00010

That the Christchurch West Melton Water Management Zone Committee:
1. Note the invitations for Christchurch City Council and Environment Canterbury Councillors to attend a Christchurch Water Management Field Trip. Carried

Kevin Brown/Pauline Cotter

7. Correspondence - Minister of Health

Committee Comment

1. Discussion was held about the response received from the Ministry of Health’s Population Health and Prevention Unit, and members noted that the Ministry did not consider it appropriate to change the maximum acceptable value for nitrates in drinking water on the basis of one Danish study.
2. The Committee agreed to seek the support of the Canterbury Medical Officer of Health, to jointly write a letter to the Minister of Health recommending that research be undertaken to investigate any correlation between nitrate levels in drinking water and the incidence of colorectal cancer.

Committee Resolved CWZC/2019/00011

That the Christchurch West Melton Water Management Zone Committee:
1. Note the correspondence received from the Ministry of Health on the link between nitrate levels in drinking water and increased risk of bowel cancer. Carried
2. Seek the support of the Canterbury Medical Officer of Health, to jointly write a letter to the Minister of Health recommending that research be undertaken in the Canterbury region, to investigate any correlation between nitrate levels in drinking water and the incidence of colorectal cancer.

Herewini Banks/Pauline Cotter Carried
9. **Briefing on the Christchurch City Council Stormwater Consent Decision**

**Committee Comment**

1. Christchurch City Council staff were unavailable to attend the meeting, therefore the item was deferred to the next Zone Committee meeting.

10. **Addington Brook 'Living' Catchment Management Plan**

**Committee Resolved CWZC/2019/00012**

That the Christchurch West Melton Water Management Zone Committee:

1. Receive the Addington Brook ‘Living’ Catchment Management Plan

   Kevin Brown/Pauline Cotter

   Carried

11. **Facilitator's Update - 20 June 2019**

**Committee Resolved CWZC/2019/00013**

That the Christchurch West Melton Water Management Zone Committee:

1. Note the upcoming events and updates.

   Kevin Brown/Herewini Banks

   Carried

Kevin Brown closed the meeting with a Karakia/Whakamutunga.

**Meeting concluded at 7.45pm.**

**CONFIRMED THIS 25TH DAY OF JULY 2019**

KEVIN BROWN
DEPUTY CHAIRPERSON
15. Community Resilience Partnership Fund

Reference: 19/716234

Presenter(s): Sam Callander - Funding Team Leader
Josh Wharton – Community, Partnerships & Planning Advisor
Stacey Holbrough - Community Development Advisor, Papanui Innes

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is to recommend to the Council the allocation of grants from the Community Resilience Partnership Fund.

2. Staff Recommendations

That the Council:

1. Makes a grant of $100,000 to Christchurch City Mission from the Community Resilience Partnership Fund for a multi-agency approach to begging and rough sleeping.

2. Makes a grant of $55,000 to St Albans Residents Association (SARA) from the Community Resilience Partnership Fund for year one of the community organiser initiative.

3. Subject to the return of a satisfactory monitoring report, the Council makes a grant of $55,000 to St Albans Residents Association from the Community Resilience Partnership Fund for year two of the community organiser initiative.

3. Key Points

Issue or Opportunity

3.1 The contract with the Ministry of Health identified that the Community Resilience Fund will support projects that strengthen communities by increasing community participation, connectedness and resilience.

Strategic Alignment

3.2 The recommendation is aligned to the Strategic Framework and in particular the strategic priority of enabling active citizenship and connected communities. It will provide a strong sense of community.

Objectives of the Community Resilience Fund

3.3 The objectives of the Fund are to invest in initiatives which contribute to Community Resilience through:

- Community Connection and Activation
  - Strengthen connections between neighbours, families, whānau and communities of shared interest and identity, as well as geographically.
  - Create and activate places within local communities that increase access to opportunities for physical activity and social connection.

- Community-led Response
  - Support local community-led initiatives.
  - Recognise and utilise the resources, skills, knowledge and infrastructure of local communities.
• Build on existing community strengths and reflect the local context.

• **Capacity Building**
  • Strengthen the capacity and capability of communities to identify and deliver effective services and activities that will increase community resilience and wellbeing.
  • Identify and cultivate local leadership.

• **Collaboration**
  • Create collaborative ways of working that will endure beyond the completion of a specific project.
  • Engage a broad range of stakeholders to identify common interests and benefits that might be achieved by working together and engender long-term commitment to being part of the solution.

• **Innovation and Enterprise**
  • Encourage innovation and creativity.
  • Encourage and enable social enterprise.

• **Removing Barriers to Participation and Resilience**
  • Remove earthquake related barriers to participation and resilience.
  • Support initiatives that enhance peoples’ ability to access to appropriate services.
  • Increase participation in, and awareness of, community, recreation, sports, arts, heritage and environment groups, programmes and local events.

3.4 The Council formally adopted the Objectives as the funding Criteria in October 2017.

**Funding Process**

3.5 The contract identified that the fund will primarily use a direct selection approach. This method was selected because:

• This approach minimises the transaction and compliance costs for groups and Council.
• Funding can be targeted based on the objectives of the fund.
• Funding arrangements can be flexible and innovative activities developed as funding is not restricted by an application or contract.

**Assessment of Significance and Engagement**

3.6 The decision in this report is of low significance in relation to the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.

3.7 The level of significance was determined by the number of people affected and/or with an interest.

3.8 Due to the assessment of low significance, no further community engagement and consultation is required.

**Discussion**

3.9 There are two initiatives recommended for consideration from the Community Resilience Partnership Fund.

3.10 The applications have been reviewed and approved by the Psychosocial Governance Group.
3.11 The Council has allocated funding to 42 initiatives since October 2017. The total allocation to date is $2,687,700 Year 1 and $2,140,520 Year 2.

3.12 At the time of writing, the balance of the Community Resilience Partnership Fund is $1,171,780.

3.13 Recommendations for the Community Resilience Partnership Fund are outlined in Attachment A. A summary matrix is detailed in Attachment B. The Community Resilience Partnership Fund funding history is listed in Attachment C.

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Attachment A - CRPF Funding Proposals July 2019</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Attachment B - CRPF Summary Matrix July 2019</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Attachment C - Community Resilience Partnership Fund Financial Tracking July 2019</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Confirmation of Statutory Compliance

Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).

(a) This report contains:

(i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and

(ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.

(b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council's significance and engagement policy.

Signatories

| Authors                         | Nicola Thompson - Community Funding Advisor  
|                                | Sam Callander - Team Leader Community Funding  
| Approved By                    | Matthew McLintock - Manager Community Governance Team  
|                                | Brent Smith - Acting General Manager Citizens & Community  

1. Christchurch City Mission (as umbrella for multi-agency group) 
A Multi-agency Approach to Begging and Rough Sleeping

Background

In mid-2018 a multi-agency stakeholder group was formed to address the issues of street begging and rough sleeping in the central city and surrounding suburbs. The group identified the need to offer a range of responses as many of the people on the street had high level, complex needs which required a multi-agency, supported approach including mental health and addiction assistance.

Community Resilience Initiative

The initiative proposed to be funded through the Community Resilience Partnership Fund is a 12 month continuation of the community outreach initiative. There are currently two community outreach workers, one which will be funded through the Christchurch City Mission, the second of which is applied for. These workers will make direct contact with rough sleepers and beggars. They will provide information about mental health and social services that may be available to help the individuals on the streets, reducing barriers to access and referring them to other agencies as needed.

Financial Position

The Christchurch City Mission is in a generally sound financial position. Despite operating at a deficit for the last two years (based on the most recently submitted audited accounts), they maintain a stable revenue, and will not be in financial risk by supporting one of the two outreach positions. The organisation has a strong history of providing social services within the city, and for many years has supported those most at risk of substance abuse and impoverishment.

An application to the Jones Foundation for $20,000 will also be made in October.

Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Connection and Activation</td>
<td>Those living on the streets will build relationships with support agencies, and have individuals that they know, trust, and can reach out to should they need.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community-led Response</td>
<td>Following the Christchurch earthquakes, there was an increase in the number of homeless and rough sleepers across the city. While the numbers have been steadily decreasing alongside the city rebuild, the identified need to support some citizens to transition away from substance abuse and street living has birthed this project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Building</td>
<td>Current rough sleepers and beggars that express an interest will be supported through relevant organizations into appropriate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
education, employment and training opportunities.

| Collaboration | ✓ Having connections with the rough sleepers and beggars through these workers is beneficial for Police and enforcement teams, who can utilize ground-level information to better direct their resources. The project itself is a collaboration between multiple agencies, who see rough sleeping and begging as an area to be addressed. |
| Innovation & Enterprise | ✓ Building a personal relationship with these rough sleepers and beggars is one of the most effective methods to influence their current way of thinking. These staff members know the people on the street on a first name basis, and as such, are able to engage them in activities that otherwise would not be possible due to a lack of trust. |
| Removing Barriers to Participation and Resilience | ✓ The community workers will get to know the individuals on the street, and invite them to engage in city activities. Whether that be a trip to the beach or a bush walk, exposing the beggars and rough sleepers to new experiences helps to engage them mentally and provide a positive connection point in their lives, while reducing their current barriers. |
| Psychosocial Governance Group Priorities | ✓ - People of Maori ethnicity - People from a household with an income of less than $30,000 |

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that

1) The Council makes a grant of $100,000 to the Christchurch City Mission from the Community Resilience Partnership Fund for one year support of a multi-agency approach to begging and rough sleeping in the city.
2. St Albans Residents Association (SARA) – Community Organiser

Background

The St Albans Residents Association Incorporated (SARA) have been serving the wider St Albans community for 25 years.

SARA’s aim for their association and all of the projects and initiatives they undertake are that they; Connect- connected with their community Partner - work alongside others Lead - take the initiative in bringing the people of St Albans together Know - their people really know stuff Advocate - community’s interest first

SARA has a 20 point community strategy to revitalise the St Albans area. The strategy includes projects to improve the built environment, services for people living in the area, addressing recreational needs and a strategic overview to look at the sustainability, identity, and community spirit.

Community Resilience Initiative

The initiative proposed to be funded through the Community Resilience Partnership Fund is for the employment of a Community Organiser. This position will be responsible for the on-going development of SARA initiatives, increasing the number of people involved in community projects, and strengthening community capacity in St Albans prior to the rebuild of the St Albans Community Centre.

The Community Organiser will have an overview of what is happening in each of the existing and new community projects. They will, network with other local groups and residents associations across the city, review and update SARA’s strategic plan and survey residents to establish their needs. A key part of their role will be to create and document systems, processes and an activation plan for the future St Albans Community Centre.

The St Albans Community Centre has an estimated completion timeline for the fourth quarter of 2020. The Community Organiser will look at the activation, community participation, contribution, and utilisation of the Centre with the aim of creating and sustaining a thriving space based on the needs and aspirations of the St Albans community.

Financial Position

The St Albans Residents Association has been an incorporated society since 1996 and has demonstrated they have robust financial and management systems in place. They have the capacity and capability to receive and be accountable for grant funds and to deliver the project.

The Community Organiser will be engaged as a contractor on a fix-term two year contract as outlined below.

| Year 1 – Community Organiser Contractor, with some operating expenses (based on a rate of $32 per hour for approximately 30 hours a week) | $55,000 |
| Year 2 – Community Organiser Contractor, with some operating expenses (based on a rate of $32 per hour for approximately 30 hours a week) | $55,000 |
| TOTAL | $110,000 |
It is envisioned the Community Organiser will build relationships with external funders and investigate social enterprise opportunities for the sustainability of the Centre and any further staffing needs.

### Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Community Connection and Activation** | - Will strengthen connections between people living in the St Albans area without having to wait for the rebuild of the community centre.  
- Provide opportunities for people to become involved in community projects.  
- Provide local residents access to physical, social and wellbeing activities in their community. |
| **Community-led Response**              | - The work of the Community Organiser is framed by the St Albans Community Strategy 2013-23 which was created with local residents and SARA.  
- The Community Organiser will utilise the resources, skills, knowledge and infrastructure of local community.  
- Local residents will have the opportunity to be involved in developing and leading initiatives with help and support from the Community Organiser.  
- The Community Organiser will work closely with community members and existing organisations using community led development principles. |
| **Capacity Building**                   | - The project will strengthen the capacity and capability of the St Albans community to identify and deliver effective services and activities that will increase community resilience and wellbeing.  
- Key part of the Community Organiser’s role will be developing mentoring and supporting emerging leaders to ensure on-going success  
- Recovery from loss of recreational, cultural and leisure time facilities.  
- Increase communication and information pathways for the community. |
| **Collaboration**                       | - To build on the collaborations that have already been established and work with these groups on projects that will benefit the St Albans community. |
| **Innovation & Enterprise**             | - The re-built Community Centre will provide an opportunity for further investigation of social enterprise initiatives.  
- The Community Organiser will investigate ways to maximize the Centre for both community and commercial use through activities such as a |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.: 15</th>
<th>differential hire and pricing structure.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Removing Barriers to Participation And Resilience</td>
<td>✓  - Will increase awareness of, and participation by, local people in community, recreation, sports and arts programmes and events. - Will support initiatives that enhance peoples’ ability to access services, community events and projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial Governance Group Priorities</td>
<td>✓  - The project aligns with the Psychosocial Governance group priorities: - Those who have unresolved claims at the property they own and usually live in, including people engaging with re-repair processes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendation**

It is recommended that

2) The Council makes a grant of $55,000 to St Albans Residents Association from the Community Resilience Partnership Fund for Year One of the Community Organiser initiative.

3) Subject to the return of a satisfactory monitoring report, the Council makes a grant of $55,000 to St Albans Residents Association from the Community Resilience Partnership Fund for Year Two of the Community Organiser initiative.
## Attachment B – CRPF Summary Matrix July 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Social capital</th>
<th>Community-led</th>
<th>Capacity Building</th>
<th>Collaboration</th>
<th>Innovation</th>
<th>Remove Barriers</th>
<th>PGG Priorities</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Christchurch City Mission (as umbrella for multi-agency group)</td>
<td>A Multi-agency Approach to Begging and Rough Sleeping.</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>That the Council: 1) Makes a grant of $100,000 to Christchurch City Mission from the Community Resilience Partnership Fund for A Multi-agency Approach to Begging and Rough Sleeping.</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 St Albans Residents Association (SARA)</td>
<td>Community Organiser</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>That the Council: 2) Makes a grant of $55,000 to St Albans Residents Association from the Community Resilience Partnership Fund for Year One of the Community Organiser initiative. 3) Subject to the return of a satisfactory monitoring report, the Council makes a grant of $55,000 to St Albans Residents Association from the Community Resilience Partnership Fund for Year Two of the Community Organiser initiative.</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$210,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance of CPR Fund (July 2019)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,171,780</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds Remaining if above Applications are Granted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$961,780</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Name/Subject</td>
<td>Granted Year 1</td>
<td>Paid Year 1</td>
<td>Granted Year 2</td>
<td>Paid Year 2</td>
<td>Funds received to date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addington.net</td>
<td>Digital Capacity Partnerships (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aranui Community Trust (ACTIS)</td>
<td>Community Connection (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td>$230,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avanbury House Community Trust</td>
<td>Community Led Research and Revitalisation</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belfast Community Network Inc</td>
<td>Resilience Initiatives in Belfast, Redwood and Northcote (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUG 4/2 Incorporated</td>
<td>Activation with City Libraries (Yr 1 or 2)</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canterbury Neighbourhood Support Inc</td>
<td>Support and Get Ready</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCC Civil Defence &amp; Emergency Management (CDE)</td>
<td>Neighbourhood Action Fund</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCC Coastal Burwood Governance Team</td>
<td>Avondale, Burwood, Darlington Initiatives</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCC Regional Parks Team</td>
<td>Connecting Communities Nurturing Nature (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCC Regional Parks Team</td>
<td>Partnership Worker for Community Conservation Connection Project</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Law Canterbury</td>
<td>Recovery Information</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation Volunteers New Zealand</td>
<td>Community Conservation Connection (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta Community Support Trust</td>
<td>Evergreen Club and Friendship Link (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Resilience Network</td>
<td>Otakaro Orphant (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$130,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nawawi Center Ltd</td>
<td>Nawawi Centre</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbourhood Trust</td>
<td>Mainahua Neighbourhood Project (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Development Trust</td>
<td>Resilience Initiatives (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otautahi Spaces Trust</td>
<td>Creative Spaces Project (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Youth Leadership And Transformation Council</td>
<td>Increasing Participation and Connections (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillipstown Community Centre Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Hub Activation Initiative (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Lyttelton Incorporated</td>
<td>Community Activation Project (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$160,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qtopia Incorporated</td>
<td>Strengthening an Inclusive Canterbury (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowley Resource Centre Inc/Cross Over Trust</td>
<td>Rowley House Hay Community Collaboration (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirley Community Trust</td>
<td>McFarlane Park Community Centre Activation (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Bays Union Trust (Umbrella)</td>
<td>Christchurch Timebanks (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susten South Brighton</td>
<td>Community and Neighbour Connection (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Taumata Rananga</td>
<td>Te Kahui Kahiuruku Community Connection Project</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Whare Roi Mata Trust</td>
<td>Community Led Revitalisation and Regeneration (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>$170,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Whare Ruopoo o Otepeo - Waltham Community Cottage</td>
<td>Community Connection (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Bridge South Brighton Trust</td>
<td>Community Activation Hub (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Canterbury Mental Health Education &amp; Resource Centre</td>
<td>Community Support and Connection (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speydon Baptist Community Ministries (Umbrella)</td>
<td>Addington Farm</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Alive Trust (Umbrella)</td>
<td>New Brighton Hub</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthquake Disability Leadership Group</td>
<td>Workshop for People with Intellectual Disabilities</td>
<td>$14,680</td>
<td>$14,680</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$29,360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christchurch Methodist Mission (Umbrella)</td>
<td>Christchurch Housing First (Yr 1 of 2)</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burwood Community Church Trust</td>
<td>Burwood Support Programme</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornby Community Care Trust</td>
<td>Hornby Community Activator</td>
<td>$57,020</td>
<td>$57,020</td>
<td>$54,520</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership Lab</td>
<td>Leadership in Communities</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shakti Ethnic Women's Support Group</td>
<td>Youth Network for Change Pilot</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heathcote Valley Community Association</td>
<td>Community Centre Activator Initiative</td>
<td>$31,000</td>
<td>$31,000</td>
<td>$31,000</td>
<td>$31,000</td>
<td>$62,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillars</td>
<td>Creating the Pillars Alliance</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangata Atumotu Trust</td>
<td>Connecting Pasifika Mata (Elders)</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$2,687,700</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,687,700</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,148,520</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,560,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,856,220</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
16. Central City Residential Development: Mechanisms and incentives

Reference: 19/806461
Presenter(s): John Scallan - Senior Planner Urban Regeneration

1. Purpose of Report
   1.1 The purpose of this report is to respond in part to the 25 June 2019 resolution on the Central City Residential Programme (also known as ‘Project 8011’) (CAPL/2019/00044): Request a report be brought to Council by the end of July 2019 for discussion and to make recommendations on a wider package of mechanisms and incentives to address the barriers to residential development in the Central City including consideration of the rates remission policy.

   1.2 This report is a process update to inform the Council on the progress and next steps for the work on mechanisms and incentives to encourage supply of and demand for homes in the Central City, specifically:
      1.2.1 A ‘package’ of measures is not yet ready for consideration.
      1.2.2 The research work completed to-date, and the independent peer review of this, has identified that further specialist advice on technical, economic, legal and financial matters is required to build robust business cases for interventions and an effective and feasible package of measures.
      1.2.3 Notes that interventions will likely require consideration as part of the Council’s next (or subsequent) Annual Plan/Long Term Plan preparations.

2. Executive Summary
   2.1 The Christchurch Central City Residential Programme is a key action of the Council’s strategic priority: Maximising opportunities to develop a vibrant, prosperous and sustainable 21st Century City. The aspiration for the Programme is to increase the residential population of the Central City. One of several projects within the Programme is Project B2: Funding Opportunities and Incentives.

   2.2 To support Project B2, Development Christchurch Limited (DCL) was commissioned in 2018 to complete a research report: Barriers to residential development [in the Central City] (the Barriers research). This report considered both demand and supply barriers, and responses to these.

   2.3 The Barriers Research report has identified a number of barriers and potential mechanisms and incentives to address these. However, the report and the subsequent independent peer review have identified that further specialist advice and analysis on technical, financial, economic and legal matters is required in order to build a robust business case for a ‘package’ of measures. In particular, further advice is required for those measures that may have a bearing on future Council Long Term Plans and Annual Plans.

   2.4 In light of this it is prudent to consider the work completed to-date as Phase 1: Foundation research work, and further activity as Phase 2: Business case fundamentals and preparation for delivery.

   2.5 As part of Phase 2, Council staff will seek to identify those mechanisms and incentives that may be possible to implement in advance of Annual Plan/Long Term Plan processes. DCL may
be asked to contribute further advice in this next phase of the work, alongside other specialist technical input.

2.6 As the further specialist work is commissioned, staff will update the Council on likely reporting timelines.

3. Staff Recommendations

That the Council:

1. Receives this update on the process for identifying effective mechanisms and incentives for Central City housing development.

Attachments

There are no attachments to this report.

Confirmation of Statutory Compliance

Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).

(a) This report contains:
   (i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and
   (ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.

(b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council's significance and engagement policy.

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>John Scallan - Senior Planner - Urban Regeneration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approved By</td>
<td>Carolyn Ingles - Head of Urban Regeneration, Design and Heritage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brendan Anstiss - General Manager Strategy and Transformation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
17. Resolution to Exclude the Public


I move that the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting, namely items listed overleaf.

Reason for passing this resolution: good reason to withhold exists under section 7.
Specific grounds under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution: Section 48(1)(a)

Note

Section 48(4) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides as follows:

“(4) Every resolution to exclude the public shall be put at a time when the meeting is open to the public, and the text of that resolution (or copies thereof):

(a) Shall be available to any member of the public who is present; and
(b) Shall form part of the minutes of the local authority.”

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by Section 6 or Section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as follows:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM NO.</th>
<th>GENERAL SUBJECT OF EACH MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED</th>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>SUBCLAUSE AND REASON UNDER THE ACT</th>
<th>PLAIN ENGLISH REASON</th>
<th>WHEN REPORTS CAN BE RELEASED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>PUBLIC EXCLUDED COUNCIL MINUTES - 27 JUNE 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>REFER TO THE PREVIOUS PUBLIC EXCLUDED REASON IN THE AGENDAS FOR THESE MEETINGS.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>PUBLIC EXCLUDED COUNCIL MINUTES - 11 JULY 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>REFER TO THE PREVIOUS PUBLIC EXCLUDED REASON IN THE AGENDAS FOR THESE MEETINGS.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>PUBLIC EXCLUDED AUDIT AND RISK MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MINUTES - 18 JUNE 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>REFER TO THE PREVIOUS PUBLIC EXCLUDED REASON IN THE AGENDAS FOR THESE MEETINGS.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>PUBLIC EXCLUDED INNOVATION AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MINUTES - 26 JUNE 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>REFER TO THE PREVIOUS PUBLIC EXCLUDED REASON IN THE AGENDAS FOR THESE MEETINGS.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>PUBLIC EXCLUDED INFRASTRUCTURE, TRANSPORT AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE MINUTES - 10 JULY 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>REFER TO THE PREVIOUS PUBLIC EXCLUDED REASON IN THE AGENDAS FOR THESE MEETINGS.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>REPORT ON PROPOSAL TO ESTABLISH AN INNOVATION TASKFORCE</td>
<td>S7(2)(B)(II), S7(2)(I)</td>
<td>PREJUDICE COMMERCIAL POSITION, CONDUCT NEGOTIATIONS</td>
<td>THERE ARE COMMERCIAL IMPLICATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH SOME OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE REPORT AND IN THE POSSIBLE NEXT STEPS.</td>
<td>3 JULY 2020 AGREEMENT BY CHRISTCHURCHNZ AND CCC CHIEF EXECUTIVES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>MAJOR EVENT OPPORTUNITY</td>
<td>S7(2)(C)(II)</td>
<td>PREVENT DAMAGE TO THE PUBLIC INTEREST</td>
<td>COUNCIL WILL REQUIRE KNOWLEDGE OF THE EVENT, OF WHICH PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE AT</td>
<td>31 MARCH 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>FUNDING REVIEW WORKING GROUP: CHAIR'S REPORT</td>
<td>S7(2)(I)</td>
<td>CONDUCT NEGOTIATIONS</td>
<td>COUNCIL WILL ENTER INTO NEGOTIATIONS WITH PROVIDERS.</td>
<td>AT THE DISCRETION OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>ROBERT MCDougALL GALLERY (RMG) BASE ISOLATION</td>
<td>S7(2)(B)(II), S7(2)(I)</td>
<td>PREJUDICE COMMERCIAL POSITION, CONDUCT NEGOTIATIONS</td>
<td>THE COUNCIL HAS YET TO CONCLUDE NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE OTHER PARTY TO THIS MATTER.</td>
<td>ONCE THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE IS SATISFIED, AFTER CONSULTATION WITH THE OTHER PARTY, THAT THERE ARE NO LONGER GROUNDS FOR WITHHOLDING THE INFORMATION.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>DELEGATION OF DECISION</td>
<td>S7(2)(I)</td>
<td>CONDUCT NEGOTIATIONS</td>
<td>ENABLES THE COUNCIL TO CONSIDER A COMMERCIAL MATTER AND MAKE A SENSITIVE DECISION</td>
<td>16 JULY 2030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>