Waitai
Coastal-Burwood Community Board
AGENDA

Notice of Meeting:
An ordinary meeting of the Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board will be held on:

Date: Monday 15 April 2019
Time: 4.30pm
Venue: Boardroom, Corner Beresford and Union Streets, New Brighton

Membership
Chairperson
Deputy Chairperson
Members
Kim Money
Tim Sintes
Tim Baker
David East
Glenn Livingstone
Linda Stewart

10 April 2019
Jo Wells
Manager Community Governance, Coastal-Burwood
941 6451
jo.wells@ccc.govt.nz
www.ccc.govt.nz

Note: The reports contained within this agenda are for consideration and should not be construed as Council policy unless and until adopted. If you require further information relating to any reports, please contact the person named on the report.

To view copies of Agendas and Minutes, visit: https://www.ccc.govt.nz/the-council/meetings-agendas-and-minutes/
Strategic Framework
The Council’s Vision – Christchurch is a city of opportunity for all.
Open to new ideas, new people and new ways of doing things – a city where anything is possible.

Whiria ngā whenu o ngā papa
Honoa ki te maurua tāukiuki
Bind together the strands of each mat
And join together with the seams of respect and reciprocity.

The partnership with Papatipu Rūnanga reflects mutual understanding and respect, and a goal of improving the economic, cultural, environmental and social wellbeing for all.

Overarching Principle
Partnership - Our people are our taonga – to be treasured and encouraged. By working together we can create a city that uses their skill and talent, where we can all participate, and be valued.

Supporting Principles
Accountability
Affordability
Agility
Equity
Innovation

Collaboration
Prudent Financial Management
Stewardship
Wellbeing and resilience
Trust

Community Outcomes
What we want to achieve together as our city evolves

Strong communities
Strong sense of community
Active participation in civic life
Safe and healthy communities
Celebration of our identity through arts, culture, heritage and sport
Valuing the voices of children and young people

Liveable city
Vibrant and thriving central city, suburban and rural centres
A well connected and accessible city
Sufficient supply of, and access to, a range of housing
21st century garden city we are proud to live in

Healthy environment
Healthy waterways
High quality drinking water
Unique landscapes and indigenous biodiversity are valued
Sustainable use of resources

Prosperous economy
Great place for people, business and investment
An inclusive, equitable economy with broad-based prosperity for all
A productive, adaptive and resilient economic base
Modern and robust city infrastructure and community facilities

Strategic Priorities
Our focus for improvement over the next three years and beyond

Enabling active citizenship and connected communities
Maximising opportunities to develop a vibrant, prosperous and sustainable 21st century city

Climate change leadership
Informed and proactive approaches to natural hazard risks
Increasing active, public and shared transport opportunities and use
Safe and sustainable water supply and improved waterways
Part A  Matters Requiring a Council Decision
Part B  Reports for Information
Part C  Decisions Under Delegation
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1. **Apologies**
   At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

2. **Declarations of Interest**
   Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant and to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as an elected representative and any private or other external interest they might have.

3. **Confirmation of Previous Minutes**
   That the minutes of the Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board meeting held on Monday, 1 April 2019 be confirmed (refer page 5).

4. **Public Forum**
   There will be no public forum at this meeting

5. **Deputations by Appointment**
   Deputations may be heard on a matter or matters covered by a report on this agenda and approved by the Chairperson.
   There were no deputations by appointment at the time the agenda was prepared.

6. **Presentation of Petitions**
   There were no petitions received at the time the agenda was prepared.
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The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

1. **Apologies**
   Part C
   Community Board Decision
   
   There were no apologies received.

2. **Declarations of Interest**
   
   Part B
   There were no declarations of interest recorded.

3. **Confirmation of Previous Minutes**
   
   Part C
   Community Board Resolved CBCB/2019/00021

   Community Board Decision
   
   That the minutes of the Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board meeting held on Monday, 18 March 2019 be confirmed.
   
   Glenn Livingstone/Tim Sintes  
   Carried

4. **Public Forum**
   
   Part B
   There were no public forum presentations.

5. **Deputations by Appointment**
   
   Part B
   There were no deputations by appointment.

6. **Presentation of Petitions**
   
   Part B
   There was no presentation of petitions.
7. **Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board Area Report - April 2019**

**Staff Recommendations**

That the Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board:


2. Notes that the Board has already approved the making of a grant of $500 from its 2018-19 Discretionary Response Fund to the Coastal-Burwood Community Board towards the cost of 2019 ANZAC Day wreaths. The Board is requested to determine the locations for wreath laying and who will represent the Board at those locations.

3. Considers providing feedback to Land Information New Zealand on their use of signage in Southshore.

**Community Board Resolved CBCB/2019/00022**

**Part C**

That the Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board:


2. Notes that the Board has already approved the making of a grant of $500 from its 2018-19 Discretionary Response Fund to the Coastal-Burwood Community Board towards 2019 ANZAC Day wreaths. The Board determines the locations for wreath laying as New Brighton, Burwood and Aranui with Glenn Livingstone, Linda Stewart and Tim Baker representing the Board at those locations.

3. Provide feedback to Land Information New Zealand on their use of signage in Southshore, recommending that the signage at Plover Street include “Authorised Vehicles Only”.

4. Notes that the Coastal-Burwood Community Board and its community have noted over many years that the most effective rubbish bin on, or close to, the coast, do not have an open top to avoid seagull access. The Board requests that new bins that are installed in or close to the coast have covered lids or are solar powered, waste-compacting bins.

5. Requests staff undertake maintenance and enhancement work on the Shaw Avenue public toilet gardens and pathways, including lifting of the Ngaio tree, weed removal and plantings in the garden beds (for example Gazanias).

Kim Money/Tim Sintes  

Carried

8 **Elected Members' Information Exchange**

That the following information was exchanged at this meeting.

- The Board has concerns about the section of Travis Road from the Frosts/Travis Roads roundabout to the Taiora: QEII entrance. The road requires resealing. Staff undertook to follow this up.
- Staff undertook to supply the Board with city roads smoothness data.
- Following a successful site visit with Dog Control staff, it was noted that dog control signage on the beach areas will be improved with the aim of raising public awareness.
- The Draft QEII Park Master Plan Hearings Panel Report recommendations were shared with the Board. The Board noted that stage 1 has been funded.
- Staff undertook to establish when the Taiora: QEII carpark swipe card system will be implemented.

Meeting concluded at 5.47pm.

CONFIRMED THIS 15TH DAY OF APRIL 2019.

KIM MONEY
CHAIRPERSON
7. Briefings

Reference: 19/280454
Presenter(s): Various Presenters

1. Purpose of Report

The Board will be briefed on the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Presenter(s)</th>
<th>Unit/Organisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Drainage Issues - Cygnet St storm water outfall to ocean. | Keith Davison, Manager Stormwater and Land  
Kevin McDonnell, team Leader Stormwater and Land  
Grant Stowell engineer Land drainage | Three Waters and Waste Three Waters and Waste |
| Styx Water Quality presentation             | Marlese Fairgray, Education portfolio leader                               | Styx Living Laboratory Trust                |

2. Staff Recommendations

That the Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board:

1. Notes the information supplied during the Briefings.

Attachments

There are no attachments to this report.
8. **New Brighton Streetscape Enhancements A2, A4, A5**

**Reference:** 19/243114  
**Presenter(s):**  
Sharon O’Neill – Project Management Team Leader Transport  
Tessa Zant – Senior Engagement Advisor  
Cath Carter – Development Manager, Development Christchurch Limited

1. **Purpose of Report**

1.1 The purpose of this report is to advise the Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board of the outcome of community consultation and to request that it approve the proposed design for the length of Marine Parade between Hawke Street and Beresford Street (including the intersections at Hawke Street and Beresford Street), and that the Board recommend to Council that it approve the proposed 30km/h speed limit. The preferred option is shown in Attachment A. The proposed options consulted on are shown in Attachment B and Attachment C and the submissions analysis is shown in Attachment D.

2. **Executive Summary**

2.1 The upgrade of this length of Marine Parade will help the redevelopment and recovery of the New Brighton commercial centre by improving access between the foreshore and the commercial centre and providing for commercial development along this length of Marine Parade. The project is part of the New Brighton Suburban Centre Master Plan.

2.2 Three options have been considered with the preferred option being upgrading Marine Parade and undertaking some minor improvements in Brighton Mall as budget allows. Further improvement in the Mall could be considered once proposed commercial development there is better known.

2.3 Community views on the project were sought through public consultation in October and November 2017. Consultation material outlined two design options and asked a number of multi choice questions. Submissions were received from 174 groups and individuals.

2.4 The Council’s Long Term Plan (2018-2028) identifies this project as New Brighton Master Plan Streetscape Enhancements A2, A4, A5 with scheduled completion in Financial Year 2020. The total budget provision is $4.618 million.

2.5 The preferred option provides for additional parking spaces, widened footpaths, spaces for outdoor dining on this length of Marine Parade and provision of artwork(s) in the vicinity of Brighton Mall. The flush surface of the roadway and paths provides a flexible space should the road be closed for events.

2.6 If the scheme is approved by the Community Board and Council, detailed design will proceed with the aim of completing construction by February 2020, earlier if possible.

2.7 The Council’s Public Art Advisory Group will include a nominee from the Coastal-Burwood Community Board and a representative from the local community with respect to the artwork proposed for Marine Parade.

3. **Staff Recommendations**

For the purposes of the following resolutions: (1) an intersection is defined by the position of kerbs on each intersecting roadway; and (2) The resolution is to take effect from the commencement of
physical road works associated with the project as detailed in this report; and (3) if the resolution states "Note 1 applies", any distance specified in the resolution relates the kerb line location referenced as exists on the road immediately prior to the Coastal-Burwood Community Board meeting of the 15 April 2019; and (4) If the resolution states "Note 2 Applies", any distance specified in the resolution relates the approved kerb line location on the road resulting from the resolution as approved.

That the Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board:

1. Approve all intersection traffic controls at the intersection of Marine Parade with Hawke Street be revoked.

2. Approve all intersection traffic controls at the intersection of Marine Parade with Beresford Street be revoked.

3. Approve that all traffic controls, kerb alignments and road markings except the speed limit on Marine Parade, commencing at its intersection with Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction to its intersection with Beresford Street be revoked.

4. Approve that all existing parking and stopping restrictions on the east side of Marine Parade, commencing at the intersection of Marine Parade with the prolongation of the southern kerb alignment of Hawke Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 45 metres be revoked. Note 1 Applies.

5. Approve that all existing parking and stopping restrictions on the east side of Marine Parade, commencing at the intersection of Marine Parade with the prolongation of the southern kerb alignment of Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 232 metres be revoked.

6. Approve that all existing parking and stopping restrictions on the west side of Marine Parade, commencing at its intersection with Hawke Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 34 metres be revoked. Note 1 Applies.

7. Approve that all existing parking and stopping restrictions on the west side of Marine Parade, commencing at its intersection with Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction to its intersection with Beresford Street be revoked.

8. Approve that all existing parking and stopping restrictions on the west side of Marine Parade, commencing at its intersection with Beresford Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 22 metres be revoked. Note 1 Applies.

9. Approve that all existing parking and stopping restrictions on the north side of Hawke Street, commencing at its intersection with Marine Parade and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 31 metres be revoked. Note 1 Applies.

10. Approve that all existing parking and stopping restrictions on the south side of Hawke Street, commencing at its intersection with Marine Parade and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 30 metres be revoked. Note 1 Applies.

11. Approve that all existing parking and stopping restrictions on the north side of Beresford Street, commencing at its intersection with Marine Parade and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 10 metres be revoked. Note 1 Applies.

12. Approve that all existing parking and stopping restrictions on the south side of Beresford Street, commencing at its intersection with Marine Parade and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 10 metres be revoked. Note 1 Applies.
13. Approves the lane marking changes, kerb alignment changes and road surface changes, on Marine Parade commencing at its intersection with Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction to its intersection with Beresford Street as detailed in Attachment A. Note 2 Applies.

14. Approves the lane marking changes, kerb alignment changes and road surface changes, on Marine Parade commencing at its intersection with Hawke Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 50 metres as detailed in Attachment A. Note 2 Applies.

15. Approves the lane marking changes, kerb alignment changes and road surface changes, on Marine Parade commencing at its intersection with Beresford Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 50 metres as detailed in Attachment A. Note 2 Applies.

16. Approves the lane marking changes, kerb alignment changes and road surface changes, on Hawke Street commencing at its intersection with Marine Parade and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 50 metres as detailed in Attachment A. Note 2 Applies.

17. Approves the lane marking changes, kerb alignment changes and road surface changes, on Beresford Street commencing at its intersection with Marine Parade and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 50 metres as detailed in Attachment A. Note 2 Applies.

18. Approve that a Give Way control be placed against Hawke Street at its intersection with Marine Parade Street, as detailed in Appendix A.

19. Approve that a Give Way control be placed against Beresford Street at its intersection with Marine Parade Street, as detailed in Appendix A.

20. Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Marine Parade, commencing at the intersection of Marine Parade with the prolongation of the southern kerb alignment of Hawke Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 45 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

21. Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Marine Parade, commencing at the intersection of Marine Parade with the prolongation of the southern kerb alignment of Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 14.5 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

22. Approve that the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 30 minutes on the east side of Marine Parade commencing at a point 14.5 metres south of the intersection of Marine Parade with the prolongation of the southern kerb alignment of Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 24 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

23. Approves that the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 60 minutes and be reserved for vehicles with an approved disabled person's parking permit, prominently displayed in the vehicle, in accordance with section 6.4 (1a) of the Land Transport (Road User) Rule 2004. This restriction is to apply at any time and be located on the east side of Marine Parade, commencing at a point 38.5 metres south of the intersection of Marine Parade with the prolongation of the southern kerb alignment of Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of six metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

24. Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Marine Parade, commencing at a point 44.5 metres south of the intersection of Marine Parade with the prolongation of the southern kerb alignment of Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 16 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

25. Approve that a Bus Stop be created on the east side of Marine Parade commencing at a point 60.5 metres south of the intersection of Marine Parade with the prolongation of the southern kerb alignment of Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 16 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.
kerb alignment of Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 14.5 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

26. Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the east side of Marine Parade, commencing at a point 75 metres south of the intersection of Marine Parade with the prolongation of the southern kerb alignment of Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 157.5 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

27. Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Marine Parade, commencing at its intersection with Hawke Street and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 34 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

28. Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Marine Parade, commencing at its intersection with Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 18.5 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

29. Approve that the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 30 minutes on the west side of Marine Parade commencing at a point 18.5 metres south of its intersection with Marine Parade and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 24 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

30. Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Marine Parade, commencing at a point 42.5 metres south of its intersection with Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 27 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

31. Approves that the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 60 minutes and be reserved for vehicles with an approved disabled person’s parking permit, prominently displayed in the vehicle, in accordance with section 6.4 (1a) of the Land Transport (Road User) Rule 2004. This restriction is to apply at any time and be located on the west side of Marine Parade, commencing at a point 69.5 metres south of its intersection with Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of six metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

32. Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Marine Parade, commencing at a point 75.5 metres south of its intersection with Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of six metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

33. Approve that a Bus Stop be created on the west side of Marine Parade commencing at a point 81.5 metres south of its new intersection with Marine Parade and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 14.5 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

34. Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Marine Parade, commencing at a point 96 metres south of its intersection with Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 44.5 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

35. Approves that a Loading Zone be created and be restricted to a maximum period of five minutes, on the west side of Marine Parade commencing at a point 141 metres south of its intersection with Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 18 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. This restriction is to apply between 05:00am and 8:00am, Monday to Sunday. Note 2 applies.

36. Approve that the parking of vehicles be restricted to a maximum period of 30 minutes on the west side of Marine Parade commencing at a point 141 metres southeast of its intersection with Marine Parade and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 18 metres, as detailed on
37. Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Marine Parade, commencing at a point 159 metres south of its intersection with Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 22.5 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

38. Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the west side of Marine Parade, commencing at its intersection with Beresford Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 22 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

39. Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Hawke Street, commencing at its intersection with Marine Parade, and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 31 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

40. Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south side Hawke Street, commencing at its intersection with Marine Parade and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 30 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

41. Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the north side of Beresford Street, commencing at its intersection with Marine Parade and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 10 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

42. Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the south side of Beresford Street, commencing at its intersection with Marine Parade and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 10 metres, as detailed on Attachment A. Note 2 applies.

43. Approve that a pedestrian crossing be duly established and marked in accordance section 8.2 of the Land Transport Rule – Traffic Control Devices: 2004, on Marine Parade located on at a point 116.5 metres south of its intersection with Hawke Street, measured to the midpoint of the crossing, as detailed on Attachment 1. Note 2 applies.

Recommend that the Council:

44. Approve, pursuant to Part 4 Section 27 of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017, and Land Transport Rule: Setting of Speed Limits 2017, that speed limits on Marine Parade, Hawke Street and Beresford Street be revoked and set as identified in Attachment A and listed below in Clauses 1a – 1d including resultant changes made to the Christchurch City Council Register of Speed Limits and associated Speed Limit Maps:

   a. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 50 kilometres per hour on Marine Parade commencing at a point 20 metres north of its intersection with Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 225 metres.

   b. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Marine Parade, commencing at a point 20 metres north of its intersection with Hawke Street and extending in a southerly direction for a distance of 225 metres, be set at 30 kilometres per hour.

   c. Revoke the existing permanent speed limit of 50 kilometres per hour on Hawke Street commencing at its intersection with Marine Parade and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 20 metres.

   d. Approve that the permanent speed limit on Hawke Street, commencing at its intersection with Marine Parade and extending in a westerly direction for a distance of 20 metres, be set at 30 kilometres per hour.
4. Context/Background

Opportunity
4.1 The project forms part of the New Brighton Master Plan. The upgrade of this length of Marine Parade is designed to assist the redevelopment and recovery of the New Brighton commercial centre by improving access between the foreshore (which includes the new playground and proposed hot saltwater pools) and the commercial centre. It also allows for further development along this length of Marine Parade.

Strategic Alignment
4.2 This project is identified in the Council’s Long Term Plan (2018 – 2028) as New Brighton Master Plan Streetscape Enhancements A2, A4, A5 (CPMS #37865). It is scheduled for completion in Financial Year 2020

4.3 This report supports the Council’s Long Term Plan (2018 - 2028):

4.3.1 Activity: Active Travel

- Level of Service: 16.0.10.0 Improve the perception that Christchurch is a walking friendly city - 84%

Decision Making Authority
4.4 The Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board has delegated authority to make the decisions included in the recommendations of this report in relation to the road layout and parking controls. This authority is specified in the Christchurch City Council Delegations Register and specifically relates to Sections 319 and 331 of the Local Government Act 1974, and the relevant clauses of the Christchurch City Council Traffic and Parking Bylaw 2017 relating to parking restrictions.

4.5 The Council has the authority to make the decision included in the recommendations of this report in relation to the speed limit change.

Previous Decisions
4.6 The Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board has been kept informed of progress on this project through memoranda to the Board and at seminars.

Assessment of Significance and Engagement
4.7 The decision in this report is of medium significance in relation to the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.

4.8 The level of significance was determined by the high level of community interest in all regeneration projects as well as the potential commercial and social opportunities provided
item 8

5. Options Analysis

Options Considered
5.1 The following reasonably practicable options were considered and are assessed in this report:
- Option 1 – Focus on Marine Parade
- Option 2 – Focus on Brighton Mall
- Option 3 – Upgrade Marine Parade and minor improvements to Brighton Mall (Preferred)

5.2 The following options were considered but ruled out
- Option 4 – Do minimum
  Do minimum involves maintenance by replacement of failed storm water systems, replacement planting and pavement repairs. This has not been pursued as it does not meet the project objectives.

Options Descriptions
5.3 Preferred Option: Option 3 – Upgrade Marine Parade and minor improvements to Brighton Mall

5.3.1 Option Description: This option is a further development of Options 1 and 2 which incorporates feedback received during consultation. It also meets the project’s objectives of feel, connectivity and flexibility, with changes that are aimed at encouraging regeneration of the commercial area (refer to Attachment A).

Key features of the scheme include:
- The removal of the central median on Marine Parade and a narrow two way carriageway that is flush with the adjacent parking areas and paths.
- Wider footpaths to allow flexibility of use which may include outdoor dining areas.
- Retention of on street car parking on this length of Marine Parade to help encourage commercial development.
- Bus stops on Marine Parade are relocated from Hawke Street and Beresford Street.
- A permanent reduced speed limit of 30km/h with rumble strip textured paving along Marine Parade to encourage reduced speed.
- Retention of the marked zebra crossing at the end of the Mall.
- Raised platforms at the intersections of Hawke Street and Beresford Street to slow vehicle speeds entering the 30km/hr zone.
- Improved drainage, lighting and planting.
- Improved connectivity between the foreshore and commercial centre.
- Cultural features have been incorporated in the design to recognise the importance of tangata whenua and their mana whenua over the New Brighton area.
- An artwork is proposed on Marine Parade in the vicinity of Brighton Mall as part of the cultural features of the project. The artwork will be commissioned in accordance with the Council’s Artworks in Public Places Policy. This policy requires that the Coastal-Burwood Community Board nominates a person to represent it on the Council’s Public Art Advisory Group to consider the proposed artwork. A representative from the local community will also be represented on this group.

- Brighton Mall upgrade is limited to enhancements of landscaping around existing palm trees, new seating and planter boxes as budget allows. A further upgrade for Brighton Mall would need to be planned once the commercial development in the Mall is better known.

5.3.2 **Option Advantages**

- The flush surface between the roadway and paths creates a flexible space for Marine Parade to be closed in the future, temporarily or permanently, enabling the space to be used in different ways by community groups, events and as surrounding buildings and land uses change.

- Creates an environment to reinforce the 30km/h speed limit proposed. This would be further improved if traffic volumes along this section of Marine Parade were reduced.

- Reduces obstructions for prams and wheelchairs and provides two mobility parks, one on each side of Marine Parade.

- Improves connectivity between the beach and the commercial centre.

- Provides wider footpaths including planting, and options for outdoor dining, seating and wind protection.

- Provides 12 on street car parking spaces, inclusive of two mobility parks and a loading zone.

- Introduces structures and trees to create an identity for the area.

- Introduces an artwork on Marine Parade in the vicinity of Brighton Mall related to the cultural values of the area.

- Introduces improvements within Brighton Mall without jeopardising future commercial developments.

5.3.3 **Option Disadvantages**

- If Marine Parade is closed permanently in future, realignment works will be required at the intersections of Hawke Street and Beresford Street with Marine Parade.

- The intersection at Hawke Street and Marine Parade has high right turning movements from Marine Parade into Hawke Street. The proposed layout does not provide space for right turners to wait for straight through traffic to clear.

- Pedestrians will need to cross Marine Parade in one movement, however it creates a narrow carriageway and a slower speed environment to provide a safe pedestrian environment.
The provision of on street parking creates a risk to other road users, particularly cyclists, created by increases in manoeuvring vehicles and car doors opening.

5.4 **Option 1 – Focus on Marine Parade**

5.4.1 **Option Description:** This option was consulted on and focuses on Marine Parade. It meets the project’s objectives of feel, connectivity and flexibility by narrowing the street and reducing vehicle speeds, therefore increasing safety (refer to Attachment B).

5.4.2 Features of the scheme include:

- The removal of the central median on Marine Parade and a narrow two way carriageway that is flush with the adjacent parking areas and paths.
- Wider footpaths to allow flexibility of use which may include outdoor dining areas.
- A raised pedestrian crossing platform at the end of the Mall
- Changed priorities at the intersections of Hawke Street and Beresford Street with raised platforms to slow vehicle speeds and reduce volumes of straight through traffic on Marine Parade.
- A permanent reduced speed limit of 30km/h.
- Removal of parking with the exception of two mobility parks, to improve pedestrian connectivity and safety.
- Improved drainage, lighting and planting.
- Cultural features have been incorporated in the design to recognise the importance of tangata whenua and their mana whenua over the New Brighton area.
- Improvements to surfacing and planting in Brighton Mall

5.4.3 **Option Advantages**

- The flush surface between the roadway and paths creates a flexible space for Marine Parade to be closed in the future, temporarily or permanently, enabling the space to be used in different ways by community groups, events and as surrounding buildings and land uses change.
- Creates an environment that reinforces the 30km/h speed limit proposed.
- Improves pedestrian connectivity between the beach and the commercial centre. By reducing parking on Marine Parade a safer environment is created and the visual and physical barrier created by parked cars is removed. This makes it easier and safer for pedestrians to move between the beach and commercial core, and for cyclists travelling along Marine Parade.
- Provides two mobility parks on Marine Parade adjacent to the playground.
- Reduces obstructions for prams and wheelchairs.
- If Marine Parade is closed permanently in future, the amount of work required at the intersections of Hawke Street and Marine Parade would be considered minor.
- Provides wider footpaths including planting, and options for outdoor dining, seating and wind protection.
- Improvements to surfacing within Brighton Mall.
5.4.4 **Option Disadvantages**
- Reduces the ability for pedestrians to cross the road in two halves, however it creates a narrow carriageway and a slower speed environment to provide a safe pedestrian environment.
- Removes 11 on street carparks including two loading zones on Marine Parade.
- The realignment of the intersections at Hawke Street and Beresford Street have the potential to create safety concerns for cyclists.

5.5 **Option 2 – Focus on Brighton Mall**

5.5.1 **Option Description:** This option was consulted on and focuses on the Brighton Mall area with less work on Marine Parade. It does not meet the project objectives of feel, connectivity and flexibility (refer to Attachment C).

5.5.2 Features of the scheme include:
- Retention of the central median on Marine Parade.
- Replacement of the existing zebra crossing with a raised platform courtesy crossing at the end of the mall.
- Retention of the majority of on street parking in the area
- Changed priorities at the intersections of Hawke Street and Beresford Street with raised platforms to slow vehicle speeds and reduce volumes of straight through traffic on Marine Parade.
- Creates an environment that reinforces the 30km/h speed limit proposed.
- Improved lighting and planting.
- Upgrade of the Brighton Mall surfacing with flow across Marine Parade to create a visual connection to the beach.
- Enhanced lighting within the mall

5.5.3 **Option Advantages**
- Creates an environment that reinforces the 30km/h speed limit proposed.
- Encourages connectivity between the mall and the beach with a raised crossing point that is a visual connection to the mall.
- Allows pedestrians to cross Marine Parade in two stages.
- Retains the majority of parking in Marine Parade.
- If Marine Parade is closed permanently in future, the amount of work required at the intersections of Hawke Street and Beresford Streets with Marine Parade would be considered minor.
- Improvements to surfacing within Brighton Mall.

5.5.4 **Option Disadvantages**
- Limited locations where provision is made for pedestrians to cross the road and could encourages pedestrians to use informal crossing points.
- Reduction in parking of two spaces.
- There is a risk that the work undertaken within the Mall will require re work as commercial redevelopment takes place due to floor level changes.
Analysis Criteria

5.6 In November 2016, this project was initiated to upgrade Brighton Mall and Marine Parade to support the objectives of the Master Plan, and the regeneration outcomes of Development Christchurch Limited’s Implementation Plan which identified the staged implementation of the Master Plan. The project team conducted research and engaged with local residents, businesses and community group leaders to develop concepts for the project. Out of this engagement process three objectives for this public realm project were developed, these being:

- **Feel** – Expressing the feel of a beachside facility
- **Connectivity** – Providing a connection between the beach and the commercial area, and
- **Flexibility** – The ability to close off Marine Parade to enable future public events to occur.

5.7 The options were assessed against these three objectives.

6. Community Views and Preferences

6.1 Submissions were received between 20 October and 12 November 2017 (23 days) and 174 groups and individuals made submissions.

6.2 Consultation material was available at the New Brighton Library, two local cafés and hand delivered to businesses and residents along the affected streets. Staff discussed the project with owners, where possible.

6.3 Staff attended a community meeting on Wednesday 1 November and the New Brighton Seaside Market on 28 October and 4 November.

6.4 A link to online information was emailed to stakeholders.

6.5 Consultation material included two scheme options and a series of multi-choice questions (see Attachments B and C for the plans).

6.6 Submitters showed no clear preference between a plan that focussed more on improving and future proofing the Marine Parade area (40%), and a plan that focussed on the beautification of the pedestrian mall area (43%).

6.7 Submitters most frequently selected ‘connectivity’ as the most important objective for the project (41%).

6.8 A full analysis of all submissions is available in Attachment D

7. Legal Implications

7.1 There is not a legal context, issue or implication relevant to this decision

7.2 This report has not been reviewed and approved by the Legal Services Unit

8. Risks

8.1 There is a risk of impacting summer events and businesses caused by construction works extending into the summer season.

8.2 Residual risk rating: The residual rating of the risk after the below treatment is implemented will be low.

8.3 The aim is to ensure all works are completed in December 2019, and in the worst case prior to the Coast to Coast event in February 2020, and the Hot Pools scheduled opening in March 2020. Liaison with local businesses and stakeholders will continue through the construction
period. The construction period will be reviewed prior to tender acceptance to ensure the proposed timeframe is realistic and achievable.

9. **Next Steps**

9.1 Following approval by the Board of the resolutions in this report, detailed design will be finalised and the construction work tendered. The implementation time frame is currently scheduled as follows:

- Design finalisation       June 2019
- Tender                   July 2019
- Construction commencement September 2019
## 10. Options Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Option 1 – Focus on Marine Parade</th>
<th>Option 2 – Focus on Brighton Mall</th>
<th>Option 3 (Preferred) - Upgrade Marine Parade and minor improvements to Brighton Mall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost to Implement</strong></td>
<td>$3.9 million</td>
<td>$3.0 million</td>
<td>$4.3 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maintenance/Ongoing</strong></td>
<td>The additional cost of this option is estimated to be $4350/year and would be covered under the Area Maintenance Contract and associated budgets. The increase is mostly due to the additional street furniture. There will be maintenance costs associated with the proposed artwork which cannot be defined at this time.</td>
<td>The additional cost of this option is estimated to be $8150/year and would be covered under the Area Maintenance Contract and associated budgets. The increase is mostly due to the additional street furniture, this is higher than the preferred option due to the cost of maintaining the central landscaped median.</td>
<td>The additional cost of this option is estimated to be $4350/year and would be covered under the Area Maintenance Contract and associated budgets. The increase is mostly due to the additional street furniture. There will be maintenance costs associated with the proposed artwork which cannot be defined at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding Source</strong></td>
<td>FY18-FY28 LTP $4.618 million (CPMS 37865)</td>
<td>FY18-FY28 LTP $4.618 million (CPMS 37865)</td>
<td>FY18-FY28 LTP $4.618 million (CPMS 37865)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact on Rates</strong></td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Criteria 1: Climate Change Impacts</strong></td>
<td>This option does not reduce emissions from vehicles</td>
<td>This option does not reduce emissions from vehicles</td>
<td>This option does not reduce emissions from vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Criteria 2: Accessibility Impacts</strong></td>
<td>This option provides for improved accessibility for the mobility impaired and for pedestrians between the foreshore and the commercial centre as well as along Marine Parade.</td>
<td>This option provides some improvement for accessibility on Marine Parade.</td>
<td>This option provides for improved accessibility for the mobility impaired and for pedestrians between the foreshore and the commercial centre as well as along Marine Parade.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 8 - Statutory Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Option 1 – Focus on Marine Parade</th>
<th>Option 2 – Focus on Brighton Mall</th>
<th>Option 3 - Upgrade Marine Parade and minor improvements to Brighton Mall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impact on Mana Whenua</td>
<td>This option does not involve a significant decision in relation to ancestral land or a body of water or other elements of intrinsic value, therefore this decision does not specifically impact Ngāi Tahu, their culture and traditions. However, Mahaanui Kurataiao and Ngāi Tahu were involved with the development of the Master Plan for the purposes of recognising, protecting and supporting Ngāi Tahu values by meeting the objectives of the Mahaanui Kurataiao Iwi Management Plan. As such, advice was sought from Mahaanui Kurataiao. This led to Matapopore being engaged to provide cultural advice on Ngāi Tahu values, narratives and aspirations, and guidance to enhance urban design and public art features. For this option, Matapopore Charitable Trust input is high with</td>
<td>For this option, Matapopore Charitable Trust input is high with</td>
<td>For this option, Matapopore Charitable Trust input is high with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment to Council Plans &amp; Policies</td>
<td>key cultural values involved in the design.</td>
<td>key cultural values involved in the design.</td>
<td>key cultural values involved in the design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This option is consistent with Council’s Plans and Policies. It does not depart from the Master Plan and Development Christchurch Limited’s Implementation Plan.</td>
<td>This option is consistent with Council’s Plans and Policies. It does not depart from the Master Plan and Development Christchurch Limited’s Implementation Plan.</td>
<td>This option is consistent with Council’s Plans and Policies. It does not depart from the Master Plan and Development Christchurch Limited’s Implementation Plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Confirmation of Statutory Compliance

Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).

(a) This report contains:

(i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and

(ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.

(b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council's significance and engagement policy.
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Consultation data analysis and full submissions table

Prepared by: Tessa Zant, Senior Engagement Advisor, Christchurch City Council

Engagement period: 20 October - 12 November 2017
Consultation summary

Submissions were accepted on the proposed improvements to New Brighton Mall and Marine Parade (between Beresford and Hawke Street), between 20 October and 12 November 2017 (23 days). In this period 174 submissions were received.

The consultation document was available from the New Brighton Library and two local cafés. Documents were hand delivered to businesses and residents along the affected streets, and staff discussed the project with owners, where possible. The document was available at a community meeting on Wednesday 1 November (attended by approximately 200 people) and at the New Brighton Seaside Market on 28 October and 4 November. Staff were also available during the meeting and markets to discuss the project. Approximately 400 print documents were distributed.

A link to the online form was also emailed to a list of key stakeholders, on the day the consultation opened.

The consultation presented submitters with two potential options. One prioritised work to improve Marine Parade, while the other prioritised New Brighton Pedestrian Mall. The survey asked submitters 4 multi-choice questions and for general comments. The responses to each are outlined below.

Multi-choice analysis

Both options in the consultation included a reduction in vehicle speed to 30km/hour along Marine Parade, between Beresford and Hawke Street. Submitters were asked if they supported the speed reduction and Chart 1 shows an overwhelming majority (155) were in support. Based on comments, many felt that this would create a safer, more pedestrian friendly environment. Those objecting to the reduction (15) stated ‘vehicle speeds are low enough’, ‘narrowing the road will bring down speeds sufficiently’ and ‘the road is a main thoroughfare’.

![Support for 30km/hour speed limit](image)

*Chart 1: Submitters' support for dropping speed limit from 50 to 30km/hour*
To support the current projects being completed on the New Brighton foreshore by Development Christchurch Ltd, submitters were asked for their views on the potential closure of Marine Parade between Beresford and Hawke Streets. Chart 2 shows no clear preference for the timing of a closure but 130 (74%) submitters supported the closure at some stage. The extension of Oram Avenue, is a feature of the New Brighton Master plan, budgeted for in the Long Term Plan financial years 26, 27 and 28.

![Support for closure of Marine Parade](image)

Chart 2: Submitters’ support for the potential, future closure of Marine Parade

Submitters were asked which of the two design options they preferred. Option 1 focussed more on improving and future proofing the Marine Parade area, while Option 2 spent more budget on the beautification of the pedestrian mall area. Chart 3 shows that there was no clear preference with the options being selected by 66 (40%) and 75 (43%) submitters respectively.

![Preferred design option](image)

Chart 3: Submitters’ preference for each of the two concept designs

Prior to selecting their preferred design option, submitters were asked “Which of the objectives … do you think is the most important, when planning improvements in New Brighton Pedestrian Mall
and Marine Parade?” This question was aimed to encourage submitters to consider which of the design options best met the objectives. Chart 4 shows that ‘connectivity’ was selected 71 times, and ‘feel’ 57 times.

![Chart 4: Submitters’ prioritisation of the key objectives](chart4.png)

Interestingly, when we look at what objective submitters prioritised and relate this back to their design preference there is some disparity in how people responded. The consultation document clearly stated that “The first option focuses on Marine Parade and meets all of the project’s objectives.” While Option 2 did not necessarily improve either connectivity or flexibility.

Charts 5 and 6 show objective preference by selected option. Based on the design intents, it was anticipated that ‘connectivity’ and ‘flexibility’ would be more important to those who selected Option 1 and ‘feel’ would be more important to those who selected Option 2. However, Chart 6 shows just over half the submitters who preferred Option 2 selected either ‘connectivity’ (25) or ‘flexibility’ (18) as the most important objective, which Option 2 does not influence as well as Option 1.

![Chart 5: Prioritisation of objectives by submitters who preferred concept design Option 1](chart5.png)
Comments analysis

Submitters were asked if they had any general comments on the proposals. Due to the timing of the consultation many comments were about work outside of the scope of this project (60), including a number commenting on DCL (8) and Regenerate Christchurch (4) projects. Submitters also used the comments section to elaborate on the proposal to reduce the speed limit on Marine Parade (16) and the closure of this section of road to vehicles (38).

The wide range of responses led to few key themes emerging.

Support for Option 1

69 submitters preferred Option 1 and 54 of those provided comments. Supporters of Option 1 did so for the following reasons:

- Improved pedestrian flow (7)
- Disabled friendly (4)
- Mobility parking opportunities (4)
- More space / wider footpaths (4)
- Prioritise / consider pedestrians / cyclists / bus users (4)
- Level surfaces (3)
- Needed to support DCL projects (3)
- Leave mall until shops have been repaired / future proof (3)
- Focus on the beach, not the shops (3)

Those who supported Option 1 also had a range of items they wanted to see included in the design including:

- Sheltered seating (4)
- Windbreaks (3)
- More lighting (2)
• Toilet signs (2)
• More cycle parking (2)
• Bus stops on Marine Parade (2)
• Select good / appropriate plants (2)
• Other (5)

Three people who selected Option 1 thought that the project should be a combination of both proposals. Three people who selected Option 1 thought that general maintenance of the mall area would be beneficial.

Support for option 2

75 submitters supported Option 2 and 53 provided comments. There was more focussed support for option 2 with the following reasons given:

• Prioritise Mall – Marine Parade fine (10)
• Improve Mall to increase commercial activity (8)
• Other (6)

Those who supported Option 2 also had a range of items they wanted to see included in the design including:

• More lighting (4)
• Windbreaks (4)
• Sheltered seating (3)
• Other (3)

Three people who selected Option 2 thought that the project should be a combination of both proposals. One person who selected Option 2 thought that general maintenance of the mall area would be beneficial. Two people who selected Option 2 were concerned about the width of the two corners on Marine Parade.

Do not support / did not respond

Five submitters did not state a preference and four of those provided comments. Twenty five submitters did not support either proposal and 24 of those provided comments. These responses have been combined.

The following reasons were given for not supporting either option:

• Waste of time / money (7)
• Windbreaks needed (7)
• Wait commercial core (4)
• General maintenance enough (2)
• More on street parking (1)
• Scope too narrow (1)
• Too much uncertainty (1)

Eleven comments were made about single design features (see submissions table for detail).
Key stakeholders and Residents Associations

Canterbury District Health Board, Earthquake Disability Leadership Group, Eastern Vision, Living Street Otautahi/Christchurch and New Brighton Business and Land owners Association all supported Option 1, while St Faiths Anglican church, supported Option 2. All supported the speed reduction, with Living Street Otautahi/Christchurch requesting a lower speed of 20km/hour.

Common themes across all submissions

There was some common feedback across all submission types:

- Walking and pedestrians were mentioned by submitters 48 times
- Beach was mentioned 22 times
- Wind was mentioned 18 times
- Cycling and cycle infrastructure was mentioned 14 times
- Buses were mentioned 14 times
- Seating was mentioned 9 times
- Lighting was mentioned 13 times
## Submissions Table

**Submissions - do not support either proposal**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8100</td>
<td>Jamie East</td>
<td>Close off marine parade to traffic and put the markets, cafes etc there close to the pools. Then extend oram ave through the mall to Hawke street and re configure all the mall to the beach end so it runs North to south on both sides! Forget the pathetic one lane pedestrian mall and get some traffic back to the shops!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8115</td>
<td>Joanne Zervos</td>
<td>Feel that this is an unnecessary waste of money. There is hardly any difference between the proposals and the existing layout. This end of the mall and the landscaping is not broken and money could be saved and directed towards things that NEED fixing, or towards covering the Salt Pools. Please listen to locals who have to live here</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8143</td>
<td>Sheena Robinson</td>
<td>I think there should be no cars between Beresford and Hawke street if that can’t happen maybe a foot bridge so we don’t have to watch for cars and traffic can flow better. Also get these landlords to sort out there premises the buildings are disgraceful in new Brighton even better smash them all down and start again new Brighton could be like surfers paradise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8259</td>
<td>Andrew McKay</td>
<td>So question one must be answered your way. I support none of those words. Both options will fail. No point doing this tinkering. Either commit with a massive build of the whole mall, or do nothing. I support doing nothing. Save rate payers money, let the mall die, so private enterprise can step in, council is just prolonging the death of the mall. The master plan was a joke when published, it remains a joke, that is shifting the deck chairs on the Titanic. Stop wasting money on ventures that will fail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8265</td>
<td>Di Lucas</td>
<td>The dune complex needs to be reinstated, both to provide shelter from on-shore winds and to buffer against storms and other climate change effects. Having pools, play, parking, roading etc where the dunes belong is crazy. These plans are just tinkering, superficial and avoiding the real issues. It is very disappointing that the environmental management needed is not being seriously addressed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8292</td>
<td>Sue Caribnes</td>
<td>No I do not support closing of Marine Parade, because it will cause accidents with too many convergences. The crossing to the beach from New Brighton Mall is effective, allowing a good flow, between the shopping centre and the beach park. Do not put pavers in the Mall, they are so slippery when wet. Such a trap for the elderly. Get the drains sorted out, drain strips all around so the pooling of rain water does not occur. When a building consent for a private property is applied for, the drainage of water has to be dealt with. Make this a priority for the car parks, as well as the new shops. Be a leader for envisaging the start of the new shops. Take a look at Barcelona, and the art work of Gaudi on 2 shop faces, with markets in the middle of the street and restaurants, shops on the ground floor, apartments above on 1st floor. Develop this down the mall. Leave the road where it is currently. Instead of change, develop. Grow the mall in pieces. Stage 1, do the clock tower to Oram Ave in New Brighton Mall.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8304</td>
<td>Mark Thompson</td>
<td>I would like to see something that protects the area from the prevailing wind.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8307</td>
<td>Bridie Sutherland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8334</td>
<td>Simran Maggo</td>
<td>Both options 1 and 2 are excellent, however both are not perfect. If money is finally going to be spent improving this area, I would propose a mash of option 1 and 2. For pedestrian safety, no vehicle access should be allowed on the section of Marine parade and Beresford street (should be protected by removable bollards or poles for access during special events or other).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8348 Carolyn Brown

Straight lines are boring and do nothing to enhance an area. I think that the mall needs to be bowled and go back to square one in design as it currently is a hodgepodge of designs from various decades and as a local, I want to support the area but in its current design, it does not make me want to shop there. Why not have a container mall that winds around and has areas for entertainment (buskers who can sing etc). I have always thought there could do with a second dress mart type shopping area but a smaller version of Adelaide’s harbour town and not a multi-story complex, something more contemporary. Developing the crêtter scarred parking/empty area on the other side of the mall is more of a priority than redesigning the road along marine parade which works perfectly fine as it is. I do not support closing this section of Marine Parade because it is the main gateway between north and south Brighton.

8372 rosemary mckay

I see you make people select an objective that you have chosen. way to fix the survey results. I like neither option as both deliver nothing that the mall does not already have. It is not a master plan, it is a spruce up only. Either fix the mall with a major build development, or let it rot so that commercial entities can step in. I do not support reducing the speed limit, as it is already slow along that area. Removing the safety centre on Marine Parade is nuts. Stop finding stupid ways to waste ratepayer’s money.

8376 Rachel Fraher

The mall needs to be turned around so the easterly wind dies not tunnel down the street! Have a mall with large windows looking out to the sea.

8380 Renee Noble

If significant changes are definitely happening (pools/playground) then speed limit should be dropped lower than 30km. No buses directly in front of proposed rebuild. Instead a bus terminal close to all with significant security and shelter with traffic lights for pedestrian crossing to playground/pools etc. Or bus terminal on same side of road as amenities.

Funding given to community groups (who apply) for regeneration projects to beautify the pedestrian mall (such as is already happening down Carnaby lane and the next lane over). CCC to invest in eco-friendly refuse/recycling bins and work with community agencies to fund local initiatives to keep the area clean (such as employing a youth ‘keepin NB clean team’ or similar. Fund community agencies such as the NB com gardens to install and maintain planter boxes (improving employment opportunities for locals). Get creative art spaces happening using locals. Pallet pavilion in NB with pop up dinner markets (in sheltered spots of NB). DO NOT put pools along the beachfront - it is too windy. Do not put additional pools in. Instead invest further in the new qe2 development (open air pool, salt water rock pools, waterpolo pool). Have it all at qe2, then draw tourists and other canty people further into NB for community cared for centre (a mini replica of town - art, kai, organics & hopefully some specialty shops).

I live in NB. I currently use the mall for bear lion foods, hector, switch, couplands countdown (occasionally only as it needs to be done up & stock more variety), the collective shop, paper plus/kiwibank, the library (although poor parking so use other libraries more than this one).

8468 Amanda Brown

I think that any changes to Marine Parade need to be made only once all the components around it are understood and agreed on. I think the street should be designed to slow traffic down, and if it is designed that way a speed limit change maybe unnecessary. I would like to see work done on tidying up and improving the mall area, and that is where I would prefer to see any funds spent.

If you change Marine Parade then that impacts on the residents of the surrounding streets, and I would like to see the thinking and planning for the overall area. For example, there is the suggestion that the carparks on Beresford Street could be used for housing - how does this fit with the bus stops? What about designing a proper area for buses and their drivers on Beresford Street, if it is supposed to be the hub for bus services? How will you mitigate the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8471</td>
<td>Helen</td>
<td>People naturally slow down at the crossing... Do not close the golf course that has to be the most stupid thing anyone. Could. Do for our community... We are a beach side community... embrace it be proud. And make it better... I see golfers and surfers walk past my house daily and it warms me that feeling I feel I’m in the best location ever the I’m on holiday feeling when I actually am about to take my child to school while saying godat to our charming locals who know new Brighton is the best kept secret. Close the gold course I will stop paying rates because I’m getting screwed anyway. Our road is disgusting I have fallen and hurt myself several times falling over our stupid paths that haven’t yet been repaired. I’m ashamed I voted this council in now the outcome is to ruin new Brighton. I’m a hard working mother whom takes pride in my castle and feel like we get the mick taken out of us. I say enough!!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8532</td>
<td>Anna</td>
<td>What a wasted opportunity to make a significant positive change. Both these options can be likened to putting lipstick on a pig. What a waste of funds being sucked up by DCL to produce essentially nothing. A few plants and pavers.... disaster!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8807</td>
<td>Patrick</td>
<td>Given the new hot pools and beachside playground area, Marine Parade between Hawke Street and Beresford Street should be closed to all traffic and become a pedestrian only area. Increased visitors will encourage businesses to invest in the Mall. Only by attracting visitors to the area will quality businesses follow. Please do it right the first time!! Thank you for considering the community’s voice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9004</td>
<td>Paul</td>
<td>Obstructing the flow of traffic along the only viable route from North Brighton to South Brighton will do nothing to revitalise the commercial area and could very well have the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9099</td>
<td>Mariska Penman</td>
<td>I am concerned that these proposals feel isolated in their approach. That they are not including other aspects of the development in New Brighton except for the foreshore initiatives. I am concerned that the North-South redevelopment is not being considered at the same time and that the bus interchange and routes lie outside this discussion also. I would have thought these are all inter-connected and have dependencies on one another. For example how can you consider what the layout of Marine Parade will be if you do not know if buses or how many buses will be coming through. I support the idea that Marine Parade should be multi-use - cars, pedestrians, bikes etc. It is difficult to get the connection for all i.e. people can walk uninterrupted from shops to foreshore and cars can drive north to south. I believe there are many that drive this route and need a viable option through (again the north south redevelopment should be done at the same time). It is not clear what cycle ways are being introduced. At the moment the road is narrow and there is not enough room for cars and cyclists to travel, as car often are hesitating to pass. The master plan references how poor the infrastructure is for cyclists and I don’t see much detail here about creating more (safe cycle spaces, bike parks) or about how connects will be made i.e. to new cycleways. I am saddened to see that these cosmetic changes cost so much and that the money allocated will not be enough to provide environmental element protection. I would have liked to see screening for wind protection and overhead corridors/walkways to connect the areas and shelter from wind and rain. I would have also liked to see more cultural and historic considerations involved in the design - to further enhance the community spirit. Unfortunately both designs leave me disappointed, saddened and worried. They seem to lack consideration of other projects underway or in the future, and worry me that re-work will be required, for example if the North South or bus interchange projects come up with conflicting ideas. I had hoped for more visionary, inspired and exciting concepts. However I see that money is tight and doesn’t go far, which will mean limited change for the better.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9176</td>
<td>Simon Curtis</td>
<td>Stop traffic going through altogether, except for cycling and pedestrians. This will connect the two pedestrian areas with a space of the same use. It can also be used as a space for large events that used to always occur on the village green. It would accommodate the market and keep the mall unrestricted to pedestrians and the shop holders would be grateful for the thorough traffic. In a centralised area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9254</td>
<td>Trish Norton</td>
<td>I would support the option of doing up Marine Parade &amp; the Mall after extension of Oram Ave through to Hawke Street completed - otherwise I feel you are throwing away $3.4 million dollars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9259</td>
<td>Elliot Norton</td>
<td>The money would be better spent on buying building etc to extend Oram Ave. I feel the cosmetic changes that are in the plans should wait until the final plans are done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9262</td>
<td>Andrea Floyd</td>
<td>Marine Parade works as it is. Maybe spend a little money on 30 km speed signs &amp; make it a little more attractive. But spend more money on the Mall area. Closing Marine Parade will force more traffic through the residential area so it’s a dumb idea. Opening Oram Ave through the Mall just divides the Mall. Why put cars through where people are walking? And is the bus exchange going outside a shop? Really?? What happened to it going on the Beresford St car park like we voted for in the masterplan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9342</td>
<td>James Zervos</td>
<td>I think it would be more prudent to delay any roading and landscaping works until the pools and park are established, there is no hurry. We don’t know what will happen with the buildings established or new, so doing up the mall with paving would be premature. We live in the area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and have here most of our lives. The road is a through road, semi arterial it’s not the Gold Coast or Waikiki yet. Also the Pohutakawas along Marine Pde have to stay. Thanks.

| 9414 | Margaret Kelso | I do agree with 30km/hour through there because I always drop my speed when driving through there. I see no reason to, at this stage, to change any configuration of that area until such time as the commercial district is sorted. I think it is a complete waste of money. |
### Submissions - prefer option 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Submission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8026</td>
<td>Dave Beaumont</td>
<td>I would like extra funds to be found so that option 1 and option 2 can both be used. This would be a far more satisfactory long term solution and would provide the framework for the entire development of the mall and foreshore.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8027</td>
<td>Diane (Di) Trower</td>
<td>If Marine Parade is closed, the Tab bar etc on the corner of pedestrian mall will no longer be the ‘face’ of that area and allow for an entrance that is more accommodating and does not intimidate. A fantastic opportunity to drive visitors from other areas, ie; Carman Lane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8040</td>
<td>Lynska Ramsteijn</td>
<td>I understand the idea behind changing the speed limit to 30kph, but that is painfully slow. I think for the most part 50kph is reasonable and most people are paying attention enough currently that they will slow down as necessary. I would be willing to guess that the people who don’t currently slow down through that section of road are still not going to regardless of what the speed limit is. On top of that, the first person who gets caught by a cheeky cop accidentally going 40 through there (which is bloody easy to do at such a slow speed) is going to be furious. It’s already an incredibly long straight piece of road which feels like an eternity to travel down at 50kph. I don’t see adding a small 30kph section as an improvement, but more of a pointless inconvenience for drivers. As for the closing of the road between the two points, that piece of road has been closed for work countless times since the earthquake, and if it meant that it was done once and for all and it was improved at the same time, I personally would be happy to deal with the inconvenience. It would be nice to have a thought out, convenient diversion though.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8066</td>
<td>Michael Robinson</td>
<td>While I prefer option one, ideally I would like to see Marin parade blocked off from traffic between Beresford and hawks street. Then that section of the beach can be opened up to the mall.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8074</td>
<td>Mark Munro</td>
<td>Need the link between playground and Mall to be flat. Allow for outdoor dining on Marine Parade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8086</td>
<td>Briar Thompson</td>
<td>I think redirect traffic and close off Marine Parade between Hawke and Beresford. Shops need to be closer to the beach. The beach is the attraction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8088</td>
<td>Sarah Ledingham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8102</td>
<td>Louise Wedlake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8106</td>
<td>Elizabeth Odell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8113</td>
<td>Malcolm Campbell</td>
<td>We need to get cars out of the area altogether in my opinion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8125</td>
<td>Despina Kerdemelidis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8172</td>
<td>Ray Hall</td>
<td>I support the layout of Marine Parade in option 1. Cut speed limit back to 30kph now. Option 2 not supported as it retains the central strip and vegetation which in a small low car can hide small children on the crossing from view. Also encourages people to stand in centre of road on the vegetation strip. I always enjoy the drive along Marine Parade but would happily put up with the immediate closure of this small section if Oram Ave extension to Hawke Street is going to happen soon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8188</td>
<td>Martin Smallman</td>
<td>Traffic calming is a much preferable option to closing this section of road. The whole foreshore and mall area is usable for markets and events. There is no justification for closing this section of road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8205</td>
<td>Michael Smith</td>
<td>I praise the desire to create a buzzing social environment where people interact, shop and enjoy New Brighton. Reducing traffic, making a pedestrian first environment is a great initiative that</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
complements the other initiatives happening in New Brighton such as the playground and hot pools. Has any research been conducted to find out why the Christchurch population and local residents do not spend extended time in New Brighton Mall? What do people see as the biggest dissuading elements that stop them from spending time in the Mall? I would imagine the cold Easterly wind would be a significant factor, yet neither plan appears to address this. My concern is the lack of mitigation of Christchurch’s famous cold Easterly wind in the new New Brighton Mall designs. The Easterly wind is currently funnelled down New Brighton Mall. It deters people from spending time in the Mall and instead just use it as a thoroughfare. New Brighton Mall is not a pleasant space during an Easterly, and this is a common occurrence. Neither of the two new designs appear to have put any thought into creating protected spaces or diverting this prevailing wind from howling down the Mall. People are a lot less likely to sit, stand, relax, shop, dine and enjoy the sun in New Brighton without a comfortable environment to spend time in. I imagine this to be even more likely for young families or the elderly. Not having protection from the Easterly, limits the number days the Mall is a pleasant place to be, limits the activities suitable for the Mall (due to the strength and temperature of the wind) and does not off a place of respite if the weather changes.

The two current plans are both just facelifts for the existing design and do not address this fundamental flaw in the original design. This looks like an oversight completed in an office far removed from the actual experience and elements of New Brighton Mall. I believe money would be best spent addressing this underlying factor, rather than making it look pretty and have nobody around. Cleaver placement of trees and/or structures at appropriate heights may create protection. I am sure the Council urban design team can come up with clever solutions to make New Brighton Mall a sunny, protected, lively, versatile, vibrant space.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8223</th>
<th>Marie Graham</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Would rather have changes to shop layout as priority...also a market square/gardened grass area where old MacCormacks Tavern was ...important to have communal meeting spaces not reliant on spending at shops. Many folk especially older or people living alone would welcome a park like space that doubles as a market or events lawn...especially if the easterly is blowing a gale...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8248</th>
<th>Miranda de Gouw</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prefer to have street closed altogether to make it a safer connected area rather than thoroughfare.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8311</th>
<th>Charlotte Penrose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I feel option 1 is better than option 2 as option 2 requires money spend on the mall area, but until there are good shops and building owners start fixing their buildings. It’s an eyesore!! Spend the money on the beach end, it’s what brings people out.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8340</th>
<th>Nikki Berry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Although it would be expensive, I think we should block traffic completely and divert it possibly by purchasing vacant land so that traffic goes down Hawke Street, then crosses the mall and joins Beresford Street or Oram Ave to get back to Marine Parade. Drivers will hate it at first but once the community gets used to it, we’ll have a delightful, car free recreational area.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8345</th>
<th>Kirsten Donnelly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I would like to see cars removed permanently from Marine parade, in front of the Library and Beachside Playground, however it is important to retain access to the carpark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8359</th>
<th>Valerie Everett</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It would be better to close Marine Pde between Beresford and Hawke completely. Don’t waste money changing the road then closing it later. What has happened to the proposal to join Oram Ave with Hawke St through the Mall?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8377</th>
<th>Maria Godinet-Watts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More disability parks along the main Street. Enjoy coming to shop in Brighton an coffee. Thank you.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8388</th>
<th>Allan Collins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I would like to see the Surf club moved to where the toilets are at the moment, I realize the Workingmen’s Club will object to this however the integration of the Surf club and the general public and the access for swimming between the flags in front of the new development out ways a small loss of view to a small number of club members</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8472</td>
<td>Shane Bartlett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8482</td>
<td>Andrea Skinley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8518</td>
<td>Moni Biswa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8521</td>
<td>Debbie Osborn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>876</td>
<td>Evan Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>877</td>
<td>Jenny Horn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>876</td>
<td>Stephen Best</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9061</td>
<td>Ruth Reid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9065</td>
<td>Kirsten Carey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9070</td>
<td>Christine Hickey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9079</td>
<td>Sartia McGeoch-Donovan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9098</td>
<td>Andrew Dimond</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Moving away from inactive shopping options to active destination reasons like beach walks, hot pools, playgrounds etc.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9157</td>
<td>Lynn Hayes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>it would be better to closed Marine Parade from Hawke to Beresford and open up Oram Avenue through to Hawke Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9167</td>
<td>Elizabeth Ackermann</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 9204 | Amy Hartnell Earthquake Disability Leadership Group |
|   | The Earthquake Disability Leadership Group strongly advocates for a process to be embedded into this project to plan for and include best-practice accessible design, as the ideas for the New Brighton Pedestrian Mall and Marine Parade are further developed. As highlighted in the Christchurch Hot Pools project information, there will be a vast number of visitors attending these Hot Pools annually. Alongside the Beachside Playground, Pier and Library, considerable planning needs to occur to ensure that the journey to, through and around these elements is easy and accessible and that participants can interact and navigate in a safe and logical way. The EDLG supports the addition of mobility parking beside the beach playground and would also suggest the inclusion of a drop off zone for participants that have mobility challenges. The EDLG does not support the concept of a shared use space on Marine Parade as a way of interacting between the beach side elements and New Brighton Mall. If this area is paved and with no barrier between footpath and road, pedestrians (particularly those with visual impairments) have no indicators to show that this is a road and that cars are expected. Shared spaces that combine vehicles, cyclists and pedestrians will always struggle to define which user has the right of way and managing those conflicts in a shared space will be difficult. Other elements which will also need an accessible lens include public bathrooms, seating, signage, the placement of street furniture and communal areas. The accessible journey from the proposed bus stop through New Brighton Mall to Beachside will also need consideration. Regenerate Christchurch, Canterbury District Health Board, Christchurch City Council, Development Christchurch Ltd, Environment Canterbury Regional Council and Otakaro have all recently made their commitment to the Accessibility Charter - Canterbury. The Charter outlines that all the participating organisations are committing to creating places and spaces that are accessible for all people. As the Charter sets a collective expectation for its signatories - the planning process for this project needs to set the same collective expectation between the many players that will be delivering it. |
|   | Emma Derrick |
| 9222 | We like the first option better as it is an interim decision which will reduce vehicle speeds and make it safer, especially when there will be many more young children about due to the playground. We don’t believe spending money in the pedestrian mall will be a good idea until the current mall is either changed by removing derelict/unused buildings or new buildings/shops are leased. It will just lead to nowhere as it stands. |
|   | Maryanne O’Connell |
| 9240 | I think option 1 as although this is more expensive I feel in the improvements are needed for the future of the mall. So initially this option costs more but saves doing these changes at a later date which would be more expense. The mall area is a great place and having it more attractive and safe is a fantastic idea. It also is a safe place for the elderly and mothers with babies to sit and enjoy the day. The new planters look fabulous and are very attractive. Thank you for the improvements done so far |
|   | James Croft |
| 9246 | I believe closing Marine Parade would be positive for New Brighton. Having traffic detoured into the new reduced size centre shopping area being Oram Ave, would bring commerce and
### Item No.: 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Tanya Didham | It is a little hard to tell the difference between the two options - they are virtually identical except there seem to be more seats and some new lights in Option 2. If we are to have new lights in the mall, please do not light it up like a prison yard with harsh blue-rich 4000K LEDs - as the council is infuriatingly proposing for our street re-fit.
It is hard to see how the New Brighton Mall can be made vibrant without all the landowners on board, so I hope that is happening. It's not just about paving and playgrounds, we need there to be a commercial centre that can withstand the seasonal nature of beach life, and that caters to the surrounding suburbs. What do New Brighton folk want from their mall? I am on the other side of the river in Aranui, but I go to NB for the supermarket, the library, the cafes, the market, the chippery and the post shop - aside from that, it is all about the beach. There are people on the ground there with great ideas - the New Brighton Project, Pod, Fiksate, Shop 105 - that is where we can find inspiration for making NB a place people want to go to. What about a street-art trail? Revitalising does not have to be expensive.
And please - just steam-clean EVERYTHING! |
| Lies Callander | Personally I think this site is too small and allows too little space for parking. (If hotpool folks park behind the shops it actually hurts the shops!)
A better place would be where the Nth Brighton School was. Enough parking on site! Room for future expansion! And have a free tram-kind of transport that goes to the Mall. It has to be fun transport. Could be horse & cart. But free (or almost) and frequent. |
| Matthew Parkes | Making the playground/library stretch of marine parade shared use is a great idea. At the very least discouraging through-traffic. Maybe include some extra parking in this area + a lockable gate *(similar to Chester St)* |
| Yvonne Curtis | 1. Increase traffic on Hawke Street. We already need a cross walk/pedestrian crossing - increase traffic means it is even more important (around Howe Street). I want what other suburbs have - pedestrian crossings.
2. A big NO to the land swap involving Rawhiti Domain.
3. I support option 1 because the new projects need an upgraded street frontage - it goes with THE NEW - the Mall can come later.
4. Keep the Surf Club where it is. It marks the end of the beach - too visually cluttered up at the pier. - The expanse of beach is nice. |
<p>| Eric Reynolds | I would really like to see the closing off of Marine Parade after Oram Avenue has been extended to Hawke Street - making sure parking to the Library is not too far away. Markets along Marine Parade or other activities would go down well with the public. Thanks for keeping it going forward. |
| Margaret Keall | We need the super market to move down the mall so that this vacated area could be developed into some activity attractive to visitors. |
| Wendy Towart |  |
| Sarah Dowle | We visit the library, shops and catch the bus in the area with children and it is very rundown and sad. We are normally walking and biking and would enjoy the slower speed limit and the added safety for the children. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position/Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9375</td>
<td>Jason Trist</td>
<td>Option 1 should also have a fully cobbled space through the mall as shown in option 2. Lighting at night should be an important feature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9377</td>
<td>Warren Clarke</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9379</td>
<td>Aroha Lawson</td>
<td>If you are going to connect the beach and mall areas the road is a major barrier, however ensuring vehicles only travel that section at 30 km/h will be hard to police and cause confusion. The other concern is pedestrians, clear boundaries need to be in place to define the roads and pavements as too many of these slow roads are coated in one surface leading to uncertainties regarding right of way. So I support it but like it to be done right. I would like to see lockers available for people to use at the beach, I would like a Promenade with nice, bright, clean public toilets, exercise equipment along the beach area for public use and some provisions put in place to allow accessibility for disabled residents and visitors to enjoy all the area has to offer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9383</td>
<td>Paul Lonsdale</td>
<td>While we support Option 1, we believe that the proposed design lacks imagination and the connectivity that is required to achieve the desired outcomes. We would like to work with Council to assist achieving a better outcome. We also believe that while Brighton Mall receives lesser treatment under this option, the council should raise the maintenance and cleaning of this area to at least make it feel like it is cared for. We also would like to see some work carried out around the paradise palms to raise the height of the planter bases and remove all bark as this end up blowing all around the mall area in an easterly wind. If the ability is available to speak to our submission we would be very happy to do so.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 9394    | Dr. Alistair Humphrey | Details of submitter  
1. Canterbury District Health Board (CDHB).  
2. The submitters responsible for promoting the reduction of adverse environmental effects on the health of people and communities and to improve, promote and protect their health pursuant to the New Zealand Public Health and Disability Act 2000 and the Health Act 1956. These statutory obligations are the responsibility of the Ministry of Health and, in the Canterbury District, are carried out under contract by Community and Public Health under Crown funding agreements on behalf of the Canterbury District Health Board.  
3. The Ministry of Health requires the submitters to reduce potential health risks by such means as submissions to ensure the public health significance of potential adverse effects are adequately considered during policy development.  
Details of submission  
4. We welcome the opportunity to comment on the New Brighton Pedestrian Mall and Marine Parade improvements. The future health of our populations is not just reliant on hospitals, but on a responsive environment where all sectors work collaboratively.  
5. While health care services are an important determinant of health, health is also influenced by a wide range of factors beyond the health sector. Health care services manage disease and trauma and are an important determinant of health outcomes. However health creation and wellbeing (overall quality of life) is influenced by a wide range of factors beyond the health sector.  
6. These influences can be described as the conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work and age, and are impacted by environmental, social and behavioural factors. They are often referred to as the social determinants of health [1]. Barton and Grant’s Health Map shows how various influences on health are complex and interlinked [2].  
7. The most effective way to maximise people’s wellbeing is to take these factors into account as early as possible during decision making and strategy development. Initiatives to improve health outcomes and overall quality of life must involve organisations and groups beyond the
health sector, such as local government if they are to have a reasonable impact [3].

General Comments
8. The CDHB supports revitalisation of the New Brighton Area as identified in the New Brighton Centre Master Plan. New Brighton holds important characteristics and meaning for many East Christchurch residents and visitors alike. Regeneration has the potential to improve amenity of the New Brighton area, revitalising this space to enable the local community to connect and access local goods.

Specific comments
Objective preference
9. The CDHB considers all three objectives; connected, feel and flexible as important to the regeneration of the area as each reflects an important aspect to developing a viable, compact and lively’ community which ‘services the needs of its residents well’. The CDHB considers that a design which meets all of these objectives would best serve the New Brighton community.

Support for Option 1
10. The CDHB supports option 1 which better meets objectives of the Master Plan as indicated in the consultation summary.
11. The CDHB supports narrowing of the road (as per option 1) in preference to a central median strip (option 2). Narrowing encourages slower mid-block vehicle speeds and will also enable a wider footpath which improves safety for pedestrians who will predominantly be using this space. A wider footpath also provides better accessibility for those who may have a visual, hearing or mobility impairment.

Accessibility
12. The CDHB strongly supports provision of mobility parking adjacent to the playground to ensure equitable access to the area for those with disabilities. It is preferable that these parks are angled or are at least of sufficient size for mobility vans to allow wheelchair users to get in and out without encroaching on the traffic lane. The CDHB also recommends provision of seating at regular intervals and use of pavers which provide a smooth, comfortable surface for wheelchair users.

Support for 30km Speed Limit
13. The CDHB supports reducing the speed limit to 30km down Marine Parade. If this area becomes a shared space, this will lower the risk to pedestrians and cyclists as a pedestrians chance of death when hit by a car travelling at 30km per hour is 5% compared to 40% if hit by a car travelling at 50km per hour[4].

Additional recommendations
14. The CDHB recommends that Marine Parade does allow for the movement of buses and that the design incorporates a bus stop on each side of the street. The Master Plan proposes a bus interchange down Beresford Street, however this is still some distance for those who may have impaired mobility. Allowing buses to loop around and stop at Marine Parade ensures access for those unable to walk longer distances to the beach, library, playground, pedestrian mall and proposed new hot pools. Additionally, the presence of buses in this highly frequented area promotes their use as a convenient mode of transport to access the amenities at New Brighton.
15. The CDHB recommends installation of obvious signage to public toilet facilities, and that these are sufficient to cater for events, festivals and gatherings held in the area.
16. The CDHB recommends that an adequate number of rubbish and recycling bins are provided
to encourage responsible waste disposal.
17. The CDHB recommends that a CPTED audit is completed of the area, and that adequate lighting as per option 2 is installed if necessary to ensure the safety of those using the pedestrian mall at all times.

Conclusion
18. The CDHB does not wish to be heard in support of this submission.
19. Thank you for the opportunity to submit on New Brighton Pedestrian Mall and Marine Parade improvements.

Footnotes:

9403 Layling Stanbury
Sounds good, shame the road can’t be closed off now especially with the play park due to open soon, the hot pools, and the exist facilities like the library and the Imagination Station in Carnaby Lane (which will hopefully will be funded next year!) and other family friendly annual events e.g. fireworks and kite day, so this area will continue to attract more and more families. Traffic already backs up at the pedestrian crossing outside the library now on market days, I imagine it’s only going to get worse. Kepell and Oram Ave need to join up if you want to encourage outdoor dining, as this eliminates the easterly breeze and allows for more sun as currently those shops on the northside of the mall/Seaview Road are shaded all day e.g. Creama Cafe.

9407 Kim Hammond

9419 Meg Christie
Living Street
Otautahi/Christchurch

Thank you for the opportunity to submit on this beautiful plan. We are excited to see proposals for regenerating this area which has the potential to become one of Christchurch’s treasurers - for swimming, playing, shopping, dining walking and more!
We recommend closing Marine Parade to vehicular traffic from Beresford Street to Hawkes Street so that pedestrians can freely move from the beach, library and pool etc. to the Mall. This would certainly contribute to the seaside village atmosphere you seek to create and add to the alfresco dining experience along this stretch of street. If this is not possible, our second choice would be for planners to create pedestrians priority over all other traffic - including people on bikes. This will create a people-centred environment. We also recommend a 30kph speed limit around the whole area but definitely Beresford Street and Hawkes Street, with 20kph speed limit on Marine Parade on this short length between Beresford Street and Hawkes Street. Please ensure our "no" vote for the option of supporting a 30km speed limit is reflected as such, and not that we want to retain anything faster!
Visual contrast of paving material on road and footpath is useful for those with low-level vision impairment. Footpath so called ‘clutter’ signage and alfresco dining need to be kept against building so the more seriously visually impaired can navigate along their way using the edge of the kerb. All paving should be smooth enough for people in wheelchairs and pushing prams etc.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9468</th>
<th>Leroy A Lewis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9513</td>
<td>Celeste Donovan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9518</td>
<td>Kari (Karen) Barr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9519</td>
<td>Jacqui Uhrbom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9520</td>
<td>Margaret Uhrbom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9521</td>
<td>Dirk De Lu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9523</td>
<td>Brian Donovan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board**

**15 April 2019**

**Item No.: 8**

- to move along without difficulty. We support the disability parking and would like the bus to be able to drop people off as close as is safe to the corner of Beresford and marine parade, to assist the elderly, those with disabilities or with young children to more easily access the amenities.

The provisions of ample seating looks good and thank you for considering the need to have some seats sheltered from the prevailing easterly wind. We would like to see increased cycle parking facilities because tripping hazards are created and pedestrian flow is impeded when people are forced to park their bikes in unofficial places.

Thank you.

- During events I would like the project to improve the flow of pedestrians across Marine Parade. I would also like the opportunities for commercial areas facing Marine Parade maximised.

Please make sure that cyclists are accommodated and kept as safe as possible. This project should help promote sustainable transport where possible.

- I don’t like the idea of maybe one day in the future, like it has always been!! As it is 30k/h is all anyone will do in that area. People always complain about the easterly, so why would you open it up more to the elements.

I would like to know what is our “character”. We are a beach suburb that needs to work with its surroundings, plant what will grow & keep it clean, make shop owners responsible for their windows & door ways.

- New Brighton Pedestrian Mall and Marine Parade improvements SPOKES has considered to two options presented and supports option one. In our submission the main feature that will draw people to visit New Brighton is the beach and the surrounding facilities not the Mall, for people to walk from the beach into the Mall there needs to be as few barriers as possible therefore SPOKES would support closing Marine Parade to vehicular traffic along with this upgrade. Customers would not then see this as a barrier to entering the Mall.

If closing Marine Parade is not possible Spokes supports imposing a 30kph speed limit but would suggest this could be further lowered to 20kph given its short length. SPOKES notes there is no provision for increased cycle parking in option one. With a cycle path extending along the Avon River New Brighton will become a magnet for recreational cyclists especially during the weekends when the demands on the area will peak, without adequate cycle parking cyclists will resort to locking bikes to down pipes and other convenient objects which may impede pedestrian flows. This needs to be considered during the design phase not added as an afterthought.

- It seems pointless considering an interim landscaping plan on Marine Pde between Hawke & Beresford Sts.

The probability is this section will be closed to traffic and should be done NOW.
1. Alongside a children’s park and safety reasons
2. Cements the connection between sea, library and shopping centre.
3. The closure will drive traffic from Marine Pde into Hawke & Beresford Sts and along each side of the mall.
4. As you illustrate there will be a flow through the mall between Shaw Av and Marine Pde, and there will need to be a counter-balance at the Shaw Av end to ensure this happens, i.e. an ‘events square’.
9873  Eve Grocott

I believe the road should remain open but reduced to two lanes, no median barrier, NO cycle lanes required as this will be a pedestrian area, so cycles can share their footpath.

NB is a destination and people will bring their cars, i.e. the elderly and families so access with cars is a must. If you close Marine Pde it will cause chaos as no alternative route through NB is available. The roads thru are too complicated or sinking (i.e. Owles Tce). Also need access to the library and parking here too. The narrow 30km road works well through Cashel Mall/Colombo St and can work well down Marine Pde too with the same traffic signals.

Submissions - prefer option 2

8029  Jasmine Dessaix

Love the completely paved mall idea! 100% agree with reducing speed to make more pedestrian friendly. Now to just get rid of the ugly supermarket storage/delivery area bringing down the prime beach front area, such an eye sore!

8037  Karen Amyes

Hawke street into marine parade could be kept wide with easy turning for heavy vehicles. With Beresford being more the residential feel.

8053  Charlotte Kelly

The mall desperately needs investment. Whilst I would love to see Marine Parade get a massive facelift, not at the expense of ignoring the derelict-feeling mall.

8057  Holly Wilson

8059  Donna Stewart

I feel marine parade works well already for a pedestrian area and leaving money left over would allow a mall upgrade to compliment the new playground area. Also enhances the area for public events and shared spaces like market days. Better flow connecting the areas rather than new versus old.

8060  Christopher Dodd

No point in fixing one area and not the other as they will need each other to survive.

8068  John Collins

This is great, although the theme/works need to extend further west to include the whole of Brighton Mall and the businesses down this end of the street. Especially the malls off Brighton Mall and lane ways like Carnaby Lane. If the works are only got the pedestrian section of the Mall this won’t work.

How about closing Brighton Mall to vehicles from Union Street? Then extending the mall refurbishment all the way to Union Street, creating a long high street style mall that offers a lot of space for events and creates and environment for businesses to operate. The mall could obviously still be available for vehicles for events like the Christmas parade.

Cheers

8081  Shane McNeeoe

I would like to see rage of setting what work’s everyone needs. Need just one type of setting. I would like to see more community events. I it easier to bus to New Brighton

8082  Gill Hubert

Just do it. Either options will do. Extra lighting in the area is a must. Force the land owners to fix the Hawke Street Carpark. It’s a disgrace!!

8091  Michelle Cavanagh

Neither of these proposals is going to create aby real difference in visitor numbers or marketability of the New Brighton retail centre. There are too many vacant run-down buildings and too much unused and poorly maintained land. It is those that cause the biggest eyesore and it is beyond time that privately owned properties are required BY LAW to meet certain standards. I believe the city should acquire those properties by any means and set a standard. I only support option 2 over option 1 because it is less expensive. Spending millions on plants and shrubs and on ripping up roads that have only just been freaking done is stupid and pointless.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8092</td>
<td>Nathan Keapa</td>
<td>They need to do something ASAP while New Brighton is on a roll with playground, pools etc hopefully attract more well-presented shops get rid of the rough bars at front and try to make the most of sea views</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8094</td>
<td>Faye Higgins</td>
<td>While I completely agree that development needs to start on marine parade with an attraction that draws people to the area, it important to remember people will come if there are good shops and eateries too- for example The Tannery has been doing very well since it opened and is now housing some unique boutiques, local businesses and a couple of great local eateries- with nothing else around and people flocking to it, it has achieved what sadly New Brighton is severely lacking. We need to be careful not to over develop the front and ignore the mall/shopping area. At present the shopping area is embarrassing, especially with the amount of empty premises and the back of save mart making the mall/shopping area look like an abandoned town. People will come for the hot pools and playground but we want to encourage them to spend time and money in the town too and importantly look after the local business owners and encouraging other businesses to open up. Money needs to be invested in raising the quality of premises for the mall/shopping area, and outside areas - it needs to be solely pedestrianised to encourage people to park up and nose round and possibly stay to eat or drink. If we get it right we could have a fabulous unique boutique mall with eateries- with areas to sit outside. I believe strongly that we need something to draw people in to the area but we need to have something where local people as well as council can benefit- if we over invest on the front with the hot pools, playground and landscaping we will miss a vitally important part of the plan which is to provide a decent, enticing, affordable place for local people to open businesses - encouraging local interest and local investment. If there are decent eateries, interesting and unique shops people will come regardless of the hot pools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8111</td>
<td>Shantel Young-Matehe</td>
<td>I wouldn’t prefer more time to go into enhancing the image of the mall area as this would have a positive outcome on visitors and our local community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I agree to 30k spend limit as the main stripe can get busy but don’t think it’s necessary to focus to much on this area as it would be more needed with in the mall.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8118</td>
<td>Robbie Baigent</td>
<td>Without any of us knowing if the Oram ave Extension is going to happen as per the masterplan then all this is nil and void as we cannot give feedback to an overall view. Has any thought been given to damage created if or when the damaged buildings in the mall that are not accessible from Hawke Street are demolished/repaired/rebuilt. The last time any beautification work was done in the mall half of it was dug up within weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8121</td>
<td>Courtney Barr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8134</td>
<td>Alisha Russell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8180</td>
<td>Susan Adams</td>
<td>If the intention is to close Marine Parade to vehicles, then I think it better to spend less money changing the road layout now and put more into the mall area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8227</td>
<td>Rick Houghton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8320</td>
<td>Lynda Grieve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8354</td>
<td>Fiona Hurst</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8369</td>
<td>NMN Jackson</td>
<td>I would like there to be the inclusion in Brighton of an adult playground with equipment that is fun for adults to also play - such as adult swings, roundabout, slide, and some fun exercise equipment which we see is popular in other countries - so not only are adults encouraged to move and be mobile but also to remember those days of being children and simply swinging high in the sunshine -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
how different things can be. Anyone that considers garden design as being a rectangle of lawn with thin borders down each side will not appreciate the amazing difference that a properly designed garden can have to the human experiencing it. The container mall, by accident or perhaps by design, demonstrated many aspects of exemplary garden design: the creation of ‘rooms’ which encourage exploration, lines of sight with focal points yet most paths meandering, complimentary details and some juxtaposition of elements to create interest, clear areas for movement and others where the viewer is encouraged to linger. It is some of these aspects that make ‘lanes’ such an important contributor to good urban design yet we borrow this aspect and generally ignore the other aspects.

So it is with disappointment that I see presented, albeit in its early stages, a design for the pedestrian mall of which the key feature is a rectangular-shaped space, simply aligned on the prevailing wind. I accept that the Council cannot build new buildings to disrupt the rectangular form of the existing ‘street’ layout but I would implore the Council officers to learn the lessons of the container mall in the design of the components of the mall. The addition of features such as seating, wind breaks, planters, the use of materials to suggest flow and create interest, colours, interesting street furniture (not the Preschool colours used now) and signage - example from Osbourne attached to this comment, should break the area into discrete ‘rooms’ with flow between rooms necessitating a snaking movement along the mall rather than a direct path. In the current layout of the mall retaining a straight line for vehicle access encourages expediency of movement (speed) and this dictates the design of the non-vehicular features - and permits the wind. A meandering pathway encourages lingering, browsing, exploration, provides pockets of protection from the wind and forces vehicles to mix with pedestrians thereby limiting their speed. I would encourage the Council to have the courage to eliminate all on-street parking in the mall area, i.e. along its full length, not just in the bit between the Oram Avenue connection and the library. For children, the aspect of the mall that they have enjoyed most over the years is the play area located on the link road which connects to Oram Avenue. This short link road is perpendicular to the wind and this makes the feel much more appropriate for a seaside setting (i.e. warm) and being warm supports lingering to establish connections with others.

I commend the Council on attempting to improve this public space and would implore that the learnings of previous failed refresh attempts are viewed without defensiveness. Revisit the design by all means but be open to the possibilities presented by radically changing the layout, don’t just warm up the old design with some new paint. See attachment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8930</td>
<td>Kay Collyer</td>
<td>Extending Oram Ave thru to Hawke St seems a very logical extension. It would good to get traffic off the Beresford St / Hawke St section. The backups here due to the zebra crossing on special days is hideous. Love all the ideas in option 2 &amp; look forward to seeing it all happen - Exciting! Grateful Thanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8944</td>
<td>JACQUI HERRON</td>
<td>WAY MORE IMPORTANT TO UPGRADE THE MALL THERE IS NOTHING WRONG WITH MARINE PARADE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8977</td>
<td>Jo Case</td>
<td>The more work that can be done to improve the mall area the better. We need something that will capitalise on the number of visitors to the pools - the mall needs to be made warm and inviting. While improvements could be made to Marine Parade, it is in better condition than the mall, and I think the mall should definitely be the main focus. Making the end of the mall at Marine Pde walking only is a great idea - this happens all over Asia, and makes for a safe, pleasant, relaxing environment. It would also provide more space for markets, food trucks etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9026</td>
<td>Melanie Welfare</td>
<td>I feel it is important that both the road and Mall area are improved. Without improvements to the Mall there will be little point in improving the road. 30km speed limit is great as hopefully there will be more foot traffic. As with other resort areas I think it is important to look at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8373</td>
<td>Hayden Zervos</td>
<td>The Night Lighting is a good idea. The Pier lights at night are already a great attraction so applying this to the mall should have a positive impact. Creative lighting features could even be applied to the new Beach Playground. It would work well with the Night Pier lights and make the playground more unique and attractive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8378</td>
<td>Melva Gill</td>
<td>The mall needs more traffic through it to cut down on crime and ‘dead’ areas that it has now. Removing even more people from flowing through this space opens it to be a hangout for people who already make sure that as a local I don’t go down to the mall because it feels threatening, dirty and ghetto like. People up in Carnaby lane have done a fabulous job of revitalising a space. The traffic access from both sides and the great landscaping mean we use this space every week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8384</td>
<td>Lynda Hunt</td>
<td>I have real concerns about the retail area. For too long it has been full of junky shops. The mall area needs to be appealing enough to lure good retailers into the area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8389</td>
<td>Kim Button</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8434</td>
<td>Alexandria Tino</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8652</td>
<td>Amanda Greenem</td>
<td>The playground and pools are great and will bring people to NB but the mall needs to be upgraded so the people stay in NB area longer. The pools area and the mall need to flow so both areas become one new looking space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8665</td>
<td>Michael Brown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8690</td>
<td>Jillian Reesby</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8696</td>
<td>Megan Roulston</td>
<td>I have to say with the information provided it was very difficult to understand exactly what the differences between the two options are. However I support the revitalisation of the pedestrian mall. I would like to see the extension of Oram Ave to Hawke Street as soon as possible. Then we don’t need to spend huge sums on redesigning Marine Parade - can just close a section of it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8899</td>
<td>Mark Darvill</td>
<td>Of the two options presented I prefer Option 2 as it gives more scope for changes in the pedestrian mall area itself whilst retaining the potential to achieve many of the Marine Parade gains without the expense of Option 1.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I support the changes proposed to Marine Parade in Option 2. The beautification of the west sidewalk to the north of Brighton Mall will do nothing for the built-environment mess that is alongside it. It would be like trying to gild a sow’s ear. The side entrance to Countdown and the other buildings along that block have no right to exist on such a prominent frontage - but they do and are likely to for many years to come. I do not support the full closure of Marine Parade other than temporarily. Marine Parade forms an important linkage for road cyclists that have crossed into Brighton on Bridge Street and are heading towards Bower Ave and other destinations to the north.

I have been visiting New Brighton mall with my children for many years and witnessed the changes that have been made previously to improve this area, in particular the mall. It is self-evident that these changes have been ineffective else the current proposal would not be necessary. It is disappointing to see, therefore, that the current proposal is based on the same thinking that gave birth to the previous attempts. One of the, if not the singular, problems with New Brighton pedestrian mall is the near alignment of the mall with the prevailing wind. Combine this with the predominant tilt-slab architecture and it produces a wind tunnel that discourages ‘parading’ and eliminates any desire to sit and eat or drink. In some respects these features (sic) also blighted Cassel Mall in the CBD. The unfortunate circumstances of the earthquakes allowed the fortuitous experimentation of the container mall and this showed
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9050</td>
<td>Vic Allen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not worth spending money on narrowing Marine Pde, as it works ok now and this wouldn’t help to draw more people to the area. The main issue is how to create the environment where interesting unique funky seaside retailers will want to do business and which will attract people and especially visitors with spending power. The proposed design doesn’t seem to be informed by any overall vision or strategy for revitalisation - what will New Brighton village be known for? How differentiated from Sumner? Why would people want to go there?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9053</td>
<td>Gina Hubert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9074</td>
<td>Craig MacKay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9103</td>
<td>Arthur Grieve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9135</td>
<td>Rosie Lamb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9146</td>
<td>Jeyne Mark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9205</td>
<td>Tom Worters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9208</td>
<td>Todd Carines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

regeneration as a whole rather than just one aspect. In the future with the right investment by CCC and government this area should be an asset to the city and as more people visit there should be the opportunity to pedestrianise the area, may a plan initially where it happens at weekends and public holidays only.

Spend more money on the Mall and leave the road alone.

I think it is important to make the mall a place that is clean, and inviting for visitors therefore I think it is a bigger priority to clean and tidy up the mall rather than the street.

New Brighton is in desperate need of improvement, it has a huge undeveloped potential and has been completely neglected. All of the proposals, Children’s Playground, Hot Salt Water Pools, and improvements to the Mall and Marine Parade are great, BUT without actual improvements to the Mall area including the appearance of the Mall, moving and improving Countdown and enticing retailers shops, cafes and restaurants into the area New Brighton will still struggle.

I fully support both options, and would love to see option 1 accomplished, however I think for the redevelopment of the commercial core of New Brighton Option 2 is more important. I would like to see that section of Marine parade closed off in the future or during events to encourage pedestrian movement.

But the demolition of the Westpac Bank is unnecessary. Save Mart building is in ALIGNMENT of Keppel Street and will be wide enough. The direct line of Oram Ave is 20 metres West of Keppel St. This will create an extremely dangerous intersection. Please do not put lives at risk, when with good planning the roads can align creating a 4 way intersection; not two Tee intersections overlapping. Thank you. This will save money also: Supermarket needs to go West; Palms need cleaning up. Trimming, remove epiphytes!

Thank you to ReGen, DCL and the speakers at the Public meeting at the New Brighton Club on Wednesday night. Having listened intently to the topics covered, I would like to make the following recommendations:

1. That Rawhiti Domain and Golf Course are removed from any proposals for a ‘Land Swap’.
2. That the Surf Club move south as far as the centre of the ‘North Ramp’. This will not obstruct the views from the NBC over the road to the same degree. It will also allow parking closer to pools and playground.
3. When the Surf Club moves to its better location, remove sand dunes to the North incorporating its site; to install a continuation of the North Ramp Car Park. I don’t think there will be anywhere near enough car parks for the future as it sits now.
4. The roading plan looks like it will gobble up a large chunk of the $3.1m. Resulting in a lesser mall upgrade. I think fencing to stop children running across our ‘Key Arterial of Marine Parade’, would be a necessary priority. Another crossing could be added when the Supermarket relocates, that would be when a better building, likely apartments above business, would go in.
PS: I have a promenade proposal on Evospace `Shore to Shore Promenade, New Brighton Chapter 3 proposal 6. See attachment

9219 Dianne Lowe
I like the idea of making Marine Parade narrower and restricting traffic flows as Option 1 appears to show, however I firmly believe that the Pedestrian Mall needs to be the main focus to getting business and people back into New Brighton. If the intersection changes are designed to get traffic down Beresford and Hawke Streets then please ensure the car parking areas currently there are not encroached upon, since without easily accessible car parking and quick pedestrian access to the mall all other changes will become pointless.

More lighting, seating and activities in the evening would be of benefit to those of us who enjoy walking in the environment and the surface needs to be safe for walking in all weathers and easy to push wheelchairs and prams.

9245 Les Overend
Keep the pedestrian crossing and put chains along the road so people have to use the crossing. The present design works well provided people keep to the crossing. Allowing them full use from Hawke to Beresford Sts will create mayhem.

9251 Ashley Crook
Option two should be closed to traffic between certain times

9252 Annette & Tony Clayton

9255 Abigail Dyer

9256 Sharon Wright

9257 David Wright

9260 E E Carines (Marine Parade)
Leave the road as it is, and fence off the pools and playground from the road. People will enter both, from car parks and Seaview Rd (Mall). This would make the road safe for pedestrians. The way, Tee intersections are drawn on plans make the roadway to narrow.

9261 Rob Halliday
Marine Parade is a busy link from Nth & Sth Brighton and to close it off would cut the tow areas off from one another. I support option 2 as the road/parking would stay basically the same and would hope that the alterations at Hawke & Beresford intersections will not be too severe. Slowing down traffic in this area to 30kph is fine. More money spent on the Brighton mall would be better spent than on Marine Parade. Also some pressure from CCC on land owners in Brighton to fix or demolish unused buildings.

9265 Claire Mateo
The existing car park be used to build a parking building. The supermarket shift south to make the whole area recreational

9267 Richard Lapthorne
The street-side seating on the road side near Beresford St is silly as any views are blocked by library & sea wall to the east. Put additional seating in the Mall area and/or by the sea wall. Or for specific events

9278 Irene Boles
As a resident who chose to live in the East I am very grateful to see all these implementation works of the public spaces taking place. I am convinced that our part of the city has the potential to become a little jewel for all the Chch residents, with its abundance of outdoor activities options, easy access from the City and diverse, inclusive and creative local community. I support option 2 essentially as it implies less works and leaves more funds for further improvement of the rest of the Mall area.

I believe Marine Parade works quite well already and the 30kmph speed would be very beneficial just by itself to improve connectivity. I strongly believe there are other areas that need more focus, rather than this.

First of all would be the massive carpark that servers the supermarket/dress mart and the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9297</td>
<td>Jan Watkinson</td>
<td>Love the idea of being more pedestrian friendly. Love the trees down the mall. A lot of the shops and parking areas need to be revamped, some look derelict. Can you get rid of that horrible wave bar on the corner, I often go to the supermarket and have to walk past and those horrible drunks yell out all the time, my son needed to go to the bathroom once it was the closest place as library was closed. I went in and asked if he could please borrow the bathroom and the lady working there said he could, but she wouldn’t recommend it as they are so dirty she would worry he’d catch something. Those were exact words. Do we really want that kind of hospitality in new Brighton, bring in nicer family friendly places like the cafe next door or the one opposite pierside I think it’s called that has the restaurant as well. Definitely bring in more family friendly activities to Brighton, I wouldn’t need to take my kids elsewhere that way. .....like we do now.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9308</td>
<td>Annette Bolton</td>
<td>Drop the speed limit unless there is an alternative way for people to cross such as overhead walkway or underpass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9311</td>
<td>Daran Buckland</td>
<td>The Marine Parade is not that bad currently - it is the Mall where the money needs to be spent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9315</td>
<td>Kathryn Queere</td>
<td>I think that there should still be short term (5 minute) parking available close to the library to allow quick access to take-away shops &amp; library. I don’t think removal of the central barrier is a good idea as it gives pedestrians a safe place to stand while crossing the road. Revitalisation of the mall is more important than changing the road layout. Wind barriers &amp; sun shelters would also enhance the mall area (around the planned new seating)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9346</td>
<td>Alison Ohs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9371</td>
<td>Cameron Bradley</td>
<td>The real issue with Brighton at the moment is the dozens of empty shops and now the empty school. A real visionary approach would be acquire as much of this land as possible and make a plan for housing - much like what was done with the old Sydenham school site of the corner of Colombo and Brougham sts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9391</td>
<td>Yannick Carpentier</td>
<td>It would be great if you think about slowing preventing the wind funnel when the nor’easter down the pedestrian road. It makes it quite disagreeable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9429</td>
<td>Ben Hofmans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9465</td>
<td>Christine Ann Gillman</td>
<td>It needs to have protection from the wind. Hope it happens next year as sick of waiting and fillings out forms and nothing happens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9467</td>
<td>Robyn Ladd</td>
<td>Want it to happen asap. Waited too long.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9469</td>
<td>Owen Davidson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9470</td>
<td>Gaye Meffan</td>
<td>Would like to know where buses would go?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9472</td>
<td>Sean Ladd</td>
<td>Been waiting for a long time for something to happen. The sooner the better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9514</td>
<td>Don Fraser</td>
<td>Also ticked I support closing this section of Marine Parade if Oram Avenue is extended to Hawke Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9517</td>
<td>Nicola Leech</td>
<td>Definitely more lighting!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9605</td>
<td>Larry Jones</td>
<td>Make New Brighton China town of Ch.Ch just a thought</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9871</td>
<td>Jeanie Murtagh</td>
<td>I would also like to see the car parking on beach front gone and that area used for recreational businesses/cafes, etc. Cars can easily park on Marine Parade instead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Submissions – no preference stated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9102</td>
<td>Kevin Cain</td>
<td>Marine Parade between Hawke St &amp; Beresford St for car parking, but not opposite Mall. That area could be controlled by large wind reducing trees or structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9104</td>
<td>P. Russell Stokes</td>
<td>I live on the corner of Marine Parade &amp; Hawke Street. I am flexible on what you decide. The only thing is, I do not, NOT want any trees planted outside my property in Hawke Street or on the Parade. Remember what I have written NO trees!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9266</td>
<td>Y. Read</td>
<td>More lighting - similar to the yellow lighting to the north of the mall along Marine Parade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9516</td>
<td>Matthew Leech</td>
<td>I have decided to combine my response to the three main areas discussed at the Public Meeting held at the New Brighton Club on 1st November. I hope this doesn’t compromise the usefulness of my comments. I have lived in New Brighton since 2004 and have fond memories of the area as it used to be. I have visited several of the seaside towns and resort in the UK and presume that this is the type of atmosphere we are trying to create. Redevelopment of the foreshore and mall. The main issue to redevelopment of the area is to create a space the people would prefer to visit because these days they have many choices. Sitting outside having a coffee or meal in the teeth of an Easterly wind is no joke so I think that any development along those lines needs to consider the area behind the Pier Side building which is currently a fairly rough car park. If there are plans to move the supermarket, this idea could extend towards Hawke St. A workable wind break is essential. I don’t think traffic restrictions on Marine Parade will achieve much and is only delaying the inevitable. The area should be closed to traffic and a loop established connecting Hawke St and Beresford St. at either Owles Tce. Or at a new road running through the gap between the Grace Church and Storer Motors and where the school swimming pool used to be. Looking at the mall as it stands now, the main commercial area is from Oram Ave back to Hardy St. I wouldn’t like to see that park of the mall overlooked just because it is set back from Marine Parade. Clearly some money will need to be spent to tidy up the mall, but that in itself will not bring people to the area. On the question of car parking, if the area is ever going to attract the numbers that some of the other malls, like The Palms, or Westfield then notice needs to be taken of the size of car park area they have. In the normal course of the weekend, the car park off Hawke St is pretty full, and I would expect this to increase hugely if the New Brighton Development took off. Resurfacing of that car park is still not complete. Saltwater heated pool Prior to the meeting, I was all for the pool. But several issues came up that have changed my mind. It was proposed that the pool(s) would not be fully enclosed. That makes no sense to me. Why would someone travel all the way to New Brighton and pay to be in a pool in the freezing cold or blazing sun when only 50m or so away they could be in the sea for free? The pools would certainly be popular with the local Sea Gull population.... Yuk! It was mentioned that $11M had been earmarked for the development but when the money ran out the site would close down awaiting the next year’s allocation of funds. Sorry but only a couple of kilometres away is a $37M pool complex due to open next year. It seemed that tied in with that was a suggestion to move the Surf Club down towards the Pier. Someone at the meeting made the point that this would have the effect of mixing bathers with surfers. On that point alone I think the club rooms should be rebuilt where they are now. I’m not against increasing business close to the shore by the pier, so how about continuing the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“English” pier model and using the space for ice creams and fish and chip pop up shops? And further to the pier, I am all for a lower gallery for the fishermen. The current arrangement is messy, even intimidating for prominaders and is therefore totally opposed to the reason for recreating the pier in the first place.

Rawhiti land swap and redevelopment of red zone land
I live on the edge of Rawhiti domain looking over the main playing fields. I don’t believe that redeveloping the golf course would disadvantage me at all. I only make that point to show that I am not being territorial in what follows. Keeping the golf course intact is also probably not viable purely on the basis that maybe half the resident Monarch butterflies will be rendered homeless.
What is important is the share size of the total Rawhiti reserve. It is all too easy to carve off bits, but once they are gone, they are lost for recreation. I would like to see a purpose build sports and events centre (maybe instead of a salt water pool) that could be used by all the sports clubs that use the area and feel that could become a venue that would attract people from outside that area. I don’t have an issue with Waimari golf club setting up on red zone land. If New Brighton is to acquire an attraction that will get it back to where it was in past years, it needs something unique. A salt water pool won’t do it. The only thing that seems to have presented so far is the Eden project currently destined for the Avon Loop. There is a massive land area immediately south of Pages Rd and a similar loop in the Avon River opposite the Withells Island Reserve. Why not put it there.

Attachments

8776
Sketch attachment showing direct alignment with Keppel Street.

Reference: 19/299657
Presenter(s): Katie MacDonald – Community Support Officer
Natalie Dally – Community Development Advisor

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is for the Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board to consider an application for funding from its 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund from the organisation listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Request Number</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
<th>Amount Recommended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00058924</td>
<td>Chisnallwood Intermediate</td>
<td>Graduate Jazz Band Trip to Music in the Summer Air – Shanghai Youth Summer Music Camp 2019</td>
<td>$1500</td>
<td>$1500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2 There is currently a balance of $29,136.00 remaining in the fund

2. Staff Recommendations

That the Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board:

1. Approves a grant of $1,500 from its 2018/19 Discretionary Response Fund to the Chisnallwood Intermediate School towards the Chisnallwood Intermediate Graduate Jazz Band Trip to Music in the Summer Air – Shanghai Youth Summer Music Camp 2019, being $250 each for Rosa Jugovac, Dylan Jonkers, Alexus Masiu, Sarah McCaffrey, Joshua Murray and Tamatoa Tuhou. The granted funds are to be used towards the costs of their flights and are not to be used for any supervisory staff, coaching tuition or management costs.

3. Key Points

Issue or Opportunity

3.1 To support funding for a group of youth to attend a Summer Music Camp.

Strategic Alignment

3.2 The recommendation is strongly aligned to the Strategic Framework and in particular the strategic priority of the Coastal-Burwood Community Board, "enabling active citizenship and connected communities." It will provide an opportunity for this group of youth to connect with other music students.

Decision Making Authority

3.3 Determine the allocation of the Discretionary Response Fund for each community.
3.4 Allocations must be consistent with any policies, standards or criteria adopted by the Council.

3.5 The Fund does not cover:

- Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled organisations or Community Board decisions
- Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project or that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council (though Community Boards can recommend to the Council that it consider a grant for this purpose).

**Assessment of Significance and Engagement**

3.6 The decision(s) in this report is of low significance in relation to the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.

3.7 The level of significance was determined by the number of people affected and/or with an interest.

3.8 Due to the assessment of low significance, no further community engagement and consultation is required.

**Discussion**

3.9 At the time of writing, the balance of the 2018/19 Coastal-Burwood Discretionary Response Fund is as below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Budget 2018/19</th>
<th>Granted To Date</th>
<th>Available for allocation</th>
<th>Balance If Staff Recommendation adopted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$93,886</td>
<td>$64,750</td>
<td>$29,136</td>
<td>$27,636</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.10 Based on the current Discretionary Response Fund criteria, the application listed above is eligible for funding.

3.11 The Coastal-Burwood Community Board at its meeting of 20 August 2018 established a maximum grant of up to $1,500 for Youth group/team applications.

**4. Application Details**

**Group Application Information**

4.1 Chisnallwood Intermediate Graduate Jazz Band Trip to MISA (Music in the Summer Air) Shanghai Youth Summer Music Camp 2019 will take place from 5 to 14 July 2019.

4.2 Chisnallwood Intermediate were offered an opportunity to bring a group of Jazz Band students to attend the Summer Music Camp.

4.3 The Chisnallwood Graduate Band has been created for this trip. With students invited to take part who have performed to a very high standard. There is a mixture of current and graduate students in the band.

4.4 Students will rehearse and perform at a number of concerts as both the Chisnallwood Graduate Band and as part of an international combined band. They will attend workshops, collaborate with other International music groups and explore the food, language and culture of China.

4.5 Current and graduate students were invited to attend by the schools musical director. Musicians were selected according to their proficiency of specific instruments required to make up the group.
4.6 There is a cost of $3007.23 per student to attend this trip, with the school invoicing each student $2950. The elements of the costing per person are detailed in the below table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENSES (Per Person)</th>
<th>Cost ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>$62.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flights (Air New Zealand)</td>
<td>$1635.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visa</td>
<td>$140.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Festival Entry</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Expenses</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3007.23</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.7 The students as part of the money they are required to pay will subsidise the cost of the teachers to attend, each of the elements above contain a contribution to those costs for the teachers to attend. The recommendation of staff is to grant money towards the costs of the student’s flights only as staff costs are not eligible for funding.

4.8 The group of students have participated in the following fundraising activities:
- Sausage sizzles and cupcake sales.
- Concerts.
- Musical bingo.
- Raffles.
- A movie night.

4.9 There has been approximately $1151 raised from the group fundraising activities so far.

4.10 The fundraising activities have been organised by both the school, parents and musical director.

4.11 There are a total of 14 students/graduates of Chisnallwood intermediate attending this trip along with four members of staff.
- The staff are not eligible for any Christchurch City Council funding support.
- Two students were not eligible for any youth development funding support as one was over the age limit for the relevant fund for the area they live in and one student no longer lives in Christchurch.
- Three students were invited to submit individual applications to the Papanui-Innes Positive Youth Development Scheme.
- Three students were invited to submit individual applications to the Linwood-Central-Heathcote Youth Development Fund.
- There were six students who were identified as residing in the Coastal-Burwood Community Board area. Each of these students meet the age criteria of the Coastal-Burwood Youth Development Fund. The school was invited to submit a group application on their behalf.

4.12 At the Board’s meeting on 20 August 2018 the Board established a criteria that; "A group/team will constitute four or more participants from within the Board area attending the same event activity." This threshold has been met and the Board is, under this report, considering the group application from their Discretionary Response Fund as per the established guidelines.

**Individual Youth Information**

4.13 Rosa Jugovac
Rosa is 12 year old student who plays the flute and tin whistle. 
- Rosa was a member of the Christchurch School of Music Orchestra for three years. 
- Rosa also plays hockey for the Harewood Hockey Club. 
- Rosa participated in the group fundraising activities listed in point 4.6 as well as an individual car wash fundraiser.

4.14 Dylan Jonkers
- Dylan is a 14 year old student who plays trombone and bass. 
- Dylan is a member of the Burnside High School National award winning Big Band. 
- Dylan is also involved in a band outside of school and occasionally busks in New Brighton where he sings and plays the piano. 
- Dylan is involved in drama and is currently attending classes at the Court Theatre. 
- Dylan participated in the group fundraising activities listed in point 4.6.

4.15 Alexus Masiu
- Alexus is a 13 year old student who plays the saxophone. 
- Alexus played the violin in the Chisnallwood Orchestra for her two years of attendance there. 
- Alexus also participates in football, hockey and art activities alongside piano and guitar lessons. 
- Alexus participated in the group fundraising activities listed in point 4.6.

4.16 Sarah McCaffrey
- Sarah is a 13 year old student who plays the saxophone and bass. 
- Sarah is heavily involved in music activities including being invited to play with Sideline Swing and participating in the music team at church/youth group. 
- Sarah is also involved in the sport of trampolining and current trains three times a week. Sarah qualified in 2018 to compete at the National trampolining event. 
- Sarah participated in the group fundraising activities listed in point 4.6 as well as individual fundraising activities including a car wash, busking and selling of items such as clothes and books.

4.17 Joshua Murray
- Joshua is a 13 year old student who plays the drums. 
- Joshua is currently a member of both the junior and senior jazz bands at Shirley Boys High School. 
- Outside of school Joshua is a member of a rock band called Ricochet. 
- Joshua plays both cricket and hockey. 
- Joshua participated in the group fundraising activities listed in point 4.6 as well as an individual car wash fundraiser.

4.18 Tamatoa Tuhou
- Tamatoa is a 16 year old student who plays the saxophone. 
- When at Chisnallwood Tamatoa was given an award for 'Outstanding improvement, contribution and musicianship', along with the top award for saxophone. 
- Tamatoa was part of the award winning Jazzquest group in 2015 and 2016. 
- Tamatoa received excellence in Music in both Year 9 and Year 11, was awarded the Defyd Band Cup in 2017 and the LD Amos Cup for most outstanding bandsman in 2018. 
- Tamatoa participated in the group fundraising activities listed in point 4.6.
4.16 None of the six youth considered as part of this group application have applied to the Coastal-Burwood Community Board for funding previously.

Attachments
There are no attachments to this report.

Confirmation of Statutory Compliance

| Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002). |
| (a) This report contains: |
| (i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and |
| (ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement. |
| (b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council’s significance and engagement policy. |

Signatories

| Authors | Katie MacDonald - Community Support Officer |
|         | Natalie Dally - Community Development Advisor |
| Approved By | Jo Wells - Manager Community Governance, Coastal-Burwood |

Reference: 19/338320
Presenter(s): Katie MacDonald – Community Support Officer

1. Purpose of Report
   1.1 The purpose of this report is for the Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board to consider an application received for funding from its 2018/19 Youth Development Fund.
   1.2 This report is to assist the Board to consider an application of funding from Reuben Ngariki.
   1.3 There is currently a balance of $2,400 remaining in this fund.

2. Staff Recommendations
   That the Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board resolve to:
   1. Approve a grant of $500 from its 2018/19 Youth Development Fund to Reuben Ngariki towards the costs of his flights to attend the Kids World Rugby Festival in Yokohama Japan from 17 April to 23 April 2019. The granted funds are not to be used for any supervisory staff, coaching, tuition or management costs.

3. Key Points

   Issue or Opportunity
   3.1 To offer funding support to Reuben Ngariki aged 12, living in the Coastal-Burwood Community Board area to attend the Kids World Rugby Festival in Yokohama Japan from 17 April to 23 April 2019.

   Strategic Alignment
   3.2 Investing in our youth to develop leadership, cultural competence and success in their chosen field builds the capacity of our city’s youth, our future adults. In doing so we increase the likelihood of these youths contributing to developing a vibrant, prosperous and sustainable 21st century city; one of the council’s six Strategic Priorities. The recommendations contained in this report are based on this principle.

   Decision Making Authority
   3.3 Determine the allocation of the discretionary Response Fund for each community (including any allocation towards a Youth Development Fund).
   3.4 Allocations must be consistent with any policies, standards or criteria adopted by the Council
   3.5 The Fund does not cover:
      - Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled organisations or Community Board decisions
      - Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project or that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council (though Community Boards can recommend to the Council that it consider a grant for this purpose).
Assessment of Significance and Engagement

3.6 The decision(s) in this report is of low significance in relation to the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.

3.7 The level of significance was determined by the number of people affected and/or with an interest.

3.8 Due to the assessment of low significance, no further community engagement and consultation is required.

4. Applicant 1 – Reuben Ngariki

4.1 Event seeking support for: Kids World Rugby Festival, Yokohama Japan, 17-23 April 2019.

4.2 This is the first time the applicant has applied for funding.

4.3 Reuben is a 12 year old primary school student.

4.4 Reuben plays for the Christchurch Rugby Football Club who are sending an under 12 rugby team to represent New Zealand at the World Rugby Festival in Yokohama Japan from 15 to 23 April 2019.

4.5 There were a total of 40 players eligible to represent the team attending the festival. Players were picked for the team first by an expression of interest to take part and then by skill level.

4.6 The team will be representing New Zealand at the festival as a result of winning the Under 10/11 grade at the New Zealand Festival held in Queenstown in 2018.

4.7 Reuben is part of the physical active leadership team at his school alongside participating in Kapa Haka, PEER support and being a house captain.

4.8 Reuben participates in a number of sports including; rugby, touch rugby, athletics, basketball, swimming and water skiing. Reuben has represented Canterbury in touch rugby, competed in the central zones for swimming and the regional zones for Canterbury Athletics.

4.9 The team of players and their families have undertaken a significant amount of fundraising activities. Reuben and his family have participated in all the group fundraising activities listed in the table below. The group fundraising amounts were not able to be broken down to an individual level/amount. The group fundraising is ongoing and once all funds are finalised, it will be evenly distributed back to the parents of the boys.

4.10 There are a total of five management and coaching staff attending with the team. They are all paying their own way.

4.11 All accommodation, food and transportation costs in Japan are being covered by the Japan Rugby Union.

4.12 The following table provides a breakdown of the costs for Reuben to attend the Kids World Rugby Festival in Yokohama Japan from 17 to 23 April 2018:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENSES</th>
<th>Cost ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flights</td>
<td>$2615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Insurance</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2715</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUP FUNDRAISING (as at 27 March 2019)</th>
<th>Cost ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raffles</td>
<td>$3,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car Wash</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Traffic Control $500
BBQ’s $1800

Total $6600

REMAINING $2715

Attachments
There are no attachments to this report.

Confirmation of Statutory Compliance
Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).
(a) This report contains:
   (i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and
   (ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.
(b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council's significance and engagement policy.

Signatories
Authors
Katie MacDonald - Community Support Officer
Jacqui Miller - Community Recreation Advisor
Natalie Dally - Community Development Advisor
Heather Davies - Community Development Advisor

Approved By
Jo Wells - Manager Community Governance, Coastal-Burwood

Reference: 19/356373
Presenter(s): Natalie Dally - Community Development Advisor

1. Purpose of Report
1.1 The purpose of this report is for the Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board to consider applications for funding from its 2018-19 Discretionary Response Fund as listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Request Number</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
<th>Amount Recommended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00058874</td>
<td>Neighbourhood Trust</td>
<td>Parenting Week 2019</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00058245</td>
<td>Coastal-Burwood Community Board</td>
<td>Coastal-Burwood Community Board Thank You Event</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2 There is currently a balance of $27,636.00 remaining in the fund

2. Staff Recommendations
That the Waitai/Coastal-Burwood Community Board:
1. Approves a grant of $3,500 from its 2018-19 Discretionary Response Fund to the Neighbourhood Trust towards the costs of delivering Parenting Week.
2. Approves a grant of $6,000 from its 2018-19 Discretionary Response Fund towards the Coastal-Burwood Community Board Thank You Event.

3. Key Points

Issue or Opportunity
3.1 To consider providing funding support to two community projects benefiting people from the Coastal-Burwood ward areas.

Strategic Alignment
3.2 The recommendations are strongly aligned to the Strategic Framework and in particular the Community Outcome of, "Strong Communities", through a, "Strong sense of community" and the strategic priority of, "Enabling active citizenship and connected communities."
3.3 The recommendations also align to the 2017-19 Coastal-Burwood Community Board Plan's objective of, "Engaged Communities Through Participation".

Decision Making Authority
3.4 Determine the allocation of the Discretionary Response Fund for each community
3.5 Allocations must be consistent with any policies, standards or criteria adopted by the Council
3.6 The Fund does not cover:
Item 11

- Legal challenges or Environment Court challenges against the Council, Council Controlled organisations or Community Board decisions
- Projects or initiatives that change the scope of a Council project or that will lead to ongoing operational costs to the Council (though Community Boards can recommend to the Council that it consider a grant for this purpose).

Assessment of Significance and Engagement

3.7 The decisions in this report are of low significance in relation to the Christchurch City Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.
3.8 The level of significance was determined by the number of people affected and/or with an interest.
3.9 Due to the assessment of low significance, no further community engagement and consultation is required.

Discussion

3.10 At the time of writing, the balance of the 2018-19 Discretionary Response Fund is as below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Budget 2017/18</th>
<th>Granted To Date</th>
<th>Available for allocation</th>
<th>Balance If Staff Recommendations are adopted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$93,886</td>
<td>$66,250</td>
<td>$27,636</td>
<td>$22,136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.11 Based on the current Discretionary Response Fund criteria, the application listed above is eligible for funding.
3.12 The attached Decision Matrix provides detailed information for the application. This includes organisational details, project details, financial information and a staff assessment.

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Coastal Burwood Community Board 2018-19 Discretionary Response Fund Decision Matrix - Community Board Thank You Event and Neighbourhood Trust Parenting Week</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Confirmation of Statutory Compliance

Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).
(a) This report contains:
   (i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and
   (ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.
(b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council’s significance and engagement policy.
### Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Natalie Dally - Community Development Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved By</td>
<td>Jo Wells - Manager Community Governance, Coastal-Burwood</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2018/19 DRF COASTAL-BURWOOD DECISION MATRIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation Name</th>
<th>Name and Description</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>Contribution Sought Towards</th>
<th>Staff Recommendation</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coastal-Burwood Community Board</td>
<td>Coastal-Burwood Community Board Thank You Event</td>
<td>$6,000 Requested</td>
<td>Event Costs (venue catering etc.) - $6,000</td>
<td>That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board resolve to approve the making of a grant of $6,000 from its 2018-19 Discretionary Response Fund towards the cost of the Coastal-Burwood Community Board Thank You Event.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Organisation Details
- **Service Base:**
- **Legal Status:**
- **Established:**
- **Target Groups:**
- **Annual Volunteer Hours:**
- **Participants:**

### Alignment with Council Strategies
- Strengthening Communities
- Board Objective: the Board will promote lifelong learning opportunities and recognise achievements.
- Board Plan: the Coastal-Burwood area will have a range of events, initiatives and facilities that build community connectedness, happiness and health.

### Other Sources of Funding
- **None**

### Staff Assessment
- Groups within the Board area undertake a significant amount of paid and unpaid services, supports and programmes contributing substantially to the wellbeing and connectedness of members of the community. The Community Board wish to acknowledge the work undertaken by not for profit groups in the area at an event to thank them.
- Certificates of recognition will be presented by Community Board members at the thank you event and it will be an opportunity for organisations to share what they do and connect with others present. Recognising community efforts in this way fosters community involvement and supports an enhanced sense of community.
- Light refreshments and music will also be available at the event.
- Funding is sought towards certificates, catering, venue hire and other event associated costs.
# 2018/19 DRF COASTAL-BURWOOD DECISION MATRIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes significantly to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td>Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other fund sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Item 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation Name</th>
<th>Organisation Details</th>
<th>Name and Description</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>Contribution Sought Towards</th>
<th>Staff Recommendation</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neighbourhood Trust</td>
<td>Service Base: Neighbourhood Trust - McFaddens Road</td>
<td>Split x3 Parenting Week 2019</td>
<td>$36,500</td>
<td>Coordinator wages $2,500, Speakers Fees-Costs $3,000, Venue hire $1,500, Expo costs $1,500, Administration $400, Printing/Promotion/Advertisements $5,600, Volunteer expenses $500</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Legal Status: Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Parenting Week will run from 9th to 19th September 2019. It is a mix of seminars, workshops, and open days on all facets of being a parent from parenting skills to budgeting and nutrition.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Established: 19/05/1999</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>(41% requested)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target Groups: Parents, caregivers, children and Youth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual Volunteer Hours: 5950</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participants: 2,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Sources of Funding
- Rata and Lotteries - $5,000 (confirmed)
- M.S.D. - $5,000 (pending)
- Archer Trust - $3,500 (pending)
- Sponsorship - $5,000 (Pending)
- Registration Fees - $3,000

### Staff Assessment
This project is recommended as a Priority One due to its strong alignment to the Funding Outcomes and Priorities and contribution to building resilient communities. Parenting Week has been coordinated by the Neighbourhood Trust every second year since 2008 with thousands of people benefiting from it and dozens of organisations partnering with NHT. It involves the delivery of a mix of seminars, workshops, and expos on all facets of being a parent from parenting skills to budgeting and nutrition.

Parenting Week offers parents support and encouragement and give them confidence to deal with everyday parenting issues. It is about recognising and valuing parents/caregivers and helping them become aware of support available. Parenting Week 2017 saw over 2,200 people attend a variety of seminars and workshops on various facets of parenting such as dealing with difficult behaviour, teens, parenting children with special needs, cyber-safety, and family cooking and budgeting.

Parenting Week is a highly collaborative project, with many organisations partnering with each other to run events during the week. Twenty-three organisations have already agreed to run or host activities including, Mental Health Education & Resources Centre, The Nutrition Room, All right?, Belfast Community Network, The Collaborative Trust, Te Ora Hou, Pregnancy Help, Plunket, Waipuna, Youth Alive Trust, Brainwave Trust, The Parenting Place, Te Puawaitanga ki Otahuhu Trust.

Parenting Week connects with existing organisations and supports the activities they believe will be most valuable to their community. It supports their capacity to put on a seminar/workshop/event, under-girding it with administration, promotion.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Request 00058874 Continued</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016/17</td>
<td>$53,000 (Operational) KLP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016/17</td>
<td>$3,750 (Mairehau Community Day) SGF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016/17</td>
<td>$2,750 (Community Light Party) SGF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016/17</td>
<td>$4,000 (Holiday Programme) DRF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

materials and advertising. The mana of Parenting Week creates attention to their activity, and they are able to leverage other programmes off this. The Trust is currently in the process of confirming speakers and matching seminars offered with host organisations and venues. They will work with Council staff to identify venues and activities targeting parents in the Coastal-Burwood area.

Parenting has become even more challenging post-earthquakes, with difficult behaviours in children, and sleep disruptions. Research from University of Canterbury Associate Professor Kathleen Liberty has found that as many as four in five Christchurch primary schoolers are exhibiting symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Parenting Week gives parents the opportunity to be resourced with new skills and methods to deal with these issues. All activities are community based and low-cost (many will be free) to avoid any barriers to parents attending.

The total amount requested is being split between the Papanui-Innes, Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood, and Coastal-Burwood Community Boards as these are the areas where the majority of events will be taking place. Both Papanui-Innes and Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood staff have recommended $3,500 from each Board in support of the project.
12. Elected Members’ Information Exchange

This item provides an opportunity for Board Members to update each other on recent events and/or issues of relevance and interest to the Board.