Waikura
Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board
AGENDA

Notice of Meeting:
An ordinary meeting of the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board will be held on:

Date: Wednesday 19 June 2019
Time: 10am
Venue: The Board Room, 180 Smith Street, Linwood

Membership
Chairperson
Sally Buck
Deputy Chairperson
Jake McLellan
Members
Alexandra Davids
Yani Johanson
Darrell Latham
Tim Lindley
Brenda Lowe-Johnson
Deon Swiggs
Sara Templeton

Note: The reports contained within this agenda are for consideration and should not be construed as Council policy unless and until adopted. If you require further information relating to any reports, please contact the person named on the report.

To view copies of Agendas and Minutes, visit:
https://www.ccc.govt.nz/the-council/meetings-agendas-and-minutes/
Strategic Framework

The Council’s Vision – Christchurch is a city of opportunity for all.
Open to new ideas, new people and new ways of doing things – a city where anything is possible.

Whiria ngā whenu o ngā papa
Honoa ki te maurua tāukiuki
Bind together the strands of each mat
And join together with the seams of respect and reciprocity.
The partnership with Papatipu Rūnanga reflects mutual understanding and respect, and a goal of improving the economic, cultural, environmental and social wellbeing for all.

Overarching Principle
Partnership - Our people are our taonga – to be treasured and encouraged. By working together we can create a city that uses their skill and talent, where we can all participate, and be valued.

Supporting Principles
Accountability
Affordability
Agility
Equity
Innovation
Collaboration
Prudent Financial Management
Stewardship
Wellbeing and resilience
Trust

Community Outcomes
What we want to achieve together as our city evolves

Strong communities
Strong sense of community
Active participation in civic life
Safe and healthy communities
Celebration of our identity through art, culture, heritage and sport
Valuing the voices of children and young people

Liveable city
Vibrant and thriving central city, suburban and rural centres
A well connected and accessible city
Sufficient supply of, and access to, a range of housing
21st century garden city we are proud to live in

Healthy environment
Healthy waterways
High quality drinking water
Unique landscapes and indigenous biodiversity are valued
Sustainable use of resources

Prosperous economy
Great place for people, business and investment
An inclusive, equitable economy with broad-based prosperity for all
A productive, adaptive and resilient economic base
Modern and robust city infrastructure and community facilities

Strategic Priorities
Our focus for improvement over the next three years and beyond

Enabling active citizenship and connected communities
Maximising opportunities to develop a vibrant, prosperous and sustainable 21st century city

Climate change leadership
Informed and proactive approaches to natural hazard risks
Increasing active, public and shared transport opportunities and use
Safe and sustainable water supply and improved waterways
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1. **Apologies**
   At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

2. **Declarations of Interest**
   Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant and to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as an elected representative and any private or other external interest they might have.

3. **Confirmation of Previous Minutes**
   That the minutes of the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board meeting held on **Tuesday, 4 June 2019** be confirmed (refer page 5).

4. **Public Forum**
   A period of up to 30 minutes will be available for people to speak for up to five minutes on any issue that is not the subject of a separate hearings process.

5. **Deputations by Appointment**
   Deputations may be heard on a matter or matters covered by a report on this agenda and approved by the Chairperson.

   There were no deputations by appointment at the time the agenda was prepared.

6. **Presentation of Petitions**
   There were no petitions received at the time the agenda was prepared.
Waikura
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OPEN MINUTES
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www.ccc.govt.nz
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The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

1. **Apologies**

   Part C  
   **Community Board Resolved LCHB/2019/00049**
   
   That an apology from Yani Johanson for leave of absence, and apologies from Deon Swiggs and Sarah Templeton for lateness be received.

   Jake McLellan/Tim Lindley  
   **Carried**

2. **Declarations of Interest**

   Part B  
   There were no declarations of interest recorded.

3. **Confirmation of Previous Minutes**

   Part C  
   **Community Board Resolved LCHB/2019/00050**
   
   That the minutes of the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board meeting held on Wednesday, 22 May 2019 be confirmed.

   Tim Lindley/Alexandra Davids  
   **Carried**

4. **Public Forum**

   Part B  
   **4.1. Worcester Street Cycle Route**

   Part B  
   Mr Dominic McKeown presented to the Board his concerns on the Worcester Street section of the Rapanui/Shag Rock Major Cycleway Route. Mr McKeown presented photos of examples of inappropriate car parking, placement of rubbish bins on the cycleway and lack of street cleaning. Mr McKeown advised that he had lodged Customer Service Requests and also talked to Council staff on the matters he has raised.

   After questions from the members, the Chairperson thanked Mr McKeown for his presentation.

   The Board requested staff:
1. To identify options that avoid Worcester Street residents needing to place rubbish wheelie bins on the cycleway or on the road carriageway in Worcester Street for emptying and report back to the Board on the options.

2. To complete a targeted parking compliance exercise on Worcester Street between Fitzgerald Avenue and Tancred Street and report to the Board on the outcome.

3. To provide Mr McKeown information on how to make a public forum presentation to the Council’s Infrastructure, Transport Committee.

Attachments
A  Item 4.1 - Presentation by Mr Dominic McKeown on Worcester Street Concerns

5. Deputations by Appointment

Part B
There were no deputations by appointment.

6. Presentation of Petitions

Part B
There was no presentation of petitions.

7. Rolleston Avenue and Cambridge Terrace- Proposed changes to Mobility Parking

Board Consideration

The Board noted the revised plan that was tabled by staff at the meeting as a replacement to the plan that was attached to the staff report in the meeting agenda.

Community Board Resolved LCHB/2019/00051 (Original Staff Recommendation accepted without change)

Part C

That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board:

1. Approves that any previous resolutions pertaining to parking and stopping restrictions made pursuant to any bylaw to the extent that they are in conflict with the Parking & Stopping restrictions described in 2-5 be revoked.

2. Approves that under clauses 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the parking of vehicles be prohibited at any time, on the south western side of Cambridge Terrace and Rolleston Avenue as illustrated on plan TG133732, issue 1, dated 05/06/2019, being the amended plan tabled at this meeting and attached to these minutes.

3. Approves that the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 120 minutes and be controlled by parking meters, (including Pay by Plate machines or any approved means of payment) on the western side of Rolleston Avenue as illustrated on plan TG133732, issue 1, dated 05/06/2019, being the amended plan tabled at this meeting and attached to these minutes. This restriction is to apply Monday to Friday, 5:00pm - 6:00pm.
4. Approves that the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 180 minutes and be reserved for vehicles with an approved disabled person’s parking permit, prominently displayed in the vehicle, in accordance with section 6.4(1A) of the Land Transport (Road User) Rule 2004 on the western side of Rolleston Avenue, as illustrated on plan TG133732, issue 1, dated 05/06/2019 being the amended plan tabled at this meeting and attached to these minutes. This restriction to apply Monday to Friday, 9:00am –5:00pm.

5. Approves that the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 180 minutes and be reserved for vehicles with an approved disabled person’s parking permit, prominently displayed in the vehicle, in accordance with section 6.4(1A) of the Land Transport (Road User) Rule 2004 on the south western side of Cambridge Terrace, as illustrated on plan TG133732, issue 1, dated 05/06/2019 being the amended plan tabled at this meeting and attached to these minutes. Note: the two south easternmost mobility parks to be further restricted to 90 degree angle parking. This restriction to apply at any time.

6. Approve that these resolutions take effect when parking signage and/or road marking that evidence the restrictions described in 1-5 are in place (or removed in the case of revocations).

Jake McLellan/Tim Lindley
Carried

Attachments

A Item 7 - Rolleston Avenue and Cambridge Terrace-Proposed changes to Mobility Parking Amended Plan


Community Board Resolved LCHB/2019/00052 (Original Staff Recommendation accepted without change)

Part C

That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board:

1. Approves a grant of $1,140 from its 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund to Ōtautahi Sports Association towards the Ōtautahi Rugby Club – Bower Park Carpark.

Darrell Latham/Alexandra Davids
Carried


Community Board Resolved LCHB/2019/00053 (Original Staff Recommendation accepted without change)

Part C

That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board:
1. Approves a grant of $2,950 from its 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund to Richmond Community Garden Trust towards the Matariki in the Zone Event.

Alexandra Davids/Darrell Latham  Carried

10. Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote 2018/19 Youth Development Fund Applications - Various

Community Board Resolved LCHB/2019/00054 (Original Staff Recommendations accepted without change)

Part C

That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board:

1. Approves a grant of $500 from its 2018/19 Youth Development Fund to Adam George Andrew Lilley towards competing in the 2019 IBSF U21 World Snooker Championships in China from 10-21 July 2019.

2. Approves a grant of $500 from its 2018/19 Youth Development Fund to Tayla-Ann Davison towards competing in Australian International Oireachtas on the Gold Coast, Australia from 9 to 19 July 2019.

3. Approves a grant of $750 from its 2018/19 Youth Development Fund to Manaia Maria Davies towards representing New Zealand at a dance competition ‘Body Rock’ in San Diego and a week training in Los Angeles from 27 June to 8 July 2019.

Sally Buck/Tim Lindley  Carried


Staff Recommendations

Part B

That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board:


2. Consider items for inclusion for the Board Report to the Council’s 11 July 2019 meeting.

3. Consider items for inclusion in the Board July 2019 Newsletter.

Community Board Resolved LCHB/2019/00055

Part B

That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board:

2. Request that a letter be sent to the Wayne Hawker and Paul Mulvany, thanking them for their work with the Phillipstown community regarding the Riccarton Liquorland Sale of Liquor Application and Appeal.

3. Request that the consultation documentation for the Woolston and Sumner Village proposed reduced speed limits advises that under New Zealand Transport Authority rules that the speed limits cannot be a trial; the speed limits would be permanent.

4. Request that the following item be included in the Board Report to the Council’s 13 June meeting:
   a. The provision of future proofing the Linwood Pool Facility to include infrastructure for electric car charging can be installed at a later time; and also to install infrastructure for future court lighting and barbeques.

5. Request that the following items be included in the July 2019 Board Newsletter:
   a. Local events: Winter Blast, The Big Chill and the Bromley Hoops.

Darrell Latham/Sally Buck  

15. Resolution to Include Supplementary Reports

   Community Board Resolved LCHB/2019/00056 (Original Staff Recommendation accepted without change)

   That the report be received and considered at the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board meeting on Tuesday, 4 June 2019.

Open Items


   Jake McLellan/Tim Lindley  

   Carried

16. Application to 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund - Board Project Greening the East

   Community Board Resolved LCHB/2019/00057 (Original Staff Recommendation accepted without change)

   Part C

   That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board:

   1. Approves a grant of $25,000 from its 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund to Council Technical Services and Design towards the Board Project, Greening the East.

   Sally Buck/Alexandra Davids  

   Carried

12. Elected Members’ Information Exchange

   Part B

   Elected Members shared the following information:
- **Cliff Street, Redcliffs** – the Board were advised that Cliff Street residents continue to have flooding issues. Residents have lodged customer service requests.

- **Christchurch South Community Garden** – the Board were advised that some Board members had recently meet with the Christchurch South Community Garden, which outlined the work that they are currently doing within the community.

- **Advertising on Public Trees** – the Board noted that there had recently been instances of advertising hoardings nailed to trees on public areas. Council staff have been working with advertisers on other suitable places to place advertising.

- **Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)** - The Board were advised that the association will be holding a workshop to revitalise the association. Members of the Community Governance Team are available to assist the association.

- **Port Hills Road Works** – the Board were advised that a drop in information session will be held to advise residents and users of Port Hills Road on the progress of the Lyttelton Wastewater Project and the road renewal works.

Deon Swiggs and Sara Templeton arrived at 04:07 p.m..

12.1 **Moorhouse Avenue Request to Remove Street Tree**

A discussion took place around a request to have the tree on the corner of Hagley and Moorhouse Avenue removed.

The Board requested staff advice on the advertising company’s request to remove a tree located on the corner of Moorhouse and Hagley Avenue.

12.2 **Policing within the Community Board Area**

The Board requested a workshop meeting be arranged with New Zealand Police on their transitional planning after 15 March 2019 attacks within the Community Board Area.

13 **Resolution to Exclude the Public**

Part C

That at 4.31pm the resolution to exclude the public set out on pages 46 to 47 of the agenda be adopted.

*The public were re-admitted to the meeting at 4.32pm.*

*Meeting concluded at 4.32pm.*

**CONFIRMED THIS 19 DAY OF JUNE 2019**

SALLY BUCK
CHAIRPERSON
7. **Joint Meeting - Linwood-Central-Heathcote and Papanui-Innes Community Boards Minutes - 31 May 2019**

Reference: 19/623694  
Presenter(s): Liz Beaven, Community Board Advisor

**Recommendation**

That the minutes of the Joint Meeting - Linwood-Central-Heathcote and Papanui-Innes Community Boards meeting held 31 May 2019 be confirmed.

**Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Minutes Joint Meeting - Linwood-Central-Heathcote and Papanui-Innes Community Boards - 31 May 2019</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Liz Beaven - Community Board Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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EXTRAORDINARY MINUTES
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Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board and Papanui-Innes Community Board
31 May 2019

Part A  Matters Requiring a Council Decision
Part B  Reports for Information
Part C  Decisions Under Delegation

The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

1. Apologies
   Part C
   Joint Community Boards Recommendation
   That the apologies from Yani Johanson, Emma Norrish and Alexandra Davids for absence and Sara Templeton for lateness be received.
   Member Stringer/Member Byrne  Carried/Lost

2. Declarations of Interest
   Part B
   Pauline Cotter declared an interest in Item 6 because of residing on Madras Street but took part in the decision and voting with members’ agreement.

3. Confirmation of Previous Minutes
   Part C
   Joint Community Boards Recommendation
   That the minutes of the Joint Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board and Papanui-Innes Community Board Meeting held on Wednesday, 8 May 2019 be confirmed.
   Member Byrne/Member McLellan  Carried

   Joint Community Boards Resolved JM-LA/2019/00003 (Staff recommendation accepted without change)
   That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote and the Waipapa/Papanui-Innes Community Boards:
   1. Approve that under clause 7 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the parts of North Avon Road, Nicholls Street and Stapletons Road as indicated in the attached drawing TP324002 Issue 1, as attached to the Agenda for this meeting dated 08/04/2019.
Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board and Papanui-Innes Community Board
31 May 2019

2. Revoke any previous resolutions pertaining to traffic controls made pursuant to any bylaw to the extent that they are in conflict with the traffic controls described in this report.

3. Approve that these resolutions take effect when there is evidence that the restrictions described in the staff report are in place.

Member Swiggs/Member Davidson  
**Carried**

4. Deputations by Appointment

Part B

4.1 Cranford Street Downstream Effects Management Plan – St Albans School

Aaron Tunnicliff (Deputy Chair of the St Albans School Board of Trustees), Ginnie Warren (Principal of St Albans School) spoke on behalf of the St Albans School Board of Trustees regarding the Downstream Effects Management Plan.

After questions from members, the Chairperson thanked St Albans School Board of Trustees representatives for their deputation.

Item 6 of these minutes refers.

Member Templeton joined the meeting at 12.42pm.

6. Christchurch Northern Corridor Downstream Effects Management Plan

Staff Recommendations

That the joint Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board and Waipapa/Papanui-Innes Community Board:

1. Receive and endorse the final Downstream Effects Management Plan.

2. Recommend to Council to endorse the final Downstream Effects Management Plan.

3. Note that Council Staff will proceed to design and consult on the proposed interventions in Stage 1A and will develop a programme and costings for the remaining stages.

Member Lowe-Johnson left the meeting at 1.33pm and returned at 1.53pm.

**Joint Community Boards Resolved JM-LA/2019/00004**

**Joint Community Boards Decision**

That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community and Waipapa/Papanui-Innes Community Boards:


2. Do not endorse the Downstream Effects Management Plan.
Notes:

a. The concerns held by the community over the negative impacts of the Northern Corridor and the Downstream Effects Management Plan.

b. The discussed Travel Demand Management package of works proposed for the Northern Corridor.

c. That not all aspects of the Travel Demand Management package of works are approved or will be implemented before the opening of the Northern Corridor.

Member Davidson/Member Swiggs  
Carried

Joint Community Boards Decided JM-LA/2019/00005

Part A

That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote and Waipapa/Papanui-Innes Community Boards recommend that the Council:


4. Requests that staff investigate a delay in the opening of the Northern Corridor south of Queen Elizabeth II Drive until the package of Travel Demand Management measures are all approved and implemented.

5. Proceeds with proposals outlined in the DEMP for stage 1A and 1B in the 2019-2020 Annual Plan including the outlined work on Cranford and Sherborne Streets as outlined in 6.3 of the DEMP.

6. Requests that staff investigate the possibility of an additional Park and Ride facility near Queen Elizabeth II Drive.

7. Requests that staff investigate a congestion levy on the Northern Arterial Extension.

8. Provides north and south-bound peak-time Public Transport lanes on Cranford and Sherborne Streets.

Member Davidson/Member Swiggs  
Carried

Ali Jones requested that her vote against 7. and 8. be recorded.

John Stringer requested that his vote against 1. to 8. be recorded.

Sally Buck requested that her vote against 7. be recorded.

Meeting concluded at 2.34pm.

CONFIRMED THIS 14TH DAY OF June 2019.

ALI JONES  
CHAIRPERSON
CONFIRMED THIS 17th DAY OF June 2019.

SALLY BUCK
CHAIRPERSON
8. Barbadoes Street Cemetery Sexton's House - Future Use

Reference: 19/485469
Presenter(s): Russel Wedge, Team Leader Parks Policy & Advisory

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is for the Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board to either:
   Approve the future use of Barbadoes Street Cemetery Sexton’s House (Sexton’s House) as a residential dwelling to be leased out once repaired to the residential tenancy standards

Or

1.2 Recommend to Council that it commence a process to gather community views and preferences on disposing of the Barbadoes Street Cemetery Sexton’s House from its location in Barbadoes Street Cemetery by either demolition, or sale for removal.

1.3 Note the Barbadoes Street Cemetery Sexton’s House is not listed as a scheduled heritage item in the District Plan, therefore the house is not a Strategic Asset as scheduled in the Significance and Engagement Policy June 2017.

2. Executive Summary

2.1 The Sexton's House was included in a list of community heritage buildings reported to the Council in 2017 requiring restoration work but had no determined future use. Council resolved restoration work could not proceed until a future use had been determined.

2.2 A public engagement process to gauge public interest in the building was carried out in 2018. The information available to the public through this process did not clearly provide the option to dispose of the building by either sale for removal or demolition. A further public engagement process should be undertaken if disposal is to be considered.

Barbadoes Street Cemetery Sexton’s House, 357 Cambridge Terrace.

2.3 At a Community Board seminar to discuss the public engagement responses, the question was raised if the Sexton’s House could be demolished. This option is discussed in this report.
2.4 The Barbadoes Street Cemetery and Setting in the District Plan has a Heritage Status of Highly Significant. The Sexton’s House is not separately listed in the District Plan instead it forms part of the general description for the Barbadoes Street Cemetery setting. The house is not listed as a heritage item with Heritage New Zealand. Although there are no direct policies relating to the future use of the Sexton’s house in the Conservation Plan, the house is recognised as having an overall high degree of heritage significance, which includes a high historical and social significance.

2.5 The Sexton’s House is not a listed heritage building and is therefore not included in the scheduled of strategic assets in the Council’s Significant and Engagement Policy 2017. If the Sexton’s House is to be disposed of the disposal process can be followed as administered by the Property Consultancy team.

2.6 The Sexton’s House requires approximately $300,000 of repairs and refitting to bring it up to the residential tenancy standard.

3. Staff Recommendations

That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board:

1. Approve the future use of Barbadoes Street Cemetery Sexton’s House as a residential dwelling to be leased out once restored to residential tenancy standards

2. Delegate to the Manager Property Consultancy the authority to take all necessary steps to negotiate, agree and enter into all necessary documentation on behalf of the Council, as they shall consider necessary or desirable to give effect to lease the Barbadoes Street Cemetery Sexton’s House as a residential dwelling, once restored.

Or

3. Recommend to Council that it commence a process to gather community views and preferences on disposing of the Barbadoes Street Cemetery Sexton’s House from its location in Barbadoes Street Cemetery by either demolition, or sale for removal.

4. Note the Barbadoes Street Cemetery Sexton’s House is not separately listed as a scheduled heritage item in the District Plan, or listed by Heritage New Zealand and therefore is not a Strategic Asset under the Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy, June 2017.

4. Context/Background

Issue or Opportunity

4.1 Since the 2010/11 Christchurch earthquakes, the Council has repaired a large number of Council-owned heritage assets. These were repaired as part of the Council’s Facilities Rebuild Programme and were prioritised in accordance with a number of factors, including community needs.

4.2 In 2017 a Council report identified 17 Council-owned heritage buildings which had no determine future use. These buildings are located outside the central city and referred to as ‘List 3’ community heritage buildings. Sexton’s House was one of the List 3 buildings that required restoration work but had no determined future use once the repair work had been completed. The following are the relevant resolutions from the Council meeting in October 2017 (Council Resolution CNCL/2017/00273):

4. With respect to the List 3 heritage buildings detailed in this report, instructs staff to collaborate with each respective Community Board to develop
(a) Community lead solutions for future use, funding of repairs and or ongoing management of the heritage buildings within that Community Board area of the basis that they are deemed local projects. Noting that any community lead solution would be informed by the advice from staff on the District Plan requirements, the significance and intactness of the building and other heritage criteria, as appropriate;

(b) A prioritisation programme for the heritage buildings within each Community Board area and endorsed staff to then develop a prioritisation programme across all Community Board areas to inform the LTP decision making.

4.3 This resolutions resulted in the follow process being undertaken by staff.

The Sexton’s House - public engagement process

4.4 In February to March 2018 a public engagement process was undertaken to provide an opportunity for any interested parties to submit an informal application for any of the heritage buildings in List 3. The Sexton’s House received six applications, two applications for community use, three commercial activities and one suggestion but not as an application to use the building.

4.5 The applications received from the public for the List 3 buildings assisted staff to prioritise the building repairs and finalise funding for the restoration of these heritage buildings for the Council’s 2018-28 LTP.

4.6 At a Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board Seminar on 16 April 2018 staff discussed the informal applications they received for the Barbadoes Street Cemetery Sexton’s House. The proposals for the house included two as a private residential dwelling, one as a base for operating a commercial cafe business, another to use the buildings as a community facility and one as an accommodation business. The Community Board indicated they were not supportive of the house being used as a place where food was commercially available or as a community venue.

4.7 The Barbadoes Street Cemetery Sexton’s House was previously tenanted under the Residential Tenancies Act.

The Barbadoes Street Cemetery Conservation Plan July 2009

4.8 The Barbadoes Street Cemetery Conservation Plan provides a description of the Sexton’s house which was built in the 1920s as a residential dwelling. There are no direct policies relating to the future use of the Sexton’s house in the Conservation Plan although the house is recognised in the Conservation Plan as having an overall high degree of heritage significance, which includes a high historical and social significance.

4.9 Although the original Sexton’s cottage of 1871 was demolished in 1920, the existing dwelling was constructed in 1920 to replace it and providing a house for the Sexton to reside while employed to maintain the cemetery. The Sexton’s House will be 100 years old in 2020.

4.10 The Sexton’s House is not listed as a heritage building with Heritage New Zealand.

The District Plan - Heritage Status - Barbadoes Street Cemetery and Setting

4.11 The Barbadoes Street Cemetery and Setting in the District Plan has a Heritage Status of Highly Significant. The Sexton’s House is not separately listed in the District Plan instead it forms part of the general description for the Barbadoes Street Cemetery setting.

4.12 A Highly Significant heritage status has a high historical and social, cultural and spiritual, and contextual significance. It also has architectural and aesthetic, technological and craftsmanship and archaeological and scientific significance.
Item 8

4.13 To be categorised as highly significant it must meet at least one of the heritage values in the District Plan Appendix 9.3.7.1 and be of high overall significance to the Christchurch District (and may also be of significance nationally or internationally), because it conveys important aspects of the Christchurch District’s cultural and historical themes and activities, and thereby makes a strong contribution to the Christchurch District’s sense of place and identity; and have a high degree of authenticity (based on physical and documentary evidence); and have a high degree of integrity (particularly whole or intact heritage fabric and heritage values) refer Attachment District Plan – Listed Heritage Place Barbadoes Street Cemetery and Setting.

The Reserves Act 1977

4.14 The Barbadoes Street Cemetery Sexton’s House is not subject to the Reserves Act 1977, the house is on Fee Simple land.

Barbadoes Street Cemetery Sexton’s House – legal considerations for disposal

4.1 The Sexton’s House is not a strategic asset as it does not meet the criteria as specified in s97 LGA 2002 to qualify as a strategic asset.

4.2 The Sexton’s House is not listed as a heritage building and therefore does not come under the jurisdiction of Schedule One: CCC Strategic Assets item (p), of the s76AA(3) LGA 2002, CCC Significance and Engagement Policy June 2017, Schedule One: CCC Strategic Assets: Community Facilities (p) cemeteries and listed heritage buildings and structures to qualify as a strategic asset.

4.3 The Significance and Engagement Policy also discusses how to assess the significance of matters and engagement options resulting from the assessed level of significance. A decision to demolish or remove the house would require that the Council consider community views and preference of those interested in or affected by that proposal, to the extent appropriate in light of the significance of the decision.

4.4 The Barbadoes Street Cemetery and Setting have a heritage item number of 603 but the Sexton’s House is not specifically mentioned or listed as a heritage building. One of the recommendation options is to remove the house and to retain the cemetery land as Council property open to the public.

4.5 Public Works Act section 40, does not apply as the land would be retained as Council property. Section 138 LGA 2002 requires the Council to consult on any proposal to dispose of a “park”. Section 138 would not apply as the Council would retain ownership of the land.

4.6 The relevant Council policy as recorded in the Council's Policy Register as Property - process for disposal of Council property is to "publicly tender properties for sale unless there is a clear reason for doing otherwise..." To comply the Council's standard practice when disposing of land is to adopt a transparent disposal process, usually by public tender or auction.

4.7 In addition it is useful and supportive to consider the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) 'Unsolicited Unique Proposals - How to deal with uninvited bids;' guidance for government entities dated May 2013. Which recommends that when evaluating an unsolicited proposal it needs to be ensured that there is a sound business case to support the decision to accept the unique unsolicited proposal.

4.8 The purpose of the MBIE Guidance on Unsolicited Proposals is to provide a methodology for considering unsolicited proposals in a way that:

- Is transparent and fair to everyone;
• Encourages the supplier community to put forward good ideas;
• Promotes objectivity;
• Supports decisions based on sound fact and evidence.

**Restoration/Repair Work Required if Retained**

4.9 If the Sexton’s House was to be retained and repaired as a residential dwelling there is estimated to require approximately $300,000 to bring it up to residential tenancy standard. This estimate may increase as a full scope of works will not be undertaken until the future use of the property has been determined.

4.10 The house will require new installation underfloor and ceiling (exterior walls if possible) to residential tenancy standard. New floor coverings throughout, new wall and ceiling decoration throughout all rooms, new bathroom, new kitchen and laundry fittings, new hot water cylinder, the plumbing and electrical wiring will also need to be checked as the property has not been rewired or plumbed, repaint and maintenance of roof and exterior walls removing any rusted (roof) or rotted timber boards (walls).

**Strategic Alignment**

4.11 This report supports the Council’s Long Term Plan (2018 - 2028):

4.11.1 Activity: Parks & Foreshore

• Level of Service: 6.9.1.5 To manage and maintain Public Monuments, Sculptures, Artworks and Parks Heritage Buildings of significance - Resident satisfaction with presentation of Public Monuments, Sculptures & Artworks: = 90%

**Decision Making Authority**

4.12 The Community Board has delegated authority to approve the Sexton’s House as a residential tenancy and for the Property Consultancy Manager to commence the process to lease the property once it has been repaired to the residential tenancy standard.

4.13 The Community Board has delegated authority to recommend to Council to commence the disposal process for Council owned buildings on Council land.

**Previous Decisions**

4.14 There have been no previous decisions.

**Assessment of Significance and Engagement**

4.15 If the decision in this report is for the future use to be as a residential dwelling than the Significance is low in relation to the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.

4.16 If the decision is to dispose of the house than the Significance is medium in relation to the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy. This is due to the decision being irreversible if the building is demolished or removed and the highest number of points are allocated to this activity in the Policy. The house is not a strategic asset or listed as a heritage item in the District Plan.

5. **Options Analysis**

**Options Considered**

5.1 The following reasonably practicable options were considered and are assessed in this report:

• Option 1 - Approve the future use of the Sexton’s House as a residential dwelling
Item 8

- Option 2 – Dispose of the Sexton’s House - either demolish or sell for removal

5.2 The following options were considered but ruled out

- Option 3 – Decline the future use of the house as a residential dwelling but retain the building - the house would continue to deteriorate as restoration funds could not be released to repair the property until a future use had been determined.

- Option 4 – Create a separate land parcel to sell the house and land – the cemetery land has heritage and historic value and contributes to the open space for the community and should be retained.

Options Descriptions

5.3 Preferred Option: Option 1 - Approve the future use of the Sexton’s House as a residential dwelling

5.4 Option Description: The house would continue to be owned by the Council and once repaired to a residential tenancy standard it would be leased out as a residential tenancy. The house would remain as part of the heritage setting associated with the heritage cemetery.

5.4.1 Option Advantages

- The repair work on the house will be able to commence which will prevent further deterioration of the house
- The Council is recognising the heritage value of the house and its association within the setting of a heritage cemetery
- The house once repaired can be leased as a residential dwelling, providing a financial return to Council
- The house will be able to be included in the operational building maintenance programme which will reduce further deterioration of the building
- The occupation of the house should deter vandalism to the surrounding cemetery
- Future vandalism to the house should be deterred when the property is occupied as a residential dwelling
- The lease of the house as a residential dwelling is consistent with its previous use

5.4.2 Option Disadvantages

- A substantive restorative budget ($300,000) will be required to restore the property to residential tenancy standard
- An on-going maintenance budget will be required to maintain the building as a building owner

5.5 Option 2 - Dispose of the Sexton’s House - either demolish or sell for removal

5.5.1 Option Description: The Community Board determines the Barbadoes Street Cemetery Sexton’s House is not required as a residential dwelling and recommends to Council the building is either sold for removal or demolished and the grounds reinstated in grass.

5.5.2 Option Advantages

- A substantive restorative budget ($300,000) will not be required and can be reallocated to other historic buildings requiring restoration
- There will be no on-going building maintenance and operational costs
- The house site can be used for other public activities keeping within the Conservation Plan requirements
- There will be no further costs associated with removing and repairing damage from vandalism and graffiti
- There will be increased visibility through this part of the cemetery, increasing the CPTD and safety for people in this part of the cemetery

5.5.3 Option Disadvantages
- Part of the history of the cemetery associated with the Sexton’s house will be gone
- May conflict with the Conservation Plan as demolishing or removing the building is not contemplated in that Plan
- Funds (up to approximately $40,000) will be required to demolish and reinstate the site
- There may be some negative public opinions to demolishing the house

6. Community Views and Preferences
6.1 A public engagement process was undertaken in February to March 2018 through the Council Have Your Say website. The information on the website included the District Plan and Heritage information, including links to the full documents. Information was also provided on the future options that could be considered by the public: retain the house for residential occupancy, sell the property or demolish the property. However, the consultation information indicated there would be further consultation if one of these options was pursued, as at that time staff believed eh Sextons’ House was a strategic asset.

6.2 The responses from the public included to use it as a business for a café, business base for education/community activities, residential use, or as an accommodation business.

6.3 The information at the beginning of the Have Your Say website did not provide or discuss the option to demolish or purchase the house for removal. These options were briefly mentioned at the end of the website but some of the public may have been discouraged from viewing the entire website or the websites terminology used for these options of “Least Preferred arrangements”. If the Community Board considers the demolition or sale of the house for removal to be an option then the recommendation is to undertake further public engagement for these options.

7. Legal Implications
7.1 There is a legal context, issue or implication relevant to this decision.
7.2 This report has been reviewed and approved by the Legal Services Unit.

8. Risks
8.1 There is a minimal risk with the decision to repair the property and to lease it out as a residential dwelling that there may be no interest in paying market rental for a house situated in a cemetery.
8.2 There is a risk associated with the decision to dispose of the house as some members of the public or heritage groups may believe the house should be retained and repaired as it is part of the heritage setting with the cemetery.
9. Next Steps

9.1 Approval is required by the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board for the future use of the building.

9.2 Depending upon the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board’s decision as to the course of action staff will undertake to implement their decision.
## 10. Options Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Option 1 – Residential Dwelling</th>
<th>Option 2 – Dispose of building</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial Implications</strong></td>
<td>Approximately $300,000 capex to bring the house back up to residential tenancy standard.</td>
<td>There will be internal costs associated with undertaking further public engagement. These will be part other parks planning operational budget Community Parks WBS: 353/5/223/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost to Implement</strong></td>
<td>The Parks Operational Building Facility Maintenance budget for any repairs not covered by the proposed tenancy agreement</td>
<td>Approximately $40,000 Opex to demolish the building and reinstate the area to grass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maintenance/Ongoing</strong></td>
<td>There is no funding in the LTP for the restoration of the building. A new line item is to be included in the draft LTP for FY22 for $400,000. The Funding allocated by Council $650,000 to deter further deterioration of the building can be accessed until LTP funding is available.</td>
<td>Until the disposal process has been completed any further funding to deter further deterioration of the building is through the Council resolution allocating $650,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding Source</strong></td>
<td>No new impact, funding in the LTP, request to carry forward the funds to next financial year.</td>
<td>The staff time for the property consultancy team and the legal services team to dispose of the building are included in the existing parks planning operational budget – Community Parks WBS: 353/223/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact on Rates</strong></td>
<td>No impacts if disposal of the house proceeds and the site is reinstated to mow-able grass standard.</td>
<td>No impact on rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health &amp; Safety Impacts</strong></td>
<td>Any health and safety issues will be minimised when the restoration for the building is completed.</td>
<td>No impacts if disposal of the house proceeds and the site is reinstated to mow-able grass standard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future Generation Impacts</strong></td>
<td>The building will be able to be repaired and used for future generations.</td>
<td>The land where the house was can be used by the community as open park space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact on Mana Whenua</strong></td>
<td>There is no impact on Mana Whenua if the house is used as a residential tenancy.</td>
<td>There is no impact if the house if removed from the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alignment to Council Plans &amp; Policies</strong></td>
<td>Aligns with Council’s policies.</td>
<td>The option to dispose may be in conflict with the Conservation Plan. The Disposal Policy will be followed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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District Plan – Listed Heritage Place
Heritage Assessment – Statement of Significance
Heritage Item Number 603

Barbadoes Street Cemetery and Setting—389 and 391 Barbadoes Street, 351 and 357 Cambridge Terrace, Christchurch

Photograph: M. Vair-Piova, 19/12/2014

Historical and Social Significance

Historical and social values that demonstrate or are associated with: a particular person, group, organisation, institution, event, phase or activity; the continuity and/or change of a phase or activity; social, historical, traditional, economic, political or other patterns.

The Barbadoes Street Cemetery and Sexton’s House is of high historical and social significance as ‘one of the oldest cemeteries in Canterbury and as the earliest designed cemetery in Christchurch’ (Conservation Plan, p. 128). A large number of the city’s early pioneers are interred here, in areas assigned by denomination (Anglican, Catholic & Dissenters; the latter including Presbyterians, Baptists, Rationalists, Salvationists, Brethren, and Christian Israelites). The cemetery was designated in Edward Jollie’s survey plan of Christchurch in 1850 and tenders for its enclosure ‘with a ditch and bank’ were called for by the Canterbury Association in April 1851 (Lyttelton Times 19 April 1851, p. 1). The first burial took place in the same month and the last occurred in October 1959, although ash interments were permitted until the early 1970s. The cemetery was officially classified as a closed cemetery under the Reserves Act in 1983.

Among those interred in the cemetery are John and Jane Deans, early settlers of Riccarton, Bishop Harper, the first Anglican Bishop of Christchurch, Henry Jacobs, the first headmaster of Christ’s College, and Dr Charles Barker, whose photographs are an important record of
the new settlement. A chapel in the Anglican section, built to the design of Benjamin Mountfort in c.1856, was demolished in 1955. Its stained glass windows are in the collection of the Christchurch Art Gallery. In 1861 a Church of England sexton was appointed ‘to keep order and prevent disorderly conduct’ (Conservation Plan, p.10). A cottage for the sexton was built in 1871 on the Cambridge Terrace frontage of the cemetery. This building was demolished and a new dwelling built on the same site in the 1920s (Conservation Plan, p. 30). The Barbadoes Street Cemetery Action Committee was formed to care for the cemetery in November 1976 and revived in the mid-1980s as the Barbadoes Street Cemetery Preservation Committee. In the early 1990s a Gothic-style timber and stone lych-gate was built at the Cambridge Terrace entrance to the cemetery. A Conservation Plan for the cemetery was prepared by Christchurch City Council in 2009.

**CULTURAL AND SPIRITUAL SIGNIFICANCE**

Cultural and spiritual values that demonstrate or are associated with the distinctive characteristics of a way of life, philosophy, tradition, religion, or other belief, including: the symbolic or commemorative value of the place; significance to Tangata Whenua; and/or associations with an identifiable group and esteemed by this group for its cultural values.

The Barbadoes Street Cemetery has high cultural and spiritual significance as a manifestation of mid-Victorian beliefs and practices relating to death, mourning, burial and commemoration. The cemetery also has spiritual significance as the resting place of the ancestors of many of the current citizens of Christchurch, and serves today as an evocative, representative memorial to all Canterbury pioneers.

The cemetery has cultural significance because it was established close to a pa or papa kainga of Tautahi and is believed to be the burial place of a number of early Maori residents of Christchurch (Conservation Plan, p. 36). The cemetery is held in high esteem by descendants of those buried in the cemetery, by genealogists and archivists, and by those members of the public who have fought for its preservation and cared for the cemetery over the years (Conservation Plan, p. 129).

**ARCHITECTURAL AND AESTHETIC SIGNIFICANCE**

Architectural and aesthetic values that demonstrate or are associated with: a particular style, period or designer, design values, form, scale, colour, texture and material of the place.

The Barbadoes Street Cemetery has architectural and aesthetic significance for its overall plan, which expresses mid-Victorian attitudes to religious division and cemetery design; its planting schemes; and for the diverse range of monumental masonry evident, denoting faith, Christian symbolism, social class and family history. The Mathias family gravestones are considered to be of ‘exceptional aesthetic importance’ (Conservation Plan, p.130).

Local architect Samuel Farr is associated with the cemetery as he was engaged by the Provincial Government in 1872 to draw up plans for the Dissenters’ cemetery. Although his mortuary chapel is no longer extant, the cemetery also has some architectural significance in association with leading colonial architect Benjamin Mountfort, thanks to its inclusion in Bill Sutton’s iconic New Zealand regionalist painting *Nor'-wester in the Cemetery* of 1950.

**TECHNOLOGICAL AND CRAFTSMANSHIP SIGNIFICANCE**
Technological and craftsmanship values that demonstrate or are associated with: the nature and use of materials, finishes and/or technological or constructional methods which were innovative, or of notable quality for the period.

The cemetery has technological and craftsmanship significance - evident in the diverse work of a number of different monumental masons in the Barbadoes Street Cemetery. ‘The firms of Parsons, Mansfield, Tait, Silvester and Robertson were the principal early firms of stonemasons which executed gravestones and memorials erected in the cemetery’ (Conservation Plan, p. 78). Those memorials that are still extant tend to be typical rather than exceptional, reflecting the ‘full range of materials’ used in 19th century Canterbury funerary monuments (Conservation Plan, p. 132). The cast-iron grave railings are also of craftsmanship significance.

**CONTEXTUAL SIGNIFICANCE**

Contextual values that demonstrate or are associated with: a relationship to the environment (constructed and natural), a landscape, setting, group, precinct or streetscape; a degree of consistency in terms of type, scale, form, materials, texture, colour, style and/or detail; recognised landmarks and landscape which are recognised and contribute to the unique identity of the environment.

The Barbadoes Street Cemetery has high contextual significance arising out of its location within the city’s original town belts (the Four Avenues), close by the River Avon. The cemetery is bisected by Barbadoes Street, with the Anglican section on the eastern side and the Catholic and Dissenters’ sections on the west. The Conservation Plan notes that the reforming of Barbadoes Street in the 1970s may have created the impression that the road was now cutting through a unified cemetery environment, whereas the road was always integral to the cemetery’s layout (Conservation Plan, p. 70).

The cemetery has contextual significance in relation to other historic cemeteries in the city - particularly the non-conformist cemetery at Addington, and the Barbadoes Street cemetery’s public successor, Linwood. The cemetery also has significance in relation to the cohort of New Zealand’s important early inner-city cemeteries, including Bolton Street in Wellington (est. 1840), Symonds Street in Auckland (est. 1841), and the Northern in Dunedin (opened 1872).

**ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND SCIENTIFIC SIGNIFICANCE**

Archaeological or scientific values that demonstrate or are associated with: the potential to provide information through physical or scientific evidence an understanding about social, historical, cultural, spiritual, technological or other values of past events, activities, structures or people.

The cemetery and setting are of archaeological significance because they have potential to provide archaeological evidence relating to past human activity on the site prior to 1900. With its first burial dating to 1851, the Barbadoes Street Cemetery has considerable archaeological significance relating to the potential of the place to provide archaeological evidence about burial practices between 1851 and 1959. As it was laid out in the vicinity of both a Tautahi pa and ‘The Bricks’ landing place, established by the Deans family in the early 1840s, the cemetery also has archaeological significance for its association with pre-European Maori and pre-1850 European settlement activity.

**ASSESSMENT STATEMENT**
The Barbadoes Street Cemetery and setting has high heritage significance to the Christchurch District including Banks Peninsula and is nationally important. It has high historical and social significance as Christchurch's oldest cemetery; high cultural and spiritual significance as an expression of Victorian values, beliefs and practises relating to death, and the manner in which it commemorates the many Canterbury pioneers who lie there; architectural and aesthetic significance for its historic design and layout, and the contemplative landscape that exists today; craftsmanship significance for the diversity of tombstones that remain; high contextual significance in its key location on a major arterial route, adjacent to the Avon River; and archaeological significance for what the remains both above and below ground can tell us about Victorian burial practices and earlier activities in the vicinity.

REFERENCES:

Barbadoes Street Cemetery Information: Christchurch City Libraries
http://christchurchcitylibraries.com/heritage/cemeteries/barbadoes/

Barbadoes Street Cemetery Tour [June 2007]

Barbadoes Street Cemetery: Maps
http://christchurchcitylibraries.com/Heritage/Cemeteries/Barbadoes/maps.asp

The Historic Cemeteries Trust of New Zealand – Occasional Newsletter No. 15, December 2008

Barbadoes Street Cemetery Conservation Plan [2009]
http://resources.ccc.govt.nz/files/BARBADOES%20STREET%20CEMETERY%20CONSERVATION%20PLAN%20FINAL.pdf

REPORT DATED: 5 NOVEMBER 2014

PLEASE NOTE THIS ASSESSMENT IS BASED ON INFORMATION AVAILABLE AT THE TIME OF WRITING. DUE TO THE ONGOING NATURE OF HERITAGE RESEARCH, FUTURE REASSESSMENT OF THIS HERITAGE ITEM MAY BE NECESSARY TO REFLECT ANY CHANGES IN KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF ITS HERITAGE SIGNIFICANCE.

PLEASE USE IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE CCC HERITAGE FILES.
9. Lower Heathcote Dredging Stage 2 Landscape Plan and Tree Removals

Reference: 19/561516
Presenter(s): Ben Pascoe, Project Manager

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is for the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board to approve the landscape plan for Stage 2 Lower Heathcote River Dredging between Opawa Road and Radley Street (Attachment A).

1.2 This includes the removal of seven Tree of Heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*) trees which are in fair condition, due to their status as an unwanted organism on the National Pest Plant Accord, and the replacement of those trees with more appropriate tree species.

1.3 A further 13 trees in poor and very poor condition are to be removed under staff delegations, and will also be replaced as part of the Landscape Plan.

2. Executive Summary

2.1 Dredging of the Lower Heathcote River to reduce flood risk commenced in September 2018 simultaneously on Stage 1 (Radley Street to Woolston Cut) and Stage 2 (Opawa Road to Radley Street).

2.2 Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board approved the Stage 1 landscape plan on 30 April 2018 and this work has largely been completed.

2.3 Dredging of the Stage 2 reach recommenced on 10 June 2019 following the end of the inanga spawning season. Dredging methodology on Stage 2 involves working around trees and utilising the access provided by Clarendon Terrace and Richardson Terrace. This enables retaining as many trees as possible.

2.4 The proposed landscape plan will result in an improvement to bank stability, local biodiversity and aesthetics by replacing exotic grasses which require regular mowing.

2.5 The tree removals proposed will assist dredging access and assist with eliminating the pest species *Ailanthus* trees from the Heathcote River below Opawa Road. All removed trees will be replaced as part of the proposed Stage 2 Landscape Plan.

2.6 It is proposed that landscaping of the banks partially occurs during the 2019 planting season, with the remainder in the 2020 planting season, to reduce environmental impacts.

2.7 The landscape plan will complement and support work being scoped as part of the Lower Heathcote Master Plan development.

3. Staff Recommendations

That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board:

1. Approve the landscape plan for the reinstatement of banks following Stage 2 of the Lower Heathcote River Dredging works.

2. Approve the removal of seven Tree of Heaven (*Ailanthus*) trees between Ōpāwa Road and Radley Street.
4. Context/Background

Opportunity

4.1 The proposed landscape plan seeks to facilitate dredging to reduce flood risk and also achieve the following:

4.1.1 Restore the riverbank where dredging access or temporary ramps require bank disturbance.

4.1.2 Reduce the extent of exotic grasses along the banks and improve local biodiversity.

4.1.3 Improve the delineation between upper bank mown grass areas and lower bank longer vegetation areas.

4.2 Enhancement of inanga spawning habitat has also been included in the plans with the involvement of an ecologist, Shane Orchard, to inform opportunities and design.

4.3 Tree removals proposed will enable improved access for the works and will result in cohesive replacement planting and the removal of trees in poor condition and the weed species Tree of Heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*). *Ailanthus altissima* is an exotic tree which is listed on the National Pest Plant Accord as an unwanted organism. Refer Attachment B (tree report from Arbor Vitae Ltd, dated 31 May 2019).

Typical bank profile for Stage 2 Dredging reach

Strategic Alignment

4.4 While the dredging work contributes to Council’s strategic priority to making informed and proactive approaches to natural hazard risks, the actual landscape and tree removals will not affect whether the dredging takes place or not and therefore the decisions to be taken on this report do not have the potential to significantly affect progress towards this strategic priority.

4.5 However, improved waterways are a priority for Council and the proposed landscaping will enhance the ecological value of the waterway, therefore contributing to this strategic priority.

4.6 This report supports the Council’s Long Term Plan (2018 - 2028):

4.6.1 Activity: Flood Protection & Control Works

- Level of Service: 14.1.5.0 Implement Land Drainage Recovery Programme works to reduce flooding - Delivery of works to meet floodplain management plans and
remaining high priority plans: Start delivery of works to meet Heathcote, Avon and Estuary floodplain management plan

Decision Making Authority

4.7 As per the Christchurch City Council’s Delegation Register, Part D, Sub-Part 1 – the Community Board has the following delegations;

- 5.15: Trees – Determine to plant, maintain and remove trees on reserves, parks and roads under the control of Council and within the policy set by the Council.
- 5.16: Landscape Plans – Power to approve the location of, and construction of, or alteration or addition to, any structure or area, and the design of landscape plans for the same, on reserves, parks and roads, provided the design is within the policy and budget set by the Council.

4.8 As per Part B, Sub-Part 3 of the Delegation Register the Head of Transport can authorise the removal of structurally unsound and unhealthy trees within road corridors.

Previous Decisions

4.9 None

Assessment of Significance and Engagement

4.10 The decisions in this report are of low significance in relation to the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.

4.11 The level of significance was determined a score of 13, due to the low impact of the proposed landscaping and tree removals.

4.12 Community engagement has been undertaken through the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board facilitated drop in session on 30 April 2019.

4.13 Engagement with internal stakeholders including the developers of the Lower Heathcote Master Plan, waterway operations staff and parks is also ongoing.

4.14 Feedback from the community and stakeholders has been positive, recognising the improvement in amenity and increase in natives along the river bank. There is recognition that this planting will support the overall aspirations of the Lower Heathcote Master Plan which is in development.

Integration with Lower Heathcote Master Plan Development

4.15 The proposed planting and landscaping will support the overall development of the Lower Heathcote River and is consistent with the plans being developed as part of the Lower Heathcote Master Plan work.

5. Options Analysis

Options Considered

5.1 The following reasonably practicable options were considered and are assessed in this report:

- Undertake landscaping of the riverbank as per attached proposed landscape plans
- Dredging only with no associated landscaping

5.2 No further options were considered.

Options Descriptions

5.3 Preferred Option: Undertake landscaping of the riverbank as per attached proposed landscape plans
5.3.1 **Option Description:** Undertake riparian and bank planting along the Stage 2 dredging reach comprising Richardson Terrace and Clarendon Terrace

5.3.2 **Option Advantages**
- The dredging work provides an opportunity to undertake simultaneous and cost-effective planting of the river bank.
- Maintains bank stability through vegetation
- Enhances existing inanga spawning sites by improving bank grade
- Reduces the extent of exotic grasses on the river bank in no-mow areas
- Improves the delineation between Parks and Waterways maintenance contracts
- Increases local biodiversity and wildlife habitat on the river margin
- Supports the long term aspirations being coordinated into the Lower Heathcote Master Plan development process
- Eliminates the *Ailanthus altissima* trees within the project area, which is listed in the National Pest Plant Accord as an Unwanted Organism
- Increases opportunities for site access during dredging operations

5.3.3 **Option Disadvantages**
- Restricts easy access to the waterway via grassed banks
- Will cost more than dredging without landscaping
- Works will need to be staged over multiple years to manage short term impacts on habitat and potential for sediment runoff

5.4 **Dredging Only**

5.4.1 **Option Description:** To carry out dredging with reinstatement only of access points and no wider riparian or bank planting along the affected reach of river.

5.4.2 **Option Advantages**
- Avoids additional disturbance, work and cost along the dredging reach
- Retains existing habitat provided by exotic grasses

5.4.3 **Option Disadvantages**
- Does not address maintenance issues of the exotic grasses and delineation between Parks and Waterways maintenance contracts
- Fails to utilise to opportunity of dredging contractors and machinery working on the same reach
- Does not take advantage of the opportunity to remove and replace the *Ailanthus altissima* trees

**Analysis Criteria**

5.5 The options were assessed on the opportunity cost, feedback from stakeholders and ability to fulfil six values design approach for the Heathcote Dredging project.

**Options Considerations**

5.6 The proposed landscaping could be done at a later date, however funding for this would need to be secured and it is unknown when this might be possible.
5.7 The dredging project will necessitate remediation of the bank where excavation or access is required to meet the design profile, so there will be efficiencies in undertaking the landscape planting as part of this major project.

6. Community Views and Preferences
6.1 No mow
6.2 Riparian habitat
6.3 Natives vs exotics

7. Legal Implications
7.1 There are legal implications under the Conservation Act 1987 associated with work on and adjacent to inanga spawning habitat (Section 26 Z.J). The landscape plans include areas of habitat enhancement. The project ecologists will be involved to ensure adverse impacts are not more than minor and habitat is ultimately improved by the proposed works.

7.2 This report has not been reviewed and approved by the Legal Services Unit.

8. Risks
8.1 Plant supply
8.2 Proliferation of weed species in the newly planted areas – to be managed through implementation of a spray programme prior to dredging and planting. Ongoing monitoring will be required.
8.3 Potentially negative impacts on inanga spawning habitat – to be managed through involvement of internal and external ecologists (including Shane Orchard), ECan and botanists to inform optimal bank profile and planting plan.

9. Next Steps
9.1 Subject to the approval of the proposed tree removals and associate landscape plan, the following will be undertaken:
9.1.1 Confirm extent of landscape planting for 2019 planting season which will be informed by Shane Orchard (spawning habitat), nursery plant supply and contractor resource.
9.1.2 Seek price from City Care Limited as a variation to the Stage 1 and Stage 2 Dredging contract. Rates will be compared to the competitively tendered Stage 1 landscaping to ensure cost effective delivery.
9.1.3 Confirm a methodology for the bank works and planting with ECan and submit the appropriate plans
9.1.4 Carry out bank works and planting Spring 2019 across a small portion of the overall bank length
9.1.5 Carry out the balance of bank works and planting winter and spring 2020.
## 10. Options Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Option 1 – Bank Landscaping</th>
<th>Option 2 – Dredging only</th>
<th>Option 3 – N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial Implications</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost to Implement</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance/Ongoing</td>
<td>Neutral with current</td>
<td>Neutral with current</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>maintenance</td>
<td>maintenance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding Source</td>
<td>LTP - LDRP 527 Lower</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heathcote Dredging (CAPEX &amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPEX funded)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact on Rates</td>
<td>Already funded as</td>
<td>No impact – project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reinstatement for capital</td>
<td>saving</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental Impacts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Species selected for</td>
<td>Existing exotic grasses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>suitability in tidal</td>
<td>will need to be</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reaches compatible with</td>
<td>controlled. Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>increases in water level.</td>
<td>maintenances, mowing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enhances biodiversity and</td>
<td>and spraying.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>habitat for native species.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potential adverse impacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>during construction due to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>exposed banks and erosion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>will require mitigations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amenity Impacts</strong></td>
<td>The landscape plan will</td>
<td>No change to existing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>replace most of the exotic</td>
<td>amenity with potentially</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>grasses with location</td>
<td>greater access</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>suitable natives. This will</td>
<td>afforded by mown grass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>enhance the amenity,</td>
<td>compared to native</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>particularly where banks</td>
<td>planting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>are not mown for ecological</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biodiversity Impacts</strong></td>
<td>Range of native grasses and</td>
<td>No change to existing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>shrubs will replace exotic</td>
<td>amenity with control of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>grasses. Weed control</td>
<td>invasive weeds is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>including removal of</td>
<td>required.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>invasive species will</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>be simultaneously undertaken.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory Criteria</td>
<td>Option 1 - &lt;enter text&gt;</td>
<td>Option 2 - &lt;enter text&gt;</td>
<td>Option 3 – N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact on Mana Whenua</strong></td>
<td>Enhances Ōpāwahō / Heathcote River health and amenity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improves inanga (mahinga kai) habitat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mana Whenua have been informed of the wider dredging works and reinstatement plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and will be further informed of Stage 2 proposals to clarify detail, particularly in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vicinity of former Ōpāwahō Pa site.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change to existing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alignment to Council Plans &amp; Policies</strong></td>
<td>Supports Chapter 9 of the Christchurch District Plan:</td>
<td>Maintains Ōpāwahō / Heathcote River which is a Significant Feature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.1.2.1.2 Objective - Maintenance and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enhances Ōpāwahō / Heathcote River which is a Significant Feature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Policy 9.2.2.2.1 (iii) by promoting restoration and ecological enhancement…</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>LDRP 527 Heathcote Dredging Stage 2 Landscape Concept Plans Consultation Draft Feb 2019</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Lower Heathcote Dredging Stage 2 Tree Report - 31 May 2019</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Confirmation of Statutory Compliance

Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).

(a) This report contains:
   (i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and
   (ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.
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SECTION 5: BUFFER WIDTH ON TRB TO PROTECT EXISTING RETAINING WALL (REFER SHEET 8)

- Retain existing trees (both sides)
- Buffer width
- Riparian planting
- Protect existing timber retaining walls, retain as required during construction
- Riparian planting to top of retaining wall

*Note: Retain existing native species not affected by dredging works

SECTION 6: INANGA RESTORATION SITE (BASED ON KNOWN SPAWNING LOCATIONS) 1 TRB (REFER SHEET 8)

- Retain existing trees (both sides)
- Existing ground level
- Final dredging profile
- Riparian planting
- Grass access & mowing strip (maintain/restate as required)
- Upper bank planting

*Note: Retain existing native species not affected by dredging works
UPPER BANK PLANT LIST

Main planting

- Carex macrantha - Kāari wedge
- Carex secta - Pukio
- Carex vibrata - Pukio
- Danellia nigra - Wiwi

- Libertia reidiae - Mikokori

Use Sparingly

- Celmisia australis - Ti Kouka, cabbage tree
- Phormium tenax - Harakeke, flax
- Phragmites australis - Swamp ribbonwood
- Austroderia richardii - Toete

Note: Work around and retain existing native species. Swamp ribbonwood to only be used where there is sufficient width and where views to the river will not be compromised.

REGRADING AREAS PLANT LIST

Main planting

- Carex secta - Pukio
- Carex vibrata - Pukio

- Juncus squarrosus - Giant rush

- Juncus effusus - Wiwi

LOWER HEATHCOTE DREDGING S2- CONSULTATION DRAWING

PLANT LISTS SHEET 8 OF 9
RIPARIAN PLANTING

Main planting:
- Caris colensoi - Rautahi
- Caris gomphocephal - Rautahi
- Caris secta - Pukka

Carpaea volubilis - Pukko
Dioclea nigra - Wini
Kawakawa
Kawakawa
Podocarpus totara - Totara

TREES

To replace any removed:
- Sophora microphylla - Kowhai
- Plagianthus rigida - Manatu

GRASS ACCESS & MOWING STRIP

Grass seed as per CSS Part 7.

On the true right bank (TRB), the mowing strip is linear between existing planting and ecological restoration sites.

On the true left bank (TLB), the mowing strip is to extend beyond the tree trunks to maintain views to the river and enable more successful establishment of plants (the crest of the bank is very dry). In wide areas, the mowing strip could extend up to 3m. In narrow areas, the mowing strip is to be to the edge of sprayed radius around trees. Fill hollows in the existing banks formed by earthworks or tree removal.

Use Sparingly

Beckmannia conica-marina - Koriko
Coprosma propinqu - Mingalingi
Cordyline australis - Ti kaukau, cabbage tree
Phormium tenax - Harakeke, flax

Note: possible include other hard items e.g. Microsorum pustulatum / hounds tongue fern.

LOWER HEATHCOTE DREDGING S2- CONSULTATION DRAWING
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1.0 Executive Summary

This report was commissioned by Ben Pasco, Project Manager, Land Drainage Recovery Programme, Christchurch City Council. This report provides information relating to tree removals proposed for the implementation of the Lower Heathcote Dredging Stage 2 works, and supports applications under Council’s delegations and resource consent for the removal of the trees.

Dredging of the Lower Heathcote River to reduce flood risk commenced in September 2018 simultaneously on Stage 1 (Radley Street to Woolston Cut) and Stage 2 (Opawa Road to Radley Street).

- Stage 1 involved extensive bank works and tree removals. The Community Board approved the Stage 1 landscape plan on 30 April 2018, and this work has largely been completed.
- Dredging of the Stage 2 reach is planned to recommence on 10 June 2019 following the end of the inanga spawning season. The dredging methodology for Stage 2 involves mostly working around trees, and retaining as many trees as possible.

The existing trees provide benefits to bank stability as well as landscape and ecological values to the river corridor, and this has been considered in the design process. The extent of proposed tree removals in the Stage 2 area have been determined by:

- The condition of existing trees and species characteristics;
- Site access requirements, and the extent of dredging required; and,
- Potential improvements to ecology, amenity and site use.

The trees within Stage 2 are river bank street trees in Clarendon Terrace and Richardson Terrace. The majority of the river can be accessed with dredging machinery and trucks to remove the dredged material without impacting on the existing trees.

- The proposed tree removals will assist dredging operations by increasing the areas that can be accessed, and will also assist with eliminating Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima) which is a pest plant from the section of the Heathcote River below Opawa Road.
- Trees to be removed for Stage 2 include 13 trees that are in poor or very poor condition and seven fair condition Ailanthus altissima trees.
- 15 of the 20 trees to be removed are Ailanthus altissima.
- In Clarendon Terrace 11 trees are to be removed.
- Richardson Terrace nine trees are to be removed.

Council’s global consent for works affecting significant and other trees (RMA/2018/2857) is expected to apply to the proposed tree removals, following approval under Council’s delegations (approval from the Head of Transport and the Community Board).

All removed trees will be replaced as part of the proposed Stage 2 Landscape Plan, which also includes inanga enhancement and riparian plantings. It is proposed that tree planting and landscaping of the banks partially occurs during the 2019 planting season, with the remainder of the planting occurring during the 2020 planting season.

It is expected that all remaining trees and indigenous vegetation within the Stage 2 reach will be retained and protected from potential damage during the works through the implementation of on-site tree protection measures during the works as outlined in Council’s Construction Standard Specifications (CSS), Part 1, 19.0: protection of natural assets and habitats.
2.0 Site & Tree Details

The Lower Heathcote Dredging – Stage 2 Reach is located between Radley Street and Opawa Road, as shown in Figure 1 below.

![Site Map](image)

Figure 1: Site Map

The project primarily involves dredging to assist with minimising flood risks by improving hydrology, as well as addressing geotechnical issues such as bank instability. The affected trees are river bank street trees within Clarendon Terrace and Richardson Terrace. Unlike the Stage 1 reach, the majority of the trees can be retained within the Stage 2 reach due to the site having less dense tree cover and less bank work requirements. The majority of the river will be accessed with dredging machinery and the dredged material removed without impacting on existing trees.

The design process involved a brief assessment of the existing trees and indigenous vegetation plantings. The tree survey targeted trees in poor condition and Tree of Heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*). Those trees were evaluated using the Christchurch City Council tree assessment system. The tree locations are shown in Appendix 1 of this report, the tree survey results are contained in Appendix 2, and the tree survey method is outlined in Appendix 3.

*Ailanthus altissima* is listed in the National Pest Plant Accord as an Unwanted Organism, and is described as being a prolific seed producer that grows rapidly, having an aggressive root system and producing toxins that prevent other plant species establishing.

Removing the trees that are in poor condition will assist the dredging operations by increasing the areas that can be accessed, and targeting the *Ailanthus* trees assist with eliminating the species from the section of the Heathcote River below Opawa Road.

As found with the Stage 1 area, there are White Poplar (*Populus alba* and *Populus alba Pyramidalis*) trees within the site, and those trees are starting to produce areas of extensive sucker growth. Due to the less intensive nature of the works within the Stage 2 site, the White Poplar trees will not be removed due to being potentially problematic. One White Poplar tree will be removed due to poor condition, and the remainder of the trees are expected to be assessed as part of the Lower Heathcote Master Plan project.
2.1 Tree Removals

The trees to be removed are listed below in Table 1 (poor / very poor condition trees) and Table 2 (Ailanthus trees in fair condition).

**Table 1: Poor / Very Poor Condition Trees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree ID</th>
<th>Nearest Address</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>137958</td>
<td>37 Clarendon Terrace</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
<td>6.5m</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137959</td>
<td>43 Clarendon Terrace</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
<td>6.5m</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137955</td>
<td>57 Clarendon Terrace</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
<td>6.0m</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137954</td>
<td>59 Clarendon Terrace</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
<td>5.5m</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137742</td>
<td>91A Clarendon Terrace</td>
<td>Ribbonwood (Plagianthus regius)</td>
<td>5.0m</td>
<td>Very Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>32 Richardson Terrace</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
<td>7.0m</td>
<td>Very Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139706</td>
<td>62 Richardson Terrace</td>
<td>Swamp Cypress (Taxodium distichum)</td>
<td>3.0m</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137546</td>
<td>94 Richardson Terrace</td>
<td>Dutch Elm (Ulmus hollandica)</td>
<td>10.5m</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137691</td>
<td>108 Richardson Terrace</td>
<td>White Poplar (Populus alba)</td>
<td>13.0m</td>
<td>Very Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137964</td>
<td>120 Richardson Terrace</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
<td>8.5m</td>
<td>Very Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137965</td>
<td>120 Richardson Terrace</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
<td>7.0m</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137967</td>
<td>130 Richardson Terrace</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
<td>9.0m</td>
<td>Very Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137928</td>
<td>156 Richardson Terrace</td>
<td>Red Alder (Alnus rubra)</td>
<td>13.5m</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Ailanthus Trees in Fair Condition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree ID</th>
<th>Nearest Address</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>116628</td>
<td>7 Clarendon Terrace</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
<td>7.5m</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137957</td>
<td>37 Clarendon Terrace</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
<td>6.0m</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137962</td>
<td>39 Clarendon Terrace</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
<td>6.5m</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137961</td>
<td>41 Clarendon Terrace</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
<td>8.0m</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137960</td>
<td>43 Clarendon Terrace</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
<td>8.0m</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137956</td>
<td>57 Clarendon Terrace</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
<td>7.0m</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137963</td>
<td>66 Richardson Terrace</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
<td>10.0m</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 Replacement Tree Planting

The replacement tree planting and landscaping of the banks will partially occur during the 2019 planting season, and the remainder of the planting will occur during the 2020 planting season.

A draft landscape plan for the project has been produced which includes replacement tree planting, and also includes inanga enhancement and riparian plantings. The proposed replacement tree species include:

- Totara (Podocarpus totara)
- Manatu (Plagianthus regius)
- Kowhai (Sophora microphylla)

The tree planting and landscaping will support the overall development of the Lower Heathcote River, and is consistent with the plans being developed as part of the Lower Heathcote Master Plan project.

Tree planting is expected to occur as specified in the CSS, Part 7: landscapes, during the winter planting season, and should include an establishment maintenance programme of at least twenty-four (24) months.
3.0 Tree Protection Requirements

3.1 District Plan Rules

The following sections of the Christchurch District Plan have been considered in relation to the proposed tree removals and works within the vicinity of trees.

- 9.4 Significant and Other Trees
- 6.6 Water Body Setbacks
- 9.1 Indigenous Biodiversity and Ecosystems

The works will involve the removal of street trees that are more than 6.0 metres in height and trees within a waterway setback (regardless of size).

- The rules outlined in 9.4.4.1, P6 of the Christchurch District Plan will apply to the removal of the subject trees.
- Council’s global consent for works affecting significant and other trees (RMA/2018/2857) can apply to the proposed tree removals, and a project specific resource consent relating to the proposed tree removals is not expected to be required.

The rules outlined in 9.4.4.1, P6 (c. iv.) include a list of tree species that are not to be removed without resource consent (regardless of tree size). None of the tree species to be removed are included on the protected species list.

The works will involve the removal of one (1) tree of indigenous species within a water body setback and Site of Ecological Significance (SES) as specified in chapter 9.1 Indigenous Biodiversity and Ecosystems. However, the subject tree is almost dead, and as the activity relates to flood protection or drainage works it is exempt (so the SES rules do not apply to this project).

A resource consent is required for some activities that involve earthworks within 5.0 metres of street trees that are more than 6.0 metres and trees within a waterway setback (regardless of size), as outlined in section 9.4.4.1, P12. As the Heathcote River dredging and bank stabilisation works are defined as hazard mitigation works, the associated earthworks are exempt from the rules outlined in 9.4.4.1 P12, and a resource consent will not be required for earthworks within the vicinity of trees.

3.2 Tree Protection During Construction

Trees and indigenous vegetation that are retained within the vicinity of the works are to be protected from potential construction related damage. To achieve this, further arboricultural assessments and recommendations will be required during the works by the project delivery team and the contractors to ensure that appropriate tree protection measures are implemented.

The CCC Construction Standard Specification (CSS), Part 1, Section 19.0: Protection of Natural Assets and Habitats outlines tree protection requirements and methodologies, and it is recommended that this section of the CSS or any relevant amendments are complied with for the duration of the construction works.

The Contractor that is engaged to carry out the construction works should appoint a Supervising Arborist, and produce a Tree Management Plan that is to be approved by the Council’s Arborist before the commencement of the site works.

The Contractor’s Tree Management Plan should be comprehensive and address all aspects of the works, including any associated infrastructure such as drainage systems, utility services, hard landscaping, new tree planting and landscaping, etc.
4.0 **Recommendations**

4.1 It is recommended that the tree removals outlined in this report are approved for the implementation of the Lower Heathcote Dredging Stage 2 works, resulting in the removal and replacement of 13 trees that are in poor or very poor condition and seven fair condition *Ailanthus altissima* trees.

4.2 It is recommended that the proposed tree planting is implemented as mitigation for the tree removals.

4.3 It is recommended that the tree planting is carried out as specified in the CSS, Part 7: landscapes, during the winter planting season, and the new trees should receive at least twenty-four (24) months establishment maintenance.

4.4 It is recommended that all trees that are retained within the vicinity of the works receive adequate physical protection to prevent damage during the works, including further arboricultural assessments being carried out by the project delivery team and contractors as required and tree protection recommendations being adhered to during all aspects of the works.

4.5 It is recommended that the Contractor that is engaged to carry out the construction works appoints a Supervising Arborist, and produces a Tree Management Plan that is to be approved by the Council’s Arborist before the commencement of the site works.

---

**Laurie Gordon**
Consulting Arborist
Clarendon Terrace

Address: 7 Clarendon Terrace
Tree ID: 116828
Tree Species: Tree of Heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*)
Height: 7.5 metres
Canopy Spread: 12.0 metres
DBH: 0.25 metres
Health: 3 (Fair)
Form: 3 (Fair)
Overall Condition: 3 (Fair)

Areas of sparse foliage density, but less than 30% decline overall. No obvious significant structural defects.

Address: 37 Clarendon Terrace
Tree ID: 137958
Tree Species: Tree of Heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*)
Height: 6.5 metres
Canopy Spread: 7.0 metres
DBH: 0.2 metres
Health: 4 (Poor)
Form: 4 (Poor)
Overall Condition: 4 (Poor)

Sparse foliage density with more than 30% decline.

Address: 37 Clarendon Terrace
Tree ID: 137957
Tree Species: Tree of Heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*)
Height: 6.0 metres
Canopy Spread: 7.0 metres
DBH: 0.18 metres
Health: 3 (Fair)
Form: 3 (Fair)
Overall Condition: 3 (Fair)

Areas of sparse foliage density, but less than 30% decline overall. No obvious significant structural defects.

Appendix 2 – Tree Assessment Results
### Lower Heathcote Dredging – Stage 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>39 Clarendon Terrace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree ID</td>
<td>137962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Species</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>6.5 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Spread</td>
<td>4.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH</td>
<td>0.15 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>3 (Fair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form</td>
<td>3 (Fair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Condition</td>
<td>3 (Fair)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Possibly been self-propagated due to bank edge location. Areas of sparse foliage density, but less than 30% decline overall. No obvious significant structural defects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>41 Clarendon Terrace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree ID</td>
<td>137961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Species</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>8.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Spread</td>
<td>7.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH</td>
<td>0.25 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>3 (Fair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form</td>
<td>3 (Fair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Condition</td>
<td>3 (Fair)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Areas of sparse foliage density, but less than 30% decline overall. No obvious significant structural defects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>43 Clarendon Terrace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree ID</td>
<td>137960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Species</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>8.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Spread</td>
<td>8.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH</td>
<td>0.22 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>3 (Fair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form</td>
<td>3 (Fair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Condition</td>
<td>3 (Fair)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Areas of sparse foliage density, but less than 30% decline overall. No obvious significant structural defects.

---

**Appendix 2 – Tree Assessment Results**
**Lower Heathcote Dredging – Stage 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address:</th>
<th>43 Clarendon Terrace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree ID:</td>
<td>137959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Species:</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Ailanthus altissima)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height:</td>
<td>6.5 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Spread:</td>
<td>8.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH:</td>
<td>0.28 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health:</td>
<td>3 (Fair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form:</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Condition:</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Areas of sparse foliage density, but less than 30% decline overall. Signs of *Phytophthora* infection, basal wound and decay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address:</th>
<th>57 Clarendon Terrace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree ID:</td>
<td>137956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Species:</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Ailanthus altissima)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height:</td>
<td>7.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Spread:</td>
<td>5.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH:</td>
<td>0.18 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health:</td>
<td>3 (Fair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form:</td>
<td>3 (Fair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Condition:</td>
<td>3 (Fair)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Areas of sparse foliage density, but less than 30% decline overall. Possibly been self-propagated due to bank edge location. Ivy on trunk.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address:</th>
<th>57 Clarendon Terrace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree ID:</td>
<td>137955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Species:</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Ailanthus altissima)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height:</td>
<td>6.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Spread:</td>
<td>3.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH:</td>
<td>0.22 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health:</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form:</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Condition:</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Up to 70% decline overall, with significant previous decline and some re-growth within the lower and mid canopy.

**Appendix 2 – Tree Assessment Results**
### Lower Heathcote Dredging – Stage 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>59 Clarendon Terrace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree ID</td>
<td>137954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Species</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven ('Alnus heterophyllus')</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>5.5 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Spread</td>
<td>6.5 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH</td>
<td>0.2 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form</td>
<td>3 (Fair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Condition</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor vigour and foliage density with more than 30% decline.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>91A Clarendon Terrace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree ID</td>
<td>137742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Species</td>
<td>Ribbonwood ('Plagianthus regius')</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>5.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Spread</td>
<td>6.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH</td>
<td>0.30 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>5 (Very Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form</td>
<td>5 (Very Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Condition</td>
<td>5 (Very Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor condition due to more than 90% decline.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Appendix 2 – Tree Assessment Results**
Richardson Terrace

Address: 32 Richardson Terrace
Tree ID: Nil (no CCC Tree ID)
Tree Species: Tree of Heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*)
Height: 7.0 metres
Canopy Spread: 5.0 metres
DBH: 0.20 metres
Health: 5 (Very Poor)
Form: 5 (Very Poor)
Overall Condition: 5 (Very Poor)

Very poor condition with more than 70% decline.

Address: 62 Richardson Terrace
Tree ID: 139706
Tree Species: Swamp Cypress (*Taxodium distichum*)
Height: 3.0 metres
Canopy Spread: 2.0 metres
DBH: 0.05 metres
Health: 4 (Poor)
Form: 3 (Fair)
Overall Condition: 4 (Poor)

Poor condition due to dieback resulting in more than 30% foliage density loss.

Address: 66 Richardson Terrace
Tree ID: 137963
Tree Species: Tree of Heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*)
Height: 10.0 metres
Canopy Spread: 8.0 metres
DBH: 0.28 metres
Health: 3 (Fair)
Form: 3 (Fair)
Overall Condition: 3 (Fair)

Areas of sparse foliage density, but less than 30% decline overall. No current obvious significant structural defects. Signs of *Phytophthora* infection around lower trunk.
### LOWER HEATHCOTE DREDGING – STAGE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address:</th>
<th>94 Richardson Terrace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree ID:</td>
<td>137546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Species:</td>
<td>Dutch Elm (Ulmus x hollandica)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height:</td>
<td>10.5 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Spread:</td>
<td>11.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH:</td>
<td>0.34 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health:</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form:</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Condition:</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Poor condition due to dieback resulting in 50% or more foliage density loss.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address:</th>
<th>108 Richardson Terrace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree ID:</td>
<td>137691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Species:</td>
<td>White Poplar (Populus alba)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height:</td>
<td>13.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Spread:</td>
<td>11.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH:</td>
<td>0.35 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health:</td>
<td>3 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form:</td>
<td>5 (Very Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Condition:</td>
<td>5 (Very Poor)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suppressed canopy with possibly 30% decline. Old lower trunk wound with extensive decay resulting in poor structural integrity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address:</th>
<th>120 Richardson Terrace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree ID:</td>
<td>137964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Species:</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height:</td>
<td>8.5 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Spread:</td>
<td>5.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH:</td>
<td>0.30 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health:</td>
<td>5 (Very Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form:</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Condition:</td>
<td>5 (Very Poor)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Very poor condition with more than 70% decline.

### Appendix 2 – Tree Assessment Results
### Lower Heathcote Dredging – Stage 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>120 Richardson Terrace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree ID</td>
<td>137965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Species</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven <em>(Ailanthus altissima)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>7.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Spread</td>
<td>6.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH</td>
<td>0.2 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Condition</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Poor condition, with up to 70% decline and several limbs lost on the road side due to vehicle damage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>130 Richardson Terrace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree ID</td>
<td>137967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Species</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven <em>(Ailanthus altissima)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>9.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Spread</td>
<td>9.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH</td>
<td>0.30 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>5 (Very Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Condition</td>
<td>5 (Very Poor)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Very poor condition with more than 70% decline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>156 Richardson Terrace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree ID</td>
<td>137928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Species</td>
<td>Red Alder <em>(Alnus rubra)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>13.5 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy Spread</td>
<td>12.0 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH</td>
<td>0.60 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Condition</td>
<td>4 (Poor)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sparse foliage density with more than 30% decline. An old lower trunk wound with extensive decay within the trunk and branch structure.
LOWER HEATHCOTE DREDGING - STAGE 2

Christchurch City Council Tree Assessment Method

The tree inspections for this report included non-invasive visual tree assessment methods (measurements are approximate). The condition of each tree was scored using the following Christchurch City Council tree assessment system (April 2017 version).

The condition of a tree is scored as Very Good (1), Good (2), Fair (3), Poor (4) or Very Poor (5). This relates to the Health and Form of a tree. The overall condition rating provided is the worst score for either Health or Form (e.g. if a tree scores Good for Health and Poor for Form, the Condition rating will be Poor).

**Very Good for Health**; where a tree has no more than approximately 5% disease or decline.

**Very Good for Form**; where a tree has no structural defects or abnormalities.

**Good for Health**; where a tree has no more than approximately 6-10% disease or decline.

**Good for Form**; where tree defects do not affect the structural integrity or continued well-being of the tree.

**Fair for Health**; where a tree has approximately 11-30% disease or decline.

**Fair for Form**; where defects are present, but can be rectified in order to maintain the structural integrity and continued well-being of tree.

**Poor for Health**; where a tree exhibits approximately 31-70% disease or decline.

**Poor for Form**; where tree maintenance may improve the framework or the continued well-being of tree, and defects result in loss of structural integrity that may be mitigated but are unlikely to be rectified.

**Very Poor for Health**; where a tree is in more than approximately 70% state of decline.

**Very Poor for Form**; where tree maintenance cannot improve the framework or the continued well-being of tree, and defects result in loss of structural integrity that cannot be mitigated or rectified.

Appendix 3 – Tree Assessment Method
10. Application to Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund - Expansion of Community Development Hours

Reference: 19/631217
Presenter(s): Bruce Coleman, Community Development Advisor

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is for the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board to consider an application for funding from its 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund from the organisation(s) listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Request Number</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
<th>Amount Recommended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00059202</td>
<td>Richmond Community Garden Trust</td>
<td>Expansion of Community Development Hours</td>
<td>$4,900</td>
<td>$4,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2 There is currently a balance of $33,405 remaining in the fund

2. Staff Recommendations

That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board:

1. Approves a grant of $4,900 from its 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund to Richmond Community Gardens Trust towards the Expansion of Community Development Hours.

3. Key Points

Issue or Opportunity

3.1 The report is staff generated to provide funding for the Expansion of Community Hours at Richmond Community Garden Trust.

Strategic Alignment

3.2 The recommendation is strongly aligned to the Strategic Framework and in particular the strategic priority of Strong Communities in the Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board Plan. It will provide well connected communities with a range of diverse community-based activities and initiatives. It will provide

Decision Making Authority

3.3 The Community Board has the delegated authority to determine the allocation of the Discretionary Response Fund for each community

3.3.1 Allocations must be consistent with any policies, standards or criteria adopted by the Council

3.3.2 The Fund does not cover:

- Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled organisations or Community Board decisions
Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project or that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council (though Community Boards can recommend to the Council that it consider a grant for this purpose).

**Assessment of Significance and Engagement**

3.4 The decision(s) in this report is of low significance in relation to the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.

3.5 The level of significance was determined by the number of people affected and/or with an interest.

3.6 Due to the assessment of low significance, no further community engagement and consultation is required.

**Discussion**

3.7 At the time of writing, the balance of the 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund is as below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Budget 2018/19</th>
<th>Granted To Date</th>
<th>Available for allocation</th>
<th>Balance If Staff Recommendation adopted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$141,336</td>
<td>$104,981</td>
<td>$33,405</td>
<td>$28,505</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.8 Based on the current Discretionary Response Fund criteria, the application listed above is eligible for funding.

3.9 The attached Decision Matrix provides detailed information for the application. This includes organisational details, project details, financial information and a staff assessment.

**Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Waikura Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board 2018-19 Discretionary Response Fund - Richmond Community Garden Trust Expansion of Community Development Hours.docx</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Confirmation of Statutory Compliance**

Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).

(a) This report contains:

(i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and

(ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.

(b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council’s significance and engagement policy.

**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sol Smith - Community Development Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approved By</td>
<td>Arohanui Grace - Manager Community Governance, Linwood-Central-Heathcote</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2018/19 DRF LINWOOD-CENTRAL-HEATHCOTE DECISION MATRIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation Name</th>
<th>Name and Description</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>Contribution Sought Towards</th>
<th>Staff Recommendation</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Richmond Community Garden Trust</td>
<td>Expansion of Community Development Hours</td>
<td>$4,900</td>
<td>Salaries/Wages - $4,900</td>
<td>$4,900</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Organisation Details**
- **Service Base:** Avebury House, 9 Evelyn Couzins Avenue
- **Legal Status:** Charitable Trust
- **Established:** 4/02/2017
- **Target Groups:**
  - Annual Volunteer Hours: 5000
  - Participants: 2,000

**Alignment with Council Strategies**
- Strengthening Communities Strategy

**CCC Funding History**
- 2018/19: $2,000 (Volunteer Expenses, Wages) SCF PI
- 2018/19: $5,000 (Volunteer Expenses, Wages) SCF LCH
- 2017/18: $5,000 (Wages, Materials) SCF LCH
- 2017/18: $239 (Event Costs) LBMF LCH
- 2016/17: $650 (Materials) SGF HF

**Other Sources of Funding**

**Staff Assessment**
Richmond Community Garden Trust (RCGT) was established in 2017.
During that time they have re-established the original community gardens in the Avebury House grounds and obtained a lease for land in the residential red zone from Land Information New Zealand (LINZ). The LINZ land has been transformed into a multi-use green space with potential to further link to the Avon-Otakaro River Corridor recreation and ecological initiatives.

RCGT received funding from the Papanui-Innes and Linwood-Central-Heathcote 2018-19 Strengthening Communities Fund. The group had budgeted the funding would cover 10 hours of work for their Community Development Workers. Due to the demand and growth of their services to the community and other funding stream enabled RCGT to increase their Community Development Workers hours to 20 hours to continue providing the service, support and engagement to the Richmond community. RCGT have a two month funding shortfall until there other funding streams are in place.

The Community Development Worker role is to work with individuals, families, volunteers and local organisations to bring about social change and improve the quality of life in the Richmond area. Also to inspire, support, and offer guidance and opportunities for the community to make improvements in their own garden space.

Reference: 19/638108
Presenter(s): Diana Saxton, Community Recreation Adviser

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is for the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board to consider an application for funding from its 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund from the organisation listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Request Number</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
<th>Amount Recommended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00059274</td>
<td>Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board</td>
<td>2018/19 Youth Development Fund</td>
<td>$1,550</td>
<td>$1,550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2 There is currently a balance of $33,405 remaining in the fund

2. Staff Recommendations

That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board:

1. Approves a grant of $1,550 from its 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund to the 2018/19 Youth Development Fund.

3. Key Points

Issue or Opportunity

3.1 This report is staff generated as a result of the Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board – Youth Development Fund having insufficient funds to meet requests for funding up until 30 June 2019.

Strategic Alignment

3.2 Investing in our youth to develop leadership, cultural competence and success in their chosen field builds the capacity of our city’s youth, our future adults. In doing so we increase the likelihood of these youths contributing to developing a vibrant, prosperous and sustainable 21st century city; one of the council’s six Strategic Priorities. The recommendations contained in this report are based on this principle.

Decision Making Authority

3.3 The Community Board has the delegated authority to determine the allocation of the Discretionary Response Fund for each community

3.3.1 Allocations must be consistent with any policies, standards or criteria adopted by the Council

3.3.2 The Fund does not cover:

- Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled organisations or Community Board decisions
Item 11

- Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project or that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council (though Community Boards can recommend to the Council that it consider a grant for this purpose).

Assessment of Significance and Engagement

3.4 The decision(s) in this report is of low significance in relation to the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.

3.5 The level of significance was determined by the number of people affected and/or with an interest.

3.6 Due to the assessment of low significance, no further community engagement and consultation is required.

Discussion

3.7 At the time of writing, the balance of the 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund is as below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Budget 2018/19</th>
<th>Granted To Date</th>
<th>Available for allocation</th>
<th>Balance If Staff Recommendation adopted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$141,336</td>
<td>$107,931</td>
<td>$33,405</td>
<td>$31,855</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.8 Based on the current Discretionary Response Fund criteria, the application listed above is eligible for funding. The attached Decision Matrix provides detailed information for the application. This includes organisational details, project details, financial information and a staff assessment.

3.9 The attached Decision Matrix provides detailed information for the application. This includes organisational details, project details, financial information and a staff assessment.

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Youth Development Fund Decision Matrix June 2019</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Confirmation of Statutory Compliance

Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).

(a) This report contains:

(i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and

(ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.

(b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council’s significance and engagement policy.

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Diana Saxton - Community Recreation Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approved By</td>
<td>Arohanui Grace - Manager Community Governance, Linwood-Central-Heathcote</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2018/19 DRF LINWOOD-CENTRAL-HEATHCOTE DECISION MATRIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation Name</th>
<th>Name and Description</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>Contribution Sought Towards</th>
<th>Staff Recommendation</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linwood-Central-Healthcote Community Board</td>
<td>2018/19 Linwood-Healthcote-Central Youth Development Fund Top Up</td>
<td>$1,550</td>
<td>2018/19 Youth Development Fund</td>
<td>$1,550 (100% requested)</td>
<td>That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Healthcote Community Board approve a grant of $1,550 from its 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund to the 2018/19 Youth Development Fund.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Alignment with Council Strategies
- Strengthening Communities
- Physical Sport and Recreation Strategy
- Children and Youth Strategies
- Community Board Plan 2017-19

### Staff Assessment
The 2018/19 Youth Development Fund covers the period from its establishment date until 30 June 2019. There is a balance of $850 in the fund which is insufficient for the Board to consider the applications received for the remaining period.

Reference: 19/631273
Presenter(s): Bruce Coleman, Community Development Advisor

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is for the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board to consider an application(s) received for funding from its 2018/19 Youth Development Fund.

1.2 This report is to assist the Board to consider an application of funding from Maike Loof.

1.3 There is currently a balance of $850 remaining in this fund.

2. Staff Recommendations

That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board resolve to:

1. Approve a grant of $500 from its 2018/19 Youth Development Fund to Maike Loof towards Trip to Music in the Summer Air – Shanghai Youth Summer Music Camp 2019.

3. Key Points

Issue or Opportunity

3.1 To support funding for a group of youth to attend a Summer Music Camp.

Strategic Alignment

3.2 Investing in our youth to develop leadership, cultural competence and success in their chosen field builds the capacity of our city’s youth, our future adults. In doing so we increase the likelihood of these youths contributing to developing a vibrant, prosperous and sustainable 21st century city; one of the council’s six Strategic Priorities. The recommendations contained in this report are based on this principle.

Decision Making Authority

1.1 Determine the allocation of the discretionary Response Fund for each community (including any allocation towards a Youth Development Fund).

1.2 Allocations must be consistent with any policies, standards or criteria adopted by the Council.

1.3 The Fund does not cover:

- Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled organisations or Community Board decisions
- Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project or that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council (though Community Boards can recommend to the Council that it consider a grant for this purpose).

Assessment of Significance and Engagement

1.4 The decision(s) in this report is of low significance in relation to the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.

3.3 The level of significance was determined by the number of people affected and/or with an interest.
3.4 Due to the assessment of low significance, no further community engagement and consultation is required.

4. Applicant 1 – Maike Loof

4.1 Age: 11
4.2 School: Chisnallwood Intermediate School
4.3 Suburb: Linwood
4.4 Event seeking support for: Summer Music Camp in Shanghai
4.5 Maike Loof is a student at Chisnallwood. She have been selected to take part in a trip to MISA (Music in the Summer Air) Shanghai Youth Summer Music Camp 2019. The event will take place from 5 to 14 July 2019. Students will rehearse and perform at a number of concerts as both the Chisnallwood Band and as part of an international combined band. Musicians were selected according to their proficiency of specific instruments. This trip will allow Maike to experience overseas travel unaided by parents, form lifelong friendships with groups and individuals of other countries, collaboration with other musicians around the globe, cultural experiences including food, customs and climates. Maike has played the violin since the age of six. She also plays flute and saxophone. Maike has undertaken multiple fundraising opportunities including Sausage Sizzles, Concerts, Car Wash, Musical Bingo and a Raffle.

4.6 The following table provides a breakdown of the costs for Summer Music Camp in Shanghai:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENSES</th>
<th>Cost ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airfares, Transfers, Insurance and Visa</td>
<td>$1,957.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation</td>
<td>$350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Festival Entry and Miscellaneous</td>
<td>$700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$3,007.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachments

There are no attachments to this report.

Confirmation of Statutory Compliance

Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).

(a) This report contains:
   (i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and
   (ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.

(b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council’s significance and engagement policy.

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sol Smith - Community Development Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approved By</td>
<td>Arohanui Grace - Manager Community Governance, Linwood-Central-Heathcote</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference: 19/586631
Presenter(s): Diana Saxton, Community Recreation Adviser

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is for the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board to consider applications received for funding from its 2018/19 Youth Development Fund.

1.2 This report is to assist the Board to consider applications of funding from Drew Annelise Jorgensen, Boris Pierre van Bruchem, Winnie Grace Palamo, Fiapalagi Ema Lai-Kong, and Ngereine Zachary Maro.

1.3 There is currently a balance of $850 remaining in this fund.

2. Staff Recommendations

That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board resolve to:

1. Approve a grant of $500 from its 2018/19 Youth Development Fund to Drew Annelise Jorgensen towards competing in the Little League Asia-Pacific Qualifier in Indonesia, June 2019.

2. Approve a grant of $500 from its 2018/19 Youth Development Fund to Boris Pierre van Bruchem to represent New Zealand at the Senior Korfball World Cup in South Africa, August 2019.

3. Approve a grant of $300 from its 2018/19 Youth Development Fund to Winnie Grace Palamo to represent Canterbury in U15 Netball in New Zealand, June 2019.

4. Approve a grant of $300 from its 2018/19 Youth Development Fund to Fiapalagi Ema Lai-Kong to represent Canterbury in U17 Netball in New Zealand, June and July 2019.

5. Approve a grant of $300 from its 2018/19 Youth Development Fund to Ngereine Zachary Maro to represent Canterbury in the New Zealand National Youth Futsal Championships in Wellington, July 2019.

3. Key Points

Issue or Opportunity

To support funding for Drew Annelise Jorgeson to represent Canterbury at the Little League Asia-Pacific Qualifier in Indonesia from 15 - 23 June 2019; Boris Pierre van Bruchem to represent New Zealand at the Senior Korfball World Cup in South Africa in August 2019; Winnie Grace Palamo to represent Canterbury in the U15 Netball tournament with fixtures in Dunedin, Christchurch and Timaru during June 2019; Fiapalagi Ema Lai-Kong to represent Canterbury in the U17 Netball tournament with fixtures in Christchurch, Timaru, Auckland, and Wellington in June leading up to the Nationals in Auckland in July; and Ngereine Zachary Maro to represent Canterbury in the New Zealand National Youth Futsal Championships in Wellington from 12 to 14 July 2019.

Strategic Alignment

3.1 Investing in our youth to develop leadership, cultural competence and success in their chosen field builds the capacity of our city’s youth, our future adults. In doing so we increase the likelihood of these youths contributing to developing a vibrant, prosperous and sustainable
21st century city; one of the council’s six Strategic Priorities. The recommendations contained in this report are based on this principle.

Decision Making Authority
1.1 Determine the allocation of the discretionary Response Fund for each community (including any allocation towards a Youth Development Fund).
1.2 Allocations must be consistent with any policies, standards or criteria adopted by the Council.
1.3 The Fund does not cover:
   - Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled organisations or Community Board decisions.
   - Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project or that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council (though Community Boards can recommend to the Council that it consider a grant for this purpose).

Assessment of Significance and Engagement
1.4 The decision(s) in this report is of low significance in relation to the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.
3.2 The level of significance was determined by the number of people affected and/or with an interest.
3.3 Due to the assessment of low significance, no further community engagement and consultation is required.

4. Applicant 1 – Drew Annelise Jorgensen
4.1 Age: 15
4.2 School: Year 10, Avonside Girls High School
4.3 Suburb: Woolston
4.4 In January 2019, Drew’s U15 Canterbury team won the National Little League Softball U15 Girls Championship in Palmerston North. As a result the team has qualified to represent Canterbury in the Asia Pacific Qualifier in Jakarta, Indonesia in June 2019. If they are winners of this competition they will represent Canterbury again as the Asia Pacific Champions in the 2019 Little League Junior Division World Series in Washington, USA in July/August 2019. Drew has been playing softball for seven years at the PCU Club. This is her second year representing Canterbury in U15s. Drew plays for a junior and a senior team, trains two times a week and plays two games on Saturday. As a result of taking part in this competition Canterbury and especially the local club which competes at the Mizuno Ball Park on Pages Road benefits from the coaching, management and players who train and represent the community on a global scale. Drew also enjoys playing basketball for her school. The team have been doing various fundraising initiatives and have raised $3,350 which is divided between them.
4.5 The following table provides a breakdown of the costs for Drew Annelise Jorgensen towards competing in the Little League Asia-Pacific Qualifier in Indonesia, June 2019:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENSES</th>
<th>Cost ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Return airfare</td>
<td>1,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation, meals, travel, insurance</td>
<td>1,260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. **Applicant 2 – Boris Pierre van Bruchem**

5.1 Age: 20

5.2 School: 3rd year student at University of Canterbury studying Bachelor of Forestry Science.

5.3 Suburb: Richmond

5.4 Event seeking support for: Senior Korfball World Cup in South Africa, 1-10 August 2019.

5.5 Boris has been selected to represent New Zealand at the Senior Korfball World Cup in South Africa in August 2019. Korfball is a mixed team sport, best described as a fusion of basketball and netball. It is predominantly played in Europe, originating in Holland, but is being developed in New Zealand, especially in schools. The will be the first time New Zealand competes in the World Cup, having qualified for the tournament through the 2018 Asia-Oceania Korfball Championship. Boris is a talented and a high achiever with considerable academic awards, youth leadership awards and he also represents Canterbury in rugby. He is currently in his third year at University of Canterbury studying Forestry Science. He is also a member of the Student Volunteer Army. Participating in this tournament will be valuable from a sport, social and cultural perspective with the opportunity to improve skills by playing at an international level and being able to experience a new culture, build friendships and bond as a team. Competing in the tournament also helps korfball grow in popularity in New Zealand and Boris will be able to help with school tournaments and teach the children what he has learnt overseas. Boris has been involved in playing korfball for over ten years. He trains three to four times a week leading up to the tournament. The team have been fundraising with raffles, beach korfball, bacon sales etc. and have raised $3,000 to date.

5.6 The following table provides a breakdown of the costs for Boris Pierre van Bruchem to represent New Zealand at the Senior Korfball World Cup in South Africa in August 2019:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENSES</th>
<th>Cost ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Return airfares</td>
<td>1,869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Insurance</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,519</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. **Applicant 3 – Winnie Grace Palamo**

6.1 Age: 15

6.2 School: Year 10, Middleton Grange

6.3 Suburb: Linwood

6.4 Event seeking support for: Representative netball tournament with fixtures in Dunedin, Christchurch, Timaru and Dunedin during June 2019.

6.5 Winnie has been selected to represent Canterbury for U15 netball. She is a very talented player and has represented Canterbury since she was 11 years old. Winnie is in the Middleton Senior A Team and plays twice a week. She is also a Supernet reserve on Wednesday and plays second A grade on Saturday. In 2018 she played for the Revelation U15 team and competed in
an Australian netball tournament. Winnie plays a variety of sports including basketball, volleyball, fistball, and athletics but her main focus is netball. Her goal is to keep playing representative netball, with a longer term goal of playing for Mainland Tactix and then ultimately the Silver Ferns. The opportunity to play at this level supports her realise her goals. Winnie and Fiapalagi Lai-Kong live in the same household and supporting them both playing at this level places considerable financial pressure on the family.

6.6 The following table provides a breakdown of the costs for Winnie Grace Palamo to represent Canterbury in U15 Netball Tournament with fixtures in Dunedin, Christchurch, Timaru and Dunedin during June 2019:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENSES</th>
<th>Cost ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U15 Representative Fee – Christchurch Netball Centre</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform contribution</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$480</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. **Applicant 4 - Fiapalagi Ema Lai-Kong**

7.1 Age: 17

7.2 School: Year 12, Middleton Grange

7.3 Suburb: Linwood

7.4 Event seeking support for: Canterbury U17 representative netball team including five competitions (Christchurch 2-3 June, Timaru 30 June, Auckland 9 June, and Wellington 23 June) leading up to the Nationals in Auckland from 15 to 18 July.

7.5 Fiapalagi (Fia) has played representative netball for a number of years. She has now been selected to play for Canterbury U17 representative team that will compete in multiple fixtures leading up to the Nationals. Fia will train twice a week with the team and attend all tournaments leading up to the Nationals in July. Fia was also selected to take part in the National Development Camp earlier in the year and is regarded as a very talented player with a bright future. Her goal is to play for Mainland Tactix and to go on and become a professional netballer plus study business management and marketing. Winnie Palamo and Fia live in the same household and supporting them both playing at this level places considerable financial pressure on the family.

7.6 The following table provides a breakdown of the costs for Fiapalagi Ema Lai-Kong to represent Canterbury in the U17 Netball team, competing in five fixtures (Christchurch 2-3 June, Timaru 30 June, Auckland 9 June, and Wellington 23 June) leading up to the Nationals in Auckland from 15 to 18 July:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENSES</th>
<th>Cost ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U17 Representative Fee – Christchurch Netball Centre</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform contribution</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$830</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. Applicant 5 – Ngereine Zachary Maro

8.1 Age: 14
8.2 School: Year 10, Ao Tawhiti Unlimited Discovery
8.3 Suburb: Woolston
8.4 Event seeking support for: New Zealand National Youth Futsal Championships in Wellington from 12 to 14 July 2019.
8.5 Ngereine (Ine) plays for the Christchurch United Football Club in the U14 Youth Premier League team. He has a specialist position as the only goalkeeper on the team which requires two extra goalkeeper trainings with a goal keeper specialist in addition to three team trainings. He also trains for a school football team. Ine has been selected to represent Canterbury as the only travelling goalkeeper for the U14 Canterbury Representative Futsal team to the Nationals for the second year. He also represented Canterbury at the Craig Foster International Futsal Tournament in Australia in 2018 and 2019. Ine has been in the team that won the 2018 Futsal Nationals, the Christchurch International Cup and been placed in the top three in every tournament he has attended. He plans to play professional football for a New Zealand, Australian or European team.
8.6 The following table provides a breakdown of the costs for Ngereine Zachary Maro to represent Canterbury in the New Zealand National Youth Futsal Championships in Wellington from 12 to 14 July 2019:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENSES</th>
<th>Cost ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019 Futsal Nationals Fees (flights, accommodation, transport,</td>
<td>925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>training venue costs, tournament entry fee)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$925</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachments
There are no attachments to this report.

Confirmation of Statutory Compliance

Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).
(a) This report contains:
    (i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and
    (ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.
(b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council’s significance and engagement policy.

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Diana Saxton - Community Recreation Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approved By</td>
<td>Arohanui Grace - Manager Community Governance, Linwood-Central-Heathcote</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference: 19/634466
Presenter(s): Arohanui Grace, Community Governance Manager

1. **Purpose of Report**
   
   This report provides information on initiatives and issues current within the Community Board area, to provide the Board with a strategic overview and inform sound decision making.

2. **Staff Recommendations**

   That the Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board:

   2. Approve the formation of the Board’s Ōpāwaho to Ihutai (Lower Heathcote Catchment Project) Working Party.
      
      a. To appoint the Ōpāwaho to Ihutai (Lower Heathcote Catchment Project) Working Party Chairperson.
      
      b. Note that the Working Party Chairperson and staff to present the Working Party Terms of Reference prior to the Working Party’s first meeting for approval.

3. **Community Board Activities and Forward Planning**

   3.1 **Memos/Information/Advice to the Board**

      3.1.1 Ōpāwaho to Ihutai (Lower Heathcote Catchment Project) – at the Board Seminar on 10 June 2019 the Board discussed the Ōpāwaho to Ihutai project including the information gathered at the community workshop held on 30 April 2019 and the forming of a Working Party.

      - The purpose of the Working Party is to bring together community representatives and elected members to work on an integrated Ōpāwaho to Ihutai Lower Heathcote River Catchment Plan. The Working Party Terms of Reference will be presented for the Board’s approval prior to the first Working Party meeting.

      - The Chairperson of the Working Party will be a member of the Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board. The Chairperson of the Working Party and staff to develop the Working Party’s Terms of Reference.

      - The membership of the Working Party is to comprise of interested community members and elected members with the support of staff.

4. **Community Board Funding Update**

   4.1 The Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board granted funds from the 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund towards Community Board projects. Staff will reconcile the budgets for these Community Board projects and any unspent funds after accruals and carry forwards have been taken into account will be carried forward to the 2019/20 Discretionary Response Fund along with any unspent funds from the 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund.
**Attachments**

There are no attachments to this report.
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15. Elected Members’ Information Exchange

This item provides an opportunity for Board Members to update each other on recent events and/or issues of relevance and interest to the Board.
16. Resolution to Exclude the Public

*Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.*

I move that the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting, namely items listed overleaf.

Reason for passing this resolution: good reason to withhold exists under section 7. Specific grounds under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution: Section 48(1)(a)

**Note**

Section 48(4) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides as follows:

“(4) Every resolution to exclude the public shall be put at a time when the meeting is open to the public, and the text of that resolution (or copies thereof):

(a) Shall be available to any member of the public who is present; and
(b) Shall form part of the minutes of the local authority.”

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by Section 6 or Section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as follows:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM NO.</th>
<th>GENERAL SUBJECT OF EACH MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED</th>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>SUBCLAUSE AND REASON UNDER THE ACT</th>
<th>PLAIN ENGLISH REASON</th>
<th>WHEN REPORTS CAN BE RELEASED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>REMEDIAL WORKS - MCR HEATHCOTE EXPRESSWAY</td>
<td>S7(2)(I)</td>
<td>CONDUCT NEGOTIATIONS</td>
<td>A DECISION ON THE PREFERRED OPTION NEEDS TO MADE FOLLOWED BY NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE CONTRACTOR.</td>
<td>30 SEPTEMBER 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>