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1. **Apologies**
   At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

2. **Declarations of Interest**
   Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant and to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as an elected representative and any private or other external interest they might have.

Reference: 19/463943
Presenter(s): Sarah Drummond, Committee and Hearings Advisor

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is for the Council to receive the attached volume of submissions of those wishing to be heard at the Draft Annual Plan hearing held on Saturday 4 May 2019 and the attached volume of submissions that did not wish to be heard.

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Volume 4 - Heard Submissions Saturday 4 May 2019</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Volume 5 - Not Heard Submissions</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Confirmation of Statutory Compliance

Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).
(a) This report contains:
   (i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and
   (ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.
(b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council's significance and engagement policy.

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sarah Drummond - Committee and Hearings Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approved By</td>
<td>Sarah Drummond - Committee and Hearings Advisor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Submissions on the
Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020

Volume Four

Heard Submissions
Saturday 4th May 2019
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Submission Number</th>
<th>Submitter</th>
<th>Page No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12.30 PM</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>Bebe Frayle for Riverside Community Network</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.40 PM</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>Jane Higgins for Inner City East/Linwood Revitalisation Working Group</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.50 PM</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>Michelle Clark for Yaldhurst Rural Residents Association</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00 PM</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>Sonya Hodder for Spreydon Neighbourhood Network</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25 PM</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>Peter Tuffley for Beckenham Neighbourhood Association</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.35 PM</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>Emma Twaddell for St Albans Residents Association</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.45 PM</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>Marc Duff for Greater Hornby Residents Association</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00 PM</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>David Hawke for Halswell Residents Association</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10 PM</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>Annabelle Wear</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.15 PM</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Jennifer Hercus</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:

Riverside Community Network

Your role in the organisation:

Committee Member

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?:

15

First Name: Bebe

Last Name: Frayle

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

The Riverside Community Network (formerly the Burwood, Avondale and Dallington Group) is a
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Frayle, Bebe organisation: Riverside Community Network behalf of: Committee Member

network of community groups in Burwood, Avondale and Dallington that are advocating for a community centre to support activities in our area.

We have commissioned a feasibility study and business case to investigate the need for this. The study has been undertaken by Peter Burley from GLG, and is almost completed. Findings from the study indicate that our area is under resourced in terms of community spaces. It also identifies two potential sites (one existing, one new build) and provides an indicative case for a partnership funding and governance model between community and Council.

The Riverside Community Network notes that, while a community facility network review is underway at Council, the Annual Plan makes no provision for new facilities to be established. We would like an opportunity to talk to Council further about this via the Annual Plan feedback process.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:

[ ] Inner City East/Linwood Revitalisation Working Group

Your role in the organisation:

Facilitator

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?:

Eight working group

First Name: Jane  Last Name: Higgins

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Please see our submission in Supporting Documents.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Higgins, Jane organisation: Inner City East/Linwood Revitalisation Working Group behalf of Facilitator
Also attached is the ICE/Linwood Revitalisation Plan Progress report 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attached Documents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE-Linwood Annual Plan Submission 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE Revitalisation Plan progress report 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I. Introduction

This submission comes from the Inner City East / Linwood Revitalisation Plan Working Group established in 2018 to enable the people of the Inner City East / Linwood community to work in partnership with Te Whare Roimata and the Christchurch City Council to establish a community-led Revitalisation Plan that would tackle the post-quake concerns of these neighbourhoods with actions using creative solutions drawn from local residents.

Our submission builds on the proposals Te Whare Roimata made in its submission to the Council’s Long Term Plan in 2018 and reports back on progress made by the Working Group on areas relating to these proposals.

Recommendations proposed in Te Whare Roimata’s 2018 LTP Submission relating to the Revitalisation Plan included:

- considering the purchase of land in the Inner City East / Linwood area for a community hub. This was in response to community feedback about the need for a more permanent community space at the Linwood Village;
- requesting a review of the design of the Rapanui-Shag Rock Cycleway. This arose from a large number of residents expressing concern about the design of the Cycleway and the effects it had on safety and the ease of use;
- requesting a review of residential planning and design guidelines for the ICE / Linwood area. Mounting concern was evident about the impact new housing developments were having on the social and physical environment of the ICE / Linwood neighbourhood and how poor design guidelines governing new residential and commercial developments in a medium density area were contributing to these difficulties;
- funding a neighbourhood inorganic rubbish collection. Widespread concern was being voiced about the quantity of inorganic rubbish that was dumped on the roadside, due in part to the area’s high rental turnover and the inability to afford dump fees for the sizeable number of people on limited incomes living in the area.

The Working Group has identified four actions from its work in 2018/19 for which this submission seeks Council support. All proposed actions have widespread community support.

In addition, the need for increased greenspace has been identified as a high priority for the Revitalisation process in this current year and we note that this sits well with the Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board’s priority of greening the East.
II. Working Group Proposals

The Inner City East / Linwood Working Group proposes that the Council supports the following:

(a) The Development of a Community Hub

(1) Our application to the Strengthening Communities Fund for a Feasibility Report on the establishment of a community hub on suitable land in the Inner City East / Linwood area.

In 2018 the need for a community hub and funding to purchase suitable land was signalled in the Te Whare Roimata submission. This grew from a number of community consultations undertaken particularly with people who do not usually get a voice. Tiny Shops Village at Stanmore Road, supported by the Council and managed by Te Whare Roimata, which has emerged in the last 12 months on vacant land, has helped shape and firm up the role of such a hub. In recent months the Working Group has developed the vision and principles which will guide the hub based on the Community Consultations undertaken.

Historically the ICE / Linwood area has been a vulnerable area with a high rating on the deprivation index and post-quake it faces a range of complex issues that aggravates this vulnerability. The traditional centre of the community has been the Linwood Village, however, the Village suffered significantly in the earthquakes and has yet to recover economically. Key local services such as the pharmacy were lost. As the volunteer-run Post Shop and the Tiny shops project have both shown, local people have a genuine need to gather, to experience connection with each other, to work together on local projects and to find the help they need. Social enterprises, potentially located within the hub, will also contribute to stimulating the Village economy.

Having completed the foundational work of community consultation leading to the establishment of principles by which the hub will operate, and purposes the hub will fulfil, the Working Group is now moving to acquire funding for the skilled, technical assistance of a community minded consultant to undertake a feasibility study. This will translate the Working Group’s vision and principles into a material design while encompassing the needs and functions identified from the community conversations.

In order to advance this work the Working Group seeks Council support for:

- The purchase of suitable land at the Linwood Village for a Community Hub;
- Inclusion of a Community Hub for the ICE / Linwood area in the Council’s Community Facilities Network Plan.
(b) **Improvements to Rapanui – Shag Rock Cycleway**

(2) A Council resolution to request operational staff to report on ways the Rapanui-Shag Rock cycleway can be improved in light of ongoing community concerns.

Concerns about the Inner City East / Linwood leg of the Rapanui-Shag Rock cycleway have been repeatedly raised by community members from the time the cycleway was proposed. As part of the Revitalisation Plan process community conversations were held with ICE / Linwood residents during the summer of 2017/18 about their hopes and concerns for the neighbourhood. Many of those surveyed voiced concerns about this cycleway, particularly relating to the intersections of England Street / Worcester Street, Stanmore Road / Worcester Street, Fitzgerald Ave / Worcester Street and Cashel Street / England Street.

Issues raised include questioning how safe and easy the cycleway was for both cyclists and motorists to use, and how the design affected such services as street cleaning and rubbish collection. The reduction in on-street parking, particularly near the Linwood Village was noted and strong concern was voiced about how residents felt the Council had not listened to the issues they had raised. Many people voicing concern were themselves cyclists, or stated they were in favour of cycleways.

Since completing the survey in 2018 the Working Group has had ongoing talks with Council staff, and a further call has been made to review ways the cycleway could be improved in light of continued community concern.

As this is now an operational matter the Working Group proposes that a Council resolution is made requesting operational staff work with the ICE / Linwood community to report on how improvements can be made within the operational budget to the ICE / Linwood leg of the Rapanui-Shag Rock cycleway.

(c) **Developing an Inner City East / Linwood Neighbourhood Plan**

(3) The development of an Inner City East Neighbourhood Plan

As with Te Whare Roimata and other Central / Inner City residents groups, the Working Group is frustrated by the current District Plan and the planning issues it continues to create such as the loss of character and amenity, the growth of Airbnb, poor quality design, limited greenspace or landscaping and restrictions on off-street parking. Ideally the Working Group would like to see a District Plan review or a scheme change for the older Central / Inner City neighbourhoods but given the time this will take to implement we urge the Council to instead develop a Neighbourhood Plan for this area. Such an approach would ensure a move away from site specific planning to allow for a neighbourhood overview which could then embrace greenspace, amenity, parking and traffic calming.
In order to advance our work the Working Group has joined ICAN, the Inner City Action Network and actively support the network’s aims.

(d) **Funding an Inner City East / Linwood Inorganic Rubbish Collection**

(4) Continued support for the Inner City East / Linwood Inorganic Rubbish collection.

The dumping of inorganic rubbish on the streets of the Inner City East / Linwood neighbourhoods has been an ongoing and widespread concern, in part the result of the area’s high turnover of rental housing, and the cost and difficulties associated with disposing of large inorganic rubbish for people on limited incomes.

In the last year the Working Group has worked with Te Whare Roimata’s Labour Group to pilot a monthly, neighbourhood inorganic rubbish collection. Five inorganic rubbish collections have been made since October 2018. Each collection, takes 4 hours on average, requires a driver and 2 workers, and costs $95 an hour (labour and operating costs) plus dump fees of approximately $200-$250 per collection. On average each collection requires the truck and trailer making two trips to the dump. Neighbourhood feedback has been positive and the impact this pilot has on improving the appearance and tidiness of the neighbourhood is without question.

The success of this pilot demonstrates the need for such a service becoming an ongoing monthly service. Currently this is supported through the Community Resilience Partnership Fund. Funding is now sought to assist covering the costs associated with the running of such a service in an on-going way.

The dumping of mattresses has been identified by the Pilot Study as a major issue for many on limited incomes. The Working Group is aware that this is not confined to the ICE / Linwood neighbourhoods, being a citywide problem. Given the Council’s desire to develop local solutions to recyclable waste we would encourage the Council to explore ways of recycling mattresses and to incorporate this into its recyclable waste service. Several excellent examples are available within New Zealand as well as overseas.

### III Inner City East / Linwood Revitalisation Plan Progress

A community-led revitalisation process has been underway in the ICE / Linwood neighbourhood over the last two years in response to the complex issues confronting this historically vulnerable neighbourhood, post-quake. A Working Group was established in 2018, consisting of local community representatives, community development workers from Te Whare Roimata and Council staff with experience in community development and an independent facilitator. The Group has been tasked with developing a bottom-up, community generated Revitalisation Plan that would tackle concerns raised by local residents in the numerous community conversations held in recent years.
Considerable foundational work has been undertaken by the Working Group on the seven priority concerns identified from these conversations. Priorities include concerns relating to tidiness and appearance, housing, safety, transport, greenspace, neighbourhood economic development, and people and community.

Conversations have continued around these themes (many with people who do not normally engage in usual community consultation processes), issues have been analysed, neighbourhood solutions identified and trials undertaken to pilot possible responses. This work has led to the completion of Stage 1 of the Revitalisation Process. The Working Group is now focused on advancing the workstreams identified in the ICE / Linwood Revitalisation Plan Progress Report of December 2018.

As part of this process the Working Group will collaborate with the Community Board on its Greening for East Project while advancing our own greenspace priority. We note and support the financial assistance the Community Board is providing for the Greening the East Project.

In addition to the proposals put forward by the Working Group, we also support the Council’s key strategic directions, in particular, the work done to enable active citizenship and connected communities; increasing active, public and shared transport opportunities; the focus on parks, the funding given for the sculptural play feature for the Doris Lusk Reserve and the additional funding put aside for the purchase of new reserves, and the emphasis placed on a safe and sustainable water supply. Likewise we acknowledge the work done by the Council in ensuring the rates increase has come in below the forecasted increase.

The Working Group would like to take this opportunity to thank the Council for the support received from staff and the local Community Board in assisting the development of a Revitalisation Plan for the ICE / Linwood neighbourhoods as well as the financial assistance received from the Community Resilience Partnership Fund which has enabled several trial responses to be funded.

Jane Higgins  
Facilitator  
Inner City East / Linwood Revitalisation Plan Working Group
INNER CITY EAST/LINWOOD REVITALISATION PLAN: PROGRESS REPORT 2018

A progress report on a plan for revitalisation in the Inner City East/Linwood, drawn up by the ICE Revitalisation Plan Working Group.
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WHAT IS THIS DOCUMENT?

This document reports on progress made in 2018 towards the development of a community led revitalisation plan for the Inner City East/Linwood. This is the area bordered by Madras Street, Kilmore Street, Avonside Drive, Linwood Avenue, and Cashel Street. Within this area, Linwood Village on Stanmore Road is a particular area of focus.

The document identifies themes of concern that emerged from community conversations during the latter part of 2017 and throughout 2018 and outlines work done during 2018 to make progress on addressing these concerns through the development of a long-term plan.

Community-led revitalisation takes time. This is not a completed plan but it does detail progress made, including specific actions such as pilot projects undertaken, the unpacking of the deep complexities of some of the issues, and concrete ways forward for 2019 and beyond.

We are grateful to the Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board for their on-going support and for assistance from the Christchurch City Council through the Community Resilience Partnership Fund.

For queries and further information please contact: Jane Higgins, jane@janehiggins.co.nz

WHO IS INVOLVED IN THE REVITALISATION PROCESS?¹

The ICE Working Group: a group of six local people nominated from the community in May 2018, who have been meeting fortnightly to explore the issues, consult with the community and draw up a revitalisation plan based on the community’s concerns and ideas.

The ICE Advisory Group: the secretariat of the working group, consisting of two community development workers from Te Whare Roimata, two City Council staff with experience in community development, and the facilitator of the working group. Advisory Group members are also members of the working group.

The ICE Reference Group: a group of people with expertise in the issues facing the Inner City East/Linwood, including people drawn from organisations working in the area and from key government agencies.

The Inner City East/Linwood community: people from the neighbourhood who spoke to us on numerous occasions and who turned up to be involved in projects directed at the revitalisation of the area.

¹ See Appendix 2 for the current membership of these groups.
INTRODUCTION

During the summer of 2016/17, building on some years of advocacy over challenges facing the Inner City East/Linwood area, workers at Te Whare Roimata conducted a community survey among local people to identify their hopes and concerns about the area.

In Autumn 2017, with the support of the Community Board, the results of this survey became the foundation for Te Whare Roimata’s proposal to the Christchurch City Council to support a community-led revitalisation plan process for the area.

The goal was for the community, with the support of the Council, the Community Board and Regenerate Christchurch, to work towards creating a plan that would tackle concerns raised in the survey while building on the community’s strengths and hopes.

In October 2017, the Council approved the plan process, agreeing to work with the community as a partner. Regenerate Christchurch also supported the project getting off the ground.

The plan process has involved many face to face conversations: at the local market and local events, at the Post Office in Linwood Village, at the City Mission and in incidental chats wherever people are interested. The conversation is on-going.

In these conversations, people speak about how much they love their community, its people, and its diversity. They share concerns about safety, rubbish on the streets, the loss of shops at the Linwood Village, poverty and inequality, public substance use and addiction, lack of parks and green space, and the loss of character buildings.

In early 2018 an advisory group was established from a group of people who had been working together during 2017 to bring the plan proposal into being. After the plan’s approval this group became a temporary working group to oversee the process and a call was made for nominations to an official working group. In April 2018, a facilitator was appointed and a working group was established consisting of local people who responded to the nomination process.

Community members play a key role in the plan process, which looks to build on the strengths, knowledge, diversity, and experience of locals, to build a stronger, more resilient community.
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OUR VISION AND VALUES

The vision and values identified here emerged from the community conversations of 2017/18.

WHAT ARE WE WORKING TOWARDS?

An active, engaged, vibrant community.

A connected and united community.

A community where different opinions are heard, and contribute to a strong collective voice.

A community where people feel safe to live, to be who they are, to speak up.

A community that honours the Treaty and diversity.

A community that can continue to advocate for itself.

WHAT DO WE WANT TO TAKE INTO THE FUTURE?

People: relationships; people’s wisdom and experience; local resources, gifts, talents and strengths; the richness of diversity.

Our community facilities: such as the Linwood Community Arts Centre, Stanmore Book and Post, Linwood Village Market.

What makes this community special: diversity; funkiness and respect for difference outside of the norm; history, culture and heritage—bringing the past into the future.

WHAT DO WE HOPE TO ACHIEVE?

Practical actions e.g. developing, landscaping, or buying land.

Solutions for earthquake-related issues e.g. returning lost services.

Solutions for bigger issues e.g. working with local and central government to make changes.
OUR VISION AND VALUES

WHAT WILL GUIDE US?

By the community, for the community

- empowering the community
- raising awareness
- working towards creative neighbourhood solutions
- building strength, skills and networks that will last

Honouring who we are

- respect for the area’s history, culture and diversity
- people-centred not profit-centred
- not a one-size-fits-all approach
- Treaty-based: honouring Tangata Whenua first with all other types of diversity following
- taking a Human Rights perspective
- celebrating success and strengths

Cooperation

- starting where people are
- working at the community’s pace
- developing a shared vision
- working collectively for change
- building relationships
- looking for win-win solutions that work for the whole community
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THE WORKING GROUP’S PROCESS

The Working Group has established a process involving checking-in with the community about each theme in order to listen, to share what they have heard from locals and learned from experts, to check that they have understood, and to hear about possible solutions to each issue. A four step process has been developed as follows:

(1) ANALYSE THE ISSUE with assistance from Council data and expertise, community knowledge and networks and reference group expertise.

(2) ORGANISE COMMUNITY VOICE opportunities around each theme in order to hear from locals

(3) DRAW UP SOLUTIONS using knowledge from stages 1 & 2

(4) PILOT WHERE POSSIBLE seeking community input and learnings to adapt before adding to the Revitalisation Plan
OUR THEMES

TIDINESS AND APPEARANCE
Addressing the appearance of the ICE/Linwood area through building community pride and identity, arranging tidiness initiatives, education on rubbish disposal and recycling, and piloting an inorganic rubbish collection project.

GREEN SPACES
Exploring the current distribution and roles of different green spaces in the area. Obtaining more green spaces, plantings, trees and other ways of improving the look of the area and opportunities for people to enjoy the outdoors.

HOUSING
Looking at urban design in our area and at the kinds of developments taking place in order to identify preferred options for improving neighbourhood connectedness. Addressing the issue of homelessness and the mismatch between current housing developments and affordability to ensure a fit with local needs.

SAFETY
Enabling an inclusive community-wide conversation that addresses safety holistically, explores the issue in terms of root causes, and addresses concerns through practical solutions.

TRANSPORT
Looking at cycleway safety, public transport, parking and pedestrian safety and exploring options for improvements to these.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
Addressing the question of how to create an economically viable approach to neighbourhood businesses that serves local needs.

PEOPLE AND COMMUNITY
Celebrating our history, diversity and inclusiveness, creating a positive vibrant feel to the community, looking at the possibility of permanent community spaces in which to do this. Enhancing community conversations in person, through newsletters and on-line, to include as many residents as possible in the on-going move towards revitalisation of the area, building on the strengths of local people and local relationships.

“It’s always been a community led revitalisation plan. The ideas, the issues, the priorities come from the community.”
ADDRESSING THE THEMES

In the discussion that follows we address each of the themes by describing the issues that community members have identified in the many conversations that have taken place over the last year or more. It is important to note that these community conversations are on-going, enabling the Working Group to deepen its understanding of the issues and of the ways in which community members would like to see these addressed.

These conversations also enable the Working Group to identify community members who would like to be involved in particular issues in an on-going way. In this way, we seek to build capacity in the community and to draw on the expertise and enthusiasm that already exists in the ICE/Linwood neighbourhood to address its own challenges.

Each section describes an issue in brief, then details work that has been undertaken by the Working Group to date to address this issue.

Sections conclude with a list of the next steps proposed by the Working Group in order to move towards some solutions. Some of these solutions are already in place, others will take a considerably longer time to address.

To identify how the Working Group sees the time frame for these proposed actions, in the discussion that follows we use a key to indicate whether particular planned actions are short, medium or long-term actions, or are on-going. The key is as follows:

- An action that has been established and is on-going
  - An action that is planned for the short term, such as the next six - twelve months
  - An action that is planned for the medium term of 1-2 years
  - An action that is planned for the long term of 3-4 years and beyond
TIDINESS AND APPEARANCE

What is the issue?
Community concerns: Many people have voiced concerns about rubbish in the area, from small (litter dropped or falling out of full rubbish bins) to large (inorganic rubbish left on the roadside). Concerns have also been expressed about inadequate street sweeping and maintenance leading to blocked drains and a failure to fix leaking pipes, about derelict buildings which have been defaced with graffiti, and about empty sections overgrown with weeds. Weed growth in planted public spaces and grass verges not mown adds to the general untidiness.

Locals would like to see rubbish on the streets cleaned up, empty sections tidied, better maintenance of street frontages, earthquake damage repaired, derelict buildings demolished, and more street decorations.

What creates these problems?
- neglect, street cleaners and bin emptiers performing unsatisfactorily leading to infrequent emptying of bins
- a lack of bins, including recycling options
- high housing density with a lack of storage space leading to the dumping of rubbish (especially with high levels of renting and small houses)
- ignorance about how to get rid of rubbish, lack of access to trailers, high dump fees
- stagnation in the Village area

What forces promote change?
- strong sense of community
- there is motivation in the community for addressing this
- individuals volunteering
- Council's commitment to tidying the area
- the local school has a focus on pride in the neighbourhood

This issue is not simply about tidiness. It has wide-ranging implications for safety and perceptions of safety in the neighbourhood as well as for community identity and pride.

What have we done?

(i) Community Clean-up Day: in September, we partnered with Keep New Zealand Beautiful to have a large skip delivered to the Village and later emptied. Individuals were invited to bring their organic and inorganic rubbish to dump in the skip during
the week preceding the clean-up day. On the day itself, more than thirty people turned up to a working bee in the Village in which rubbish was picked up, street plantings were pruned, derelict sections were weeded and public areas were tidied.

(ii) Inorganic Rubbish Collection: With the Te Whare Roimata labour group we organised an initial scoping and pick-up of inorganic rubbish in the area. This expanded into a full pilot beginning at the end of October which is set to run for eight months. During this time analysis will be made of the rubbish – where it has been found, what types of rubbish are being collected and what scope there is for recycling and reuse.

(iii) Sustainable Ōtautahi Christchurch: SOC has expressed an interest in working in our area. We are in discussion with them about how they might assist us in the issue of recycling and reuse of rubbish.

What next steps do we propose?

(i) Institute an annual Community Clean-Up day in September each year in partnership with the local branch of Keep NZ Beautiful. [⇒]²

(ii) Support a group of locals who were involved in the clean-up day who wish to work to maintain the momentum of that day by putting into practice plans for on-going tidiness and beautification of the Village area. [⇒ ★]

(iii) Complete the pilot of inorganic rubbish collection and explore the possibility that this could grow into a social enterprise for the Te Whare Roimata labour group. This would provide employment for this group as well as enhancing the appearance of the area. Sorting (for reuse, recycling and landfill) will provide an environmentally friendly way to handle local rubbish. [⇒ ★]

Investigate funding options for this service including (i) the Innovation and Sustainability Fund (under the waste minimisation category) and (ii) exploring further whether a regular pick-up, or a pick-up by request, system would be popular with locals. [★]

² See the key for these symbols on pg. 10.
Partner with Sustainable Ōtautahi Christchurch, particularly in relation to running an education campaign on rubbish sorting and recycling. Analysis of material picked up in the pilot suggests that at least some of this material could go in the Council’s red bins. We will also work with SOC to explore ways to recycle or repurpose some of the commonly discarded inorganic rubbish such as mattresses (cf. the Rebound pilot in Timaru). [●]

“The issues all tie together... Let’s deal with the rubbish and that will make people feel good about the neighbourhood and that will create a safer environment.”
GREEN SPACE

What is the issue?
Community voice: Lack of green space in the area is an issue of long standing. Community conversations have indicated the importance of green space to locals and highlighted the lack of access to these spaces for many people in the neighbourhood. Community members would like to see more parks, trees, and plantings, with the addition of community gardens where appropriate. They would also like to see improved maintenance of these spaces. Concerns have been raised about safety in Doris Lusk reserve and in a number of other spaces in the neighbourhood.

Long Term Plan targets: The amount of green space in the Inner City East/Linwood is below the targets proposed in the City Council’s Long Term Plan. The LTP targets for service provision concerning green space include the following:
- 5.9 hectares of community parks per 1000 people
- 75% of people are less than 500 metres from a park
- 0.44 hectares of gardens per 1000 people
- 75% of people are less than 500 meters from a playground

Doris Lusk: One of the key green spaces in the area is the Doris Lusk reserve, directly adjacent to Linwood Village. Funding has been allocated in the Long Term Plan for the delivery of a ‘children’s interactive play art’ project in the reserve. However, before decisions are made about what kind of piece this should be, it would be useful to explore some basic questions with the community, such as the role of the reserve in the neighbourhood.

Planning for green spaces: The Council Open Space Strategy, Open Space guidelines and levels of service indicate a need for further green space in the area. There is an opportunity to progress discussions with Council about what is possible and to engage in a participatory design process with the community about green spaces. There is local expertise in the community which has been successfully drawn on for the Tiny Shops venture in Linwood Village.

What have we done?
We have gauged interest in the community for an overview of green spaces and as a result, a green spaces group is being established. This will include two Council staff. It will explore the situation in relation to the Council’s Long Term Plan (LTP) and the possibilities for a participatory design process.
We are also exploring the possibility that ICE/Linwood could be an exemplar of community-led planning in relation to furthering Council’s commitments regarding green space in the area.

**What next steps do we propose?**

*Consultation:* The green spaces group will organise community consultation events over the summer. This will include a pop-up park in Doris Lusk reserve in the new year, in order to look at (a) the purpose and function of Doris Lusk Reserve (b) ideas for the interactive play art and (c) needs and wants for future green space in the Inner City East/Linwood. Consultation may also include a workshop with Christchurch East School to get input from children and young people. [O]

*Participatory design:* Using data from these consultations, we will explore whether an appropriate response might be to engage in a process of community led participatory design, drawing on the expertise of people in the area. [●]

We will seek to engage with the Council about participating in a project to see how the LTP green space standard applies to the Inner City East/Linwood. [●]
Housing

What is the issue?

*Background:* The ICE/Linwood area is one of the oldest neighbourhoods in the city. It has traditionally offered a diverse mixture of housing, including a significant amount of affordable housing for those on low incomes. For example, it has historically been an important area of privately rented bedsit accommodation for single people. It also has many older character homes.

The February 2011 quake had a devastating impact on a lot of this housing stock. More than three hundred bedsits and single units were lost. Many landlords were underinsured and have struggled to rebuild. One result of the quakes, therefore, was that the bedsit community was displaced and this has created a situation in which homelessness and rough sleeping is an issue in the neighbourhood.

Post-quake, the deregulation of planning rules has had an impact on the neighbourhood through poor urban design. The interface with the street together with developments that are not ‘community-facing’ in the sense of building community connectedness are a concern. The result is an uneven collection of housing that does not encourage community cohesion or a coherent community identity. There is also concern that the affordable housing lost in the quakes has not been replaced to the level needed for low income residents.

*Community voice:* Community members have expressed concern about the loss of character and of affordable housing, the quality of design in new developments, and the lack of support and facilities for the homeless people who tend to congregate in the Village. There is also concern about the density of the new Housing NZ complexes where dozens of vulnerable people are living close together.

What have we done?

This is one of the most complex issues facing our neighbourhood. As such, we are working to understand the issues and possible ways forward to address these issues. Working group members have researched and written a background paper on the issue setting out the history, the context and the issues in relation to the City Plan.
We have appointed people with housing expertise and experience on our reference group and have begun discussions with them about the various paths we could take.

**What next steps do we propose?**

*Expert input*: Hold further discussions with our experts to understand what’s possible in our neighbourhood. [⇒ ○]

*Housing NZ*: Investigate with Housing NZ their plans to help integrate residents into local communities, particularly regarding community development practices. [○ ●]

*A plan for the future*: Explore the development of a proposal to put to Council, seeking staff resources to create a detailed plan that respects the character of the neighbourhood that will feed into the Council’s city planning in the next few years. As a community-led process this could be a template for other neighbourhoods. [● ■ ]
SAFETY

What is the issue?
Community voice: This is one of the most significant issues of concern in the ICE/Linwood community. People spoke about wanting to feel safe, but not feeling particularly safe in some places, such as the ATM in the Village, the bus stop at Stanmore-Gloucester Street corner, Doris Lusk reserve, the small park on Stanmore-Hereford corner and the space outside the former Video Ezy.

People were keen to have positive relationships with police and perhaps to have more community police. They were also keen that public drug and alcohol use be addressed. Some felt that street and other lighting in the Village area could be improved.

This is a complex and often contentious issue with close links to other issues including housing/homelessness, tidiness, green spaces, economic development and community connectedness.

What have we done?
Safety survey: We have conducted a community safety survey about places in the neighbourhood where people don’t feel safe, inviting ideas about these places and what could be done to make them feel more safe.

Expert advice: We have consulted with a former member of a community policing unit. Some of his advice has already been put in place, including the community-wide tidiness initiative. We are planning other forms of community activation through community events.

Conversations promoting understanding: We have begun a community-wide conversation based on the Conversation Café process (www.conversationcafe.org). This is a community process that enables inclusive conversations on difficult topics.

The reason this broad conversation is needed is to ensure that solutions can fully address these complex issues. For example, making the alcohol ban permanent and excluding members of the homeless community from the Village, are not long term solutions to the safety issue because they fail to deal with the underlying issues.

As part of addressing some of the safety concerns people have going to the Village, a person has been employed for two four-hour sessions per week to be a known and trusted presence there and to build relationships between those who are frequent visitors to the Village and those members of the homeless community who are based there.
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What next steps do we propose?

Conversations: Roll out the conversation café process with the topic ‘What are your thoughts about safety in the Inner City East/Linwood?’ This will involve training conversation hosts and organising conversation events in which community members will be invited to be part of small group conversations following the conversation café process. [O]

Analysis: Analyse the safety survey results and feed this data to the green spaces group. [O]

Engagement: Engage with local police and members of our reference group (such as the police, Housing New Zealand and the City Mission) to discuss specific safety concerns and possible solutions. [O]

Work with the solutions that emerge from the conversation café process. [O●●]
TRANSPORT

What is the issue?

Background: ICE/Linwood community members are less likely than the rest of Christchurch to own a car and more likely to travel on public transport or by bicycle.

According to available (2013) Census data, 6.5 per cent of people in the area use public transport to commute to work, more than double the Christchurch figure (3.1 per cent) and higher than the NZ figure (4.6 per cent). Additionally, the proportion of residents not owning a car is 23.4 per cent, compared with the Christchurch (and NZ) average of 7.9 per cent.

New housing developments in the area tend not to have either garage or parking spaces for cars. Public transport and cycling is the future for our neighbourhood.

A cycleway has been installed recently in Worcester St as part of the Rapanui-Shag Rock cycle trail, and there is change in the offing under the ECAn plan.

Community voice.

The cycleway. The Rapanui – Shag Rock Cycleway, from the Fitzgerald Avenue and Worcester Street intersection to Linwood Park, was completed and opened in December 2017. Residents in the ICE/Linwood area have expressed multiple concerns about the design of the portion of the cycleway along Worcester St.

- Traffic safety in using it is of significant concern to cyclists (e.g. the placing of speed bumps and their use, and lack of use, by cars, line of sight problems for those on the route, the phasing of some traffic lights, lack of clarity about behaviour in shared spaces, etc.).

- The design is not convenient for cyclists or cars.

- The parking that the cycleway has displaced is an issue for local businesses who are concerned about a loss of customers because of parking scarcity.

- Additionally, there are significant roads in the area that lack cycle lanes, making cycling around the area less safe than it could be.

Public transport. Community members do not have walking access to many essential services as these were lost from Linwood Village in the quakes. People must travel some distance to get to the doctor, the pharmacy, a Work and Income office, and so forth, as well as for shopping and social connection. This makes public transport a key issue.
The Regional Public Transport Plan does not cater well for the ICE/Linwood neighbourhood:

- the plan appears to favour frequency on high use routes over coverage and availability. This may significantly reduce accessibility, social connection and access to essential services for locals.
- there are already lengthy distances between bus stops in the area and a lack of proper shelter at some stops. For the elderly and disabled this is an issue. It is of further concern that these distances may increase under the new Plan.
- Affordability of public transport is an issue for some.

Both the cycleway and the public transport plan tend to treat the ICE/Linwood area as a thoroughfare between the suburbs and the central city, exacerbating fragmentation of the neighbourhood. Our area has an identity and coherence as a neighbourhood: encouraging transport around the neighbourhood, by bicycle or bus, will facilitate that cohesion.

What have we done?

*Mapping the situation:* We have mapped some of these traffic issues, including the specific problems with the cycleway. We have also mapped bus routes and stops and conducted a survey of bus users.

*Raising concerns:* We have made a submission to ECan on the Regional Public Transport Plan setting out our concerns about the potential loss of coverage and availability of public transport in order to increase frequency on high use routes.

In our submission, we called for more bus routes around the Inner City East/Linwood rather than routes making a thoroughfare of the neighbourhood between the suburbs and the city centre.

We have proposed to ECan that we would like to explore demand responsive transport for our area. This could take the form of a shuttle that would move around the area transporting people to essential services and other key destinations.

What next steps do we propose?

*Cycleway review:* We will organise a meeting with relevant Council staff to discuss the many concerns with the cycleway and seek a full-scale review of the neighbourhood’s leg of the Rapanui cycle way. [O]

*Shuttle service:* We will explore whether a feasibility study for a demand responsive shuttle in our area is possible. We will consult with other neighbourhoods around the four avenues to explore whether a service one day per week in each community might be viable. [O●]
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

What is the issue?
Community voice: feedback on the shopping centre of Linwood Village indicates that people would like to keep the current shops and increase the number of shops there. They do not want any more alcohol outlets. They would like to seek solutions for shoplifting and security. There are concerns about the viability of the Village in relation to the economic base in the community as it is a low income area.

Economic viability is a challenge for this area. With the loss of so many shops post-quake, the area cannot at present fulfil one of the key roles of a viable small shopping centre, which is to be a centre of convenience with a range of shops that address people’s needs on a daily basis. For example, there is no pharmacy, medical centre or MSD office. The Post Office is run largely by volunteers.

What next steps do we propose?
One way forward may be to consider this as an identity issue by making the area a destination shopping centre, i.e. a ‘go to’ area for ‘funky’, vintage, second-hand clothing and similar items. As well, the ‘convenience’ function could be further explored.

We will analyse these challenges, together with alternative neighbourhood economic models that build on community strengths.

―The Linwood shops have done so well to make it through this incredibly hard time. People are really keen to see them succeed and to bring back some of the vibrant buzz and energy and life that has always characterised Stanmore Rd.‖
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PEOPLE AND COMMUNITY

What is the issue?

Community voice: Residents of the ICE/Linwood community are committed to the area. Many have lived in the neighbourhood for years. They are keen to celebrate its history and its diverse and inclusive identity. They are keen to create a positive vibrant feel in the neighbourhood with opportunities for youth, and safety for children and older people. They are also keen for some permanent community spaces that will enable this to happen and for more opportunities for learning, recreation and play.

Regular newsletters (News On ICE) go to all residents advertising community events, appraising people of key local issues and telling community stories.

This theme ties in to other themes associated with community connection, activity and identity, including safety and economic development in the Village. Events such as the monthly market and the Inner City East Multicultural Festival provide opportunities for people to come together and also to show a positive face of the community to the wider city. People are positive about these events and would like to build on them.

What have we done?

We have created a website, Beyond the Frame, (www.beyondtheframe.org.nz) and a Facebook page, to record the work of revitalisation and to provide an online presence for connection with the community.

Regular News On ICE newsletters and (to date) three specific revitalisation newsletters keep the community informed about this on-going work.

We are in discussion with PlainsFM to collaborate on an audio archive/podcast series of an upcoming photo and oral history project.

We have begun to explore people’s hopes around community activation and will work with these ideas to add to the plan in the short-medium term.
What next steps do we propose?

*Stories of diversity and identity:* In February 2019 the annual Inner City East Multicultural Festival takes place in the Village. Part of this festival will be a photo exhibition. This will be an opportunity to combine local stories with photographs to tell some of the story of the diversity and identity of the Inner City East/Linwood. We will work with this process, inviting participants in the photo project to tell the story of ‘what helps me feel good about living here?’ [O]

We are currently talking with Plains FM about coverage for this event. We see this event as a way to draw more people into the conversation about community identity and other issues of interest and concern. [O]

In order to expand this process beyond the festival, we will arrange for a series of stories from diverse voices to be placed in News on ICE as a way to address wider community concerns such as identity, safety and pride in the neighbourhood. [●]

*Local history:* We will discuss with the local oral history group about keeping a record of some of these stories and possibly expanding the story/photo project to make a more permanent display of local history. [●]
APPENDIX 1. A RECENT HISTORY OF THE AREA

Over the years, particularly since the post war period, the Inner City East/Linwood area has been valued by its residents for its proximity to the central city, its affordable housing, and the character of many of its homes. The area was also characterised by a significant amount of bedsit accommodation which played a crucial role in housing single people at low cost.

The area has always been ranked high on the NZ Deprivation Index as a low income neighbourhood with a high level of rental accommodation. It is nevertheless an area of vibrant diversity, and is much loved by locals. In recent times, however, the affordability of housing and the distinctive character of the neighbourhood has come under threat. This process began in the 1990s and has continued into post-quake times.

Here is a short account of some of the recent history of the Inner City East/Linwood area.

1995 – 2005

REDEVELOPMENT

This decade was a troubled time for many neighbourhoods across New Zealand, with unemployment peaking at over ten per cent in 1992, growing inequality, poverty and poor health experiences for many people.

The Inner City East/Linwood experienced all of these difficulties. As well, during this time, there was a residential land shortage in the central city and this encouraged rapid redevelopment in the neighbourhood. Many of the traditional 1-person bedsits disappeared, new developments were often of poor design, and the heritage character of the area began to be lost.

Residents responded with an Environment Court action over both the lack of local amenities and the negative impact of the redevelopment process. A combined Inner City Residents’ Association was established and a call was made for better Planning Guidelines.

2006 – 2009

DIVERSITY AND CHANGE

This period saw an increase in ethnic diversity in the area and it began to take on a multicultural identity becoming one of the most diverse neighbourhoods in the city.
Challenges emerged as Linwood Village on Stanmore Rd began to struggle with the impact of the large shopping malls in Linwood and Shirley. Important services were lost despite the best efforts of residents to keep them. The bank closed, the Work and Income office closed and the Post shop was downgraded, while the number of alcohol outlets increased.

Local residents challenged these developments through concerted community action, but without much success.

---

**2010 – 2014**

**EARTHQUAKE**

The Inner City East/Linwood and its people were hard hit by the 2010/11 earthquakes.

Over three hundred bedsit rooms were lost and sixty per cent of the shops in the Linwood Village were destroyed. Landlords struggled to repair their housing and only one shop in the Village was rebuilt.

Visible signs of homelessness and rough sleeping in the area emerged. Housing redevelopment, meanwhile, proceeded apace, not necessarily in ways that responded to the concerns of local residents or that safeguarded the character of the neighbourhood.

A crackdown on drugs in the nearby neighbourhood of Phillipstown pushed drug houses into the Inner City East/Linwood. A shop selling legal highs opened in the Village.

There was a strong community response to these developments, facilitated by Te Whare Roimata, the local community development organisation. A period of sustained advocacy to the Christchurch City Council and Central Government began.

---

**2015 – 2016**

**STRUGGLES AND SUCCESSES**

Concerns grew about the slow pace of the post-quake recovery in the area. A number of essential services failed to return and some tensions developed within the neighbourhood.

Advocacy for the area stepped up and community-led projects began to emerge. With Council funding, Te Ao café and the Linwood Village market were established.
In a landmark case, community members successfully opposed the opening of a bottle store in the Village. The Post shop was saved from closure and now runs with mostly volunteer help.

2016 – 2017

COMMUNITY-LED REVITALISATION

In the summer of 2016/17, in response to residents’ concerns about the challenges facing the community, Te Whare Roimata conducted a community survey.

In the autumn, the results of the community survey became the foundation for Te Whare Roimata’s proposal to the Christchurch City Council to support a community-led revitalisation plan process for the area. In October, the Council approved the plan process, agreeing to work with the community as a partner.

Around this time, Te Whare was commissioned by Te Punī Kōkiri to write a report on the situation of Māori who were homeless and vulnerable in the area.

Meanwhile, in the Village in the autumn and winter of 2017, a Koha Garden was established, and planning began for a Tiny Shops project.

Over the 2017/18 summer, as part of the revitalisation plan process, Te Whare Roimata conducted extensive community conversations. People spoke about how much they loved their community, its people, and its diversity. They shared concerns about safety, rubbish, the loss of shops at the Village, poverty and inequality, public substance use, addiction, the lack of parks and green spaces, and the loss of the area’s character buildings.

2018

PROGRESS

The ICE/Linwood community conversations continued. Some key themes were identified and priorities emerged. A vision emerged of an active, engaged and vibrant community that is connected and united, where different opinions are heard and contribute to a strong collective voice.
An independent facilitator was employed to help establish a Working Group of local people. The group began work in May, using the vision and themes as its baseline for proceeding towards the development of a Revitalisation Plan and working with the community on a number of projects arising from the themes identified over the previous eighteen months and beyond.

In other developments, chronic homelessness in the Inner City East/Linwood became a focus for the newly launched Housing First programme. And in the Linwood Village, the Tiny Shops project was launched.
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APPENDIX 3: SELECTED CENSUS DATA

Data is for 2013 unless stated. As per Statistics NZ’s guidelines, percentages are calculated using the ‘total stated’ population as the denominator (excludes residual categories such as not stated, not elsewhere included).

Data Source: Statistics New Zealand, Census of Population and Dwellings, and CCC GIS information.

Spatial Extent: Inner City East/Linwood Village Area – as defined spatially bounded by Madras Street, Kilmore Street/Avonside Drive, Cashel Street.

POPULATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>CHC change</th>
<th>NZ Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001 Census Usual Resident Population</td>
<td>5,874</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006 Census Usual Resident Population</td>
<td>6,369</td>
<td>+8.43%</td>
<td>+7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 Census Usual Resident Population</td>
<td>5,679</td>
<td>-10.8%</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This area experienced significantly higher loss of housing following the earthquakes.

HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dwelling Type</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>2,571</td>
<td>97.8%</td>
<td>99.6%</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Private</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A number of non-private dwellings exist in this areas (guest accommodation, aged care, and boarding houses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linwood Area Unit</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population in Non-Private Dwellings</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A high number of residents live in non-private housing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linwood Area Unit</th>
<th>CHC</th>
<th>NZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Persons per private house</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A high number of residents live alone in this area, reducing the number of persons per households

Household Composition for Occupied Private Dwellings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One-person household</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-family household (with or without other people)</td>
<td>1,065</td>
<td>43.6%</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-family household (with or without other people)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three or more family household (with or without other people)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other multi-person household</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* High number of one person households and high number of multi-person household

AGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Broad Age Groups</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Change since 06</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>Change since 06</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
<th>Change since 06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 15yrs</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>+1%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>-7%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 15 – 65yrs</td>
<td>4,452</td>
<td>78.1%</td>
<td>-2.4%</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>-2.5%</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
<td>+3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 65yrs</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>+1.1%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>+8.2%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>+22.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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* Age profile is younger than Christchurch and National averages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ETHNIC GROUPS (total responses, may identify with more than one)</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European</td>
<td>3,591</td>
<td>69.9%</td>
<td>83.9%</td>
<td>74.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māori</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Peoples</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Eastern/Latin American/African</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Ethnicity</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Study Area is more ethnically diverse than other areas of Chch, more closely aligned to national averages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESIDENCE 5 YEARS AGO</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Same</td>
<td>1,203</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsewhere in New Zealand</td>
<td>2,520</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Born 5 years ago</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseas</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Population of the study area have moved more recently than population in other parts of NZ and Chch on average, there is also a higher number of recent migrants to the area compared to Chch and national Averages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INCOME</th>
<th>Personal Income (pop &gt;15yrs)</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under $20,000</td>
<td>1,656</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,001 - $50,000</td>
<td>1,887</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over $50,000</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linwood Area Unit</th>
<th>CHC</th>
<th>NZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median Personal Income ($)</td>
<td>$25,400</td>
<td>$29,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The population of the study area tends to have a lower personal income than population in other areas of Christchurch and NZ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Income (pop &gt;15yrs)</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under $20,000</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,001 - $30,000</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,001 - $50,000</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,001 - $70,000</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$70,001 - $100,000</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over $100,000</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linwood Area Unit</th>
<th>CHC</th>
<th>NZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median Household Income ($)</td>
<td>$42,200</td>
<td>$65,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Households in the study area on average have a lower household income than households in other areas of Christchurch and New Zealand do on average. This is both due to lower personal incomes and smaller household unit sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INCOME SOURCE</th>
<th>Income Source (pop &gt;15yrs)</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wages/Salary/Business/Investment/Superannuation</td>
<td>3,081</td>
<td>69.6%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Income</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Social Welfare (Unemployment, DPB, Sickness, Invalids, Student Allowance) 1,104 25% 13% 13.4%
* More people in this area are recipients of a form of social welfare than the New Zealand and Christchurch averages.

QUALIFICATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highest Qualification (pop &gt;15yrs)</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Qualification</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1-4 Certificate or Overseas secondary School Qualification</td>
<td>2,052</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
<td>49.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma (Level 5-6)</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor Degree</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-graduate, Honours, Masters, or Doctorate Degrees</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The area has a similar level of educational achievement to the Christchurch and National averages.

OCCUPATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation (pop &gt;15yrs)</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Managers</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionals</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technicians and Trades Workers</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community and Personal Service Workers</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical and Administrative Workers</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Workers</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery Operators and Drivers</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labourers</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These figures indicate higher levels of employment in traditionally lower income industries than the New Zealand and Christchurch averages and higher percentage employed in historically male dominated industries.

EMPLOYMENT STATUS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labour Force Status</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Time Employment</td>
<td>2,457</td>
<td>53.4%</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part Time Employment</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not in the Labour Force</td>
<td>1,347</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* As there is a higher level of working age population in the area, there is subsequently higher unemployment and high employment averages than elsewhere in New Zealand and Christchurch.

TENURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenure for those in private occupied dwellings</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owned or partly owned</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
<td>49.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not owned or held in a family trust</td>
<td>1,704</td>
<td>75.3%</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Held in a family trust</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The average number of people who do not own their home is significantly higher than Christchurch and National Averages, this has flow on impacts to other statistics.

HEATING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heating source for those in private occupied dwellings</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(multiple selection allowed)</th>
<th>Electricity 2,175</th>
<th>93.4%</th>
<th>94.0%</th>
<th>79.2%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Fuel (coal and wood)</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sources</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Fuel</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These figures indicate most people only have one form of heating; electricity. This may be a concern if electricity prices were to rise and may result in fuel poverty issues.

**TRAVEL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Travel to work on census day (pop &gt;15yrs)</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Did not work or worked from home</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drove or travelled in a vehicle</td>
<td>1,683</td>
<td>60.4%</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>67.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Took Public Transport</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycled, walked, or jogged</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* More people on average in this area compared to national and Christchurch averages used public transport or travelled via active transport means to work, resulting in lower levels of vehicle use to travel to work.

**Number of Motor Vehicles per privately owned households**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linwood Area Unit</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Vehicles</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Motor Vehicle</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Motor Vehicles</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three or more motor vehicles</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The area has less car ownership than other areas on average in Christchurch and New Zealand

**FAMILY TYPE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family Type (Families in private dwellings only)</th>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>CHC Percentage</th>
<th>NZ Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Couple without children</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>42.8%</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple with child(ren)</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>39.8%</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One parent with child(ren)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* There is a higher average number of couples without children or single parent households than other areas of New Zealand or Christchurch
OTHER DATA INFORMATION ABOUT THE STUDY AREA.

VACANT LAND
Commercial Vacant Land: Approximately 35,000sqm

(1/2 of which is the Stadium site, ~25 other sites including former Wards Brewery Site)

Residential Vacant Land: Approximately 68,000sqm (two large sites – Residential demonstration site, and Holy Trinity Church site, ~60 other sites)

COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE AND OTHER ELEMENTS
Churches 7

Gaming Venues 2

Parks 8 (Primarily small community parks, except Beverley Park) – Latimer Square, and Avon River on periphery

Playgrounds 3

Schools 1 (Christchurch East Primary)

Tree Lined Streets: Roughly 2/3 of all streets in the area have street trees or are tree lined, primarily clustered near Fitzgerald Ave

Commercial Areas: Located between the Linwood/Eastgate district Centre, and the Central City core commercial cone, in the middle of the area is located Linwood Village, a neighbourhood centre at Stanmore Road/Worcester Street intersection. Several small commercial local areas are also located within the study area.

Residential Zoning: Mostly Zoned Residential Medium Density, and some Residential Central City.

HOUSING OWNERSHIP
Market Rent (MBIE Market Rent Figures Jan 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Linwood/Phillipstown Area</th>
<th>CHC</th>
<th>NZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower Range Weekly Medium Rent ($)</td>
<td>$265.00</td>
<td>$247.00</td>
<td>$270.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Market Weekly Rent ($)</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$381.00</td>
<td>$350.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Range Weekly Medium Rent ($)</td>
<td>$360.00</td>
<td>$481.00</td>
<td>$413.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Property Owners with Multiple Properties

A significant proportion of properties are not owner occupied, and are rented out to tenants. A large number of owners have multiple properties in the area for this purpose. Twenty five persons own more than five properties each in this area (including institutional landlords).
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:

YRRRA

Your role in the organisation:

Member

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?:

140

First Name: Michelle
Last Name: Clark

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

We are asking for funding to repair the local halls roof, owned by the council, that is resulting in on-
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Clark, Michelle organisation: YRRA behalf of: Member going water damage.

We are also asking for funding for the repair works required to have the Hall back for the community.

Funding documents attached.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hall funding request for council - V2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REQUEST FOR FUNDING FOR YALDHURST MEMORIAL HALL
Yaldhurst Rural Residents Association

The below request is two-fold

1) To request funding for the immediate repair of the roof of the Yaldhurst Memorial Hall to ensure no further water damage occurs.

2) To request funding for the ongoing repair works of the Yaldhurst Memorial Hall as a useable state for the Yaldhurst Community

Background

The Yaldhurst Memorial Hall is a local community resource. The land was gifted by residents and as part of the government memorial hall policy of the time. The community raised fifty percent of the cost of the hall to ensure a memorial could be built. The policy also stated the hall needed to be handed over to the local governing body for ownership.

The hall was damaged in the 2010 earthquake. At that stage the local community did basic clean up works while repair strategies were arranged with the owner of the hall.

- As we are aware, Christchurch City Council did not individually insure all its properties.
- Despite insurance being paid out of the Yaldhurst Hall accounts, the hall was not considered as part of any insurance works.
- The council forgot its ownership of the Hall, and while the residents assumed the repair was being considered, the funds for all earthquake repairs were allocated without consideration for the Hall.
- The resident’s association has engaged the community and there is a great desire to have the hall and a business case to support it. They have also engaged architects, engineers and a quantity surveyor to work through costs and options for repair.
- The Hall has a hole in the roof that has caused some water damage. We request for this to be funded immediately as part of the annual plan so remedial works can occur on the building.

Urgent remedial work on roof to ensure weather proofed

As no one has been able to access the hall for repair, the pre-existing hole has resulted in water damage.

- The Hall roof needs urgent attention, the Eastern spouting has been ripped off and stolen and the damage from removing this has allowed water to enter the building, this happened pre earthquake.
- The Gable at the southern end of the hall around the chimney has moved and been damaged in the earthquakes exposing a large face to the elements, there is a significant amount of water entering the building here.
- The roof around the chimney also needs re-flashing where the chimney has moved, ideally the chimney would be removed and this area re roofed rather than flashing.
- The entire building needs to be treated for Borer
- Approximate cost $5000
Funding of repair work of Hall

The Hall was damaged earthquake and has been missing from the community, we are asking for the repair of the Hall to be put in the annual plan.

- The hall has come through the earth quakes incredibly well but requires some structural strengthening to bring it up to 67%- our engineer currently has the hall at 32%- the unreinforced block walls need to be Helix fixed together and the concrete columns need to be tied together, there is also minor tying together of walls to rafters.
- The floor will need some reinstatement in line with the columns as 5 800mm wide strips will need to be cut out to allow the installation of the ties for the columns.
- It is undetermined if the above works will trigger building consent, if it does trigger building consent, then it may required the toilets to be upgraded to allow accessibility, this may also trigger the install of ramps.

THE YALDHURST COMMUNITY

The Yaldhurst community is a very committed one. Every year we utilise the generous offer from the council for a community day where we hold a garden party for all those in the neighborhood, people bring food and donate time to ensure we can connect.

We have no indoor facility to meet and so have meetings in cold scout dens, cramped school rooms, local member’s house or cafes.

Unlike other communities, we do not chat over the fence or meet at the letter box, our community is remote and isolated, so connection is essential for the welfare of our community.

The are many historical and traditional reasons why the community wants to keep the hall, however the need for the community is greater.

Over the last 8 years the Yaldhurst community has experienced the same wellbeing challenges as other areas of Christchurch, they were on the edge of the first Epicenter in 2010 and have continued to struggle through these uncertain times. In addition, the community landscape has changed, and many have been forced to move or change their lifestyle due to Quarries and the commercialisation of the rural land around them, this has left the community tired and worn down and in need of support. Unfortunately, further events (15th March) in Christchurch have highlighted the need for all people within a local community to connect.

With the mental health concerns of Christchurch on the rise, the additional challenges endured the community and remote living of many in rural areas this needs to be addressed.

The key use of the hall will be to ensure the well-being of the community is taken care off.

Connect

- This is an essential place for people to join together for different reasons, support groups, sports groups, community events etc

Be active

- Sports groups, yoga, fitness, martial arts, dances are just some of the proposed uses of the hall
Learn something

- The activities held in the Hall will give community members the opportunity to learn new skills

Take notice

- The hall will continue to deliver on the intent of reflection and gratitude for those who have gone before, not only the soldiers but those who worked so hard to establish the hall

Give

- It is proposed a lot of use and restoration of the hall will be volunteer hours, as it was previously.

History of the hall

- The Hall has been an integral part of the community since 1946, what is stands for and how it is used, is intertwined in the community spirit of being together and looking after each other.

- In 1946 a committee was established with the purpose of building a memorial hall
- Land was gifted by the Kyle family (a local family still situated in Yaldhurst)
- The community fundraised (600 pounds) and applied for the pound for pound subsidy for the grant for the memorial hall. Fundraising included growing ‘a fine crop of potatoes’.
- In line with government expectations at the time, the building itself is the memorial, not simply the plaques inside.
- It is understood that a condition of the grant was the hall had to be vested to the local authority to be an appropriate guardian of the building.
- The hall was opened in 1964 by Mr McAlpine (Member of Parliament for Selwyn and Minister of Railways) stating ‘I think those who fought would appreciate this memorial – a useful addition to amenities of the district’
- The hall has been used for weddings, dances, birthdays, community events, and was still in use until the 2010 and 2011 earthquake

CONCLUSION

- We would like both the urgent roof repair and the full repair work of the hall be put on the annual plan.

We will be in touch with you next week to arrange a follow-up conversation on the proposal.

Thank you for your consideration,

Michelle Clark
C/- YRRRA
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:

Spreydon Neighbourhood Network

Your role in the organisation:

Secretary

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?:

3,000 leaflets deliv

First Name: Sonya

Last Name: Hodder

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Transport - Bus systems through to Westmoreland should be continued.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Hodder, Sonya organisation: Spreydon Neighbourhood Network behalf of: Secretary
Want to support lights to be installed at the Craycroft/Hoon Hay intersection due to the high volume of traffic.

Flood Protection - Consistent flooding in Stourbridge and Mountford Streets, Spreydon after heavy downpours.

Heritage - we are interested in work progressing on the Coronation Hall in Domain Terrace, Spreydon.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:
Beckenham Neighbourhood Association

Your role in the organisation:
Vice-Chairperson

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?:
60+

First Name: Peter
Last Name: Tuffley

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.
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CCC DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN 2019–2020
SUBMISSION BY BECKENHAM NEIGHBOURHOOD ASSOCIATION INCORPORATED

PREAMBLE
1. As a preface to our discussion of the Draft Annual Plan, we would like to place on record our
appreciation of the civic leadership shown by the Mayor in the wake of the terrorist attack of 15
March – coming as it did so soon after the Council’s celebration of cultural diversity in the annual
“Culture Galore” festival. She has helped to steady the nerves of our shocked and shaken
community, and we thank her on behalf of our fellow residents.

2. Turning to the Draft Plan: subject to some of the matters raised below, we are broadly in
favour of it, just as we generally supported the approach set out in the LTP.

3. While we understand that the purpose of consultation over the Draft Annual Plan is to focus
on amendments to the LTP, we feel it appropriate to reiterate some of the concerns and other matters
that we raised when addressing the LTP, lest those matters be lost sight of.

FINANCIAL MATTERS
4. We welcome the proposed aim of achieving a balanced budget, though we would caution
against turning that goal into a political fetish.

5. We also welcome the achievement of a lower overall rate increase than previously envisaged,
fulfilling the LTP commitment to diminishing increases. However, we note with concern that the
Draft Plan proposes that above-average % rate increases, although smaller in absolute terms, should
fall on ratepayers many of whom may well be less affluent, while owners of properties of higher-
than-average value are to pay lower % increases. This runs counter to New Zealand’s tradition of
progressive taxation, at a time when central government is seeking to lift people out of poverty.

6. As stated, in our comments last year on the LTP, we expect the Council to exercise creativity
with a view to further reducing the scale of future rate increases without prejudicing the goals set out
in the LTP, and for this reason we support in principle the proposition that the Council should
explore other possible sources of revenue, provided that potential fresh revenue sources identified
by the Council are not be implemented without public consultation.

WATER-RELATED MATTERS
7. Because the pleasant character of our suburb owes much to the Beckenham Loop of the
Heathcote, matters related to the quality and quantity of water – especially flooding and pollution –
have always been of particular concern to us, especially since the loop has 5 emergency overflow
points. We therefore welcomed the high level of priority in the LTP in the allocation of resources to
wastewater as well as to water supply.

8. We particularly applaud the Mayor’s setting of safe and sustainable water supply at the top
of the LTP list of strategic directions, as well as the proposed additional spending on wastewater and
flow monitoring.

Wastewater, Stormwater and Overflows
9. We were pleased last year to see that the LTP prioritized work on stormwater over work on
wastewater infrastructure, since it is stormwater inflow into the system that predominates in
precipitating emergency overflows.
10. We recall that, in public discussion held in 2017 regarding the possible application to ECAN for a new overflow consent, Council staff were advocating a shift from incidence-based to effects-based consent conditions. Although the need for such an application has apparently receded into the fairly distant future, we are concerned that such a shift in philosophy may in the intervening years continue to influence the approach taken by staff to the monitoring of overflows, to the point at which, by the time the next application comes due, it might become the basis on which the next consent is sought.

11. Accordingly, while acknowledging the importance of monitoring the ecological and other effects of emergency overflows, we think it appropriate to reiterate our two principal reservations regarding the mooted shift away from incidence-based consent criteria, namely:

11.1. One effect of such a shift would foreseeably be to “muddy the legal waters” by making it more problematic to determine whether a consent infringement has occurred, hence increasing the doubtfulness of the consenting authority being able to secure a conviction, and thereby deterring the consenting authority from initiating prosecution, thereby making it possible for the Council to pollute waterways more frequently with greater impunity.

11.2. The frequency of overflow incidence is what residents are understandably most directly and immediately aware of and concerned about. In our view, therefore, incidence-based criteria must remain the principal yardstick in monitoring overflows.

Water Supply and Chlorination
12. We welcomed the LTP commitment to the goal of returning our drinking water to its original pristine chlorine-free state. We applaud the progress that has been made towards that goal, and the further steps that are envisaged. Should the Government accept the Havelock North Inquiry recommendation for permanent chlorination, we would support the Council’s applying for an exemption.

13. We remain concerned that the temporary chlorination of our drinking water may be used by ECAN, or by agricultural interests, as a pretext for further endangering the state of aquifers by increased laxity with regard to water-taking and agricultural pollution. We would urge the Council to be vigilant on this score, given ECAN’s dubious track record regarding water quality (quite apart from its collusion with Cloud Ocean Water over bottling).

14. We applaud the public stance taken by the Council on the Cloud Ocean bottling issue, and we urge the Council to either seek, or support other efforts to seek, a judicial review of ECAN’s consent to the company’s extraction of water for bottling. As the custodian of our water supply, we firmly believe that the Council cannot afford not to take a firm stand on this issue.

Mid-Heathcote Bank Stabilisation
15. We are pleased with the work that has been done hitherto, and welcome the inclusion in the Draft Plan of further spending on this.

PARKS AND HERITAGE
Mid Heathcote Linear Park Masterplan Implementation
16. We are pleased to see that the LTP provision for expenditure in 2019–20 ($237,000), and 2020–21 ($121,000) has been retained. However, given that the original budget for this project considerably exceeded that total, we remain concerned that the LTP projected no continuation of funding beyond 2021 – and we would reiterate our request made last year for an explanation.
Canterbury Provincial Council Buildings
17. We would reiterate our hope that, in the course of developments during the life of the LTP, repair of these buildings may come to be regarded as more affordable to the extent that at least some preliminary/preparatory work can be undertaken, and that in the meantime the Council will ensure that the buildings are thoroughly protected against exposure to the elements and to marauding pigeons and other bird life.

TRANSPORTATION AND FOOTPATHS
18. We note that, since the adoption of the LTP, a newly-arrived feature of the city’s transportation scene has been the advent of Lime scooters. In our view these scooters and their attendant hazards both for pedestrians and users should not have been let loose on our city’s footpaths without more thorough public consultation than appears to have taken place. We look to the Council to take stronger measures to protect pedestrians in particular from this intrusive hazard, and we would strongly suggest that, if these scooters are allowed to continue to be used, the operating company be required, in the spirit of paragraph 6 above, to become a source of considerable revenue.

COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND COMMUNITY OUTCOMES
19. In our submission on last year’s LTP we supported deferral of expenditure on post-earthquake repairs to the South Library and Service Centre.

20. We remain of that view. The building appears to have remained serviceable and in no immediate need of repair. We therefore remain in support of the proposed further deferral of expenditure until 2021–22.

21. We welcome the proposed additional spending on 17 local projects across all community boards. We have been pleased to see our own Community Board embarking on an “age-friendliness” project, and we would like to see the Council encourage and facilitate similar initiatives in other parts of the City.

OTHER MATTERS
Local Democracy
22. We of course look forward to the restoration of full regional democracy (hopefully with no unelected appointees) from this year’s local elections onwards, and to the subsequent establishment of harmonious working relations between the Council and a fully elected ECan. The current ECan’s negative response to the Council’s concerns regarding the impact of Cloud Ocean’s water bottling makes it difficult to be to hopeful in this regard, but one has to hope nevertheless.

Policy on Council Working Relations With Residents’ Groups
23. Some 12 or more years ago the Council established a working group, with representatives from residents’ groups across the city, to review and update Council policy on this subject. Regrettably, the Council terminated the work of this group before it had reached any conclusions. We think it is time to revisit and complete this unfinished task.

Pest Management
24. We welcome the proposal to improve the quality and scope of pest plant data.

Recycling
25. We note (with regretful understanding) the proposal to increase recycling processing changes by $3.2 million in response to changes in the global market for recyclable materials. We suggest that
it would also be appropriate to explore alternative opportunities to profitable use of such materials, and we would point to the example of the bench at St Martins New World made from recycled soft plastic collected at that supermarket.

New Technology
26. As noted above, Lime scooters appeared on the scene since the formulation of the LTP, giving the Council little time in which to form a considered response. In the light of this experience, we think it would be sensible for the Council to develop a protocol for addressing the unforeseen emergence of new technologies that may require a policy response because of their impact on the community.

Social Housing
27. We note that the Draft Plan envisages a moderate degree of uncertainty regarding the risk that lease payments received from the Otautahi Community Housing Trust may not be sufficient to enable the social housing portfolio to be financially viable in the long term, or that higher than expected expenditure (e.g. due to asset failure or external events) may reduce short-term financial sustainability. Although that risk is deemed to be moderate, we think it would be timely for the Council to revisit its current policy so as to allow for the use of rate revenue to meet shortfalls should either of these risks materialise.

IN CONCLUSION
28. This submission is offered in the spirit of dialogue and partnership expressed in the Mayor’s Introduction to last year’s LTP and her invitation to “a serious conversation about what we prioritise”.

29. It has been pleasing to see that the Rebuild Minister has fulfilled the expectation that Council and Government work together in a more harmonious and fruitful partnership than was possible under the previous régime.

30. Subject to various matters that have been touched upon in this Submission we broadly support this year’s Draft Annual Plan

31. We wish to be heard in relation to this Submission.

April 2019
On behalf of the Beckenham Neighbourhood Association Incorporated

Peter Tuffley (Vice Chair)
Apologies that this submission is late but I hope it can still be included in the analysis and reporting.

The St Alburn Residents Association (SARA) submits that the CCC focus projects around their social responsibility to the residents of Christchurch and finding better outcomes to deal with climate change.

SARA would like to see the Council be bold, to do and fund things differently within the realm of transportation. We would like to see the Council position itself as the leader to ensure the inter-agency transport planning required to improve Christchurch’s current transport landscape happens now. The structural inefficiency of the current system with different organisations all being responsible for parts of transportation is not working and we are being left with projects like the DEMP which are lemons. The transport landscape needs to be simplified to foster change.

We would like to speak to our submission,

Emma Twaddle
on behalf of St Alburns Residents Association (SARA) Inc

Clearer mandates around agency responsibilities and inter-agency collaboration, and appropriate resourcing and support.

St Alburns Residents Association (SARA) Inc plays a part in the development of St Alburns as a vibrant and healthy community through the involvement and empowerment of its residents. The organisation actively promotes and maintains the interests of the full diversity of the residents of St Alburns.

SARA was incorporated in 1998 and is a registered charity. It is governed by a volunteer management committee and our projects have local volunteer project managers.
From: Hess, Adrianna
Sent: Tuesday, 2 April 2019 11:58 a.m.
To: Pierre, Anne
Subject: FW: Annual Plan Submission
Attachments: Annual Plan Submission.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Thank you !!!

From: Campbell, Ann
Sent: Tuesday, 2 April 2019 7:19 a.m.
To: Hess, Adrianna
Subject: FW: Annual Plan Submission

Thanks Adrianna 😊

From: Marc Duff
Sent: Monday, 1 April 2019 7:33 p.m.
To: Campbell, Ann
Subject: Annual Plan Submission

Hi Ann

My bad but I thought the Annual Plan consultation would close at midnight tonight. Can our submission please be accepted if a few hours late.

Regards Marc Duff
Firstly as a newly formed group that is coming up to our first birthday we would like to acknowledge the wonderful support and guidance we have received from the Waipuna/Hornby-Halswell-Hornby governance team and our elected representatives in the Hornby ward.

We acknowledge the testing times the Council has been placed in since the earthquakes in trying to carry out basic maintenance but also built new facilities that bring stimulation to the city and its suburbs.

Aging infrastructure assets and earthquake damage means a large amount of asset renewal is required. The funding of $15.3 billion in capital projects over the next 30 years we stand by as it is well known the longer you delay asset renewal the costs increase.

We therefore thank you for continuing to support the South West Leisure Centre and for its proposed placement in Kyle Park which will bring much benefit to our community especially the youth.

While it is important to fix the roads etc, it is important we continue to build for the future and have facilities that keep our youth in Christchurch (our future) and don’t drive them out of Christchurch because of lack of facilities and therefore turning Christchurch into one major rest home village.

The balance is difficult with the repairs vs. strategic planning for the future to ensure once the repairs are finished that we have roads leading to facilities the residents need that make us the city of oppurunity and proud of our city. We look forward to the stadium and convention centre becoming operational as they will generate stimulus and jobs in the city that will create wealth that will in turn allow issues like social housing to be addressed with greater funding.
a. Water – Applaud you have made this the top priority for Council to protect our source of water to ensure it is safe and good to drink.

b. Main concern of residents is to see the Council deliver on what residents consider to be the core responsibilities.

A recent Salvation Army stated that the Hornby Community need greater investment in their infrastructure wanting better roads. Residents commented that “Hornby needs help as well as the other side of town, seems to be a lot of focus there. This area is growing too fast. It’s becoming a bottleneck. This is a disjointed community that needs a plan. Hornby is growing too fast with a plan”.

“The influx of new residents, businesses and activity since the earthquakes has added greater stress to our roads and surrounding infrastructure. It has made travelling around Hornby harrowing a point not lost on the authors of the Salvation Army report who observed the heavy trucks and overall traffic throughout the area”

The scrit rebuild maintenance and the deferred maintenance in Hornby will see the Council facing increased costs in years to come to bring our roads back to standard.

The residents of Hornby see the core responsibility of the City Council being

1. Roading and timely repairs of roads.
2. Drainage and flooding issues
3. Refuse Collection and Disposal
4. Three Waters – Delivery of safe water
5. Social Housing and
6. Facilities for residents – Library, Halls, Pools etc

c. Waste – We understand this increased rate due to the change in international market conditions to dump waste and it is important residents pay their part in reducing waste as much as possible

d. Multi Sports Arena: Support/Don’t support. The cost share agreement with the crown, we support you in your attempts to negotiate a global settlement with the crown and sooner, rather than later.
e. Cycle way funding: Are we building the best routes or are we as suspected by many in the community the route that gets the council the most infrastructure repairs.

f. New Traffic Management Fees: If this is for events we question why, when one of the aims for the council is to build a vibrant city. We would ask that Community Groups who are normally volunteer in nature can seek a rebate on this fee when running community events i.e Hornby Residents Community Party in the Park.

g. Hornby Service Centre (in the library): We would like to see funding for the Hornby Service Centre to be increased to allow the service centre to be open 40 hours a week on par with other similar service centres in Christchurch.

   It has already been mentioned that Hornby’s population and business growth has been rapid since the earthquake. The demands on the Hornby Citizens Advice Bureau have increased to record numbers we therefore ask with the South West Leisure Centre/Library and Council facilities not open until 2022 that we get an immediate increase in the Service Centres hours to 40 hours to better serve the community.

Thank you, we welcome any questions
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Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

☒ Individual
☒ Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:
Halswell Residents Association (Inc.)

Your role in the organisation:
Secretary

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?:
Our mailing list has

First Name:  Last Name: *
David  Hawke

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

☒ Yes
☒ I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

See attached PDF
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HRA CCC Draft Annual Plan 2019 submission v2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Submission: Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 (Christchurch City Council)
Date: 19 March 2019
Wish to be heard: YES
Standing: Halswell Residents Association (Inc.) is an incorporated society and a registered charity, and advocates for the interests of people in Halswell. Activities are largely carried out by a Committee of 6-8 members, which holds monthly meetings open to the public. For submissions such as this, a draft is circulated to our committee and consensus obtained before the final version is submitted and minuted at the next monthly meeting. The Association Chairperson is John Bennett; the Secretary is David Hawke and the Treasurer is Matthew Shallcrass. The Association can be contacted by email at

Summary of changes requested
- Categorise 917 Lincoln Road Passenger Transport Improvements between Curletts & Wrights as Growth – critical rather than Growth – desirable
- Include funding for a red light camera programme
- Include funding for more speed monitoring trailers
- Include funding for more pedestrian refuges and pedestrian crossing signalisation
- Recommence the development of a Local Alcohol Policy

Fees and charges
1. We support no change to Library Fees & Charges (p125).
   a. Libraries are fundamental community assets, and are premium “bumping” spaces especially important in rapidly growing areas such as Halswell.

Public transport
2. In addressing this topic, we are bearing in mind the increased central government funding for public transport initiatives. City Council needs to make the most of these opportunities while they are available.
3. We support 37430 PT Bus Priority Electronic Installations delivery project (p61) and 50466 Public Transport ITS Installations (p61), as essential adjuncts for increasing the mode share of public transport.
   a. We have repeatedly highlighted the problem of buses such as Orange Line and #60 running substantially behind schedule during peak travel periods.
b. Helping make the buses both faster and more predictable has three components. One of these is bus priority lanes, another is passengers knowing exactly when their bus will arrive, and the remaining component is speeding the transit of buses by giving them priority at signalised intersections.

c. These two projects therefore complement 917 Lincoln Road Passenger Transport Improvements between Curletts & Wrights. Our only issue is that construction of these bus priority routes has not kept up with Christchurch’s population growth, so there is now a significant backlog. For example, a bus priority route along Whiteleigh Avenue at peak hours would be an enormous help.

4. We support bringing forward of 917 Lincoln Road Passenger Transport Improvements between Curletts & Wrights, $2.9m in 2019/20 & $4.9m in 2020/21 BUT the change should be flagged as Growth – critical rather than Growth – desirable (p67).
   a. The definition of Growth – critical: “Projects that are needed for new developments and subdivisions that are either proceeding or have high probability of proceeding in 1-3 years”
   b. Whereas the definition of Growth – desirable: “Projects that are needed for developments and subdivisions where probability/timing of increased demand is less certain....” (p37)
   c. The areas that feed into Halswell Road and Lincoln Road include both Halswell, and the towns in Selwyn District such as Prebbleton, Lincoln and Tai Tapu. Developments and subdivisions in all of these areas are most definitely “proceeding”.
   d. Because the bus priority route construction along SH 75 is complex and involves NZTA as well as City Council, a higher priority should indicate to NZTA that City Council is serious about expediting the project with urgency. Hopefully, this will encourage NZTA to likewise prioritise their section of the route.

Street liveability & safety

5. In addressing this topic and as per our comments with respect to Public Transport, we are bearing in mind the increased central government funding for active transport and road safety initiatives. City Council needs to make the most of these opportunities while they are available.

6. We therefore support bringing forward 23103 MCR Nor’West Arc Section 2, via the increase of $3.4m in 2019/20 and $5.1m in 2020/21 (p68).
   a. The Nor’West Arc is an important link from Quarryman’s Trail and from the Southern Motorway cycle path to destinations such as University of Canterbury and Riccarton.
   b. These links are important in encouraging people to cycle, leaving more road space for those who need to drive.

7. Improving the safety and liveability of the city’s roads and streets needs to be considered as a single package. We are particularly interested in making our streets safer and more accessible, for people of all ages. We therefore request addition of:
   a. Red-light camera installation programme
   b. Speed trailer purchase programme
   c. A pedestrian refuge programme
The first two are essential adjuncts to the implementation of decreasing urban and suburban speed limits. The pedestrian refuge programme is necessary, at least in Halswell, because of the intensity of vehicle traffic

An OPEX query

8. Halswell encompasses the headwaters for Opawaho Heathcote & Huritini Halswell rivers, and there have been major investments made under the Land Drainage Recovery Program and via Development Contributions for stormwater management purposes.
   a. As such we fully support the previously unbudgetted amount $0.46m but question whether this is sufficient to maintain these to the standard expected by the consenting authority (Environment Canterbury).
   b. A failure in the maintenance regime could, after significant rain, result in flooding to our downstream or neighbouring local body communities. Given the scope and scale of investments this figure appears very low.

Alcohol licensing

9. Development of a Local Alcohol Policy (LAP) for Christchurch must be recommenced.
   a. Halswell Residents Association has been heavily involved in objecting to an off-licence application by BottleO Halswell Ltd, for a bottle store in a quiet neighbourhood. This process of preparing and presenting our objection, then filing an appeal has essentially sidelined our association for six months, from other important work aimed at boosting Halswell’s development as a resilient and sustainable community.
   b. The hearing process brought out significant deficiencies in Alcohol Inspector training, and in the knowledge base of agencies and DLC members. Cr Anne Galloway subsequently arranged a meeting with senior managers about these issues, for which we are grateful.
   c. However, these managers, and potential objectors, are confronted with a serious issue: they do not have a LAP (as allowed for in the Sale & Supply of Alcohol Act 2012) to guide them.
   d. The same is true of potential applicants: they have only the Act to guide them in preparing their business case for a particular set of premises and likely licence conditions.
   e. The alcohol licensing managers and their staff are forced to rely on the general provisions of the Act in framing their recommendations on each licence application; furthermore, a LAP would guide the Alcohol Inspector in his or her advice to a potential applicant.
   f. Similarly, NZ Police and the District Medical Officer of Health would have the criteria of a LAP to help them frame their recommendations for each application.
   g. For potential objectors, a LAP would make it much clearer whether any given alcohol licence application objection is likely to be approved, and which conditions of an application might be successfully challenged. With a LAP to guide them, potential objectors could decide not to make an objection.
   h. From a theoretical perspective: Halswell as a community has access to people with skills and time to put their viewpoints and turn up to alcohol licensing hearings, but many other communities across the city are not so fortunate. This
then raises questions of fairness and justice at a city level; the way things work at present, Christchurch is not a “just city" in terms of different suburbs’ exposure to alcohol harm and the capacity available to resist further incursions. (For a critique from the current academic literature of the application of just city theory, try Kim at al. 2019 Urban Affairs Review vol 55 pp290-294.) This question extends to justice across cultures, where non-European cultures frame their opinions in ways different from the majority European way of doing things. (For a critique from the current literature on the importance of race and class, try Bretz 2018 Ecology vol 99 pp993-994.)

i. Picking up the question of the effect of the alcohol licence application by BottleO Halswell on us as an association. Because of the time taken with the objection and subsequent appeal:

   i. We ignored consultations on the “Draft Our Space 2018-2048” plan, the ECAN Annual Plan, and the car park extension at Halswell Domain; normally we would have submitted on all of these.

   ii. We also ran late in our submission on the Quarryman’s Trail route from Victor’s Road to Sutherlands Road. Because we ran late, we missed the opportunity to present our support for this project to the Infrastructure, Transport & Environment Committee of City Council. It’s worth reminding you that Quarryman’s Trail has been an important project for us; for example, we submitted strongly last year on the importance of not delaying this project.

   iii. We were not in a position to contribute to the excellent work by Cr Galloway and Community Board members Debbie Mora and Ross McFarlane in arguing for an extension of Halswell Pool opening hours.

   iv. Arguing for a LAP takes up over well over 40% of our submission on the Annual Plan; we would rather put the effort into stronger arguments around (say) road safety, and public transport.

j. The final point we would make is that this entire process has put City Council in a bad light for our community. When City Council says that it stands for transparency and for empowering people and communities, we remember the alcohol process and switch off. And we’re sure that the same is true of other participants in the process.

k. Reiterating: a LAP would make it much clearer exactly what is acceptable and what is not, to the benefit of your staff, agencies, potential applicants, and potential objectors.

10. xx
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Annabelle
Last Name: Wear

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To Whom it May Concern,

DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY NEEDS MORE HOURS
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Annabelle
Last Name: Wear

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Hi again,
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Annabelle
Last Name: Wear

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Diamond Harbour and Stoddart Point is a very popular recreational area which has seen a significant increase in visitor numbers in recent years. It is the gateway to our visitors, and our commuters as they utilise the ferry service across to Lyttelton, and is a recreation asset for the whole city.

Currently the area is serviced by two port-a-loos. These have replaced the toilet building which has been
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closed. However, these facilities have never been sufficient, nor appropriate to meet the increasing number of visitors to the area. We also have high usage of this area due to many Christchurch City residents who make a day of fishing, swimming and picnics. We are also seeing freedom campers every night.

To address this, my family and friends propose that CCC build two new toilet blocks, each with two cubicles.

We also propose that a shower cubicle be installed with a card payment system so that it can be user pays and will create funds for further development.

They need to be permanently plumbed in, as at present and for the last 20 years a resident tells me, that there has been raw sewage leaking from the existing block. I myself used to see it and smell it when I walked by. There is already a site for the toilets, close to the carpark. It is close to the wharf, and walking tracks. Or another option would be as part of a whole upgrade and redevelopment to Stoddart Point/Diamond Harbour Library

This is something for future consideration. Diamond Harbour seems to be well neglected and forgotten by CCC.

Kind Regards,

Annabelle Wear

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
My full submission did not download, on the previous application.

I am re-attaching the petition signed papers here. There should be 15 separate pages as it didn’t allow a joined pdf document.

This is further in support of

DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY request for extended hours and days

My last words would be that I am a busy, working self-employed woman, and this has taken precious hours and income away from my business. That is how important it is to our family.

kind regards

annabelle wear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attached Documents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition pg 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition pg 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition pg 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition pg 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition pg 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition pg 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition pg 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition pg 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition pg 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition pg 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition pg 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition pg 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition pg 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition pg 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition pg 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please find a submission letter as pdf, and pasted into this space.

A set of 15 pages of the ongoing Petition. Plus comments highlighted.

Please note 251 signatures to date... 7 not on here as still at Orton Bradley Cafe.

ALSO: The petition pdf does not look like it is uploading! You should receive 3 pdf files in total.

I will give final numbers when I speak to this submission.

yours Sincerely Annabelle Wear

Submission For The Draft Annual Plan, Christchurch.

This is a submission to request that Diamond Harbour Library be open longer hours, and on 6 days a week. I, Annabelle Wear, am making this submission, and I have the backing and support of residents and visitors.

At present our local library is not a good fit for the local community. The opening times are erratic and insufficient. This is not the first request to have our library hours extended.

We request that our library times keep what we have, and are increased over and above this. At present the library is only open 13 hours over seven days.

We propose hours to be as follows.

- Monday - Friday 10 - 5pm
- Tuesdays late night till 8pm
- Saturdays 10 - 2pm

I need more hours at the library because I can not always make the times advertised. Our two boys are avid readers. They take out about twenty books at a visit. They visit their local library a minimum of twice a week. This Tuesday evening, my youngest, maxed out his card again with too many books. On Friday these were all returned, (there were approximately 40 books in that pile), and another bag of books was brought home. My son was away on camp this week, so he could not get any books out until Saturday. He also maxes out his card on a regular basis. They have 30 books on their cards often. I will start counting their statistics to add in when I speak on this submission.

If the library was open every day after school, my boys could go on other days. They also like to use the computers there, either to order more books or to play computer games. This is a chance for them to catch up with friends. In particular sees friends who have stopped attending DH School. I jokingly say I can’t afford my children’s habit, and it is true. They read so much and so often that we have to go to different libraries. When in Christchurch we stop at South Library to see if there are different books. We also visit Parklands and Redwood, (on road trips north), and Halswell. My sons dont enjoy the Turanga Central Library, it is possibly too overwhelming and too large for them. On the road trip to Waikouaiti, Dunedin, we detour via Hornby Library to collect a huge pile to last us the five hour trip. On arriving in Waikouaiti, we immediately pop into the library there for more books. Obviously my boys are unusual in the quantity they read. They also have many friends who read everyday. Their classmates are always in the library, where I see them getting books out. If the library was open more often, it would mean better access for everyone. (On
Thursdays school kids cannot go to the library because it’s only open from 10am till 2pm. We use the late night Tuesday most weeks, sometimes it is not late enough, by the time we get home from school, jobs done, tea eaten, it can be 7pm and we miss the library, 8 pm closing would help. At the very least keep this late night, because we are a working community full of professionals who drive to town for business and jobs. The only day they can get to the library is late night Tuesday. Unlike Christchurch residents they can choose to drive a couple of kilometres to The Turanga Library and do a late night. In the petition that has 251 signatures at present, out of this number; 51 asked for 6 days, 22 asked for more late nights, and 19 wanted weekends/Saturdays, and another 5 said after school hours. I was impressed by how many needed late nights and longer weekends. In fact they may well require more than the timings suggested above.

On Friday my children have soccer straight after school and so they can not always get into the library as well as making sure they eat and get to the game on time. 5pm closing would help. My husband and I use the library regularly ourselves. I really enjoy reading when I get the time. I often read the magazines, and loves BBC history. I often require photocopying and scanning services, but of course, the library isn’t open often enough especially during working daytime hours to be of use. I pay late fees quite often because it gets to the end of a book’s term and the library doesn’t open in time for me to avoid the fine.

Saturday is great, but too short. Many local residents hop across to Lyttelton to buy fresh produce from the Market, and because they are using public transport and having to carry heavy bags up the hill home, there is no way to use the Lyttelton library. If our library was open until 2 pm or later then many working people and market attendees would have time to get books. Not to mention all the Sporting families, who spend Saturday mornings racing around sports events, with no chance to visit their library because it closes so fast.

Lianne Dalziel, our wonderful Mayor, insists that “enabling active citizenship and connected communities” is an important goal for her. Libraries are often quoted as being important for residents; Christchurch City Council Head of Libraries and Information Carolyn Robertson says on the re-opening of Parklands Library that, “Local connections are more important than ever and our updated library is an integral element of the Parklands community,”

I would like to point out that this is also true for Diamond Harbour locals, and that in fact we have a greater need because we are so isolated, and have much further to drive to utilise a library. We do not have a local pool, skate park, or a central modern play area, we do not have a sheltered community space that we can pop into on any day, at any time.

Especially after the tragic, shocking and heartwrenching events of March 15th, we needed our communal space more than ever. There was no where to go... to meet and to grieve together. Our library was not open again till Tuesday 4pm which was far too long after such a major event in Christchurch people’s lives. I personally really needed to just have somewhere I could have gone to sit quietly, meet other folk who may want to share in our universal grief over the shootings. Unless I was prepared to spend a lot of money at the local Cafes and shop, I could not casually connect with other Diamond Harbour residents.

Why is it that we, in Diamond Harbour cannot be granted decent library hours? We have over 28 km to drive to our nearest library, (Lyttelton). It is a winding, tricky road. Or it is a drive to the wharf and then a ferry ride across, and then a walk up a hill, and then the same back with probably too many books breaking the back. For children and elderly this is an impossible task. For a mother with 2 boys it becomes a big day out, with provisions and bags and patience required. Our youth need to have access to a safe space where they can independently go.

Generally we will go to Christchurch to visit other libraries while we are in town to do shopping that can’t be done here. I am a strong supporter of keeping things local, I prefer to do shopping, activities and attend events in Diamond Harbour. I try very hard not to go all the way to Christchurch. South
Library is 30 km away from us. Parklands Library locals have Brighton Library only 7 km away, and Shirley is about 6km. Most town residents have several options for a library to visit within a 10 km radius. This is not so for Diamond Harbour residents.

Even Little River Library has a higher quantity of hours than we do, and is a smaller community than us. They are also 40km away from us. Sumner gets seven days (which we are not asking for), and if they had been asked to travel just 10km to either Lyttelton or Linwood libraries, I am certain they would have said that was unfair to their residents.

Looking into other districts library services I found Selwyn District Council has just moved to be open more days with late nights in response to their customers needs. (Monday to Friday 9am–6pm Lincoln and Rolleston open to 8pm on Thursdays). Like Rolleston and Lincoln, we are generally a town of commuters working in the city. Already more and more people choose Selwyn suburbs over Christchurch.... please make our services more enticing for working people!

This is not the first time we have requested more suitable, community friendly hours. It is preposterous that we are expected to drive so far to meet simple needs. Mary Ogilvie did a petition about 5 years ago, and found the community was very supportive. The number of residents since then has gone up immensely. There are now around 2000 people in Diamond Harbour, and we are a large, diverse group of active library users. I’m confident that our borrowing statistics per resident would be higher then other libraries as there are many active book clubs in the community and a strong community of readers.

Nancy Simovic Vance created a whole plan that encompassed a redevelopment of the Diamond Harbour/Stoddart Point area. Including a new fit for purpose library plus cafe and amenities. She submitted these requests and plans in the 2016/7 draft annual plan, the 2017/18 one, and as feedback on the DH Development Plan process conducted by CCC in 2016/17.

Ultimately we would love to see Stoddart Point managed and developed into a fabulous new hub for the community. For now, we request longer hours and 6 days a week opening times for Diamond Harbour Library. Thank you for taking the time to read my submission. I look forward to having an opportunity to speak with you on this matter.

Yours Sincerely,

Annabelle Wear

Resident and Ratepayer of Diamond Harbour.
Submission For The Draft Annual Plan, Christchurch.

This is a submission to request that Diamond Harbour Library be open longer hours, and on 6 days a week. I, Annabelle Wear, am making this submission, and I have the backing and support of residents and visitors.

At present our local library is not a good fit for the local community. The opening times are erratic and insufficient. This is not the first request to have our library hours extended.

We request that our library times keep what we have, and are increased over and above this. At present the library is only open 13 hours over seven days.

We propose hours to be as follows.

- Monday - Friday 10 - 5pm
- Tuesdays late night till 8pm
- Saturdays 10 - 2pm

I need more hours at the library because I can not always make the times advertised. Our two boys are avid readers. They take out about twenty books at a visit. They visit their local library a minimum of twice a week. This Tuesday evening, my youngest, maxed out his card again with too many books. On Friday these were all returned, (there were approximately 40 books in that pile), and another bag of books was brought home. (See attached photos). My son was away on camp this week, so he could not get any books out until Saturday. He also maxes out his card on a regular basis. They have 30 books on their cards often. I will start counting their statistics to add in when I speak on this submission.

If the library was open every day after school, my boys could go on other days. They also like to use the computers there, either to order more books or to play computer games. This is a chance for them to catch up with friends. In particular sees friends who have stopped attending DH School. I jokingly say I can't afford my children's habit, and it is true. They read so much and so often that we have to go to different libraries. When in Christchurch we stop at South Library to see if there are different books. We also visit Parklands and Redwood, (on road trips north), and Halswell. My sons don't
enjoy the Turanga Central Library, it is possibly too overwhelming and too large for them. On the road trip to Waikouaiti, Dunedin, we detour via Hornby Library to collect a huge pile to last us the five hour trip. On arriving in Waikouaiti, we immediately pop into the library there for more books. Obviously my boys are unusual in the quantity they read. They also have many friends who read everyday. Their classmates are always in the library, where I see them getting books out. If the library was open more often, it would mean better access for everyone. **(On Thursdays school kids cannot go to the library because it's only open from 10am till 2pm)**

We use the **late night Tuesday** most weeks, sometimes it is not late enough, by the time we get home from school, jobs done, tea eaten, it can be 7pm and we miss the library, 8 pm closing would help. At the very least keep this late night, because we are a working community full of professionals who drive to town for business and jobs. The only day they can get to the library is late night Tuesday. Unlike Christchurch residents they can choose to drive a couple of kilometres to The Turanga Library and do a late night. In the petition that has 251 signatures at present, out of this number; 51 asked for 6 days, 22 asked for more late nights, and 19 wanted weekends/Saturdays, and another 5 said after school hours. I was impressed by how many needed late nights and longer weekends. In fact they may well require more than the timings suggested above.

On **Friday** my children have soccer straight after school and so they can not always get into the library as well as making sure they eat and get to the game on time. 5pm closing would help. My husband and I use the library regularly ourselves. I really enjoy reading when I get the time. I often read the magazines, and Dale loves BBC history. I often require photocopying and scanning services, but of course, the library isn't open often enough especially during working daytime hours to be of use. I pay late fees quite often because it gets to the end of a book's term and the library doesn't open in time for me to avoid the fine.

**Saturday** is great, but too short. Many local residents hop across to Lyttelton to buy fresh produce from the Market, and because they are using public transport and having to carry heavy bags up the hill home, there is no way to use the Lyttelton library. If our library was open until 2 pm or later then many working people and market attendees would have time to get books. Not to mention all the Sporting families, who spend Saturday mornings racing around sports events, with no chance to visit their library because it closes so fast.
Lianne Dalziel, our wonderful Mayor, insists that "enabling active citizenship and connected communities" is an important goal for her. Libraries are often quoted as being important for residents; Christchurch City Council Head of Libraries and Information Carolyn Robertson says on the re-opening of Parklands Library that, “Local connections are more important than ever and our updated library is an integral element of the Parklands community,”

I would like to point out that this is also true for Diamond Harbour locals, and that in fact we have a greater need because we are so isolated, and have much further to drive to utilise a library. We do not have a local pool, skate park, or a central modern play area, we do not have a sheltered community space that we can pop into on any day, at any time.

Especially after the tragic, shocking and heartwrenching events of March 15th, we needed our communal space more than ever. There was no where to go... to meet and to grieve together. Our library was not open again till Tuesday 4pm which was far too long after such a major event in Christchurch people’s lives. I personally really needed to just have somewhere I could have gone to sit quietly, meet other folk who may want to share in our universal grief over the shootings. Unless I was prepared to spend a lot of money at the local Cafes and shop, I could not casually connect with other Diamond Harbour residents.

Why is it that we, in Diamond Harbour cannot be granted decent library hours? We have over 28 km to drive to our nearest library, (Lyttelton). It is a winding, tricky road. Or it is a drive to the wharf and then a ferry ride across, and then a walk up a hill, and then the same back with probably too many books breaking the back. For children and elderly this is an impossible task. For a mother with 2 boys it becomes a big day out, with provisions and bags and patience required. Our youth need to have access to a safe space where they can independently go.

Generally we will go to Christchurch to visit other libraries while we are in town to do shopping that can’t be done here. I am a strong supporter of keeping things local, I prefer to do shopping, activities and attend events in Diamond Harbour. I try very hard not to go all the way to Christchurch. South Library is 30 km away from us. Parklands Library locals have Brighton Library only 7 km away, and Shirley is about 6km. Most town residents have several options for a library to visit within a 10 km radius. This is not so for Diamond Harbour residents.
Even Little River Library has a higher quantity of hours than we do, and is a smaller community than us. They are also 40km away from us. Sumner gets seven days (which we are not asking for), and if they had been asked to travel just 10km to either Lyttelton or Linwood libraries, I am certain they would have said that was unfair to their residents.

Looking into other districts library services I found Selwyn District Council has just moved to be open more days with late nights in response to their customers needs. (Monday to Friday 9am–6pm Lincoln and Rolleston open to 8pm on Thursdays). Like Rolleston and Lincoln, we are generally a town of commuters working in the city. Already more and more people choose Selwyn suburbs over Christchurch…. please make our services more enticing for working people!

This is not the first time we have requested more suitable, community friendly hours. It is preposterous that we are expected to drive so far to meet simple needs. **Mary Ogilvie** did a petition about 5 years ago, and found the community was very supportive. The number of residents since then has gone up immensely. There are now around 2000 people in Diamond Harbour, and we are a large, diverse group of active library users. I'm confident that our borrowing statistics per resident would be higher than other libraries as there are many active book clubs in the community and a strong community of readers.

**Nancy Simovic Vance** created a whole plan that encompassed a redevelopment of the Diamond Harbour/Stoddart Point area. Including a new fit for purpose library plus cafe and amenities. She submitted these requests and plans in the 2016/7 draft annual plan, the 2017/18 one, and as feedback on the DH Development Plan process conducted by CCC in 2016/17.

Ultimately we would love to see Stoddart Point managed and developed into a fabulous new hub for the community. For now, we request longer hours and 6 days a week opening times for Diamond Harbour Library. Thank you for taking the time to read my submission. I look forward to having an opportunity to speak with you on this matter.

Yours Sincerely,

Annabelle Wear

Resident and Ratepayer of Diamond Harbour.
Petition for Longer Library hours

more hours please
extended Saturday and another eve please
We have been wanting better opening hours for 10 years

my life line!
Tuesday Evenings great, more (evenings) better

I like the library
more consistent hours
A great public space is important to community

Yes please, weekend hours, Monday evening wonderful to have such a great resource

important community gathering place
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**EXTENDED OPERATING DAYS AND HOURS AT DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY**

The residents and visitors of Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour’s southern bays request that the current operating hours of the Diamond Harbour Library (13 hours across 4 days) be extended with additional days and longer hours.

Please allocate funding in the Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 to allow for extending the days and hours at Diamond Harbour Library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PRINT)</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vicky Pollard</td>
<td>Pollard</td>
<td>After-school hours would be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sally Hulme</td>
<td>Huband</td>
<td>Every day, except Sunday.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Chystall</td>
<td></td>
<td>After school hours please.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chissie Wright-Sy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Wright-Stan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Mahy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Ongoi</td>
<td></td>
<td>Preschool music/stories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Sandoi</td>
<td></td>
<td>would be great.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiona O’Neill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dee Innes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena Paton</td>
<td>Paton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W Hall</td>
<td></td>
<td>Great service!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ella Watson</td>
<td>Watson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juanita McKay</td>
<td>McKay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alyson Scott</td>
<td>Scott</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria Wassell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eda Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaya Gibson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray Souties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Lee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Le</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXTENDED OPERATING DAYS AND HOURS AT DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY

The residents and visitors of Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour’s southern bays request that the current operating hours of the Diamond Harbour Library (13 hours across 4 days) be extended with additional days and longer hours.

Please allocate funding in the Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 to allow for extending the days and hours at Diamond Harbour Library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PRINT)</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gabrielle Bull</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise Heath</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaye Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Hillier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Michell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob Sten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kari Skedman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angela Roswell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Foster</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sally Craw</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauren Weather</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heba Marahou</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amanda Gray</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerrie-anne Cameron</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Janisse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruno Kowal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Pitchell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kael Schlacko</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Els Desand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooke Mather</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXTENDED OPERATING DAYS AND HOURS AT DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY

The residents and visitors of Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour’s southern bays request that the current operating hours of the Diamond Harbour Library (13 hours across 4 days) be extended with additional days and longer hours.

Please allocate funding in the Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 to allow for extending the days and hours at Diamond Harbour Library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PRINT)</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E Manson</td>
<td></td>
<td>More books please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Fowler</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Heath</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Leech</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Price</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Ross</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joy McLeod</td>
<td></td>
<td>and a larger facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sally Lewis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kate Leech</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tania Powell</td>
<td></td>
<td>More books and a teen section please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Hokin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Tartaglia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Don Turner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna Tartaglia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Donna Tartaglia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song Horn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Mansson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joan Glaunen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davinia Hitchings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lois Wife</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickey Cooper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXTENDED OPERATING DAYS AND HOURS AT DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY

The residents and visitors of Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour’s southern bays request that the current operating hours of the Diamond Harbour Library (13 hours across 4 days) be extended with additional days and longer hours.

Please allocate funding in the Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 to allow for extending the days and hours at Diamond Harbour Library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PRINT)</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Still</td>
<td></td>
<td>open 6 days would be good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole Vosper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angela Donald</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Simpson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Need Monday opening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Cameron</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Waring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pete Simpson</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days per week!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Anderson</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days per week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Bell</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lianam Laird</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kate Hinkings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sara Clay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Cool</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gallop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annabelle Wear</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mon, Wed, Thu 10-5pm, Fri 10-8pm, Sat 10-1pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dale Wear</td>
<td></td>
<td>Delight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lize Floutica</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days/week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachelle Clarke</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum of 5 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter Walters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graeme Fraser</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Beach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXTENDED OPERATING DAYS AND HOURS AT DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY

The residents and visitors of Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour’s southern bays request that the current operating hours of the Diamond Harbour Library (13 hours across 4 days) be extended with additional days and longer hours.

Please allocate funding in the Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 to allow for extending the days and hours at Diamond Harbour Library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PRINT)</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lesley Kreiser</td>
<td></td>
<td>The Library is very important. People need to use it often.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jo Ewing</td>
<td></td>
<td>A great public space is integral to community. Would like to accommodate users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Ridley</td>
<td></td>
<td>The community is growing so it should be maintained to exist as it does.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audrey Hunter</td>
<td></td>
<td>A busy community facility please review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Harri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane Omin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Would be lovely to see more libraries!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Curie</td>
<td></td>
<td>For those with more hours needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Scott</td>
<td></td>
<td>Support for more hours needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Walker</td>
<td></td>
<td>Essential for more hours needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Walker</td>
<td></td>
<td>Important community gathering place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion Lienert</td>
<td></td>
<td>Useful for all the community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronwyn Graham</td>
<td></td>
<td>Great community resource needs to be open more.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zara Graham</td>
<td></td>
<td>It’s annoying to have to remember when the library is open to use the facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joel Graham</td>
<td></td>
<td>I like the library.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iain Strachan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wonderful to have such a great resource.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DALE MCKINLEY</td>
<td></td>
<td>GREAT IDEA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIELD SHIELD</td>
<td></td>
<td>VERY NEEDED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUCY HILL</td>
<td></td>
<td>GOOD IDEA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Kreil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Signatures: 163
EXTENDED OPERATING DAYS AND HOURS AT DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY

The residents and visitors of Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour’s southern bays request that the current operating hours of the Diamond Harbour Library (13 hours across 4 days) be extended with additional days and longer hours.

Please allocate funding in the Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 to allow for extending the days and hours at Diamond Harbour Library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PRINT)</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andreas Derwahl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy Vance</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes please. Monday &amp; longer on Sat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerard Brown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Nighting</td>
<td></td>
<td>weekend &amp; another evening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blake Cesar</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes longer hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Matrix</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill Warehouse</td>
<td></td>
<td>definitely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Waterhouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margie Lamoreaux</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Hales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mila Vance</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes! Mondays &amp; weekends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bae Vance</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes please. Monday and Wednesday-weeked.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simone Lumsden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Vance</td>
<td></td>
<td>more please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Dixon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jami Allen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sr. Oyi!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiffany Thorne</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Jenkins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heather Wakon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claire Hall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Residents within 500m of the library.
EXTENDED OPERATING DAYS AND HOURS AT DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY

The residents and visitors of Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour’s southern bays request that the current operating hours of the Diamond Harbour Library (13 hours across 4 days) be extended with additional days and longer hours.

Please allocate funding in the Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 to allow for extending the days and hours at Diamond Harbour Library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PRINT)</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trevor Lawrence</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Hitchings</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim M'Cready</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marc Rupp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owen Mansfield</td>
<td>Ma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alycia Cotton</td>
<td>Amy</td>
<td>6 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricky Horshay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Boyd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Nair</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D J Chadwick</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynthia Watkins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Brown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meg Griffith</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Grimes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juanita</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCaw and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Hurry</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes! 6 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pernie Croft</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes - more hrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Bredges</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes - extra hrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Shrimpton</td>
<td></td>
<td>More hours open</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## EXTENDED OPERATING DAYS AND HOURS AT DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY

The residents and visitors of Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour’s southern bays request that the current operating hours of the Diamond Harbour Library (13 hours across 4 days) be extended with additional days and longer hours.

Please allocate funding in the Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 to allow for extending the days and hours at Diamond Harbour Library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PRINT)</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ursi Riederer</td>
<td></td>
<td>More after school hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Ackeron</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Harvey</td>
<td></td>
<td>More...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Pearson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Bonar</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzanne Holt</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Mellow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florian Thomas</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Moreison</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Thoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megan Hazen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Of course we need a library!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pete Blower</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Yates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendy Runick</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Gowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane Becker</td>
<td></td>
<td>More later hours and extra wire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gareth White</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Howard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat Jenkin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clutha’s Bay Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Smith</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cashes more after</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return to Annabelle Wear
EXTENDED OPERATING DAYS AND HOURS AT DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY

The residents and visitors of Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour’s southern bays request that the current operating hours of the Diamond Harbour Library (13 hours across 4 days) be extended with additional days and longer hours.

Please allocate funding in the Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 to allow for extending the days and hours at Diamond Harbour Library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PRINT)</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kirsten Mackay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sebastian Curobi-Hine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARIA Sorel-Raab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Grogg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flynn Martin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscar Taum-Sharp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise Dennis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peg Carbon</td>
<td>R.C. Watson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neville Duggan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marie Duggan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Cole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madeline Luttrell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke Wood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Reason</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toney Reardon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Zedberge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christy Steele</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne-Marie Beach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Record</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return to Annabelle Wear

Petition to CCC: M - W - Th 10 - 5; Fri 1 - 8pm; Sun 1 - 4pm; Sat 10 until 1

Good idea!
As above.
As above.
EXTENDED OPERATING DAYS AND HOURS AT DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY

The residents and visitors of Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour's southern bays request that the current operating hours of the Diamond Harbour Library (13 hours across 4 days) be extended with additional days and longer hours.

Please allocate funding in the Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 to allow for extending the days and hours at Diamond Harbour Library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PRINT)</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helen Townsend</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mon-Wed-Thurs 10-5pm, Fri-1-9pm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirley Patterson</td>
<td></td>
<td>If more hours needed were regular we'd and wouldn't have any hard please.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Dunne</td>
<td></td>
<td>Poster More.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Dunne</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon Dunne</td>
<td></td>
<td>Full support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynn Dunne</td>
<td></td>
<td>Especially over winter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deco樊</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice Groves</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATT FRASER</td>
<td></td>
<td>Better flexibility for us to get out.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebecca Hodgeson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Our children will enjoy it more.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zak Reynolds</td>
<td></td>
<td>Our children will enjoy it more.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lydia More</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivia Reynolds</td>
<td></td>
<td>We often go to Lyttleton as their hours are long.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Foran</td>
<td></td>
<td>We need more opening hours here.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma Reynolds</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felicity Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Gilligan</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sally Crossfield</td>
<td></td>
<td>Keep it open!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirley Crossfield</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return to Annabelle New
EXTENDED OPERATING DAYS AND HOURS AT DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY

The residents and visitors of Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour’s southern bays request that the current operating hours of the Diamond Harbour Library (13 hours across 4 days) be extended with additional days and longer hours.

Please allocate funding in the Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 to allow for extending the days and hours at Diamond Harbour Library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PRINT)</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. Boer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michiko Kuyimoto</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Hopkins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marilyn Hopkins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Patterson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Caldwell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Caldwell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark McGregor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robin Cattrell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Lewis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marco Tocumetti</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 days open!
EXTENDED OPERATING DAYS AND HOURS AT DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY

The residents and visitors of Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour’s southern bays request that the current operating hours of the Diamond Harbour Library (13 hours across 4 days) be extended with additional days and longer hours.

Please allocate funding in the Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 to allow for extending the days and hours at Diamond Harbour Library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PRINT)</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Boyd</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days a week please !!!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annya Boyd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosemary McNeil</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days - great for children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akiko Hill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan Hogbin</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days would be great</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amika Macphail</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anji Wells</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days great idea !</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicky Palmer</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 days great idea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXTENDED OPERATING DAYS AND HOURS AT DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY

The residents and visitors of Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour’s southern bays request that the current operating hours of the Diamond Harbour Library (13 hours across 4 days) be extended with additional days and longer hours.

Please allocate funding in the Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 to allow for extending the days and hours at Diamond Harbour Library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PRINT)</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annie Gibson</td>
<td>Peterson</td>
<td>Longer hours on Saturday.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annelise Hall</td>
<td>Luttrell</td>
<td>Libraries are awesome &amp; part of the community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Banks</td>
<td>McCarron</td>
<td>More hours the better.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy Carey</td>
<td>Luttrell</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike O’Neill</td>
<td>Wilson</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Lytt</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Sweeney</td>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>Great for kids.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noah Cobby</td>
<td>Cobby</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen Graham</td>
<td>Graham</td>
<td>Libraries are a “home away from home.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annabelle New
EXTENDED OPERATING DAYS AND HOURS AT DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY

The residents and visitors of Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour’s southern bays request that the current operating hours of the Diamond Harbour Library (13 hours across 4 days) be extended with additional days and longer hours. Please allocate funding in the Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 to allow for extending the days and hours at Diamond Harbour Library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PRINT)</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Junior Hui</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JJ Smith</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Clemence</td>
<td></td>
<td>More hours please</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

163
EXTENDED OPERATING DAYS AND HOURS AT DIAMOND HARBOUR LIBRARY

The residents and visitors of Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour’s southern bays request that the current operating hours of the Diamond Harbour Library (13 hours across 4 days) be extended with additional days and longer hours.

Please allocate funding in the Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 to allow for extending the days and hours at Diamond Harbour Library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME (PRINT)</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nicola Green</td>
<td></td>
<td>We have been wanting better opening hours for 12 yrs!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abby Laird</td>
<td></td>
<td>Please make them out of school time!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoebe Laird</td>
<td></td>
<td>We love the library, but not the hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robin Margo</td>
<td></td>
<td>My life line.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franzis Grotheis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Great effort of DH team!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Wilson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday evenings great! More would be better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felicia Forbes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Extended for Sat or make one please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilma Komaria</td>
<td></td>
<td>Will visit more often, show more compt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Tyco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

163
HAVE YOUR SAY
Draft Annual Plan 2019–20 submission form

Your details: Jennifer Barbara Harvey

I am completing this submission:
☐ For myself or ☑ On behalf of a group or organisation (please tick one)

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent? 1

Organisation name Cox family
Your role in the organisation Spokesperson

Signature Merv Date 17/3/19

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? ☐ No ☑ Yes (if yes, you must provide contact details below)

Daytime phone number

So we can understand what different groups of people are thinking, could you please tell us your gender and age group.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You may add more pages if you wish.

We would like to see work done on flood prevention and coastal inundation on our side of the estuary from bridge St to the end of the spit. Previous sea walls destroyed in the Christchurch earthquake have not been rebuilt and there is now a poor level of protection for property owners. A sea wall with a bike track on it would be ideal such
We would also like seeing in the area properly resurfaced and resaled especially the main access roads of Estuary Rd, Price Avenue and Main Parade.
Submissions on the
Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020

Volume Five

Submissions
(Not Heard)
## CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL

**Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020**

**SUBMITTERS WHO DO NOT WISH TO BE HEARD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission Number</th>
<th>Submitter</th>
<th>Page No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rachael Welfare</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Joy Priest</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Joshua Smyth</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ian Chesterman</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Guest Thorn</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Kathy Morris</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Matthew Barr</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Jamie Billings</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Debbie Sherratt</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Mark Sweetman</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Nita Young</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Ray Craig</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Paul Lancaster</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Campbell Wear</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Andrew Johnson</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Adrienne Croton</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Anita Rani</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
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Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Rachael
Last Name: Welfare

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I support the changes indicated in the consultation document. I would advocate for further emphasis and energy going towards some decision making/support of transitional activity within and the future of the RRZ - CCC have a vested interest here and could easily start driving this conversation; the limited progress so far is starting to adversely impact communities and create barriers which make everyone’s lives, particularly CCC’s, harder in the future. LVS would very much like to help and be part of this conversation (where appropriate) but we need the support and the mandate to get involved.
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Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Joy
Last Name: Priest

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Please make your focus on saving money as your first concern. Nothing is more important than living within your budget. Just because the government will reimburse you 60% of money spent on cycle ways still means we as rate payers have to pay 40%. When you are talking about millions of dollars that is a huge amount to spend on non essential things.

Attached Documents
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Joshua  Last Name: Smyth

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I am submitting in support of the proposed plan. I specifically like the proposal to bring forward cycleway construction, inside and outside of the four aves. I believe we have waited too long for proper cycle connections in the CBD linking to the MCRs.

Attached Documents
No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group
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<tr>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lan</td>
<td>Chestman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I support the draft annual plan, in particular bringing forward the work on the major cycleways to take advantage of government subsidies. The faster the network is built the sooner we will see the advantages increased cycling numbers bring to the city.

Attached Documents

---
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Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:  
Last Name: *
First
Thorn

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I support bringing forward funding for the cycle-way network to take advantage of the NZTA subsidy increase.

Attached Documents
File
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Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Kathy  Last Name: Morris

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Scanning the Draft Annual Plan 2019-20, I see “30588 Estuary Edge Project”. I sincerely request that includes Southshore. The residents of Southshore need a protective Estuary frontage. With adverse weather events we are vulnerable from the Estuary and the Estuary frontage is in many places a disgusting mess since the demolition of houses in the red zone.

Attached Documents
HAVE YOUR SAY

Draft Annual Plan 2019–20 submission form

Your details:

Full name: Matthew Barr

I am completing this submission:

☐ For myself or ☐ On behalf of a group or organisation (please tick one)

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?

Organisation name

Your role in the organisation

Signature ___________________________ Date __________

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? ☐ No ☐ Yes (if yes, you must provide contact details below)

So we can understand what different groups of people are thinking, could you please tell us your gender and age group.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You may add more pages if you wish.

I think the draft plan in place should go ahead. Linwood needs a place for our community.

[Signature]
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Jamie
Last Name: Billings

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I already made a submission for the feedback of the Worsleys/Cashmere Road intersection upgrade. This is vital to go ahead with all the subdivisions coming online in the area and the extra traffic for the Mountain Bike park. It will provide better safety of children and cyclists. Please don’t delay any further so I support the Draft plan for this to be done this year. It would also be nice to see Cashmere Road enhanced overall with a better footpath and resurfacing and even possibly dedicated cycle lane/s all the way to Haliewell Quarry.

Attached Documents
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

We wish to support an application for a footpath on Richmond Hill. With the level of traffic now on the hill I don't feel safe walking or running in the dark without a footpath. As it is now so unsafe instead of walking on the hill I have started to take my car down to the bottom and then walking
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Mark
Last Name: Sweetman

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I am writing to support the inclusion of the $0.5 million addition to the capital budget for the new footpath on Richmond Hill.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Sweetman, Mark

As a long-term resident, it would improve walking access and reduce road traffic up our busy hill. At present there is no footpath in this section of road and pedestrians including children have no separation or safety from road traffic. At this area, the road is very narrow, with only space for 2 cars to just sneak by, and there have been a number of closer calls that could have resulted in serious harm/fatal accidents with pedestrians.

I would appreciate priority was given to this vital part of infrastructure that for too long has been put in the too hard basket - before somebody is seriously hurt/or killed by an accident.

Regards,

Mark Sweetman

Attached Documents

File
No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Nita

Last Name: Young

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Footpath on Richmond Hill Road,

Long before the earthquakes Richmond Hill has not had a decent footpath which myself or the
family could walk down to the flats in Sumner from the upper hill. We have always had to walk on the road and beware of traffic from both directions. Unlike our neighbouring hill of Clifton which has a perfectly safe footpath which is easily accessible and kids are able to walk to school with no problems.

With the ongoing subdivisions up on Richmond Hill in the past 5 years I find it is even more urgent to have a safe pathway down to the flats. Traffic from builders and subcontractors has increased and they seem unaware of the speed limit, traffic etiquette for uphill traffic and walkers/bikers. I have to be much more vigilant when walking with the family if I take the road down Richmond Hill but most often I walk to Clifton and take their footpath.

I hope you will take this on board and make this a priority.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Submission to Annual Plan 2019

Submitted by - R Craig

Speaking Request - declined with thanks; not necessary, but willing to present views if specially invited to do so

Submission

1. Annual Revenue and Rates Proposals

I respectfully oppose the plan because it is premised upon the funding of annual operations by deriving increased rates revenue.

I oppose the setting of a rate higher than the previous year and firmly contend that Council should be actively and publicly working towards significant reductions in rates.

Ratepayers on fixed incomes are increasingly struggling to meet their rates demands and it is overdue for Council to demonstrate pronounced leadership towards significant rates reductions. This requires a paradigm shift towards actively seeking other revenue streams if we wish to continue with the same pace of development in the city.

Council should include in the plan a requirement that staff research this issue towards providing a range of proposals that would generate revenue from non-rate sources. In the meantime, rates need to be held at current levels if there is no way of making further immediate reductions through deferrals, zero-based budgeting, re-allocating, cost recovery and so on.

It is disappointing that management appears unable to provide councillors with proposals that do not require net increased funding. It is not empirically impossible to re-prioritise and re-balance so that expenditure is directed to immediate need whilst less vital services are significantly reduced in the short term.

Central government is required to discipline itself to prepare budgets without increasing rates of taxation from year to year. It is reasonable to argue that
Council should equally require staff to prepare annual budgets within the same constraint. The practice of creeping increases to local rates (taxation) must stop so that ratepayers with finite income streams are better able to manage their affairs.

To enable Council to reduce rates, alternative revenue streams would need to be developed. Senior council staff will naturally provide some expertise and leadership towards exploring reasonable solutions. See section below for further detail.

2. Rates and Fixed-Income Ratepayers

Planned levels of increase are unsustainable for fixed income ratepayers such as pensioners whose rates increases since 2011 have made an enormous relative burden against pensions which have increased only at the lowest level of inflation as per official indexes. Proposed future increases are in excess of predicted inflation which means that the proportion of rates to pension is exploding to contribute towards hardship for those on fixed incomes. The rates support from government is insufficient to correct for this.

Many pensioners have no secondary income and with well-documented increases in insurances, power, maintenance and food, these folk seriously struggle. The aged face additional charges relating to health care and the need for transport assistance. Even small additional expenses can tip the viability of their current life-styles, requiring them to consider selling their homes to relocate to a more sustainable arrangement. Selling brings fees and removal costs. Again compounding the trap for those with limited finances.

Moving home is well-documented as being highly inadvisable for older people who then become at risk of social disconnection, loneliness, loss of neighbourly support and so on. Global research underlines the strong need for older people to remain in their established community. This imperative arises not just for welfare reasons but also for efficiency. Many of these pensioners are quietly recognised as living in uncomplaining poverty. Not every superannuitant is living the high life on multiple incomes and a good number are Community Service card holders on meagre incomes.

Such pensioners should not be driven away from their communities by an un-enlightened council rating policy.

Perhaps there should be a pensioner-level rate set at a reduced, sustainable figure for those with Community Service cards.

3. Revenue - Alternative Sources of Funding

To enable Council to reduce rates, alternative revenue streams need to be developed. Senior council staff will naturally provide some expertise and
leadership in this area. A few suggestions are offered in the first instance:

- The annual plan should state an objective for staff to provide council with workable proposals for a range of further increased user pays charges across all services with a view to increasing revenue from those who actually use the services rather than the rate-paying property owner who might not be accessing say, the sports field, the cycle lane, or the festival. Of course, user-pays has its problems but so has the notion of expanding rates demands on a portion of those who live in the city. At least non-ratepayers would be contributing towards the city.

- Council should commence planning to increase investment through CCH into more local authority trading enterprises which could trade independently or in partnership in projects that will derive revenue streams for the city. Council has demonstrated its ability to raise substantial capital at very good rates (as per Arts Centre Music School partnership with University). Such capital could be put to good use through CCH by acquiring or developing assets that generate good revenue streams. An objective could be inserted within the plan.

- Council should raise and promote the notion of a “citizens’ tax”. This will require lobbying with other local authorities and support for a group approach to Government for local funding to be sourced from special taxes set on a national level. Given the increasing demands from central government upon local authorities, such an approach is logical and reasonable. Rates cannot and should not fund climate change, alcohol control, conservation projects and the host of other central government requirements that go beyond historical general city and town infrastructure. These should be funded through central government.

- Citizens’ tax would provide another revenue stream that should even permit eventually the abolition of archaic rates and fees altogether. Again, an appropriate objective should accordingly be inserted in the plan.

- Disagree with fuel taxation, if ever proposed in future. Motorists are only one portion of residents and targeting motorists for local body taxation is plainly discriminatory.

4. Council Fees – Library Services

The broad free access use of desktop computers within libraries should now be charged. The cost of computer replacement, network maintenance, data plans, software upgrades etc combine to make a large sum that eats into the core service of providing a book and periodical collection for borrowers.

New York library services are an interesting example of the tension between IT and the core library service of maintaining and upgrading collections of books. The value of printed material remains a leading driver of services. Without
books, libraries are nothing but a computer suite - no need for catalogues and specialist librarians - just geeks and call-centres. Our library service will benefit from computer-use fees which can allow greater spending on book and print services.

In just a few years, the “wall” in the central library will be obsolete and few ratepayers would be happy to think that book and periodical purchases might have to be possibly curtailed to pay for new equipment, upgrades or removal.

5. Thank you.
Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission.

Ray Craig
Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- [ ] Yes
- [x] I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Put a toll on the new North and South motorways (one way only and that is going out of Christchurch) instead of rates rises.
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Start charging Ocean Cloud with water charges.

Start charging Lime Scooters a surge charge to help with ACC and spiralling rate rises.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:    Last Name: *  
Campbell       Wear

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

My application is for extended opening hours for diamond harbour library

please see my letter below
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why we want longer DH library hours. the diamond harbour library should get longer hours because, it is one of the best libraries in terms of how much books get taken out considering how small it is, it is also deserves more hours because it gives people more time to get books out (which is a lot of people) it is good in the community as it is a nice place to read with your friends.

the library should be open all week as it would give school children more days to get books out as the library is sometimes open in school time which is not very useful for children who read a lot of books fast.

Attached Documents

File
No records to display.
HAVE YOUR SAY

Draft Annual Plan 2019–20 submission form

Your details:
Full name

I am completing this submission:

☐ For myself or ☐ On behalf of a group or organisation (please tick one)

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?

Organisation name

Your role in the organisation

Signature Date

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? ☐ No ☐ Yes (if yes, you must provide contact details below)

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You may add more pages if you wish.
HAVE YOUR SAY
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Your details:  Adrienne Croston

I am completing this submission:
☐ For myself or ☐ On behalf of a group or organisation (please tick one)

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You may add more pages if you wish.

Fully support the pool.
Please fix the parks when you remove the houses.
HAVE YOUR SAY
Draft Annual Plan 2019–20 submission form

Your details:
Full name: Anita Rani

I am completing this submission:
☐ For myself or ☐ On behalf of a group or organisation (please tick one)

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?
Organisation name:
Your role in the organisation:

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You may add more pages if you wish.

Please build a pool and need function like that every month and upgrade Henwood Park please.
Drainage and sports.
HAVE YOUR SAY
Draft Annual Plan 2019–20 submission form

Your details:
Full name: Kristin Lorraine Tauereva

I am completing this submission:
☑ For myself or ☐ On behalf of a group or organisation (please tick one)

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? ☐ No ☐ Yes (if yes, you must provide contact details below)

Daytime phone number

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You may add more pages if you wish.

Build the Woolston pool
fix up tennis courts
upgrade Woolston Park & drainage pavilion.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Richard
Last Name: Schoeman

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Proposed Richmond Hill Road, New Footpath between the second hairpin bend up to the existing footway just below Cecil Wood Way.
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I believe this section of footway is long overdue. Residents on Richmond Hill have voiced concerns for many years about this, going back to when the old Richmond Hill Gold Course was first being redeveloped into residential sections. The section of road in question has always had the real estate to allow for road widening and a footpath as CCC owned an approx 5m strip on the uphill side of the road between the existing road surface and what was previously privately owned land and now crown owned land. Since the earthquakes apart, from two properties in this stretch of road all the others have been removed and planting has been carried out. After all the houses were removed and prior to the planting I called the CCC saying that it was an opportune time to undertake the installation of a footway but was advised there was no money in the budget at that time. Since that time may houses have been constructed in the new subdivision which in turn has increased the traffic volumes on the hill. Even though it has been beneficial to have the reduced speed restrictions installed of late, the section of road in question is narrow and very steep over a long straight stretch and does not allow for pedestrians to have a safe refuge especially out of daylight hours. The majority of home owners on the hill respect the new speed restrictions but this is not always the case for construction companies and individual workers vehicles coming into the area. I believe that CCC in permitting the development of the new subdivision further up Richmond Hill Road should therefore also have an obligation to provide SAFE access for vehicles and pedestrians, young and old, so as to be able to access these areas. At present that is not the case! Hopefully the CCC will look at this request favourably. Regards Richard

Attached Documents

File
No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:    Last Name: *
Stuart        Grant

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I would like to support the proposal to upgrade Richmond Hill Road, including adding footpaths and lighting. The road in its current state is a danger to all pedestrians. Several lamp posts have significant leans, would think it would make sense to include underground power cabling in the
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upgrade proposal, apologies if this is already included.

Regards

Stuart Grant

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Stuart
Last Name: Grant

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Re footpathing and lighting on Richmond Hill Road, Sumner.

I wish to support the proposal and think the work should be done as soon as possible. We still have
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temporary fencing along the road, 8 years after the earthquakes. I have two young children and won't let them walk on the road alone due to the lack of footpaths. The road also needs to be widened, proper lighting installed. There are several power poles that have significant leans, this is another problem that needs to be resolved. Regards Stuart Grant
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Louise

Last Name: Dennis

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

As a member of the Diamond Harbour Community for 13 years, with two children of my own I would really love to see extended hours for Diamond Harbour library. Currently, I have one opportunity a week to visit the library with my children which is not enough if I want to foster a love of reading. I am a new entrant teacher at Diamond Harbour School and as I don't work in town, like many other residents, Diamond Harbour Library is my first choice. Our librarian Christine is an amazing resource and we'd love to see more of her. The local children also would love more opportunities to enjoy being at and reading in the library. We are a much larger community than 20 or 30 years ago and it's time to change our library opening hours to reflect that.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Dennis, Louise

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Chloe
Last Name: Stapleton

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I wish to simply draw attention to the zebra crossing on Opawa Road near the dairies and the Heathcote River. I still walk my 9 year old daughter to school still because I am very wary of that crossing. Drivers simply don't seem to see the crossing and regularly speed through the crossing even with a taller adult waiting at the crossing. Nearly every week I see a driver do this. Other parents of children from Opawa School have similar concerns that I have spoken to. I think the crossing needs a push button traffic light or speed bumps and better signage. I want my child to be safe to walk to school on her own but this limits her freedom to do so. I thank you for your consideration of this matter. Surely we should be committed to facilitating our kids to be able to walk safely to school for environmental and well-being reasons.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Stapleton, Chloe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attached Documents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>File</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:

Le Bons Bay Reserve Management Board

Your role in the organisation:

Chairperson

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?:

30 families

First Name: Jo

Last Name: Rolley

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

We request in order of priority, new kitchen benchtops and double basins approximately $7000.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Rolley, Jo organisation: Le Bons Bay Reserve Management Board behalf of: Chairperson
Stage two window replacement.

Attached Documents

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Chris
Last Name: Wakefield

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the
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improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don't want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of
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proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields.

The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don't want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Kyle
Last Name: Burns

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Porticos since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a
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A comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Neimahi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs.
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and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Pertacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Walmer/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Ady  Last Name: Simmons

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest...
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Cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavillion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Walmer/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43875 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the plan.

Attached Documents

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:  Luke
Last Name:  Germon

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the

improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don't want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Brian  Last Name: Parker

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

The narrow road and lack of a footpath up Richmond Hill is in need of desperate attention. The road has not been properly repaired since the earthquakes. This is understandable because of the immensity of the task but since there is a lot more traffic on the road since the council made more
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Parker, Brian

sections available at the top of the hill, the road is constantly being pummeled by heavy truck traffic and requiring minor repairs. Add in some rain and the steep incline of this road and this road is nothing but a danger waiting to happen. It needs to be properly re-engineered as dangerous as holes form under the road because rainwater burrows underneath OR sections of road peel away. I know one section above De Their Lane that has been repaired at least once every year because the rain makes a 2m hole for children to fall in. Some of the curbs are broken and protrude and are a hazard and they have cause a puncture to my vehicle as I attempted to get out of the way of other large vehicles on the road.

The lack of a footpath on sections of the road is a danger to people walking (as there is no footpath on large sections of the road). I am sure the recent change to 40 km on the road is an attempt to slow vehicles to prevent accidents but I do not think this is enough. I repeat there are sections of road with NO FOOTPATH and large trucks come down this as people walk up it. This is a road that you can not have two cars side by side easily.

Please put this on your urgent attention plan!

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Ryan
Last Name: Astle

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the
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improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don't want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

☐ Individual
☐ Organisation/Group

First Name:  
Jeff

Last Name: *  
Case

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

☐ Yes
☐ I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of
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proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the plan.

Jeff Case

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Joe
Last Name: Edgerton-Till

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Porticos since the 2011
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earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimai/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don't want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Conor
Last Name: Ansell

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019-20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a
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comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Halma/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don't want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Haley
Last Name: Banks

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid
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lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Jessica
Last Name: Simmons

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a
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comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Halswell/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the plan.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Tony  Last Name: Banks

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid
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lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Lisa

Last Name: Banks

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid
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lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

☑ Individual
☑ Organisation/Group

First Name: Melissa
Last Name: Banks

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

☑ Yes
☒ I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid...
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lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Jocelyn
Last Name: Simmons

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a...
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comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Helmava/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Fanchea  
Last Name: Molony

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the
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improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and
improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys
Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult
sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes
destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields.
The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the
practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing
fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood
Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund
building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage
improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20
Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas
within the $29m budget. We don't want to see any players being hurt because this Health and
Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Hamish  Last Name: Brown

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the
improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don't want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Kerry
Last Name: Hebdon

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the
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improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don't want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Kezia
Last Name: Evans

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and
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improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Hannah
Last Name: Munro

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and
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improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Imogen
Last Name: Cunningham

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and
Council Annual Plan
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improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don't want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Jack
Last Name: Ellis

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and...
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improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:   Last Name: *
             singh

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I would like to see more of a focus by CCC on basic services. In particular, I would like to see better quality footpaths and roads. Roads serving bus routes and arterial roads in close proximity to town should be sealed with smoother asphalt. I live on a worn out lumpy road with endless patch repairs. The surface is a chip-seal on bitumen. It also happens to be a road serving a bus route and the vibration to homes as the buses and heavy trucks drive by is noticeable. How does asphaltting/smoothing out roads serving buses or arterial roads within a certain radius of the city sound?
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Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Barrell, Mollie

Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Mollie  Last Name: Barrell

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the...
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improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don't want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:  
Marty

Last Name:  
Gerken

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Elmwood Park is in dire need of upgrade. The park has had very little spent on it in maintenance in the last 10 years and as the home ground for OBCC, the largest cricket club in Christchurch and I believe the 3rd largest in player numbers in New Zealand, it deserves better for the very large numbers who are involved with this club and ground on a regular basis. OBCC is a major premier club and the grounds and cricket blocks are in serious need of major renovation. As they stand now the cricket blocks are also a major health & safety risk with their orientation needing to be changed in consultation with the club to best improve their layout for the safety of the public and players alike. The drainage has also become a problem and caused a number of game cancellations in the past season when other venues in the city were playable on the same day. The OBCC has major plans to upgrade the net and pavilion facilities at the park and have secured financial support to do so, however the grounds...
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Gerken, Marty

themselves are in need of the most work to bring them up to an acceptable standard. This park is very well used by the public as well as the cricketers of Christchurch and to have it deteriorating as it is, is in my opinion negligent considering the large number of Christchurch ratepayers and voters who regularly use this facility and its upgrade requires your urgent and earliest attention.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Tim
Last Name: Braid

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern,

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Braid, Tim

improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and
improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket.

Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs
in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of
Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant
investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal
for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to
eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was
presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support.
This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket
wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20
Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas
within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and
Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Thank you,

Tim Braid

Attached Documents

| File | No records to display. |
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Nic
Last Name: Nathan

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

hi there,
i do not think OBC cricket club needs renovations. There are many other works which need much more attention that this. They don’t even put teams and half their teams have defaulted due to lack of players. I strongly suggest that we do not fund this project.

Attached Documents

File
No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

☐ Individual
☐ Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:

Templeton Residents Association

Your role in the organisation:

Secretary

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?:

First Name: Melissa  Last Name: Himin

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

☐ Yes
☒ I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

The Templeton Residents Association wishes to support the retention of items that are set down in the Long Term Plan. We wish to support the review of the placement of bus stops on Kirk Road as part of the
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Himin, Melissa organisation: Templeton Residents Association behalf of: Secretary operational roading and passenger transport expenditure, and support the upgrades to Templeton Domain and the tennis courts as part of the Parks Capital Programme expenditure.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Callum
Last Name: Curnow

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Curnow, Callum improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Personally, I have been involved in many near misses with flying cricket balls coming from other games as often, players are forced to field on the block of a neighbouring game. If this situation is not remedied soon, I fear serious injury could easily occur on any given Summer Saturday.

Thank You

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Gareth
Last Name: Filer

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I would like the Christchurch City Council to consider when they make changes to the Draft Annual Plan for 2019/20, to add Elmwood Park to the spending of capital on parks and recreation.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Filer, Gareth

Elmwood Park plays a pivotal role in cricket in Canterbury, but has seen no real investment since the 2011 Earthquake. The current pitch placement presents significant health and safety concerns, specifically due to the proximity of the publicly available nets facility to the Number 1 oval. The replacement and reorientation of pitch blocks at Elmwood Park, as well as the improvement of general drainage at the park, will improve the facilities at the park for juniors and seniors cricketers alike. It will also improve the fields at the park and allow for better conditions for both cricket and football. With better field facilities, player numbers could increase and this would flow on to park use, increased patronage to local businesses. At the same time as these upgrades, the paths, seating and park utilities could be upgraded, improving the park for walkers, runners and other park users.

This is a valuable and sensible project to undertake, considering the current conditions and the fact that Old Boys Collegians is one of Christchurch's biggest cricket clubs.

Thank you for your consideration

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Livvy

Last Name: Thomson

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid...
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Thomson, Livvy

lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Social Housing;
What was the 13m of lending to the Housing Trust for?
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from McDougall, Peter

Why is an additional 17m in borrowings being proposed in the AP?

What are the terms & conditions of the lending?

What was the approval process for the lending?

Why has the revenue figure dropped from 15.949m to 15.057m in the AP?

What makes up the majority of the 21.284 of expenditure in the AP?

How is the deficit being funded?

What is the current balance of the Housing Fund?

What is the current status of EQ repairs for housing?

What is the projected housing stock CCC will own at the end of the EQ repair programme?

Why does housing have 2 cents in the $1 of spending when housing is rates neutral?

Kind regards

Peter McDougall

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HAVE YOUR SAY
Draft Annual Plan 2019–20 submission form

Your details:
Full name Isobelle Doig

I am completing this submission:
☑ For myself or ☐ On behalf of a group or organisation (please tick one)
If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?

Organisation name

Your role in the organisation

Signature  J. Doig  Date 20 March 2019

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing?  ☑ No  ☐ Yes (if yes, you must provide contact details below)

Daytime phone num:

So we can understand what different groups of people are thinking, could you please tell us your gender and age group.

Gender:  ☐ Male  ☑ Female  ☐ Gender diverse

Age:  ☐ Under 18 years  ☐ 18-24 years  ☐ 25-49 years  ☐ 50-64 years  ☑ 65 years and over

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You may add more pages if you wish.

I am 89 yr Old Widow Resident (Ratepayer) over 60 yrs
Years ago friends and I went to a New Brighton C.C Meeting at Beresford New Brighton. Along the walls great ideas for New Brighton we got paper and pens to write our Urgent Greatest Needs. E.g., Building Public Toilets in New Brighton Commercial Area. I recall it on the Master Plan e.g., Years ago I have written complaining on the correct places, my information 2013 is New Toilets were proposed in an Early New Brighton Master Plan although NO Funding Available with that Plan. It also says "Currently NO Funding Available for New Toilets in the Centre 2014 reply to me looks like it is passed on to O.C. I believe we are working with C.C. and Staff and Burwood C. Community Board also.
I have also written 3 complaints to the correct People in the area, some reply,
Re: The Old Concrete Shaw Ave Toilets - situated Back-Behind, Between Tall Buildings, No Shoppers Around, Isolated Area; Safety Concerns - Brick Walls - old paint. Is it Asbestos-safe? Old Concrete Floor not Hosed?
Total Lack of Hygiene Standards; Cleanliness: Nil. Is it ever inspected?
For Health, Safety Standards? Reply: Do agree in Need of Serious Attention
Until New Toilets Built "Within New Brighton Master Plan". My complaint is now
gone to C.C.C. and Staff and D.C.H. I think they'll try to improve those Toilets
"Until New Toilets get Built" - so it gets passed along only delaying the years ago Master Plan. New Toilets decided, are still waiting. Funding years, others get Priority ahead of this. I feel No Urgency; Priority for New Brighton Commercial Public Toilets.

"Never" in News. (Do not put Oram Ave Extention Brought Forward before the Commercial Toilets; All Shopping Centres Have Toilets!
I do Not agree Money spent on Old Shaw Ave Toilets. Keep the money for Fund New Toilets. Do not Delay by repair Shaw Ave Toilets! Please attention don't pass complaints on elsewhere.
Thank you for the Opportunity to Submit my Urgent Attention Submission (I will await news on these important Health/Safety Issues)

Sincerely, Isabelle Daig -

Thank you for your submission

Please post to:
Freepost 178 (no stamp required)
Annual Plan Submissions
Christchurch City Council
PO Box 73017
Christchurch 8154
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Bryan
Last Name: Clarke

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I fully support the investment in Cycling infrastructure. CCC statistics show that cycling has grown 11% over the last year. While evidence is anecdotal, it is very clear that the separated cycleways are making a huge difference in attracting a much wider demographic to regular cycling. I know a
number of people, myself included, who are now 'cycle-first' having taken up cycling within the last year or two.

This growth is in the exponential range and if it continues to do so, very quickly the need for infrastructure such as cycle parking and more cycleways and links between them will outgrow the budgeted funds. The active travel targeted rate of $3.6M should be significantly increased. Road users (mostly ratepayers) are congesting the roads, and therefore by getting them to pay for more cycleway infrastructure, it will benefit those not contributing to the congestion and will encourage even more people to start cycling and stop driving.

In comparison the $1.1M targeted rate for refurbishing the cathedral is being directed toward replacing the 'clubhouse' of a badly run club, one whose declining membership comprises a narrow and aging demographic that doesn't reflect the diversity of the community, who didn’t insure their assets properly, and who have made a string of poor decisions post quake. The Cathedral targeted rate will not be benefiting the city and citizens to anywhere near the extent that the active travel targeted rate will.

Equally the $20k for cycle parking facility will soon be overwhelmed by the exponential growth in cycling. This figure seems alarmingly low, and over the last year I have noticed a huge increase in the use of cycle parking facilities which are often becoming stretched already.

There is also a great need to have some of the older original cycleways, often on busy roads, to be upgraded for safety. In particular Gasson St which in its current condition creates about 1 dangerous situation every week or so in my experience. It isn’t clear what or how many works the $461K line item (52228) will be directed to, but in comparison with the money budgeted for the 3 major projects it seems trifling and will not achieve very much.

More needs to be set aside for not just the main projects but connections between the existing infrastructure, e.g. there is a wide underused footpath that could connect the cycle-ways along Brougham st between Strickland and Barrington. There are many places where a cycle way just peters out. This could simply be solved with some paint and restricting on-street parking. Cyclists are still having to spend too much time researching routes that will avoid the traffic and this is still a barrier to uptake. The more complete the network the easier it will be for cyclists and would-be cyclists to navigate it. Parked cars should not be given priority over active transport and the funding needs to be able to make this happen in the shortest possible time-frame.

Thank you to the Council, Councillors and the professionals for the vision, courage, and determination thus far to provide a great cycling experience. Please don’t drop the ball now.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Cameron  Last Name: Lacey

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I strongly support the following:

We are bringing forward the funding of some footpath, cycle route, intersection improvements and public transport projects to take advantage of NZTA subsidy increases.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Lacey, Cameron

* $0.5M in funding for a new footpath on Richmond Hill has been brought forward, to help ensure it aligns efficiently with other work planned in the area.

I have two primary school aged children who are not able to safely walk to school with the current footpath on Richmond Hill due to the absence of footpaths and narrow road. If we want to build a health active population then surely it starts with creating an urban environment where every child in the city should be able to walk to school safely.

Attached Documents

File
No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Jonathan
Last Name: Tunnell

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I have just started cycling to work instead of driving. This is down to the fact I now have a separated cycling lane not far from my house.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Tunnell, Jonathan

I believe the council now has to invest money in joining up these major cycle ways to make cycling safer for everyone in all areas of Christchurch.

The council now also needs to invest money in bicycle parking. Many bike parks like at Pioneer Recreation Centre are regularly overflowing with bikes. Many places have bicycle parking but this is now not sufficient due to the recent growth in cycling.

Regards

Johno

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Georgia
Last Name: Scott

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Scott, Georgia

improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don't want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- [ ] Individual
- [x] Organisation/Group

First Name:  Darren

Last Name:  Fidler

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- [x] Yes
- [ ] I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Fully supportive of bringing cycleways forward to reduce the reliance on single occupant vehicle travel and meet the outcomes sought in the GPS and alignment with the them of mode neutrality. The whole of Christchurch City is accessible by car (which have significant social costs and
negative externalities), and yet significant proportions are far less accessible by public transport or active modes (which have significant social benefits, positive externalities and are far more space efficient methods to move people around). By bringing the cycleways forward, cycling will be safer (both actual and as importantly, perceived) which will have demonstrable positive physical and mental health benefits, and free up capacity for those that still have to drive, everyone wins. By providing bus priority, the current significantly slower travel times on buses due to lack of priority will be improved, making public transport a more viable transport choice, freeing up road space and vehicle storage space on valuable CBD land for more productive uses. These projects should be brought forward to deliver the benefits irrespective of the ability to gain TEFAR.

Adding road capacity induces vehicle travel and increases car dependency with all of the negative impacts this has, and commits the council to additional assets which require ongoing maintenance and renewal from future budgets.

### Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: fay Last Name: brorens

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Cycleways
We need to encourage all people to cycle.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from brorens, fay

We need safe places for them to do this.

Not burning fossil fuels is URGENT because of the risk of POLLUTION to our biosphere.

Please take advantage of government funding that may not be available later and build cycle paths as per the LTP.

Thank you, Kia Ora- Fay

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: James
Last Name: Duncan

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

*We are bringing forward the funding of some footpath, cycle route, intersection improvements and public transport projects to take advantage of NZTA subsidy increases.

* $0.5M in funding for a new footpath on Richmond Hill has been brought forward, to help ensure it aligns efficiently with other work planned in the area.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Duncan, James

I fully support the funding allocation for the new footpath on Richmond Hill Rd.

As a resident of Richmond Hill the funding allocation for the upgrade of the footpath is long overdue. There has been a significant increase of road traffic on Richmond Hill Rd since the completion of the next stage of the Greenwood Farm subdivision. The homes are in early stages of being built in this next stage of the subdivision, and when complete the traffic volume on Richmond Hill Rd will increase dramatically. The lower part of Richmond Hill Rd (where the footpath is to be installed) is very confined and poses a real risk to those walking on the road because there is no footpath and also to those people whom drive their cars through this area. Having the footpath completed on Richmond Hill Rd will certainly help alleviate the risk to those walking or biking on this part of the road.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Ammar
Last Name: Mohammed Vithodawala

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Mohammed Vithodawala, Ammar
improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and
improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys
Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult
sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes
destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields.
The Club has developed a comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the
practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing
fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Waimairi/Harewood
Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund
building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage
improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20
Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas
within the $29m budget. We don't want to see any players being hurt because this Health and
Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: [ ] Last Name: [ ]
First: [ ] Decker

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

It is a priority to the LTP that the major cycleways get completed earlier. Government subsidies which could not be available at a later point need to be made use of, according to the purpose put forth in the council. Furthermore, the 2019/20 annual draft plan suggests to increase funding for several cycleways in order to make use of the increases in NZTA subsidies.
People paying rent will save money if cycleways are brought forward now and NZTA subsidies are obtained towards their construction. This incredible opportunity needs to be made use of.

According to the IPCC and many more less conservative scientists, we’re in the middle of a climate emergency and a rapid shift away from fossil fuel-based transport needs to be one of the top priorities in mitigating the climate tragedy! Cycleways are one such important step towards that!

It is necessary to implement measures to minimize climate breakdown, and transport mode shift is one of these.

I call on you to ensure that as per the draft annual plan, the funding for sections of the South Express, Heathcote Expressway, Nor'West Arc and Southern Lights Major Cycle Routes is brought forward.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Pat

Last Name: McIntosh

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

1. I am happy with the overall budget changes.
2. I would like to see more funding redirected to completing small but important local projects such as repairing and reopening the heritage tram shelter in Moncks Bay Redcliffs, normally used as a
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from McIntosh, Pat

bus shelter and public seating but closed for the last 4 months due to needing urgent maintenance work.

3. There is an urgent local need in Redcliffs to repair and upgrade the pedestrian footpath linking Redcliffs and Sumner, which is in very poor condition and inaccessible to people with mobility problems. An alternative and better solution would be to bring forward the completion of the joint footpath/cycle path, to link with the other cycle paths the council is working on and complete the route from the city centre to Sumner.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Elizabeth  Last Name: Searle

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I would like the walkway/cycleway from Ferrymead to Sumner to be completed asap. While there are sections that are not yet constructed, it is not possible to fully enjoy and utilise the parts which are finished.

Attached Documents
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:                  Last Name:  
Yvonne                      Curtis

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I think that an important LTP (long term plan) priority is to complete the major cycleways earlier, so that we can take advantage of Govt subsidies which may not be available later. Moving the starting date of the cycleways forward is important because that will save the city a lot of money.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Curtis, Yvonne

is also important due to climate change that we urgently transition away from fossil fuel transport and that funding these cycleways now is therefore essential. To my horror, I saw that New Brighton is completely left off the proposed cycle ways. Why do you guys hate us? And no the route through the red zone will not help local people get to work etc. on cycles.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:  
Digby  

Last Name:  
Symons

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I am very pleased with the increased provision of infrastructure for cycling in Christchurch but feel that this an area that could be given even higher priority and resources.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Symons, Digby

I feel that the increasing availability and affordability of E-bikes is currently opening up cycling as a transport choice to a wider range of users who will often be making a positive choice to use an E-bike instead of a car. This should be particularly encouraged and facilitated with a greater number of cycling routes as this brings benefits to all of us in Christchurch: more safe routes for all cyclists (electrically assisted and not), reduced congestion on the roads, reduced pollution, increased health.

Attached Documents

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:  John
Last Name:  Ascroft

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I support greater spending on cycle and pedestrian infrastructure and safety.
i support lower speed limits in the CBD and suburban areas.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Ascroft, John

i do not support continued subsidies for cars such as free parking and ratepayer funded improvements. The spending on cycling should be much higher in relation to the money that is currently earmarked to subsidise private transport. Even spending on public transit would be preferable!

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:  
Jan Jakob

Last Name:  
Bornheim

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I am concerned about the lack of cycle funding in the draft annual plan. Excluding Major Cycle Routes, which are primarily funded by the central government, and excluding the CNC remediation, there is no significant investment in cycling infrastructure. The $431,000 budgeted for other “cycle
facilities and connection improvements" pale in comparison to investments in road, which the way they are designed here favour motorized traffic. Christchurch is a city which is perfect for increasing the share of active transport. Great progress has been made in getting the share up to 7%, but further increases can easily be made by further investment appropriate to the modal share cycling has in transportation. More local connections to the MCRs are desperately needed. Roads in Christchurch are very wide. Some already have cycle lanes. With investments in separator poles, these lanes could easily become more attractive for cyclists and become important feeders for the cycle routes. For example, I am thinking of Innes Rd/Heaton Rd/Glendovey Rd, Fendalton Ave/Memorial Ave and Clyde Rd, which already have cycle lanes and could play a crucial role in connecting local neighbourhoods to the Papanui Parallel, Northern Express, Uni-Cycle and future Nor’west Arc. Equally, the existing cycle lane on Worrington St could easily be extended and protected via separator poles to connect via Cranford St and English Park to the Papanui Parallel and Innes Rd on the west end and via a neighbourhood greenway to the Te Ara Otakaro Avon River Trail. The investments needed here to create safe, protected cycle lanes via separator poles and some parking restrictions are minimal. Such an investment in existing cycle lanes, making them safer and extending them, as well as closing gaps in the existing network between MCRs will make cycling and the use of the MCRs more attractive. As well, the increase in cycling and the clearer separation will benefit cyclists and motorized traffic participants by making traffic go more smoothly. Maybe one day, a protected cycle lane on all four avenues will become a reality to allow actual easy and fast transport across the city for non-motorized traffic participants. The cost would not be high.

I am happy to see the investment in previous years in cycling infrastructure in the CBD, and the parking facilities in the bus interchange and Lichfield "Car" Park are great, but further best practice (e.g. https://mobilitylab.org/2016/02/08/bike-parking-gets-people-riding/) cycle parking facilities in the CBD and in the suburbs along MCRs, and local feeders and cycle lanes are necessary. Seeing so little money invested in cycle parking compared to car parking is disappointing. Better cycle parking will also benefit pedestrians walking on sidewalks.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Gwyneth
Last Name: Walker

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

We need more cycle ways and fast, when I am skateboarding it is good to have wide open paths so I don’t crash into anyone.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Walker, Gwyneth

We need free public transport for people under 18, this way more people will be using busses etc. lowering our CO2 emissions as a city.

We also need to lower the voting age, this way the people like me who are concerned for our future can vote, this way the government will be forced to act or be threatened with being voted out.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

☑ Individual
☐ Organisation/Group

First Name: Kathy
Last Name: Beswick

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

☑ Yes
☐ I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I wish to make a submission regarding the Christchurch cycleways. With climate change firmly in mind, I believe that it is essential that plans for our cycleways be brought forward and actioned as soon as is possible.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Beswick, Kathy

The cycleways will provide efficient and safe lanes for our growing number of cyclists to move around the city & will encourage many more people to change their method of transport from cars to bikes. People have to feel safe when cycling and to be confident about the safety of their children when cycling to & from school and for recreation.

More bikes on our roads mean less obesity and related health problems and less mental health problems. It means healthier, happier and fitter citizens. One of the outcomes of increased numbers of safe cycleways would probably be a considerable lowering of the cost of public health. It's a no brainer!

Transport is one of the biggest polluters in the world and it is our responsibility to reduce the number of petrol driven cars on our roads. Fewer cars on our roads mean less emissions and with our planet's recovery dependant on action and not just words, we cannot afford to delay the further development of our cycleways.

Thank you.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: 
gary

Last Name: 
knigh

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

My submission is for CCC to consider replacement of deteriorating and outmoded gutterings and culverts with modern kerb and channel options in Cedars street hoonhay.

Attached Documents
No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:   Last Name: *
Daniel       Stanley

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To whom it may concern

The proposed CCC capital programme for Parks and Reserves for 2019/20 includes a list of proposed projects that amounts to over $29m of capital works, however nowhere in this list are the improvements required for Elmwood Park to enable changes to meet Health and Safety needs and improve drainage to avoid lost playing days for cricket. Elmwood Park is the home of the Old Boys Collegians Cricket Club - one of the largest cricket clubs in New Zealand, fostering over 10 adult sides and 50 junior teams. The Club is still operating out of Portacoms since the 2011 earthquakes destroyed the changing rooms, and no significant investment has been made in the playing fields. The Club has developed a
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Stanley, Daniel

comprehensive proposal for replacing the clubrooms/pavilion, the practice nets and relocating the cricket wicket blocks to eliminate the unsafe overlap of playing fields as they currently stand. This Masterplan was presented to the Fendalton/Helmav/Harewood Community Board in early 2019 to strong support. This Masterplan requires the Club to fund building developments and the Council to fund cricket wicket block relocation and outfield drainage improvements.

We respectfully submit that these urgent capital works are prioritised in the proposed 2019/20 Council capital budget - potentially from Project ID43675 Sports Fields Development or other areas within the $29m budget. We don’t want to see any players being hurt because this Health and Safety priority has been overlooked in the budgeting process and omitted from the plan.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Dave
Last Name: Evans

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

In the "Key changes to the capital programme" I fully support the completion of the Major Cycle Routes and "bringing forward the funding of some footpath, cycle route, intersection improvements and public transport projects". As someone whose primary means of transport is bicycle I am delighted to see improvements in cycling infrastructure that makes it easier and safer to move around the city by a low-carbon means of travel.

Attached Documents
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Kathy
Last Name: Duncan

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I support the capital programme ‘$2.5M in funding for a new footpath on Richmond Hill has been brought forward, to help ensure it aligns efficiently with other work planned in the area’. This work is very important to the safety of our community, particularly pedestrians who include children walking to and from school.

Attached Documents
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
<input type="radio" name="submit" value="Individual"> Individual
<input type="radio" name="submit" value="Organisation/Group"> Organisation/Group

First Name: Bruno
Last Name: Kowalczowski

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
<input type="radio" name="hear" value="Yes"> Yes
<input type="radio" name="hear" value="No"> No

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

**Footpaths in Diamond Harbour**

The vegetation over footpaths in this semi rural community has always been an issue.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Kowalczewski, Bruno

The vegetation, self seeded, is mostly growing on public land but also from private gardens.

In some many places, the width of the path is covered and pedestrians, mums with prams..etc are pushed onto the curvy road with an ever growing car traffic.

The problems

- In more than a decade in the community, it appears that CCC has no regular maintenance scheduled on yearly or twice yearly basis
- The maintenance is undertaken upon complaint only
- Before the work is undertaken the assessment is done by a person driving car, not on foot so they can experience the struggle in real life. Assessment is only done in the area indicated on the complaints.
- When the job is done, after several complaints, it is often sub-standard (lastly, the green waste was dumped in a hidden place of a public reserve, no appropriate cutting tools to undertake the job professionally and efficiently)
- CCC does not notify the landlords asking them to deal with the vegetation growing over the path

Potential solutions

- Schedule maintenance at least once a year and or as often as needed for pedestrian safety
- Efficient assessment on foot.
- Job undertaken by professionals, with correct tools, attitude, who can be proud of their work and respectful of tax payers. (last maintenance undertaken by "cowboys" without appropriate cutting tools, and the green waste was dumped in a hidden corner of a public reserve...) Job in Ranui Crescent undertaken in March 2019
- There is not just Marine Drive as main access road, but many other extensively used footpaths along it

Links needed - New footpaths to be created

The community on the sunny side of the harbour includes Diamond Harbour, Church Bay and Charteris Bay.

There is no footpath linking it, and people have no choice but walk on the road in to many places.

We walk along the very busy main road, Marine Drive.

It is very dangerous for cars and walkers. Diamond Harbour is a fast growing community and it appears there is a need to plan such work in the short term

Note there is a footpath between Lyttelton and Corsair Bay.

Please keep us informed about your decisions/progress on the matters, please

Kind regards

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Bruno  Last Name: Kowalczewski

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Diamond Harbour Library
### Population Census 2013 vs Pupils at school year 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Population Census 2013</th>
<th>Pupils at school year 2018</th>
<th>Opening hours/week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diamond Harbour</td>
<td>1469</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little River</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akaroa</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The figures given are from the 2013 census. The lastest figures are to be released within a few weeks, and they will show that Diamond Harbour community is growing fast, unlike Little River and Akaroa.

Diamond Harbour population is getting younger thanks to families choosing to live here. The kindergarten within the school ground is so popular that there is a waiting list for children to start.

On the map, Diamond Harbour is the closest library from the city in Banks Peninsula (excluding Lyttelton) but we are still

- 45 minutes away from the closest library in the city
- Not able to reach the CBD in less than 90 minutes by public transport as there is no more direct bus from Lyttelton
- No longer any informal communal place for people to meet since the loss of Godley House and the post office following the earthquakes

At present the opening hours are different every single day, and we understand that Little River is also a community centre, hence the amount of hours given per week.

Looking at all the evidence above, the Diamond Harbour residents are urging you to allocate the necessary budget for many more hours so the community can make the most of a facility they are desperate to enjoy even more.

The Library is more than a room with books; it is the last available communal space.

In the short term, the community is requesting CCC to allocate a budget to open the Library 5 or 6 days a week with regular hours.

In the long term, the library could become more than a room with books. It could be developed as a vibrant heart of the village, as you have developed most libraries elsewhere in the city. There is an amazing site available for it, the ex Godley House section is in waiting.

The libraries recently developed and/or upgraded in the city are amazing spaces. There is no reason to treat us differently. I wait in anticipation of your response to the above submission.

---

**Attached Documents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: David
Last Name: Taylor

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I am asking the council to put in place a policy to save timber and building materials from being dumped in the refuse centers. I had the experience of trying to dispose of some wooden doors, writing desks and general pieces of timber. I tried to trade them at the local building recycler.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Taylor, David

Musgroves. But they only wanted one of my doors. So I went to the Wigram Refuse Centre thinking that they would make some use of them. But I was told that no they only took writing desks if they were assembled. So I had to dump everything. Which to me seemed to be a waste. Use could have been made of the timber and writing desks.

I would hope the council could come up with some plan to prevent the dumping of a number of reuseable materials such as wood, glass steel, kitset furniture etc. They could be store and sold to the general public. Or they could be processed into other useable products, wood chips, fire wood, filler etc.

The general public could be asked to come with ideas as to how this policy and project could be developed and run.

---

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Richard
Last Name: Loffhagen

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

There are an increasing number of schoolchildren walking up Richmond Hill Rd, and along with increased traffic, there is a real danger of a serious accident. During the low winter light, they don't seem to wear any or much reflective clothing. They seriously need a footpath to keep them separate from the traffic.

Attached Documents
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Anna
Last Name: Punt

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I strongly support the proposal for upgrading the footpath on Richmond Hill, due to safety and environmental reasons.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Punt, Anna

At present one section of footpath (between the hairpins) is extremely narrow and cars park on it, forcing people onto the road to walk around. Another section (above the upper hairpin) has no footpath at all, again forcing people to walk on the road. From a safety perspective it is necessary to make these upgrades before someone is hurt, which is not an unlikely prospect given the poor visibility in the windy sections of the road and the significant volume of construction traffic using the road due to Greenwood Farm subdivision.

I am currently unable to walk from my house into Sumner without walking for long sections on the road, as a direct result of this my family and I always drive and do not take public transport.

Finally, I would like to reinforce the real issue - the parking of cars on the road between the two hairpins. The road is too narrow for both parking and traffic, and if the footpath is upgrading without anything else being done, people will still park their cars on the pavement, which will force pedestrians onto the road. On similar narrow and windy stretches of road on Clifton and Scarborough Hills, parking is restricted and the obvious solution is for the same to happen here. There is significant space in the red zoned land above the upper hairpin to provide parking for easily 20 cars (which would be an acceptable use of red zoned land as the risk to life classifications would not apply in a car park). The area between the two hairpins should be no parking along its length, which would alleviate the issue of people parking on the (upgraded) footpath and stop adults and children walking on the road.

Thank you for taking the time to review my submission.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Mary  Last Name: Ogilvie

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

To Whom It May Concern,

I wish to draw your attention to the inadequate library hours at Diamond Harbour. Fourteen hours is insufficient especially for people who work in the city. Workers only have access to the library three to four
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Ogilvie, Mary

hours per week. The erratic hours are also very inconvenient. To serve the community I believe the library
should be open a minimum of twenty hours during the week and extended hours on Saturday. Regards Mary
Ogilvie.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Ants
Last Name: Field

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I have been really enjoying the wonderful cycling infrastructure around our city this summer.

It is great to be able travel safely and quickly on a bike without the stress of cars and trucks.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Field, Ants
whizzing by uncomfortably close.

There are still gaps and places I can't get to on the cycle lanes, but it's improved a lot. Please keep up the great work.

Thanks.

Attached Documents

File
No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:

Diamond Harbour Reserve Management Committee

Your role in the organisation:
Chair

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?:

First Name: Graeme
Last Name: Fraser

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Hi

Created by Consult24 Page 1 of 2
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Fraser, Graeme organisation: Diamond Harbour Reserve Management Committee behalf of Chair

We want to ask that our specific Long-term Plan requests, outlined in the attached document, will go into the Annual Plan?

This would allow us to put it towards the much-needed implementation of village plan elements relating especially to the Stoddart Point Reserve, including for picnic tables, street furniture, a playground, signage, refurbishment of historically important structures, and re-vegetation.

In particular, the kids playground near our community centre (part of the Stoddart Point Reserve) has deteriorated badly, and needs refurbishment. Can funding for this be confirmed for 2019/20 please?

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DiamondHarbourRM-LTPpriorities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Created by Consult24  Page 2 of 2
## Banks Peninsula Reserve Management Committees – Projects 2017-18 Diamond Harbour RMC

Projects in blue are already funded and scheduled to be completed this year. Projects in black are proposed to go in the draft LTP, and projects in red are projects requested by RMC’s last year that are currently not proposed to go into the draft LTP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RMC Peninsula Planning is engaging a contractor soon for this project, who will talk with each RMC about higher level objectives and specific priority projects. May also start concept plans for some reserves. Estimated to be complete by July 2021.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Attachment B

#### Item 3

- **RMC Development**
  - Access to coastal track $20,000 Support coastal track assessment & upgrade $12,000 Head to Head project support

- **Diamond Harbour (Shedland Point & Coastal Cliffs)**
  - Youth Recreation $30,000 (draft LTP)
  - Support (not yet decided)

- **Plant pest eradication**
  - 20k Op Ex.
  - Voting N/NW

- **Head to Head Walkway**
  - RMC supports maintenance programme per plan

- **Planting support community nursery propagation**
  - $2,500 Op Ex.
  - Volunteer effort

- **Children’s Playground**
  - With forward from 2027+? Cap Ex.
  - Need to discuss 2 projects together...and in discussion with...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 3</th>
<th>Existing track Maintenance 10k pa</th>
<th>Existing track Maintenance 10k pa</th>
<th>Existing track Maintenance 10k pa</th>
<th>Existing track Maintenance 10k pa</th>
<th>Existing track Maintenance 10k pa</th>
<th>Existing track Maintenance 10k pa</th>
<th>Existing track Maintenance 10k pa</th>
<th>Existing track Maintenance 10k pa</th>
<th>Existing track Maintenance 10k pa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Track 1 - new ones in Village plan Cap ex.</td>
<td>New track - increase op ex accordingly pa</td>
<td>New track - increase op ex accordingly pa</td>
<td>New track - increase op ex accordingly pa</td>
<td>New track - increase op ex accordingly pa</td>
<td>New track - increase op ex accordingly pa</td>
<td>New track - increase op ex accordingly pa</td>
<td>New track - increase op ex accordingly pa</td>
<td>New track - increase op ex accordingly pa</td>
<td>New track - increase op ex accordingly pa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Name:</th>
<th>Last Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>Fleming</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Thank you for this opportunity. I wish to support 100% the proposed funding allocation to enable the Major Cycleway Programme to continue to be rolled out as quickly as possible. The cycleways are beginning to make such a positive difference to our city, particularly in the CBD where there is a vibrancy of people, many of whom have travelled using a sustainable transport mode, without the need of parking space. In our local area pathways such as that going through Rutland Reserve are proving to be quite an asset. Not just for transport, but the 100’s of people using the path each day particularly around school start and finish times can be seen socially interacting in an open non-traffic environment. Great for community cohesiveness. This has to improve road congestion outside the school gates also. It would be good if the cycling budget could be raised to enable some better connections to important areas along and around the cycleways. It is becoming more and more noticeable that...
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whilst on a cycleway the experience is fantastic, but once off it, for the final leg, it is back to negotiation unsafe intersections and fast moving traffic. So much more could be done with simple inexpensive road treatments and wayfinding signage. It is a bit disappointing to note that the budget allocated for providing bike parking spaces for the next three years is little more than providing a space for a single vehicle: less if that vehicle space is allocated in a multi-level parking building. This does not appear to be particularly equitable given the cycle counters tell us there are several thousand people travelling into the CBD each day. Imagine the parking problems and costs if each of those people were to use their car. Please ensure that funding is allocated to enable the best return on investment; cycling infrastructure (scooters, pedestrians also) provides the best value for ratepayer dollars of any transport mode.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:  Scott
Last Name:  Butcher

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Dear CCC
I am submitting on the Annual Plan 2019/2020 specifically in relation to the categories below.
South New Brighton Reserve
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Butcher, Scott

I have coordinated a volunteer restoration project in the reserve since 2015. Although I have made repeated submissions for funding to be allocated to the implementation of the revegetation elements of the existing Development Plan since this time, no change in funding allocation through the plan has occurred. In the proposed draft plan the following is included for South New Brighton Reserve:

43690 South New Brighton Park Track Renewal – $52,000 (20/21)
43671 South New Brighton Reserves Development $71,000 (19/20) $73,000 (20/21) 0 (21/22)

I therefore propose that a budget line is included which states “South New Brighton Reserves Development – Revegetation” and that this budget line is allocated funding for at least the next 3 years. This funding is will be separate to existing budget lines and specifically targeted towards revegetation. There also needs to be budget allocated to the 21/22 year as current allocated budget will not be adequate for implementation of the revegetation components of the Development Plan.

Horseshoe Lake Reserve

I coordinate a volunteer group at this reserve and while we are grateful for the additional funding that has already been allocated by the CCC Park Rangers, I would like to see assurance of funding continuity while ecological restoration of the site continues. For this assurance I would like to see Horseshoe Lake ecological restoration be provided with its own specific budget line much like Travis Wetland has allocated for the Travis Wetland Boardwalk Extension (51598) or the Travis wetland revegetation project (50976). The only specifically stated budget for the reserve in the parks capital program is the following:

11382 Horseshoe Lake Reserve - Stage 2 2017/18 boardwalks and track repairs 252,000 (19/20).

While this is great for recreation within the reserve it does little for the ecology of the site which I believe is far more important than recreational access, which is likely to be detrimental to the sites ecology. I therefore suggest the following budget line wording and funding allocation:

Horseshoe Lake Revegetation program $60,000 (19/20) $60,000 (20/21) $60,000 (21/22)

Ecosystem Based Adaptation

The annual plan appear silent on the biggest challenge facing the cities ecosystems. Climate change needs to be addressed through ecosystem based adaptation (EBA). I recommend a specific capital budget line be allocated EBA that can be accessed for opportunities that arise at short notice that can contribute to resilience of ecosystems. I suggest that the budget allocated to this could be transferred from community partnerships funding budget.

Red Zone Ecological Restoration

There appears to be no budget allocation towards red zone ecological restoration and there needs to be significant resources allocated to support groups already carrying out this work. Again my suggesting is a budget line that specifically allocates funding for this purpose.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Grace
Last Name: Downs

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Richmond Hill Footpath - I am in support of the Council fast tracking the funding and development of the Richmond Hill footpath. This is urgently needed before a serious accident occurs. Currently driving down the hill each morning at 7.15 am when it is dark, we have to negotiate school children walking down the hill at the same time. The area where the road is basically one lane is poorly lit, the pedestrians are required to walk on the road as there is no provision for pedestrians. The other day I narrowly missed seeing a couple of teenagers who were dressed in black walking out on the left hand side of the road with their backs to me. It is only a matter of time before someone accidentally hits a pedestrian. There is no other option for them to walk down the hill except by walking on the road. Please can we get this situation resolved as soon as possible.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attached Documents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>File</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Tim
Last Name: Downs

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I wish to support the upgrade of and Richmond Hill Road and the much needed completion of the foot path.
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As a user of the road it would be so much safer to have the footpath completed with the continual increase of car moments and pedestrians it would be advantageous to have a footpath that is for pedestrians before there is a fatality because of the simple reason that there is no path at this point. It would be good if the Council used the money from the contributions that the developers of the Richmond Hill subdivisions paid for the upgrade of roads and footpaths when they subdivided.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Ross
Last Name: Last

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

In the annual plan it clearly states that the two sections of the SW Major cycleway from Gilberthorpes Rd. to Racecourse Rd., and from Upper Riccarton Library, Main South Rd. to Curletts Rd. are committed contractually. The definition I have received means: That capital projects which
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the Council has made legally binding contracts to do so! How can Legally binding contracts be made prior to consultation? A Staff member has told me there is no legal contract. Where is the mistake? With the staff member, or The Annual Plan? If the Annual plan is correct, that would mean the Cycleway Consultation may well be illegal, as part of it has already been contracted. If the Staff member is right that means areas of The Annual Plan must come under the microscope as they would be false. Refer to page 59 of The Annual Plan. Can you please advise!

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Dominic
Last Name: McKeown

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I do not support the continued funding of cycle-ways and call for them to stop. I ask this as there are ongoing issues with the Worcester Street part of the Rapanui-Shag rock section. These issues have come up since the installation of the speed humps and road narrowing. Most importantly this is
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from McKeown, Dominic

the safety for the community and users. The current design has resulted in safety issues along its entire length. These have been reported to council repeatedly and yet nothing has happened to make sure these are address and fixed. Furthermore the design team and council staff are unwilling to accept or acknowledge that these issues exist and are unwilling to listen to users and the community to ensure it is a great asset for the community and users.

Along with this other designs don’t use the same feature at all yet the East has to suffer with this low level of infrastructure. Other routes from the CBD have some form of separation from the four avenues which is 100% a lot safer than what has been put in Worcester Street.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:
Riccarton Bush Kilmarnock Residents Association

Your role in the organisation:
Chair

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?:
100+

First Name: Tony
Last Name: Simons

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

We urge council to reinstate the budget item that will ensure work to reconstruct the road and
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Simons, Tony organisation: Riccarton Bush Kilmarnock Residents Association behalf of Chair complete kerb and channeling in Bradshaw Terrace, Riccarton is completed as soon as possible.

In our long-term-plan submission made on May 7th 2018, we objected strongly to the fact the Bradshaw Terrace repair work, originally scheduled for 2016-2017, was to be moved out to 2020-21.

I got a fair hearing and received strong support from Vicki Buck who told me she was surprised it was dropped from the plan. Other councilors were also concerned about how late we were notified, and the fact there was no consultation with the Community Board. Now it appears the same has happened again.

The work has disappeared from the 2021 plan as well. This is unacceptable and real kick in the teeth for these residents. We have lost count how many times this has been budgeted only to be deferred.

It needs to be reinstated immediately.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Peter  Last Name: Dobbs

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

in general support the annual plan. In particular, support the planned spending on cycling and walking infrastructure. I wish to take this opportunity to remind council to continue pursuing sustainable transport options for the city; to provide safe alternatives to car use, to provide safe bike
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storage in the city centre, to build high quality bike track surfaces, visible signage, better cycle priority at intersections.

Attached Documents

File
No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: dave
Last Name: curtis

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Cycling in Christchurch

Our family has just moved back to chch after 10 years in North Canterbury. We were driving large
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distances living rurally & it has been our goal to ‘hang up our car’ since moving to the city. We have been impressed with the cycle ways & they have enabled us to do this pretty effectively. I even feel happy to cycle at night when I can find a route with good cycle paths & am also fairly happy cycling with young children on their own bikes. I hear that there may still be funding to prioritise towards more cycle ways and this submission is to absolutely put our support behind this. As I bike around I enjoy looking around and seeing fellow cyclists or scooters & I get a real sense that the more cycle ways there are & the better they are designed, the more people will use them as their first choice for getting around. With more and more electric bikes, electric scooters, and cargo bikes it is definitely the way of the future. Please keep up the good work and connect more and more suburbs and destinations with safe cycle routes.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:

Parklands Residents Association Inc

Your role in the organisation:

Secretary

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?:

5

First Name: Elissa

Last Name: Smith

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

The Parklands Residents Association asks that consideration is given to extend the budget for the
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Eastern suburbs to cover

- Repair money for roads/footpaths
- RA's to have free access to council community centres to hold meetings and run events.

And to have specific funds to support and complete the following projects which are specific to Parklands

- Money for playground extension, Parklands Reserve
- Money for gathering space beside Parklands Library
- Money for the progression of the Disc golf course at Queenspark Reserve from temporary to permanent.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Anouk
Last Name: Minnaar

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

The LTP should reflect the priority of the major cycleways and to take full advantage of the Government subsidies (TEFAR) which may not be available later. I would like to see this happening now as we might have to pick up the full bill later otherwise. The looming climate breakdown - no
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point denying this anymore - means we need to move away from fossil fuel and invest in alternative transport solutions. Building cycleways, shared pathways and providing infrastructure for these alternative solutions makes Christchurch more sustainable and progressive.

Part of these alternative solutions is not only the physical infrastructure but also the soft solution. We need to educate people on how to share the road (holistic approach) and provide campaigns to remind everyone how this works.

The Council should also provide cycle skills training to adults and immigrants and the ‘renewed’ bicycle users (the group of riders that haven’t touched a bike for years to due road safety but are keen to get going again on (e-) bikes).

The Council should also start a conversation with NZTA and the Ministry of Education of how to bring more road awareness and road rules into the classrooms as the roads are getting more congested the the skills of road users are not getting better.

The Council should also think about how to stimulate multi modal transport solutions. Getting from A to B can be done by providing a better bus network and Park + Ride stations on the major road networks coming into Christchurch.

I would like to see more leadership from the Council in building a sustainable city with various transport solutions.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Mike
Last Name: Currie

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

1. an LTP (long term plan) priority is to complete the major cycleways earlier, to take advantage of Govt subsidies which may not be available later, and in line with this, this year’s draft annual plan proposes to bring forward the funding for various cycleways to take advantage of NZTA subsidy increases;
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2. I want to see this happen - clearly it's going to save the city a lot of money whereas if we wait we may have to pay for it all ourselves;

3. Looming climate breakdown means we need to urgently transition away from fossil fuel transport and that funding these cycleways now is therefore essential.

Attached Documents

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

**First Name:** Jan  
**Last Name:** Cole

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I am writing to request that we have more hours for our library in Diamond Harbour. We have a very varied community ranging from children, who use the facility all the time, their visit enhanced by the knowledge and vision of our librarian Christine Turner to working residents and also the many who are over 65. I, myself, am retired and rely on the Library for essential reading material. I am often bereft on a Monday, when having finished books over a weekend, I have to wait till Tuesday afternoon before it is open again. More hours and more days open would render the Library more user friendly as often people are not sure when it is open and are therefore put off going. Thank you, Jan Cole
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Dale  Last Name: Wear

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Dear Sir/Madam,

Diamond Harbour Library needs more hours. And the ability to turn off wifi at closing to help with our
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Wear, Dale
freedom camping problem too.

Cheers Dale Wear

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Andreas
Last Name: Wesener

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I fully support the Major Cycle Routes investment. The city needs to increase active and decrease motorised traffic. However, parking for cyclists is under-funded whereas car parking gets still too much attention. Reducing car parking has been a very successful strategy in other places to
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increase active transport. It works already for the Christchurch hospital where many started to use bikes to commute due to reduced parking. If car parking remains tax funded, congestion problems will not disappear. Be bold and resist the pressure to cater for motorists! Christchurch should become a leading example for active transport in the Southern Hemisphere!

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Nancy
Last Name: Vance

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Please refer to 1-page uploaded PDF, file name CCC_Draft_Annual_Plan_2019-20_VANCE.pdf.

Thank you.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Vance, Nancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attached Documents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCC_Draft_Annual_Plan_2019-20_VANCE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Submission to CCC Draft Annual Plan 2019-20

Please prioritise the projects listed below in Diamond Harbour, as part of the Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 process. Some of these actions are already identified in the CCC-published Stoddart Point Reserve and Coastal Cliff Reserves Network, Diamond Harbour/Te Waiapu Management Plan September 2013 (aka The Reserves Management Plan or RMP), some were already identified in the community’s (SPRIG) Getting to the Point Action Plan document and some were proposed in the CCC designed Diamond Harbour Development Plan (prepared by Jenny Moore and Andrea Wilde of CCC) in 2016-17. Funding and action on these identified items would be very much appreciated.

1. Prioritise ongoing planting of appropriate native vegetation in the Stoddart Point and Coastal Reserve, gradually replacing the pine forests. This was itemised in the RMP page 61&62, and complementing works being done/planned with the Reserves Management Committee. Capital Cost identified in RMP $120,000 total (70+50k), though this is a long-term item and $5-10,000 per year is realistic. The older pines are dangerous, we wish to prevent soil erosion, to provide succession species which offer food/habitat for bird/insect species, and to provide wind shelter for the former Godley House site (planting along N&E boundary of former Godley House site is critical to shelter the site from the prevailing wind).
   This work can and should align with work being done on the Head to Head Walkway and at the DH Cemetery.

2. Please prioritise extended opening hours and open days at the Diamond Harbour Library as part of the Annual Plan 2019-20. While a small library with dated décor, it is the heart of this community, and per-capita of residents, it is a very well-used library. The DH Library is not only valuable for its collection and access to the city’s paper and digital collections, its value is as a village node, where people meet, children gather, ideas/information/news is exchanged and where all demographics of our community connect. This is of course the definition of a true modern library.
   Our family visits the library on the only days its open outside work/school hours - Tuesday and Saturday. These are very limited hours; we would like to access the library on Monday evenings, and longer on Saturdays and on Sundays.
   In the long-term, when the Godley House site is redeveloped, we would like to see a new library facility form part of that development and believe it would be a valuable asset to the Southern Bays community and to visitors to the Peninsula. (relates to item 6 below)

3. As part of “245 Inner Harbour Road Renewal Charteris Bay to Purau” in the draft plan– please allocate part of this funding to safe pedestrian movements around the roads, particularly lower Waipapa Ave. The DH community have requested traffic calming measures and footpaths at the lower Waipapa Avenue junction for quite some time, including emphasising this as part of the CCC-led Village Development Plan process in 2017. A footpath is needed, especially at the bottom of Waipapa where locals and visitors move between the GH site/cafes and the Memorial Hall/Library/Playground areas.

4. Funding towards the upgrade of DH Wharf is much appreciated and we look forward to this being completed in 2020-21 as promised in the Long-Term Plan. Please add/prioritise the regular cleaning of the wharf shelters at the Wharf in the annual plan. My family cleans them twice per year and this isn’t enough.

5. Repair and upgrade of public toilets on Stoddart Point (upper ferry carpark) is absolutely critical as we understand that these leaking (wastewater pollution and horrible stench) and is in great demand by visitors to the area all year round, particularly over summer (when freedom campers are in abundance). They are currently taped closed, out of order, and mounds of tissues are all around in the surrounding bushes. This is a public health issue. Please make this a priority.

6. Godley House site redevelopment – commercial / public space / recreation planning and commitment necessary. GTTP Action Plan (result of community consultation and CCC master planning completed in 2017) has gone nowhere. Prioritising a coordinated effort in redeveloping this site is important.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Glen
Last Name: Koorey

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I strongly support the bringing forward of the various Major Cycle Routes and road safety projects in the next 3 years, particularly to take advantage of the additional available NZTA subsidy. I would also suggest that the Council consider additional expenditure on cycle parking and connecting local cycleways to make the most advantage of the implementation of the Major Cycle Routes. Council should also follow the lead of Auckland and fast-track the introduction of more lower speed areas in both our urban and rural networks.

Attached Documents
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Chris
Last Name: Morahan

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I support the cycleways being completed as quickly as possible. Quite happy for other roading projects to be deferred to enable this to happen.
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I oppose spending so much on car parking. Would support this being reduced as it goes against our transport objectives (getting more people on buses and bikes, making the city centre a better, more liveable place). Would also free up money for projects which align with our transport objectives (e.g. bus/bike improvements).

I would support more spending on bike parking.

I would support more spending on bus priority.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:  Emma
Last Name:  Shaw

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

More urgent attention needs to be given to Climate Change, and it’s great to see this included in the Annual Plan.

Upgrades to Linwood Park and the new Linwood swimming pool are really important projects and I hope these and other improvements need to continue to happen here in the East as often in Linwood we feel forgotten.

Keep up the funding support for community groups and centres.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Anne
Last Name: Mackay

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I support the Council in its bid to ensure the secure future of our water supplies. Water is not a commodity - it is not for sale.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Mackay, Anne

Generally we must take urgent action in all areas of Council to cope with climate change issues.

No more weed control using unsafe pesticides - encourage "wildlife areas" and educate public about environmental benefits.

I support more money being spent on off-road cycleways.

I support better public transport. We need a major change in thinking to get people to use cars less. Better buses/light rail. Make fares free for a year to encourage people to change to public transport? More education about the REAL cost of car usage. Reinstate passenger train to Lyttelton - as well as other areas (eg Rolleston, Rangiora). It won't be "cost effective" in the short term. but we must take a longer term stance when looking at issues.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:  helen

Last Name:  spear

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Re Bradshaw Tce upgrade  the necessary repairs to road, footpath, stormwater and kerbs was deferred from 2010 and is now to be delayed still further  in the LPT. Please reinstate this project since the problems recognised 10 years ago have only increased over the decade and it would be
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from spear, helen
grossly unfair to ratepayers and residents to delay this work yet again and I request that this
reinstated in the council budget. This street must be the worst presented in Riccarton. Thank you
for your consideration.

Helen Spear

presented in Riccarton...

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:
North Beach Residents Association

Your role in the organisation:
Chairperson

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?
Approx. 2000

First Name: Josiah
Last Name: Thompson

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Please refer to the attached letter.

Attached Documents
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Thompson, Josiah organisation: North Beach Residents Association behalf of: Chairperson

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCC Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 NBRA Submission 1 Apr 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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42 Marine Parade
North New Brighton
Christchurch 8083

1st April 2019

Christchurch City Council
PO Box 73016
Christchurch 8154

To whom it may concern:

Submission of the North Beach Resident’s Association to the CCC Draft Annual Plan 2019-20

The North Beach Resident’s Association would like to raise the following items that we believe need to be addressed in the CCC Draft Annual Plan:

- $616,000 from the plan for the Rawhiti Domain Sports Turf Upgrade, and $222,000 from the plan for the Rawhiti Domain Tennis Court Renewal are line items that have disappeared. We would like to know where this funding is now located in the Annual Plan? We would like these projects to be reinstated as line items in the CCC Annual Plan so that our residents can have confidence that these projects still exist. We strongly believe that these funds should not be removed from the Coastal Ward.
- The Cygnet Street Storm water outfall pipe repair need to be prioritised, currently there is no funding allocated this for 2019 and beyond. Marine Parade floods regularly near Cygnet Street during heavy rain, and cars are often crossing the road centreline to avoid the flood water.
- The corner kerbing and road surface of Bower Ave and New Brighton Road (near The Bower Hotel) needs to be repaired/upgrade and painted. The signs which have been uprooted/damaged need to be replaced. Now that CCC has decided to narrow Palmers Road to reduce traffic flow, Bower Ave will be the main connective road for drivers travelling between Wainoni Road and the foreshore.
- The Marine Parade kerb and channel needs upgrading. When the kerb and channel is replaced, we suggest this would be an ideal time to put the Marine Parade power supply underground.
- In addition to this, the kerb needs to be painted where it protrudes into the roadway, e.g. outside Thomson Park. At night the protruding kerbs are almost impossible to see, and create a safety hazard.
- The Thomson Park entrances off Bowhill Road and the Corner of Bowhill Road/Marine Parade both need upgrading to improve access and visibility, with improved signage. There are roots on the pathway leading from the corner of Bowhill Road/Marine Parade which are a trip hazard and make it difficult for prams, and kids on bikes etc.
- Ongoing maintenance of the footpath on the dunes side (east side) of Marine Parade is needed as it frequently gets overgrown, is hard to push prams or ride bikes down and is sometimes even difficult for walking.
• Sand fences at North Beach often trap driftwood, seaweed and other debris behind them when there is a big swell, and then there is no way for this to naturally get back out to sea. This makes the area look untidy, and sometimes smelly from the seaweed sitting there and decomposing. We would question whether these fences are even required?
• The concrete kerbs around North Beach garden plots are cracked and damaged, and need replacing.
• Cleaning up of sand at North Beach car park and garden pathway area between the North Beach Surf club and the North New Brighton Community Hall needs to be done more regularly. Often the sand accumulates and is left for so long that weeds start to grow in the sand.
• We would like the CCC to develop a plan (regular cleaning/extra bins/etc.) to deal with rubbish regularly left on public land. There is regularly the equivalent of two bags of rubbish behind the skate ramp at Thomson Park. Also, there is a lot of rubbish in the dunes along the Marine Parade road edge that is visible when walking on the pathway, and we are sure there are other areas, regularly reported to CCC, that would have a similar problem. Bins at major access points to the beach (e.g. at the surf clubs) are regularly over flowing and illustrate the need for either larger bins, more bins or more regular emptying at those sites.
• The dead branches of the mature macrocarpa trees inside the south east fence line of Thomson Park need to be removed. Many years ago they were a neat hedge but currently unsightly and make the area appear neglected. The cost of trimming may be partly offset by firewood sales.

Please get in touch with us if you require any clarification of the above items.

Yours sincerely,

Josiah Thompson
Chairperson
North Beach Residents’ Association
Dear Christchurch City Council,

Please find attached North Canterbury Federated Farmers submission on the City Council's Draft Annual Plan consultation document.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide our comments to the Council but on this occasion we do not need to be heard at the hearings.

Kind regards,

NICK CLARK

Federated Farmers of New Zealand

www.fedfarm.org.nz

1 & 2 July 2019 | Te Papa Tongarewa Museum of New Zealand, Wellington

This email communication is confidential between the sender and the recipient. The intended recipient may not distribute it without the permission of the sender. If this email is received in error, it remains confidential and you may not copy, retain or distribute it in any manner. Please notify the sender immediately and erase all copies of the message and all attachments. Thank you.
SUBMISSION

To: Long-Term Plan Submissions
   Christchurch City Council
   PO Box 73017
   CHRISTCHURCH 8156
   By email: ccc-plan@ccc.govt.nz

Submission on: Christchurch City Annual Plan 2019-20 Consultation Document

Date: 1 April 2019

Contact: NICK CLARK

Federated Farmers of New Zealand
SUBMISSION TO CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL
CHRISTCHURCH CITY LONG-TERM PLAN 2018-28 CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 North Canterbury Federated Farmers (NCFF) welcomes the opportunity to submit to Christchurch City Council on its Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 Consultation Document.

1.2 NCFF has been a long-standing submitter to Council annual plans and long-term plans (LTPs). Our position over the years has been for the Council to:
   • Keep its spending and rates increases in check; and
   • Maintain a rating system that results in a rates allocation that reflects the use of and benefit derived from council activities.

1.3 This year’s consultation document is more an ‘update and inform’ document with a lack of specific proposals or questions for feedback. NCFF will therefore confine its submission to general feedback.

1.4 NCFF appreciates the efforts of the Council over recent years to grapple with the earthquake rebuild and the need to repair and improve core infrastructure – particularly roading and water. We share the Council’s concern about the cumulative impacts of ongoing large rates increases and we agree that a balance needs to be struck between the need to fund the critical work that needs to be done and rates affordability. We continue to appreciate the maintenance of the 0.75 rural differential on the general rate.

1.5 NCFF supports the increase in the Uniform Annual General Charge (UAGC) to $130 after many years of it being unchanged at $117.56. We have long considered the UAGC and targeted uniform annual charges (UACs), along with user charges, to be a fair and appropriate way to fund people-based activities.

1.6 In this vein, NCFF supports the proposed Akaroa Medical Centre and we welcome the public consultation on a targeted rate as a last resort to cover a funding shortfall. We agree that a fixed-term uniform targeted rate per rateable property is the most fair and appropriate tool to raise funds for it. We are agnostic on whether the targeted rate should be set at $130 per year for four years or $58.63 per year for ten years.

1.7 An important focus in NCFF’s submission last year to the Council’s 2018-28 LTP was the state of Banks Peninsula’s rural roads. It is pleasing that a roading working group was set up with the Akaroa-Wairewa Community Board and extra funding provided. As a result, there appears to have been progress to improve the maintenance and condition of these roads, which are so critical for the social and economic well-being of farmers and other rural people. We would like to thank the Council, in particular Banks Peninsula Ward Councillor Andrew Turner and the Akaroa-Wairewa Community Board.

1.8 NCFF hopes the progress we have seen continues. This needs to include a continuation of increased rural road funding and ensuring that roading contracts provide for better integration of programmes (not just grading but also the cleaning of culverts and managing storm water and water tables).

1.9 NCFF does not need to speak at the hearings.
2. ABOUT NORTH CANTERBURY FEDERATED FARMERS

2.1 North Canterbury Federated Farmers is a voluntary, member-based organisation that represents farming and other rural businesses. It is one of 24 provinces that comprise Federated Farmers of New Zealand, which has a long and proud history of representing the needs and interests of New Zealand farmers.

2.2 The Federation aims to add value to its members’ farming businesses. Our key strategic outcomes include the need for New Zealand to provide an economic and social environment within which:

- Our members may operate their business in a fair and flexible commercial environment;
- Our members’ families and their staff have access to services essential to the needs of the rural community; and
- Our members adopt responsible management and environmental practices.

ENDS
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Sarah  Last Name: Pritchett

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Please extend the opening hours of the Diamond Harbour library. Especially consider extending the hours on the Saturday. All day would be fantastic. Many of us are busy with children's sport on Saturday mornings and miss the 10-12 slot on Saturdays. A later Friday night would also be great to allow busy families more opportunities to get to the library. This is a great community resource that was built by our community and we could like more say in the operational hours of it.

Attached Documents
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Pritchett, Sarah

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Sarah
Last Name: Fountain

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Footpaths in Diamond Harbour

The vegetation over footpaths in this semi rural community is always an issue. It is mostly self
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Fountain, Sarah

seeded and growing on public land but also from private gardens.

In many places the width of the path is covered and pedestrians, children on bikes and mums with prams etc are pushed onto curving roads with poor visibility and increasingly heavy traffic

The problems

In more than a decade in the community, it appears that CCC has

- No regular maintenance planned on yearly or twice yearly basis
- The maintenance is undertaken upon complaint only
- Before the work is undertaken the assessment is done by car, only in the area indicated
- When the job is done, after several complaints, it is often sub-standard (in the last instance the green waste was dumped in a hidden place of a public reserve) and often there are no appropriate cutting tools to undertake the job professionally and efficiently.
- CCC does not notify the landlords asking them to deal with the vegetation growing over the path

Potential solutions

- Schedule maintenance at least once a year and or as often as needed for pedestrian safety
- Efficient assessment on foot.
- Job undertaken by professionals, with correct tools and attitude: who take pride in their work and are respectful of tax payers.
- Remember all footpaths in Diamond Harbour and Church Bay are used, not just Marine Drive

Links needed

The communities on the North of the harbour include Diamond Harbour, Church Bay and Charteris Bay. As there is increasing development, these communities are coalescing but there is no footpath linking them, and people have no choice but walk on the road in many places especially in between parts of Church Bay and Charteris Bay en route to Diamond Harbour and the On the Spot Store in Church Bay.

Walkers need to walk beside the busy main road, Marine Drive, which is very dangerous for cars and walkers (Many with dogs and push chairs). Diamond Harbour area is a fast growing community and it appears there is a need to plan work on a connecting footpath urgently before there is someone injured.

Note there is a footpath between Lyttelton and Corsair Bay: It seems Diamond Harbour and the surrounding communities are treated differently.

Please keep us informed about your decisions on the matters

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: victoria andrews
Sent: Saturday, 30 March 2019 1:15 p.m.
To: CCC Plan
Subject: Victoria Andrews' Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 Submission, 8 pages
Attachments: VA annual plan sub 2019-20.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 Submission
Submitter: Victoria Andrews;
I am completing this submission for myself. I wish to be heard via a video link at the Akaroa Service Centre; date: March 30, 2019

For the past eight years Christchurch City Council has causally disregarded the fact that Akaroa is an historic town. It is not a commercial port yet it has had to serve the Canterbury region as the point of entry for the cruise industry.
The Port of Lyttelton is a business operation which keeps cruise activity and commercial freight separate to ensure public safety. Akaroa does not have such an option.

Akaroa’s wharf is well over 100 years old. It is not maintained to a high safety standard yet it accommodates more than 250,000 passengers and crew per year many of whom are elderly and disabled.
The Council cannot cherry pick policies to suit its needs

Akaroa Wharf, Physical Access for the Disabled

CCC Equity and access for people with disabilities Policy, 27 July 2001 - Ensures all Council services, facilities, amenities and places of recreation (for example parks and beaches, galleries, libraries and cultural venues) maximise the opportunities for people with disabilities to attend and participate and that general design principles appropriate for people with disabilities is considered in redevelopment.

The Council has disregarded policies contained in its Marine, River and Lake Facilities and Public Places Bylaws, the Heritage Strategy 2019-2029 and the Akaroa Public Realm Guidelines in an effort to meet cruise industry demands and to collect $800,000 of revenue through berthing fees.

Members of the public, residents and the Akaroa Fishermen’s Association have largely been excluded on cruise ship days when the wharf is dominated by tenders and cruise passengers.
from November through March fewer people enjoy casual fishing and spending time next to the water

the public’s traditional use rights have been diminished by the increasing level of commercial activity

The public’s existing use rights have largely been extinguished by tenders and cruise passengers occupying the wharf.

on many busy cruise ship days

local fishermen and recreational users have limited if any access to the wharf
The Council does not have appropriate management in place to oversee the high level of mass tourism taking place in Akaroa. This is especially true of the cruise industry in relation to the wharf, surrounding area and tour buses which congest the town’s narrow streets.

- Tents and kiosks often block emergency wharf access; they exclude members of the public from open public space. The wharf has effectively been privatised by cruise lines.

- Alternatives are available with regard to using smaller tents and placing kiosks and chairs in appropriate areas such as the Britomart Reserve.
Cruise Action Group

- What are the terms of reference for the Cruise Action Group and who represents the Akaroa community since the business community appears to be represented.
- Does the Group report to the Council? How will the Banks Peninsula Community Board update businesses and residents to ensure transparency and public accountability?

The Council has shown little regard for the amenity and heritage values of the Wharf and Britomart Reserve

Public Toilets

- The Council did not seek expert advice about the heritage status of the Britomart Reserve. Please refer to attached paper on the subject. As a Council facility the Akaroa Museum is often overlooked as an inhouse resource. Perhaps this can be remedied in the future to save the Council complications and future misunderstandings.
- The resource consent application for temporary toilets, presented to the Akaroa Design and Appearance Advisory Committee, was written for 3 years and then extended to 4 years yet the ratepayers have been assured the majority of large cruise ships would return to the Port of Lyttelton in 2021 once the cruise facility is completed.
- The Council failed to seek resource consent for port a loos and temporary toilets as it legally is required to do dating back to 2011 when ships started using Akaroa as a “port”.
- New Zealand’s distinctive land and seascapes are an integral component of our wellbeing and national identity. They provide deep cultural connections, physical and spiritual respite, and are havens for recreational use. They are a big part of the New Zealand export brand and a major drawcard for international visitors.

In my view, Council reserves and open public space must be protected from inappropriate use.

Akaroa is a regional, national and international destination because of its natural setting, heritage and amenity values. Visitors enhance the local economy and sustain our natural, social and heritage environments. Imposing public toilets on one of the town’s most important reserves is contrary to Council objectives and policies nor is the proposal supported by the community and adjacent property owners. Toilet renewals and improvements must be based on community priority and not the requirements of the cruise industry.
The Council adopted the Our Heritage Our Taonga Strategy in December 2018. To ignore its contents with regard to the heritage values of the Britomart Reserve and Akaroa makes a mockery of the strategy in my view.

The Britomart Reserve is used for picnics and passive recreation. There are few public grassed areas in Akaroa. The reserve is a highly sensitive and historic site adjacent the wharf.

Traffic Management Plan On Cruise Ship Days
Tour buses line up from the corner of the Akaroa Museum all the way to the Akaroa Area School on busy days as children head off to school. There is no Council oversight because the Risk Traffic Management Plan focuses only on the immediate area of the wharf.
I doubt if Christchurch parents would allow their children to compete with buses edging up in line with motors running early in the morning and again in the afternoon as passengers are dropped off. Buses often force vehicles onto the footpath due to Akaroa’s narrow streets.

In my view the traffic risk management plan is a joke with regard to buses.
The use of the Akaroa Wharf has significantly increased since 2011

- The cruise industry will continue to access Akaroa and the harbour as new ships come on stream. Cruise lines with older ships will continue to access Akaroa’s wharf as mass tourism increases. Cruise numbers must be limited. Akaroa is positioned between step hills and the harbour. The historic town cannot expand or grow in size.
- The quality of life for residents has been greatly reduced over the past 8 years due to the increased pressure of mass tourism. Christchurch City Council has placed the wellbeing of the community at risk for a handful of dollars collected through berth fees. The Council owns and controls the wharf. It therefore has the ability to limit numbers based on health and safety issues relating to the use of the wharf and the surrounding area.

In conclusion I ask that Christchurch City Council direct large cruise ships to Lyttelton Port and

1. Increase berth fees for cruise tenders using the Akaroa wharf to the same level as the Port of Lyttelton and other NZ ports.
   (below, Akaroa charges the draft annual plan 2019-20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Passenger Cruise Vessels</th>
<th>Minimum charge per vessel for each visit to Akaroa Harbour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, 2 (passenger capacity)</td>
<td>$340.00 $350.00 $3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 - 150 (passenger capacity)</td>
<td>$1,020.00 $1,040.00 $20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151 - 250 (passenger capacity)</td>
<td>$2,100.00 $2,140.00 $10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251 - 350 (passenger capacity)</td>
<td>$3,100.00 $3,150.00 $15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>351 - 500 (passenger capacity)</td>
<td>$4,100.00 $4,150.00 $20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501 - 1000 (passenger capacity)</td>
<td>$5,100.00 $5,150.00 $25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001 - 2000 (passenger capacity)</td>
<td>$6,100.00 $6,150.00 $30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 2000 (passenger capacity)</td>
<td>$7,100.00 $7,150.00 $35.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Council reserves the right to negotiate a higher rate depending on the size of the passenger cruise vessel or the number of annual visits.

2. Increased berth fees be redirected back to Akaroa to meet community requirements (I do not accept the argument of ‘jam jar accounting’). The Council has allocated berth fees to the general fund since 2011 when in fact the community requires assistance with funding critical items such as the Akaroa Health Hub.
3. Charge tour buses picking up cruise passengers a daily fee; money collected to be used towards the needs and requirements of the community and outer bays.
4. Limit the size of vessels entering the Akaroa harbour to no more than 500 passengers.
5. Limit the number of Akaroa cruise visits to no more than 30 per year.
6. As a safety measure double and triple cruise ship days should not be permitted with regard to berth space due to crowding on the wharf and surrounding area.
7. The Council must provide an appropriate level of professional management on the wharf to ensure public safety and access at all times; the Council must include all of Rue Jolie and Rue Lavaud in the traffic management plan with regard to tour buses.
8. I support the BP Community Board submission regarding rural roading maintenance and improvements, creating a Strategic Steering Group to oversee ChristchurchNZ’s visitor planning for the Peninsula with added local representation to ensure transparency and accountability. I do not support funding an additional $1.4 million towards ChristchurchNZ’s budget. I do not support a fuel tax because rural Banks Peninsula lacks public transport.
The history of the Britomart Reserve, which is open public space, reveals the following information. The benefit of the resources in the Akaroa Museum highlights a few details.

The Bruce Hotel was built by Captain James Bruce on land acquired on 10 November 1843. It was Section 1, Block 1, of the Canterbury Survey district, and this is what the plaque on the side of the building commemorates.

I've attached a couple of scans that show the extent of Bruce's land - it did not include the modern Britomart Reserve, but stopped at the edge of Beach Road. Bruce had a jetty in front of his hotel, the only landing place at that end of town before the main wharf was built in 1886. Of course, Daly's Wharf was at the other end of the town.

The English blockhouse (marked on Tremewan's map) was erected on the waterfront in front of Bruce's Hotel in May 1845, along with another at the French end of town (located on Rue Jolie north) and one at German Bay, on the reserve fronting the foreshore. The defensive structures were built by the carpenters of the French naval ship Rhin as a response to the Wairau incident of June 1843, which made settlers throughout the South Island fearful of a Maori attack. The blockhouses were all built at German Bay and the two Akaroa ones barged around to the town. They were never used for the purpose for which they were built and vanished within a few decades.

Kemp's Deed was brought to Akaroa on 4 June 1848 on the HM sloop Fly. Commissioner Kemp's aim was to persuade Ngai Tahu chiefs to sell their lands to the Crown. Harry Evison says in his book The Ngai Tahu Deeds that about 500 Ngai Tahu people assembled at the English blockhouse to hear Kemp explain his intentions. This first meeting ended in a heated argument, with Kemp saying he would leave Akaroa in two days and Ngai Tahu had to decide by then whether to accept his terms. Most eventually came round and boarded the Fly on 12 June to sign the deed. Captain James Bruce's signature is on the deed as a witness. Notably, local chief Tikao refused to sign and left the ship. The others signed and received the first instalment of the purchase price in cash. The transaction, now considered a swindle rather than a sale, saw Ngai Tahu part with most of Canterbury, Westland and Otago for £2000.

The Britomart Reserve as we know it came into being in the early years of the 1900s, when it was chosen as the site for a British Naval gun, donated by the British Government to represent the type of gun that would have been carried on HMS Britomart in 1840. The gun/cannon had been gifted for the 1906 International Exhibition in Christchurch where Akaroa had an exhibit. After that was over, the gun was brought to Akaroa by the Exhibition Committee and mounted on a concrete slab on the reserve near the entrance to the wharf. It quickly became known as "the Britomart gun", even although it wasn't off the Britomart, and the reserve the Britomart Reserve.

The Britomart Reserve does have some considerable historical significance as the location of the blockhouse, despite the fact that all evidence of it is long gone. And it has more recent significance as the location of the Naval gun on its carriage. The museum has a thick file of information here about the gun if anyone's interested in that amount of detail.

An early map of the town of Akaroa, 1852, has the reserve already marked as Reserve 86, no doubt because it was the site of the blockhouse, but the road reserve is quite wide too and probably intrudes onto what is now fenced off as the Britomart Reserve. For further information please contact the Akaroa Museum.

Akaroa Museum
P.O. Box 35
Akaroa 7542
Banks Peninsula
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Prue  Last Name: Stringer

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I strongly urge council to fast track the creation of the cycleway from Heathcote Valley. My experience of cycling down Port Hills Rd is that is very dangerous, and getting worse with the increasing truck traffic. Particularly in the hours before and after school and work I see many young people, and parents with children, on bikes using this route. Let's get them off that busy road, the spending will be worth it!

Attached Documents
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:  
Last Name: *

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

If the council is to continue to push sustainable travel I would like to see more cycle parking (and covered) available in varied spots. Adjacent locker/change facilities would be great so it is not only for fine weather and can be used for year round commuting. Large employers should be required to provide this for a set % of their staff.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from c, I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please find attached the submission from the CDHB on the CCC Annual Plan.
Please acknowledge receipt.

Regards

Alison Paterson
Submission on CCC Draft Annual Plan
2019 - 2020

To: Christchurch City Council
   PO Box 73017

Submitter: Canterbury District Health Board

Draft Annual Plan 2019 - 2020
SUBMISSION ON CCC ANNUAL PLAN 2019-20

Details of submitter

1. Canterbury District Health Board (CDHB).

2. The submitter is responsible for promoting the reduction of adverse environmental effects on the health of people and communities and to improve, promote and protect their health pursuant to the New Zealand Public Health and Disability Act 2000 and the Health Act 1956. These statutory obligations are the responsibility of the Ministry of Health and, in the Canterbury District, are carried out under contract by Community and Public Health under Crown funding agreements on behalf of the Canterbury District Health Board.

3. The Ministry of Health requires the submitter to reduce potential health risks by such means as submissions to ensure the public health significance of potential adverse effects are adequately considered during policy development.

Details of submission

4. The CDHB welcomes the opportunity to comment on the CCC Annual Plan 2019-20. The future health of our populations is not just reliant on hospitals, but on a responsive environment where all sectors work collaboratively.

5. While health care services are an important determinant of health, health is also influenced by a wide range of factors beyond the health sector. Health care services manage disease and trauma and are an important determinant of health outcomes. However health creation and wellbeing (overall quality of life) is influenced by a wide range of factors beyond the health sector.

6. These influences can be described as the conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work and age, and are impacted by environmental, social and behavioural factors. They are often referred to as the social determinants of health\(^1\). The diagram\(^2\) below shows how the various influences on health are complex and interlinked.


7. The most effective way to maximise people’s wellbeing is to take these factors into account as early as possible during decision making and strategy development. Initiatives to improve health outcomes and overall quality of life must involve organisations and groups beyond the health sector, such as local government if they are to have a reasonable impact.\(^3\)

Comments

8. The CDHB acknowledges that the council has allocated funding in the 2020/21 capital programme to providing a new drinking water supply at Okains Bay. The consumption of drinking water from the current supply is a potential health risk to the community and camp visitors, therefore council’s commitment to this upgrade is commended. However Council’s recent application to the Tourism Board to assist funding the upgrade illustrates Council’s awareness of the need to accelerate the work. The CDHB encourages council to fast track the Okains Bay work and implement it earlier than the 2020/21 funding year regardless of the supply of additional funding.

9. The CDHB strongly support the programme of well head improvements and recognise that this work has gone beyond the minimum required to provide ‘best practice’ by either raising or replacing well heads which were in below ground chambers. This should assist the council in preparing for the more robust requirements around well heads which might be expected in the planned major revision of the Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand.

10. The CDHB notes the comments in the draft plan that ‘we want to get the chlorine out and keep it out’. Council are reminded that chlorination remains the ‘default’ method of protecting drinking water reticulation systems from contamination. In countries in Europe where the use of chlorine is not compulsory the criteria to demonstrate the safety of drinking water reticulation are very rigorous. If similar criteria are introduced into New Zealand through the planned major revision of the NZ Drinking Water Standards then additional investment in the Christchurch reticulation may be required in order to meet those criteria.

Conclusion

11. The CDHB does not wish to be heard in support of this submission.

12. If others make a similar submission, the submitter will not consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

Person making the submission
Dr. Alistair R.G. Humphrey MPH MHL FAFPHM
Public Health Physician

Date: 1st April 2019

Contact details
Alizon Paterson
For and on behalf of
Community and Public Health
C/- Canterbury District Health Board
Tēna koe

Please find attached Environment Canterbury submission on the Council’s draft Annual Plan 2019-20.

Ngā mihi
Karen Stanley

---

Governance
Environment Canterbury

Governance@canterbury.govt.nz
Facilitating sustainable development in the Canterbury region  canterbury.govt.nz
Dear Karleen,

Environment Canterbury submission on the Council’s draft Annual Plan 2019-20

Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission on your draft Annual Plan 2019-20. We know this has been a very difficult time as the Christchurch City Council has supported the Muslim community during these dreadful days and I would like to acknowledge your leadership, and the leadership of the Mayor and Council, at this time.

Working together in partnership will be critical as we continue to address the challenges facing the city and greater Christchurch. Whether it be responding to and fronting freshwater issues, developing urban development strategies that will determine the direction of growth in the city, or creating a transport network that delivers on our communities’ needs, a collaborative, joined-up approach will be crucial and we must work together to achieve this.

We are particularly keen to work with the Council to see several challenges addressed over the coming year. Landing a Future Development Strategy is particularly important, as is resolving the Akaroa wastewater discharge consent.

Canterbury Water Management Strategy

A focus for Environment Canterbury will be to continue working with the Council and Ngāi Tahu to implement the Mayoral Forum. An integral part of delivering the Canterbury Water Management Strategy (CWMS) has been the support of the zone committees. We welcome initiatives such as the upcoming workshop facilitated by the Council to bring together members from the Christchurch West Melton, Selwyn Waihora and Banks Peninsula Zone Committees to identify and promote integrated water management approaches.

I understand good progress has been made on developing conditions for the new global stormwater consent, and we will continue to support the Council in this work. Working with communities on waterways will be a key component of meeting any new conditions, and this builds on the long-term efforts and successes of the Council with waterways – engaging with active and motivated community groups provides an opportunity to deliver on community aspirations with reduced costs to ratepayers.
Environment Canterbury particularly welcomes the addition in funding to the capital expenditure programme for 2020/21 for a new water supply at Okains Bay to replace the current privately owned scheme.

Transport and Urban Development

As you will know, the Greater Christchurch Partnership and its constituent partners will be considering the ‘Our Space 2018-2048’ document in the coming weeks. I sincerely hope that Christchurch City Council, alongside other councils and partners, can come together to agree upon this strategy. This will be critical to demonstrate our ability as the leaders of New Zealand’s second largest urban area to collaborate and partner as we work towards our collective vision for Greater Christchurch. If we don’t, we risk missing out on opportunities to attract new investment that will support an even more vibrant, prosperous and sustainable city.

Regional Public Transport Plan 2018-28

We welcome the infrastructure spend signalled in the annual plan to support public transport, including: significant expenditure on the Riccarton Road corridor (delayed from the 2018-19 financial year); expenditure on the Lincoln Road corridor, a key public transport corridor that supports urban growth in the southwest of the city; and the bringing forward of funding for infrastructure improvements that support the core Orbiter route in the northwest of the city.

We encourage the Council to prioritise expenditure which will deliver outcomes that the Council is responsible for under the Regional Public Transport Plan, and to consider whether there are further public transport projects that can be brought forward, such as work on Kilmore Street, a key public transport corridor as identified in the An Accessible City transport plan.

We do not wish to be heard in support of our submission. If you have any queries in relation to our submission, please contact Adrienne Lomax, Regional Leadership and Policy,

Yours sincerely

Steve Lowndes
Chair
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Name:</th>
<th>Last Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chara</td>
<td>Coles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I would like the library hours in Diamond Harbour to be extended

Attached Documents

File
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:  Micki  
Last Name:  Bell

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I would like the Diamond Harbour Library to be open six days a week. It is a central service in our community, which is regularly used by all ages. In addition to its main function, it is a social meeting point for many people, young and old. Communities like ours significantly benefit from such services as they help people stay connected, which is vital for a strong and active community!

Attached Documents
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Jo
Last Name: Sutherland

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

An LTP priority is to complete the major cycleways earlier, to take advantage of Govt subsidies which may not be available later, and in line with this, this year's draft annual plan proposes to bring forward the funding for various cycleways to take advantage of NZTA subsidy increases. This...
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Sutherland, Jo

seems like the best course of action, as those subsidies may disappear if we wait.

I am transitioning to cycling and this is made easier and safer by the new cycleways in my part of the city. I would like more of them, with better connections to each other. I believe it will encourage others who wish to bike if there is a reliable, connected network of cycleways. Christchurch, being flat, should be a cycle city. Advantages would be a healthier population, better traffic, lower pollution, road maintenance cost savings, to mention a few.

Imminent climate change means we need to urgently reduce fossil fuel transport and so funding these cycleways now is essential. Helping citizens to adapt to climate change is important, but providing the means for them to reduce emissions earlier is even better.

Please bring forward the funding of the South Express, Heathcote Expressway, Nor’West Arc and Southern Lights Major Cycle Routes as outlined in the draft annual plan.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Name:</th>
<th>Last Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joanna</td>
<td>Knight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I write to ask that the plans for reconstruction of Bradshaw Toe’s road, footpath, stormwater and kerb, proposed for 2010, be reinstated on the Council budget. I have lived in this street for many years and been a homeowner here for the past 6 years and I have seen the state of the road become more and more rundown. We have several elderly residents in the street and I worry about their safety when trying to negotiate the footpath. My elderly parents-in-law, when they visit, actively avoid walking anywhere, even though everything is close by, for fear of tripping. Every time there is high rainfall, we worry whether or not the stormwater will cope and if we’ll have another instance of raw sewage in the street. We pay good rates, just as the residents of Rata Street and Harakeke Street do and we would expect to receive the same treatment.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Knight, Joanna

Attached Documents

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Peter
Last Name: Bigelow

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I want to add my voice to the concern Heathcote Valley residents and cyclists have about the delays to the Heathcote Valley section of the cycleway. Port Hills Rd is a very busy arterial route with very heavy trucks using the roadway to access the Lyttelton motorway. The speed limit on Port Hills/Opawa road was also recently adjusted to 60km which has greatly increased the speed of vehicles. I have 4 children who use cycles on the road, along with myself, and my partner commutes by cycle on this road every work day. The merging to and from Lyttelton Motorway to Port Hills Rd around the overbridge is particularly dangerous for cyclists. I also believe that since the speed limit has been increased on Port Hills Rd before Heathcote Valley more or larger signage is needed to remind motorists to slow down when entering Heathcote’s 50km zone. I also believe urgent attention is required for the appalling condition of the road surface of Port Hills Rd past the Lyttelton Motorway overbridge inside.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Bigelow, Peter

Heathcote Valley: Please give consideration to these issues as I believe it is only a matter of time until someone is injured cycling on Port Hill Rd if things remain the same as present.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Allan
Last Name: Taunt

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

(This text is included in the supporting document. The supporting document also includes photos.)

I am genuinely concerned about the small budget that is spent on active transport infrastructure as
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Taunt, Allan

compared with that spent on infrastructure for motor vehicles. As a ratepayer it is disappointing to see money spent on things that contribute to the degradation of the community and environment.

Motor vehicles are responsible for damage to the environment both through pollutants washing off the roads (e.g. tyre rubber, smashed glass and plastics) as well as greenhouse gasses being released from burning fossil fuels. This pollution kills wildlife. This pollution also contributes to the early deaths of people with respiratory problems or pre-existing health conditions.

Excessive support for transports like motor vehicles has contributed to an environment where people are becoming inactive. Health problems resulting from lack of physical exercise is reducing the productivity of people and generating large costs to taxpayers to provide state funded healthcare.

The introduction of the major cycleways in Christchurch has made cycling safer for some people, however there are many parts of town where the infrastructure is still poor. Many people are still too scared to bike themselves or send their children off by bike as they see it as too dangerous. Common problems include motor vehicles travelling too fast, drivers running red lights, distracted or even impaired drivers. Close passes are also common.

The attitude toward people that ride bikes is poor. Social media is often rife with hatred promoting behaviour that endangers people that choose to ride bikes. For someone riding a bike, there is no protection apart from quality safe cycling infrastructure.

My experience riding a bike around Christchurch is that there are many examples of very poor motor vehicle driving. Many of these have been captured on camera.

For the good of Christchurch please increase the budget to support active transports (like cycling) so that it is on par with that of the budget for motor vehicle transport.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feedback for Draft Annual Plan 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Feedback for Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020

Allan Taunt

01/04/2019

I am genuinely concerned about the small budget that is spent on active transport infrastructure as compared with that spent on infrastructure for motor vehicles. As a ratepayer it is disappointing to see money spent on things that contribute to the degradation of the community and environment.

Motor vehicles are responsible for damage to the environment both through pollutants washing off the roads (e.g. tyre rubber, smashed glass and plastics) as well as greenhouse gasses being released from burning fossil fuels. This pollution kills wildlife. This pollution also contributes to the early deaths of people with respiratory problems or pre-existing health conditions.

Excessive support for transports like motor vehicles has contributed to an environment where people are becoming inactive. Health problems resulting from lack of physical exercise is reducing the productivity of people and generating large costs to taxpayers to provide state funded healthcare.

The introduction of the major cycleways in Christchurch has made cycling safer for some people, however there are many parts of town where the infrastructure is still poor. Many people are still too scared to bike themselves or send their children off by bike as they see it as too dangerous. Common problems include motor vehicles travelling too fast, drivers running red lights, distracted or even impaired drivers. Close passes are also common.

The attitude toward people that ride bikes is poor. Social media is often rife with hatred promoting behaviour that endangers people that choose to ride bikes. For someone riding a bike, there is no protection apart from quality safe cycling infrastructure.

My experience riding a bike around Christchurch is that there are many examples of very poor motor vehicle driving. Many of these have been captured on camera.

For the good of Christchurch please increase the budget to support active transports (like cycling) so that it is on par with that of the budget for motor vehicle transport.

For the remainder of this submission I want to share some examples of what I see when biking on the roads in Christchurch (note these are just some examples from March 2019).
21/03/2019 – Harewood Road, Papanui Road, Main North Road intersection.

Driver unexpectedly passes me on the left using a turning only lane. This was dangerous and far too close.

07/03/2019 – Papanui

Driver suddenly pulls left without indicating or looking. Driver drives left of lane for no apparent reason.
07/03/2019 – Wairakei Road, Idris Road intersection
Van driver on the right is running a red light right into the path of people riding bikes.

01/03/2019 – Papanui
Car door to watch out for.
22/03/2019 – Straven Road

Driver drifts into cycle lane.

21/03/2019 – Matipo Street

Driver passes too close forcing me into the door zone of the upcoming car.
Some of those examples of dangerous driving are mistakes, some come from a lack of driver education, some the driver is not focused on driving, and some are intentional. It is hardly surprising that some examples of dangerous driving are intentional, as this aligns with some of the hate on social media.
I realise it is not the Christchurch City Council’s responsibility to resolve the hate toward people that ride bikes. Even so, this is a problem that affects Christchurch. There does need to be a discussion at a government level to find a solution, however, until this happens separated cycleways are absolutely critical to the support for people riding bikes in Christchurch.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:  
Last Name:  

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I am a member of SPOKES, the cycling advocacy group - see www.spokes.org.nz.

SPOKES is dedicated to including cycling as an everyday form of transport within local and regional planning in Canterbury, and in particular the Greater Christchurch area. It was established in 1998, as a successor to the former Canterbury Cyclists' Association.
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Spokes' many longstanding advocates include transportation professionals and (most importantly) regular cyclists. Spokes provides regular feedback from a cyclist’s perspective on various transportation and planning issues from local Councils and other agencies.

Spokes has (or has had) representatives on Christchurch City Council’s Road Safety Committee, Central City Transport Working Group, and Environment Canterbury’s Regional Land Transport Committee and Public Transport Advisory Group.

Our mission is “By 2020, Christchurch will be considered one of the top 5 cycle cities in the world”. The CCC itself promotes cycling on its website - “Christchurch is the city of cycling with more people biking here than any other city in New Zealand. The city has 330km of on and off road cycleways. Find a ride and explore the city by track, trail or road”. A search for “cycling” across ccc.govt.nz returns 1881 results (11/6/19 1645).

I support the early completion of the MCRs and ask for increased funding to build local cycle networks and parking - the parking does not have to be elaborate but bikes can be expensive and those of us who have committed to doing our best for the environment, our health and the ability of others to move round more freely deserve facilities that enable us to secure our bikes from opportunistic theft. Unfortunately there is no guaranteed defence against determined organised crime - I accept that but do expect strong items to lock my bike to, and would appreciate security cameras as the norm. Thank you.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Natalie

Last Name: Brodie

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Please consider greater, longer term funding to support active travel trip end facilities such as good (secure and covered where suitable), usable bike parking. Improvements to Christchurch’s cycling infrastructure is attracting an increase in the number of people cycling, in particular those who are...
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Brodie, Natalie

less confident / haven't biked for a few years. Council's plan is working! Great! However, perhaps it wasn't foreseen that these people are often riding heavier styles of bike. In addition, we need to consider the increasing use of larger cargo bikes / bikes with child carriers / panniers / electric bikes.

These people need somewhere to store their bikes at either end of their trips. There is endless evidence, city- and worldwide, of examples where the amount of bike parking required is grossly underestimated. Continue to support Christchurch to become an even greater cycling city. To keep increasing the number of people cycling whilst preventing pathway obstructions, think ahead and allocate decent funds and planning towards good, widely distributed bike parking facilities in locations that discourage bike theft.

Thanks.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Chris
Last Name: McGahan

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Please consider Elmwood Park in the upcoming annual plan.
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SUBMISSION TO: Christchurch City Council

ON: Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020

BY: Coastal-Burwood Community Board

CONTACT: Linda Stewart
Chairperson Submissions Committee
C/- PO Box 73023
CHRISTCHURCH 8154
027 405 3257
linda.stewart@ccc.govt.nz

The Coastal-Burwood Community Board appreciates the opportunity to make this submission to the Christchurch City Council Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020.

The Board would like to speak to this submission at the hearings.

Submission

Key Messages of this submission

- Many areas of the Coastal and Burwood Wards are still in a regeneration/earthquake repair stage. This view is reinforced by our Residents’ Associations.

- There are pockets within both wards where the Board has community well-being concerns. Building of a Community Facility, outlined below, and repairs to the earthquake damaged South Brighton and Southshore Estuary Edge will assist with the psycho-social recovery of our community. The Board is extremely concerned with the reported increase in suicides within the city. Earthquake repairs should be prioritised over non-earthquake related replacement of infrastructure.

- The majority of the city’s flat land residential red zone is within the Coastal and Burwood Wards.

- The Board would like to strongly request completion of earthquake repairs (as listed below in this submission) and regeneration in the Wards are prioritised in programmes of work as well as in the Council’s capital programme.

- The QEII Masterplan is currently unfunded for Stage 2. The Board requests consideration of funding to enable Stage 2 of the Masterplan to progress in the next Long Term Plan.

- The Board is pleased Council supported the inclusion of transport network horizontal infrastructure and residential red zone seed funding in the Capital Acceleration Fund. Roading, footpath and kerbing priorities in the wards are included in this submission.

- The Board signals its support for capital funding to be allocated to enable the building or purchase of a new community facility to service the areas of Burwood, Avondale and Dallington in the next Long Term Plan. Further details are provided within this submission.
The Board is highly supportive of keeping the current momentum of the New Brighton Regeneration Project and would like to request that the New Brighton Public Realm funding is brought forward into the Annual Plan with the first priority being the funding for the Oram Ave extension project. This will enable the other planned projects to more closely follow the delivery of, and align with, the current projects. Further details are provided within this submission.

Completion of Earthquake Related Repairs in the Wards

The following projects are priority earthquake related repairs in the wards:

- New Brighton cenotaph War Memorial steps
- South New Brighton Estuary edge
- Repairs to Southshore Estuary edge
- Stopbanks classified as “temporary” be made permanent (sheet piling) where residential and commercial properties are protected by these stopbanks
- Roading and Footpaths (as listed below in this submission).

Supporting information

Burwood-Avondale-Dallington Combined Community Hub

A Burwood, Avondale, Dallington Combined Community Hub Feasibility has been developed which has demonstrated the need for a community facility to service the Burwood, Avondale and Dallington communities who lost many of their community gathering spaces as a result of the earthquakes. This is a community-led project and the Board is working closely with the Burwood, Avondale, Dallington Group that is leading the project, to support the group.

There is a strong link to the Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor Regeneration area by all three communities who embrace the river and green corridor significantly. The new Hub will require fit for purpose design due to their wide range of indoor/outdoor activities to connect in with the Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor’s planned indoor and outdoor activities.

Information from the Feasibility will be included in the Council’s Community Facility Network Plan which is currently in development.

Given a need for a community facility in these areas has been confirmed through the initial Research Study (Sarah Wylie) and Global Leisure Group’s Feasibility Study, the Board would like to signal its support for capital funding to be allocated to enable the building or purchase of a new community facility in the next Long Term Plan.

New Brighton Regeneration

The Board is extremely pleased with the progress made to date on the New Brighton Regeneration Project. Development Christchurch Limited is leading this work, working closely with the Council and Board. The Beachside Playground has been completed and is very well utilised, the Marine Parade Streetscape work is in the planning stages and the Hot Pools have been initiated. In order
to meet the high public interest in New Brighton’s Regeneration Plan, the Board would be very supportive of keeping the current momentum on the New Brighton Regeneration Project and would like to request that the New Brighton Public Realm funding is brought forward into the Annual Plan with the first priority being the funding for the Oram Ave extension project. This will enable the other planned projects to more closely follow the delivery of, and align with, the current projects.

Taiora: QEII

Through meetings held with community users of Taiora QEII, as well as strong feedback received from the community and via media, the Board believes there is a need for toilet/shower facilities to be built closer to the hydrotherapy pool to meet the needs of the older and disabled users. The Board considers this an urgent need and has asked for advice from staff on how toilet/shower facilities can be added in close proximity to the hydrotherapy pool at Taiora: QEII, with the advice including feedback from the New Zealand Spinal Trust and the Disabled Persons Assembly. The Board would like to request Council identify funding to allow this minor utility improvement to be made as soon as possible.

South New Brighton Estuary Edge

The Parks Unit have engaged a consultant to identify options for the South New Brighton estuary edge earthquake repairs in relation to the subsequent erosion that is occurring along the edge of the South New Brighton Reserve.

It is expected that the options will be presented to the Board in the coming weeks. The Board would like to signal the need for funding to support the implementation of any permanent works plan required to manage the obviously concerning erosion occurring along the edge of the South New Brighton Reserve within existing budgets of the Annual Plan.

Southshore South New Brighton Earthquake Repairs and Regeneration Strategy

In order to develop a long term Adaptation Plan/ Regeneration Strategy for the areas of Southshore and South New Brighton, as with the rest of the city, time will be required. While the Board agrees earthquake repairs and developing an Adaptation Plan/ Regeneration Strategy could be integrated, further delays in responding to earthquake issues while waiting for an Adaption Plan/Regeneration Strategy, is significantly impacting and will continue to impact community well-being until repaired/replaced. The community has been asking for earthquake repairs in the area since the earthquakes so a process to get to outcomes and decisions followed by action is needed urgently. The Board strongly advocates for the separation of the earthquake repairs and the development of a long term Adaptation Plan/ Regeneration Strategy.

New Brighton Public Toilets

Following feedback received by the Board from residents about the condition of the Shaw Avenue toilets and other public toilets in New Brighton, the Parks Unit have advised there is no funding in the current Long Term Plan for the Shaw Ave toilets and are working to provide the Board with advice about how these toilets could be addressed in the next Long Term Plan process. The Board
wishes to signal that funding for public toilets in the New Brighton area in the next Long Term Plan is a priority to align with New Brighton Regeneration works.

**Roading, Footpath and Kerbing Priorities in the Wards**

The Coastal and Burwood Ward infrastructure such as roads are yet to get to an acceptable baseline standard following the earthquakes. Many of the projects in the 2019/2020 Annual Plan relate to drainage and regeneration in the Wards. As these projects are critical towards bringing the infrastructure back to an acceptable standard post-earthquakes, there are no swaps the Board is able to suggest in the Coastal and Burwood Wards.

Having consulted with the community, the Board requests that priority be given to the following road/footpath/kerbing repairs and upgrades (in no particular order) and that funding be allocated from the existing budget.

We would like to note that many on the list below are health and safety issues:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COASTAL WARD</th>
<th>BURWOOD WARD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owles Terrace – from Pages Road to Hardy Street</td>
<td>Breezes Road/ Wainoni Road intersection footpath widening (northwest corner)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aston Drive (western end) – uneven surface</td>
<td>Dallington Bridge northern approach – safety aspects with vision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadhaven Drive roundabout – tight turn for buses/large vehicles</td>
<td>Breezes Road (part) – between Hampshire Street and Pages Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Parade (Cygen to Pacific Road) – roading is breaking up in parts; requires sealing/smoothing</td>
<td>Lenton Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brighton Road – from the Anzac Bridge and south – road repairs required</td>
<td>Cresswell Avenue – between Gayhurst and New Brighton Road, east side of park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keyes Road from Hawke Street, north of the Rawson Street intersection</td>
<td>New Brighton Road – between Cresswell Avenue and Locksley Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach Road continual patch that sinks, near Aston Drive entrance</td>
<td>Mairehau Road outside the Burwood Spinal Unit - needs to be suitable, smoother, for spinal injury patients. Footpath also for their wheelchairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bower Ave from Saltaire Street to Mariotts Road will be high usage with the new schools and Taiora QEI</td>
<td>Footpath repairs needed in Pembroke/Avonside Drive, Avondale/Orrick and Woolley Street areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariotts Road from Rookwood Ave to Saltaire Street</td>
<td>McBratneys/Gayhurst Roads roundabout requires repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis Road from entrance to Taiora QEI north to Frosts/Anzac roundabout</td>
<td>Hayders Road (part) no defined edge, uneven road surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocking Horse Road – houses shake with heavy vehicle movements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Parade kerb and channel from Bowhill Road to Beach Road (incorporating Cygen Street drain Ocean outfall using Budget savings from Estuary Drain and LDRP 525)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomson Park entrances off Bowhill and Marine Parade require improvements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trim: 19/317968
In view of the new Avonside Girls’ High School, Shirley Boys’ High School and Ferndale Satellite schools opening on the Travis Road site in April 2019, the Board would suggest importance be given to considering a Pedestrian/cycle underpass. This would be located in Travis Road near the Basset Street intersection. This would facilitate the safety of pupils accessing the new schools from the west and their return route.

**Christchurch Wastewater Treatment Ponds - Midge Control**

The Board is concerned to see that funding for midge control that had been allocated in the Long Term Plan in years 2019/20 and 2020/21 has been pushed out to 2021/22. The delay in implementation of midge control will delay the improvement of the mide issues in the surrounding areas. The Board requests that the Long Term Plan budgets remain as adopted for this item.

**Organisational Savings**

The Board does not suggest any reduction in operational activities in the Ward area.

The Board notes there has been significant savings with earthquake rebuilds that includes approximately $2m on earthquake repair on the New Brighton Pier and approximately $286,000 on the Taiora:QEII facility build.

The Board proposes that the savings be used as follows:

- To repair the earthquake damaged New Brighton cenotaph War Memorial steps
- Earthquake repairs for South New Brighton - Estuary edge erosion
- Repairs to Southshore Estuary edge – as detailed above
- Taiora:QEII minor utility improvements – as detailed above
- Stopbanks classified as “temporary” be made permanent (sheet piling) where residential and commercial properties are protected by these stopbanks.

**Changes to Budgets**

The Board has lost visibility of some individual line items from the Long Term Plan (LTP) to the Draft Annual Plan. The Board would have liked to have communication on these prior to public consultations. The Board is concerned that they have not been informed of the below changes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>LTP</th>
<th>Annual Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47125</td>
<td>CWTP Ponds Midge Control</td>
<td>2019/20</td>
<td>$306 $0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2020/21</td>
<td>$313 $0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37832</td>
<td>Closed Landfill Aftercare Programme</td>
<td>2019/20</td>
<td>$147 $0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2020/21</td>
<td>$151 $0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37833</td>
<td>Burwood Closed Landfill Aftercare</td>
<td>2019/20</td>
<td>$463 $0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2020/21</td>
<td>$538 $0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42066</td>
<td>Delivery Package Coastal/Plains Renewal</td>
<td>2019/20</td>
<td>$628 $112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2020/21</td>
<td>$608 $328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42036</td>
<td>Delivery Package Coastal/Plains Development</td>
<td>2019/20</td>
<td>$140 $55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2020/21</td>
<td>$145 $60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33798</td>
<td>Cygnet St Drain</td>
<td>2018/19</td>
<td>$4 $0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trim: 19/317968
The Board requests that the Long Term Plan budgets remain as adopted for these items or be transferred to other capital works in our wards.

Noting that line items have also been removed without communication in the LTP 2015-2025.

For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>LTP</th>
<th>$000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2245</td>
<td>Rawhiti Domain Sports Turf Upgrade to Premier Park</td>
<td>2020/21</td>
<td>$616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2247</td>
<td>Rawhiti Domain Tennis Courts Renewal</td>
<td>2019/20</td>
<td>$222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Board-Led Community Engagement**

The Board would like to request for future years, that Ward Fact Sheets are made available as early as possible once the Draft Annual Plan in prepared to support local engagement activities to take place. These fact sheets are to include existing/previous budgets, proposed budgets and any changes. Removals and additions to existing budgets.

**Engagement with Residents’ Associations**

The Board held a well-attended Draft Annual Plan focused Forum with Residents’ Associations on 11 March 2019 and the following priorities were put forward by the Associations. The priorities are supported by the Board and are in Attachment A.

Linda Stewart
Chairperson, Coastal-Burwood Community Board Submissions Committee

**COASTAL-BURWOOD COMMUNITY BOARD**

1 April 2019
Attachment A: Priorities put forward by the Residents’ Associations at a Draft Annual Plan Forum on 11 March 2019

- Avondale Residents Association
  - Footpaths – Pembroke/Avonside Drive Avondale / Orrick and Woolley Streets

- Burwood East Residents Association
  - State of footpaths a concern, including paths in the “open” roads within the red zone. Vivian Street paths are not suitable for non-able residents
  - Request open roads be resealed as required – a part of Bassett Street remains unsealed. Request “open” roads within the red zone be maintained
  - Weed removal required around New Brighton Road, Stour Drive and Vivian Streets - this may further reduce image of an ideal dumping place.
  - Bus Lane Removal: Request that the existing bus lane on New Brighton Road (runs from Burwood School to Bassett St) be removed. This lane is not used now due to less people living in the area and Burwood School no longer in existence.
  - Bus Shelters: would like to see a reinstatement of Bus Shelter opposite All Saints Church stop and two new shelters added to Bassett Street: West side near intersection with Parnwell St and East Side: near intersection with Vivian St.
  - Corsers Stream Mowing - Mowing of entire area required to avoid a fire risk. This is a Council Reserve.
  - Earthquake Repairs: Storm water drains and pipes from residential properties. Have these been checked?
  - Tree Planting: Request Donnell Park be planted with suitable trees etc. This area is extremely “boggy” over winter and during high tides due to the water table increasing in height and is not a “useable” space. Planting would enhance the open space.

- Parklands Residents Association
  - Frost Road bike/footpath between bench and old QEII entrance has several protruding cracks

- Southshore Residents Association
  - Concern about the state of the footpaths requiring repair and the danger they pose for the elderly, toddlers and young children on bikes and scooters
  - A major concern is the state of some roads that require constant repair
  - Houses are still shaking when heavy vehicles go down Rocking Horse Road
  - Residents want to see the Estuary Edge Protection plan go ahead including a walking track and bike path along the edge from Southshore to South Brighton.

- North Beach Residents Association
  - Maintenance of the overgrown footpath on the dunes side of Marine Parade
  - Marine Parade kerb and channel needs upgrading. In addition to this, the kerb needs to be painted where it protrudes into the roadway, e.g. outside Thomson Park
o Sand fences at North Beach often trap driftwood, seaweed and other debris behind them and there is no way for this to naturally get back out to sea. The Association question whether these fences are required
o Thomson Park entrances off Bowhill and the Corner of Bowhill/Marine Parade both need upgrading visually and to improve access. There are roots on the pathway leading from the corner of Bowhill/Marine Parade which are a trip hazard
o Concrete kerbs around North Beach garden plots need replacing
o Cleaning up of sand at North Beach car park and garden pathway area between the Surf club and Community Hall needs to be done more regularly
o The dead branches of the mature macrocarpa trees inside the south east fence line of Thomson Park need to be removed
o The corner kerbing and road surface of Bower Ave and New Brighton Road (near Bower Hotel) needs to be repaired/upgraded and painted. The signs which have been uprooted/damaged need to be replaced
o Request Council to develop a plan (regular cleaning/extra bins/etc.) to dealing with rubbish regularly left on public land. Noting a lot of rubbish in the dunes at the Marine Parade road edge.

- **South Brighton Residents Association**
  o Believes infrastructure in the South Brighton area is inadequate.
  o Concern at infrequent mowing of areas such as the Bridge Street reserve, and along the stop bank in Kibblewhite Street - rubbish has accumulated in the reserve.
  o Believes the sewerage system is inadequate.
  o Concerns about community wellbeing and the physical and mental health of residents in the area.

- **Dallington Residents Association**
  o Reinstate the McBratneys/Gayhurst Roads roundabout to a uniform colour/material.
  o Upgrade paths and walkways along river trail existing budget for roads and foot paths per regeneration plan.
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Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: David

Last Name: Robinson

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Dear Mayor & Councillors,

Council needs to adopt formal policies to:
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1) Fund essential services first, e.g. water, waste, wastewater ahead of all other expenditure

2) All other major spending is to go through proportionate business case assessment (i.e. about 1 to 2% of the capital cost or net present value). They very largest items should be able to be informally voted on via the annual plan submission process via the way the submission forms and webpage are set up.

3) Non essential capital expenditure will not be funded, e.g. the accessible city transport works should not have been carried out. The central city roading system should have simply been repaired. The accessible city works could have waited until the Council was in a better position to afford them. (they are a nice to have but not essential, caused huge disruption in the CBD and increased Council’s debt)

4) Council will divest under performing assets, e.g. red bus. The return is very low and the money could be better used to reduce debt

5) The Council will aim for rate increases that match the average expected rate of inflation (2%), but at worst will be no more than the forecast rate of non-tradeable rate of inflation.

6) The Council (& LGNZ) lobby Central Government to remove the biggest distortion in the funding system and allow local government to rate central government land and thus increase local government funding and make central government efficient in its use of land. (I note this funding avenue has been specifically & unbelievably left out of the productivity commissions scope on local government funding)

7) The Council (&LGNZ) lobby central government to better align transport costs. Ratepayers over subsidise road users. This would see an increase in fuel excise tax, increase in the NZTA funding assistance rate and decrease in rating for transport.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
☒ Individual
☒ Organisation/Group

First Name:  
Bruce

Last Name:  
Radburn

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
☒ Yes
☒ I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

please increase maintenance and cleaning of the central city. This is paramount now at time when the central city is vulnerable and it is starting to look shabby.
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please expedite remaining CBD works including Hereford Street as these are detracting from the CBD experience.

Please do everything in your power to bring the stadium in on time - we have waited too long.

the central city needs to continue to be the focus for council investment. A strong CC heart builds a strong Christchurch and Canterbury.

please consider alternative funding of services, facilities and infrastructure. Regional taxes, value uplift capture etc need to be in the mix.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Andrea   Last Name: Grieve

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I would like to support the idea of deferring the upgrade of the extremely popular playground at Avebury Park and putting the allocated money towards a sculpture and a BBQ. On Sunday 31 March 2019 the Redzone Letterbox Sculpture Competition organised by the Richmond Community...
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Garden (RCG) was judged and the winning entry should be built in the playground. The RCG states that the sculpture would be an ode to the former residents, situation in a place for remembrance, and also as a symbol that there is a bright future. The playground is very close to the RCG which includes many interesting educational areas for children to learn in. The playground area needs a BBQ for families using the park. Housing New Zealand is opening its new family units soon and it would be a great resource for these families to use.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
☑ Individual
☐ Organisation/Group

First Name: Margaret
Last Name: Simpson

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
☑ Yes
☐ I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I would like longer opening hours at Diamond Harbour library. Particularly Monday hours would be good because there is a large gap between opening on Saturday and then on Tuesday. Thank you.

Attached Documents
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Robyn
Last Name: Lilley

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Please seriously consider people on fixed incomes with regards to you projected rates increases. A rates increase of 50% in the next ten years is far too much for people to absorb. Where is the money supposed to come from, we are stretched financially enough as it is now.

Attached Documents
From: John Marsh
Sent: Monday, 1 April 2019 9:03 p.m.
To: McRae, Katy

Subject: CCC Annual Plan 2019 - 2020; Submission from Heathcote Valley Community Association

Good evening Katy and others,

I was just in the process of making a submission to the Annual Plan when the website submission form that I was attaching a document to jammed and it appeared that it closed for submissions at 8.00pm. I understood that submissions would be open for all of April 1st 2019.

I contacted the CCC help desk and they suggested I email my submission to you at the above email contacts.

I note the following:

Submission is from the Heathcote Valley Community Association.

I am a member of this group.

There are between 350 - 400 members in the group.

My name is John Marsh.

The submission refers to The Duncan Park, a CCC reserve on Port Hills Road. There is no funding allocated for maintenance and ongoing development of this reserve. Please refer to the attached document that describes the issues and estimated costs for a number of these.

Thank you,

John Marsh
for Heathcote Valley Community Association.
SUBMISSION TO THE CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL ANNUAL PLAN 2019-2020

Re : THE DUNCAN PARK,
PORT HILLS ROAD, HOROTANE/AVOCA VALLEY CHRISTCHURCH

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Duncan Park is one of a number of reserves in the Heathcote area that are not represented in the draft budget for the 2019-2020 Annual plan. The Heathcote Valley Community Association wish to draw this particular reserve to the planners attention, and request that funds are allocated to undertake urgent maintenance as well as plan for continued development.

1.2 Site location: The Duncan Park is a CCC reserve on the Port Hills that includes the spur between Horotane and Avoca Valleys, part of the valley floor in Avoca Valley, a boundary along the Avoca Stream and a wetland/pond area adjacent to the entrance on Port Hills Road. Significantly, the south boundary of the park adjoins the recent Summit Road Society purchase, Tussock Hills Station which forms the main catchment area of the Avoca Stream.

1.3 Ferrymead Pony Club: A large part of the site, in the Avoca Valley floor and lower Avoca slopes is leased by the Ferrymead Pony Club who have an active membership and maintain their part of the site well. It is used for grazing, has several dressage areas and the club provides instruction for its members in the various equestrian disciplines. Several major inter club events are held there each year.

1.4 Recreational use: The remainder of the site is used as a circuit for walkers wanting something more rugged than a neighbourhood park with a track that takes approx. 30 to 40 minutes to walk the perimeter. Parts of the site on the steeper slopes have been revegetated by CCC since a management plan was prepared in 2001-2002. This was to stabilise slopes prone to gully erosion, provide wildlife habitat and to improve the recreational and landscape amenity of the neighbourhood. The park is used by neighbourhood regulars, staff from the nearby industrial area for mid-day breaks etc, and other casual users from further afield.

1.5 Gorse encroachment: Other parts of the site have been neglected in recent years and invasive species have established over a large part of the steeper slopes....mainly gorse, but also large areas of boxthorn.

1.6 Volunteer planting: In 2016, under the umbrella of the Heathcote Valley Community Association and with assistance from C.C.C. parks rangers, local volunteers began planting these gorse infested areas in an effort to combat further encroachment. Some 3500 native plants have since been
planted and maintained. This planting programme is ongoing and a further 1500 plants are to be planted this winter. Assistance from outside volunteers groups such as the Cashmere High Volunteer Army, Kamo Marsh Landscape Architects, Conservation Volunteers, Downer staff and Fletcher Living staff has augmented the work force from time to time.

The revegetation planting project is only one aspect of the park work that needs addressing, and it is the other work that we draw to the attention of the planners of the 2019 Annual Plan process.

2.0 CURRENT SITE ISSUES

The following are notes recorded by John Marsh (Heathcote resident) from a site inspection with Heidi Wilton, CCC ranger, Feb 7th 2019 outlining some of the works, mainly maintenance but also ongoing development that was in the Reserve Management Plan prepared in 2001/2002, that has not been implemented.

2.1. WEED CONTROL - Invasive weed control required for:

**Boxthorn** – scattered through pine plantation and gorse infested areas as well as on slope behind pond/wetland area.

**Pampas grass** – a few clumps along track – possibly planted by mistake instead of Cortaderia richardii.

**Rosa multiflora** – scattered packets through other plantings, and appears to be coming in from slopes south of park where there are many established clumps going to seed.

**Ivy** – well established and going to seed in trees on slope behind pond and spreading into the adjacent grass of first paddock. Many small seedlings in gorse on slopes.

**Blackberry** – mostly on revegetated slope overlooking Avoca Valley growing through native plantings.

**Elderberry** – appears in gorse and native plantings occasionally.

**Elm** – suckers spreading from mature trees on slope behind pond and cottage now encroaching on earlier reveg plantings from around 2000. Also spreading in the Avoca Stream corridor on west boundary of pony paddocks.

**Spindle berry** – scattered plots of this on both slopes of spur. Not too much but would be easy for it to spread.

**Muehlenbeckia** – established in areas of earlier native plantings – may not be a problem as is native but can limit progress of other revegetation species.

**Willows** – some regrowth taking place of willows around the pond. This is a lot better than before control was undertaken in 2018 with some follow up work required.

**Convolvulus** – has become particularly bad along the waterway near Port Hills Road frontage.

2.2 ARBORIST WORK – There are numerous existing trees on the slope above the pond and around the cottage that need attention from the CCC arborist team. These are mainly pine and cypress...
species, but also include a large dead oak (opposite bridge access to car park) and the suckering elms in the same area noted in the weed control issues above.

The oak and some of the pine and cypress should be viewed as priority works as they may be at risk of falling.

Other older trees in the park near the clubhouse and along the entrance drive could also be surveyed by the team for formative or remedial pruning.

2.3 PINE PLANTATION and SHELTER BELT

2.3.1 The remnant pine plantation at the south east corner of the park was tagged for removal in the management plan. This work is well overdue and needs to have a plan/program formulated for its removal and replanting in native revegetation species.

The pine trees are very close to the power lines and the area is infested with boxthorn.

Some consideration for the existing informal mountain bike course in this area will need to be factored into any plan to remove these trees.

2.3.2 A belt of large pine on the south boundary in Avoca Valley were to be removed and replanted in revegetation species some years ago. These trees appear to be on the neighbouring property, but it is our understanding that the fence is located off the boundary (inside the park). The trees have had limb damage in recent months, some of which is over the public walkway. We suggest that it is time these were removed while they can be taken out without causing damage to any other areas.

2.4. CURRENT REVEGETATION PLANTING.

A further 1500 plants have been ordered from CCC nursery for the 2019 revegetation planting season. These will mainly be used to continue the planting on the Horotane side of the spur through the gorse infested areas and along the new track that has been opened up. It may be necessary to open up another track higher up the slope to allow another planted corridor through the gorse this winter.

Planting has included:

i) kahikitea groupings at the pond/wetland area.

ii) Hardy drought tolerant species planted on the hillslopes.

iii) Harakeke and ti kouka plantings in gullies on the hillslopes

Plantings have done well this year following the wet spring period, but are suffering in the current dry spell and some losses are expected.

Releasing of young plantings is an ongoing task that is never quite finished. Conservation Volunteers have sent a team to assist with this on a couple of days in late October and again in January.
2.5. EXOTIC PLANTING IN PADDOCKS

The 2018 specimen tree plantings in the pony club lease land - beech and linden, as well as those oak, beech, maple and other species planted in 2017 are now being watered through the dry spell to assist their establishment and are looking good. Earlier specimen plantings of sweet chestnut, Indian chestnut, linden, ash and Catalpa have been mulched, tree protectors added and with some formative pruning and watering in February are recovering well from various states of decline.

It would be good to plant a further six specimen trees in the south west corner of the paddocks in 2019 to continue this exotic part of the park development.

2.6. TRACK SIGNAGE

A number of the track signs need updating, and possibly a map sign of the track adding, as many people seem to be unsure of the extent and location of the public walking track.

2.7. AVOCA STREAM BOUNDARY

The waterway along the west boundary has been neglected and a program for its maintenance - thinning of existing trees and removal of invasive species needs to be considered.

The management plan for this area included bank regrading new fencing and planting of a native waterway themed corridor. There were also exotic species at the edge of the grassed pony club land. This had been discussed with the pony club and agreed in principle in 2008, with part of their cross country track running alongside it.

This plan included re grading the ditch on the nearby glasshouse boundary and extending the reveg. planting along the walkway here. A copy of the plan is attached with this summary.

I suggest that the original concept plan should be reviewed and a program set up for this area to be developed accordingly over the next 3 – 4 years. A good start would be to clear the mounded pile of debris and weeds in the south corner so it can be mown easily.

Notes prepared by John Marsh  16 Feb 2019

The above items are those that we seek to have addressed by the C.C.C. Annual Plan.

3.0 BUDGET REQUIRED

The following are budget estimates prepared with assistance from Tree tech Ltd and Harris Bulldozing Ltd.

3.1 Weed Control.

Suggested that 4 man days every 2 months should be designated for weed control.

Annual cost:

24 man days@$400.00/day...... $9600.00
Sundry materials...................................$500.00

TOTAL..........................................................................................................................$10,100.00 + GST
3.2 Arborist work
i) General tree work on the slope behind the pond, driveway and clubhouse...........$20,950.00+GST
ii) Pine Plantation removal...(this figure excludes potential recovery income from sale of good logs in the order of $35,000 – $45,000.00)..........................................................$327,904.50+GST
iii) Pine Shelter belt removal.........................................................................................$13440.00 +GST
TOTAL............................................................................................................................$362,294.00+GST

3.3 Signage upgrade
Replace various walking track indicator signs, and install a sign with track circuit shown at the start of the track near the pond.................................................................$6000.00 + GST

3.4 Revegetation Planting
This will continue to be carried out as a volunteer project assuming that the CCC are happy to supply plant resources from the CCC nursery, bamboo stakes/ tree protectors from existing revegetation budgets.

3.5 Exotic plantings in paddocks
This will also continue to be carried out as a volunteer project assuming that the CCC are happy to supply plant resources from the CCC nursery. Materials for protective fencing of trees are an additional cost here though, which was last year sourced from the Ferrymead Pony Club.

Post and rail fencing materials.........................................................................................$600.00+GST

3.4 Avoca Stream Boundary
i) Removal of debris mounded in south west corner of park.
Truck and bobcat for 2 days. 16 hours @ $230.00 /hour plus transport$200.00...........$3880.00
Levelling and sowing grass – approx. 60 mtrs x 20 mtrs = 1200m2 @ $1.50 /m2...........$1800.00
Dumping fees.....................................................................................................................$1000.00
TOTAL.............................................................................................................................$6680.00+GST

ii) Avoca Stream boundary- Initial clearing of invasive weed species, tree trimming and removal of debris..................2 men for 5 days.................................................................$4000.00
Truck- 2 days @$115.00/hour..........................................................................................$1840.00
Dumping fees.....................................................................................................................$1000.00
TOTAL.............................................................................................................................$6840.00+GST

iii) Planning for Avoca Stream Boundary Redevelopment
It is noted that this was part of the “Restoring Avoca Valley Stream” study carried out for CCC by Di Lucas and Associates in 1998. Following on from this a draft concept plan was prepared in 2008 in discussion with Ferrymead Pony Club by John Marsh Landscape Architects. No further work has been undertaken since then, even though funding was allocated in the early 2000’s.
A site survey and review of the concept is now needed and then preparation of an updated concept plan. Following on from this, detailed plans for earthworks, fencing and planting are required to enable a budget to be set.

**Estimated Fee**................................................................. $8000.00 + GST.

The writer is happy to offer his services to carry out much of this planning work on a volunteer basis.

**4.0 REQUEST TO CCC ANNUAL PLAN**

No funding has been allocated to The Duncan Park in the CCC Annual Plan for 2019-2020.

As noted above there is considerable maintenance and development work to be carried out in the park to allow it to achieve its intended purpose as an attractive and therapeutic space for people to experience and enjoy as well as provide wildlife habitat and resist the spread of invasive species and hillside erosion.

The CCC rangers we have worked with over the last two years have been extremely helpful in assisting us with the revegetation plantings. They have suggested that we should make submissions through the Annual Plan process to further the park development and maintenance.

We request that the works identified above are given some priority over the next 3 years, and specifically the items listed below are included in the Annual Plan for the 2019 year.

- Weed control
- General tree work on the slope behind the pond, driveway and clubhouse.
- Pine shelter belt removal
- Signage upgrade
- Post and rail fencing for exotic tree plantings
- Removal of debris mounded in south west corner of paddocks.
- Avoca Stream boundary initial clearing.
- Planning for Avoca Stream boundary redevelopment.

John Marsh

**For Heathcote Valley Community Association Inc.**

Association contact person:

Jackie Foulkes
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Mandie  Last Name: Godiman

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

It is disappointing to see no mention of Elmwood park in this document. I have been involved over the last 20 years with junior cricket at the park and with the largest number of cricketing juniors by far in Christchurch investment is desperately need in training facilities at the park. I would hope to see this in the plans over the next 12 months.

Attached Documents
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Sarah
Last Name: Mackintosh

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Re: 23100 MCR Heathcote Expressway - Section 2 - Tannery to Martindales Road

I am writing to support the proposal to commence work on the Heathcote Expressway immediately.
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regularly cycle from Heathcote Valley to Opawa with my children, aged 6 and 8, to travel to school and work. Both of my children can ride their own bicycles, but the only route out of Heathcote towards Opawa involves travelling along Port Hills Road and it is simply not safe to allow children this young to ride on a road with so many heavy trucks. Especially when the cycleway is extremely narrow in places (north bound at #321-323 Port Hills Road, south bound from the Curries Road intersection to the Avoca Road intersection) or extremely narrow and on a sweeping corner with a large kerb followed by a busy intersection (southbound #260-230 Port Hills Road) that provides no room for young cyclists to stay well clear of the heavy traffic. Helping young riders through the junction onto the motorway is also very stressful, even when the road is quiet.

It is very loud travelling so close to the trucks and this makes it difficult to give the verbal instructions that young cyclists would need to help them to navigate this section of the road. Often my children struggle to hear me and they are both sitting directly behind me on my bicycle. It is also very smelly from all the exhaust fumes, which are of course impossible to avoid due to the narrow nature of the current cycleway in the sections I have listed above. This makes the journey far less enjoyable for my children, they really dislike the smell, and is also terrible for our health.

This section of the Port Hills Road, from Curries Road to Laing Cres, passes through an industrial area, yet a large number of people from the residential area in Heathcote Valley do regularly travel down this road by bicycle as part of their daily commute. My children and I are part of this group, and I would dearly love to give them the opportunity to experience cycling all the way to their school in Opawa along a safe and comfortable cycle route. The completion of the Heathcote Expressway all the way to Martindales Road would achieve this.

Thank you for providing the opportunity for me to have my say. With my regards, Sarah Mackintosh.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name:  
Maia

Last Name:  
Mackintosh

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Re: 23100 MCR Heathcote Expressway - Section 2 - Tannery to Martindales Road

My name is Maia Mackintosh and I am 8 years old. I live in  
and go to school at the
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I would like to ride my own bike to school but my Mum won't let me because the road is so busy with all the trucks from the port.

Please can you start work on the new cycleway from Heathcote Valley. Then I could bike to school and I really like riding my bike.

Thank you. Maia.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Adele
Last Name: Geradts

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I am pleased to see the rates increase for the next year is on average below 5% for most properties - which is lower than your forecast of 5.5%, I believe we need to keep rates as low as possible, ideally to have them linked to wage growth.
For example, ‘In the year to June 2018, the LCI measured an increase in wages and salaries of 1.4% in the public sector and 2.1% in the private sector. Within the public sector wage movement in sub-sectors varied: Public Service (up 1.3%), education sector (up 1.2%), health sector (up 0.9%) and local government (up 1.8%). By comparison, general inflation as measured by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) increased by 1.5% ‘ (http://www.ssc.govt.nz/public-service-workforce-data/hrc-remuneration).

Rate increases should not be greater than people’s wage increases or housing affordability will negatively affect low and middle income earners the most. New Zealand is currently in a housing crisis and the city council can in a small way help the housing crisis by making rates lower to allow people not to be as squeezed by the rising costs of rates.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Myles  Last Name: Mackintosh

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I would like to voice my support for the inclusion of Section 2 of the Heathcote Expressway Major Cycle Route in the 2019/20 draft Annual Plan. As a resident of Heathcote Valley, the only current cycling route to my work in Lincoln, or to my children's school , involves riding along Port...
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Hills Rd. This 60kph road carries a lot of traffic, including many large trucks travelling to and from Lyttelton. The cycle lane is very narrow in places, and it is an unsafe and unpleasant route to cycle. Although my children are able to ride bikes, and would love to cycle to school, it is simply not an option for them along Port Hills Rd. We are a staunchly one-car family, and determined to continue cycling, so we currently transport our children on the back of our cargo bike. As they get older and heavier this will no longer be an option, so we are very excited to see that the Heathcote Expressway cycleway has been brought forward in the draft Annual Plan, and is now forecast to be completed in 2021. This is an enormous relief to us, and many other Heathcote Valley residents, as last year’s Long Term Plan proposed to push the timeline for completion out to 2027. The council is to be applauded for proposing to prioritise this important cycle route, and I urge you to confirm this proposal in the final Annual Plan. When complete, the Major Cycle Route network will provide enormous benefits to Christchurch, and is an essential investment in the future of our city. I look forward to cycling on the Heathcote Expressway in 2021.

Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No records to display.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Beth  Last Name: Rouse

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I would ask that the funding which has been allocated for the upgrade of the playground at Avebury Park be used to facilitate the building of an interactive fantasy playhouse, based on the model entered in the recent Red Zone letterbox sculpture competition, and/or a barbecue for community use be installed at the playground.

Attached Documents
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Rouse, Beth

No records to display.
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Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Rouse, Beth organisation: Avebury House Community Trust behalf of: Chairperson

Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:
Avebury House Community Trust

Your role in the organisation:
Chairperson

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?:
10

First Name: Beth
Last Name: Rouse

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

An entry in the recent Red Zone Letterbox Sculpture Competition was a model of a delightful interactive fantasy playhouse. The Avebury House Community Trust would ask that the funding

Created by Consult24  Page 1 of 2
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Rouse, Beth organisation: Avebury House Community Trust behalf of: Chairperson which has been allocated for the upgrade of the playground at Avebury Park be used to facilitate the building of such a playhouse, built on this model, and placed in the playground. We would also ask that a barbecue for community use be included in the plans for upgrade of the Avebury Park playground.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?

- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: John

Last Name: Marsh

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

Heathcote Expressway Cycle Route.

This is an urgent matter for cyclists from Heathcote to the City. The current cycle route along Port
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Hills Rd and Opawa Road is a busy route with an increasing volume of heavy traffic to the Port of Lyttelton making for a challenging, intimidating and hazardous ride.

This discourages cyclists from using the current route and so they revert to driving as a safer option.

To reduce traffic flows, both as a means of combating climate change, and reducing congestion on our roads we need to encourage cyclists with a minimal delay.

The Heathcote Expressway from Martindales Road to the Tannery needs to be brought forward in the Annual Plan to the 2019-2020 year as a significant step towards this goal.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:  
Northshore Residents Association Incorporated

Your role in the organisation:  
Committee Member

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?:  
between 10 and 2000

First Name:  
Wayne

Last Name:  
Findley

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

We wish to see specific line item funding for a long-overdue repair and enhancement, to the
These two structures each consist of 4 plastered concrete block pillars, with steel u-beam pergola-like tops, and steel purlins. The steel is bolted onto the pillars, and is the main item of concern. Being painted, ungalvanised steel, these tops are now rapidly rusting, to the point where they are starting to pose health and safety concerns. Large rust flakes are regularly cleaned away by local residents, and while a capping has been added to the main U-beams in a futile attempt to stem the decay, no similar protection has been afforded to the purlins. In short, these superstructure items are rotting.

The structures span public footpaths, and are now around 25-30 years old. There is some efflorescence in the lower part of some pillars, most likely caused by water ingress at the very top, as the capping steel decays and allows penetration. However, the structures are well regarded and have become part of the suburb’s identity, so demolition is absolutely out of the question.

The work required is three fold:

1 - remove and recycle the existing steel superstructures

2 - replace them with (e.g.) 150-180mm square wooden beams to tie the pillars together as before - to be discussed with and agreed to by the Residents’ Association as to design and materials. However, as a guideline, simple, easily maintainable materials will be preferred: the Association has a number of volunteers who keep the existing structures tidy to the extent possible absent the requested work, and can undertake to keep this up.

3 - waterproofing to pillar tops, plus cosmetic re-plastering/re-skimming of flaked surface finishes on pillars as and where needed.

It also needs to be put on record that this matter has been in the pipeline for at least a decade. The Association has made many attempts to have a suitable resolution, but apart from some cosmetic work undertaken at sporadic intervals, there has been no substantive effort on Council’s part to address the safety or structural concerns. The structures are on road reserve, are Council property, span public footpaths, and have deteriorated to the point where health and safety concerns are emerging.

Please allocate a suitable sum for inspection, consultation, planning for and execution of the replacement superstructures.
HAVE YOUR SAY

Draft Annual Plan 2019–20 submission form

Your details:
Full name: Teena Anne Shield

I am completing this submission:
☐ For myself or ☐ On behalf of a group or organisation (please tick one)

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?

Organisation name

Your role in the organisation

Signature: Teena Shield Date: 27/3/2019

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? ☐ No ☐ Yes (If yes, you must provide contact details below)

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You may add more pages if you wish.

I have lived in Diamond Harbour for 19 years. The Diamond Harbour Library has continued to be a valuable support for my family, because with a young baby 18 years ago you couldn’t feel quite isolated if not able to travel into...
Christchurch to the central library for books - for baby & me, & for my daughter to progress from baby books. The library is always a haven. I have met and made many local friends at our DH library.

Always wonderful to order books and have them sent out to us. I greatly appreciate this service.

I have made my way through all the auto biographies, endless wonderful garden design books. Now onto the pottery section. Christine Turner/Bonduin, all wonderful and helpful.

Our tiny library is vital to our community. young & senior alike. Please can we have a larger library.

Thank you for your submission

Please post to:
Freepost 178 (no stamp required)
Annual Plan Submissions
Christchurch City Council
PO Box 73017
Christchurch 8154
The library is also extremely important to our dear seniors. It really is too much to expect them to even go all the way over to Lyttelton, for some this is a massive hurdle. Our little library is possibly one of the smallest in Canterbury Peninsula but we at least deserve more hours. It is the 'hub' of our community; I think one of the best libraries I used to chair.

Teena Shircliff

PS: I would love a proper library information services cafe. Normal libraries have - like Normal libraries have - up on the old Godley house site. Such frustrating as always asking for more hours - for years and years for more hours - for years and years.

PS- Our library is very leaky. Fix the roof and parking area as floods with heavy rain.
Draft Annual Plan 2019-20 from Reid, Janeane organisation: Te Waka Unua School behalf of: School

Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:
Te Waka Unua School

Your role in the organisation:
School

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?:

First Name: Janeane
Last Name: Reid

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

The Woolston/Linwood Pool develop remains a key development for our community. We believe **access routes to the Woolston/Linwood pool** should be considered as an important edition to the development plans for Smith Street. Creating a pedestrian friendly, scooter, cycle etc. friendly street will allow safer access to this new key resource within the community and link families to this...

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

If on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:

Lyttelton Museum

Your role in the organisation:

Treasurer

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?:

80

First Name: Helen
Last Name: Cobb

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

SUBMISSION TO CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL FROM LYTTELTON HISTORICAL MUSEUM SOCIETY INC
### Attached Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCC Submission Lytt Museum 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lytt Museum Concept Design</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUBMISSION TO CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL
FROM
LYTTELTON HISTORICAL MUSEUM SOCIETY INC

We are not seeking any funding this year but we would like to signal that we will be making a submission for funding and other support in the next financial year. And we will also be looking for support from the Council in the future once the new Museum is built.

We would also like to say thank you to the council for giving us the site for the new building.

We are making good progress towards our new building, we would like to tell you very briefly where we are with our plans. And we are aiming for three years of fund-raising.

So far we have a concept design and funding strategy underway, and working with Ngāti Wheke on a Cultural Narrative.

We have attached a photo of the Concept Design. We believe this design provides for a building of significance to replace some of those lost in the earthquakes.

As a key part of the Lyttelton Masterplan, we know that the Lyttelton museum will be a great asset to Lyttelton and surrounding harbour communities with our historic links that are of international significance and with the cruise ships now confirmed the museum will be a valuable attraction as well as a valuable source of education for our school communities.

We have had great community support getting us to this point and we know this will continue and grow as we go forward into our fundraising phases.

Thank you for the opportunity to make this submission.

Wendy McKay
Secretary
LHMS Inc
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Jane
Last Name: Hopkins

Do you wish to present your submission at a hearing? (If yes, you must provide contact details above)
- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I would like to see a higher priority given to fixing infrastructure damaged in the earthquakes such as roads, footpaths and drains. Our street is in a terrible state - constant pot holes and a very rough and uneven surface. Our houses shake when trucks go past and drains do not drain properly. The
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footpaths are a dangerous hazard for young, elderly and disabled. It has been like this for more than 8 years! We have had 2 meetings with the council discussing priorities but due to “lack of funding” there is no commitment or timeframe provided to fix our street.

It is frustrating when I look around other parts of the city and see new libraries, pools, cycles lanes etc being built when the council is unable to properly maintain existing assets. Please fix and maintain your existing assets before investing in new assets that will also add strain to the inadequate maintenance budget.

Attached Documents

File

No records to display.
Are you submitting as an individual or as an organisation?
- Individual
- Organisation/Group

First Name: Lucy
Last Name: Gray

Yes ☑
No ☐

I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views. You can upload supporting documents below.

I am , and my name is Lucy Gray. I really hope you can thoroughly consider my submission. I think that chch needs more cycle-ways, the council were doing an amazing job but chch needs their cycle-ways finished! I think adding in more cycle-ways would stop people wanting to use their cars as much and help the government reach their plan of carbon neutral by 2050.

Attached Documents
Subject: Avon Heathcote Estuary Ihutai Trust submission for the CCC annual plan 2019-2020

Hi Katy and Katie,

Due to unforeseen circumstances, I was unable to send this submission on Friday.

Is it possible to include?

Best wishes,

Kit

Chairperson
Avon Heathcote Estuary Ihutai Trust
To Christchurch City Council

5th April 2019

Re: CCC Draft annual plan 2019 - 2020

From the The Avon Heathcote Estuary Ihutai Trust

The Avon Heathcote Estuary Ihutai Trust

1. The Avon Heathcote Estuary Ihutai Trust (AHEIT, The Trust) is a charitable society registered in 2003. It was formed as a result of community requests over many years for the formation of an organisation that included committed representation from statutory bodies, tāngata whenua and other agencies.

2. The vision of the Trust is
   Communities working together for
   Clean Water
   Open Space
   Safe Recreation, and
   Healthy Ecosystems that we can all enjoy and respect

   Toi tū te taonga ā iwi
   Toi tū te taonga ā Tāne
   Toi tū te taonga ā Tangaroa
   Toi tū te iwi

3. Further details about the Trust, its Constitution, the Memorandum of Understanding between the Christchurch City Council, Environment Canterbury and the Trust, the Trust's Management Plan, and its activities can be found on our web-site at www.estuary.org.nz

Kit Doudney

AHEIT
The Trust’s submission

1. We thank the Council’s work to collect rubbish from the Heathcote river with the re-instatement of the catchment boom in Woolston, and request this be continued into the future.

2. We also thank the work of the council in rubbish collection following the ‘Mother of all clean-ups’ volunteer rubbish collection day, and request that this also is an ongoing commitment while the community effort continues.

3. We ask that the proposed Humphries drive cycleway is directed through Charlesworth reserve, and not the estuary edge route, which would require in the vicinity of 2 hectares of estuary reclamation. This would be in breach of the Migratory birds Flyway status recently awarded to our Estuary by the Government (The East Asian-Australasian Flyway network), one of only four such areas in NZ, and the only urban one in Australasia.

4. We seek to gain funding and a programme for the restoration of the Linwood paddocks into a natural estuary edge and wetland environment.