

Christchurch City Council
MINUTES ATTACHMENTS

Date: Wednesday 14 February 2024
Time: 9.30 am
Venue: Council Chambers, Civic Offices,
53 Hereford Street, Christchurch

TABLE OF CONTENTS NGĀ IHIRANGI	PAGE
3.14. Secretarial Notes: Overview of the draft Long-Term Plan 2024-2034 meeting process	
A. Carried Resolutions.....	3
B. Carried Councillor Amendments.....	7
C. Proposed Councillor Amendments (including changes made prior to, or during the meeting)	19

Secretarial Note: *The purpose of this Attachment is to provide the carried resolutions of the Council meeting on 14 February to 14 March 2024 (excluding any carried Councillor amendments) relating to the adoption of the draft Long-Term Plan 2024-2034 for consultation (refer to the Minutes of the meeting for the official voting records).*

That the Council:

1. Receives the information contained and referred to in the draft Long-Term Plan 2024-2034 report and the attached documents, including the draft Consultation Document, Financial Strategy and Infrastructure Strategy.
2. Notes the recommendations of the Council's Audit and Risk Management Committee at its meeting on 8 February 2024 as follows:
 - a. That the Audit and Risk Management Committee recommends that the Council:
 - b. Be advised that in the Committee's opinion an appropriate process has been followed in the preparation of Long-Term Plan 2024-34 information and notes that at this point in time (8 February 2024) the Council has elected not to have the Consultation Document audited.
3. Provisionally approves and adopts for consultation the information contained or referred to in the draft Long-Term Plan 2024-2034 report which provides the basis for the draft Long-Term Plan 2024-34, together with any amendments made by resolution at the meeting and:
 - a. Subject to the inclusion of the Auditor-General's report required by s.93C(4) of the Local Government Act (LGA) 2002, which will be supplied to the Council meeting on 11 March 2024, at which point formal adoption of the information that provides the basis for the Council's draft Long-Term Plan, Consultation Document and audit report can occur.
 - b. Notes that the Council complies with the Local Government Act 2002 as a consequence of the Water Services Acts Repeal Act 2024 commencing on 17 February 2024.
4. Provisionally approves and adopts the supporting technical documents, including draft Activity Plans and Asset Management Plans (as detailed in 4i – 4xix below), and:
 - a. Subject to the inclusion of the Auditor-General's report required by s.93C(4) of the Local Government Act (LGA) 2002, which will be supplied to the Council meeting on 11 March 2024, at which point formal adoption of the information of the information that provides the basis for the Council's draft Long-Term Plan, Consultation Document and audit report can occur.
 - b. Notes that the Council complies with the Local Government Act 2002 as a consequence of the Water Services Acts Repeal Act 2024 commencing on 17 February 2024.

Supporting technical documents, including draft Activity Plans and Asset Management Plans

- i. Draft Activity Plans and Draft Asset Management Plans
- ii. Draft Long-term Plan 2024- 34 documents
- iii. Financial Overview

- iv. Funding Impact Statement, including Rating Information
 - v. Financial Prudence Benchmarks
 - vi. Community Outcomes and Strategic Framework
 - vii. Summary of Grants
 - viii. Proposed Activities and Services - Statements of Service Provision
 - ix. Proposed Capital Programme
 - x. Proposed Fees and Charges, as attached to the Agenda Additional Documents published on 23 February 2024, noting the updates relate to:
 - Hagley Parking – the addition of the GST component to the proposed fee which is now \$4.60/three-hour period.
 - Library Hold Fees – the removal of the Library Hold Fee following the Council’s guidance in December 2023.
 - Note that Animal Management charges (including dog registration) are to be removed from the attachment, as these fees are not included in the draft Long-Term Plan, as they are set under separate consultation and will be included in the final Fees and Charges Schedule published with the adopted Long-Term Plan in June 2024.
 - xi. Potential Disposal of Council-owned properties
 - xii. Prospective Financial Statements
 - xiii. Significance and Engagement Policy (unchanged from 2019)
 - xiv. Revenue, Financing and Rating Policy
 - xv. Liability Management Policy and Investment Policy
 - xvi. Council-controlled Organisations
 - xvii. Reserves and Trust Funds
 - xviii. Capital Endowment Fund
 - xix. Notes that the updated Infrastructure Strategy as attached to the Agenda Additional Documents published on 23 February 2024, contains the following changes:
 - Clarification wording to support the work of Audit NZ, to clarify how renewals for Transport and Three Waters are phased and prioritised over the life of the Strategy and provides assurance that required renewals will be met over that period. This update does not change the draft Capital Programme.
 - The addition of technical wording, suggested by Audit NZ, to clarify the role of the National Policy Statement – Urban Development in the creation of the draft Long-Term Plan 2024-34.
5. Provisionally approves and adopts for consultation, the content of the Consultation Document (as detailed in Attachment A of the Additional Documents published on 23 February 2024), which includes the decisions made at this meeting:

- a. Subject to the inclusion of the Auditor-General's report required by s.93C(4) of the Local Government Act (LGA) 2002, which will be supplied to the Council meeting on 11 March 2024, at which point formal adoption of the information that provides the basis for the Council's draft Long-Term Plan, Consultation Document and audit report can occur.
 - b. Instructs the Interim Chief Executive to make changes to the Consultation Document to ensure accuracy and readability of the document incorporating Council and Audit NZ feedback.
 - c. Notes that the Council complies with the Local Government Act 2002 as a consequence of the Water Services Acts Repeal Act 2024 commencing on 17 February 2024.
6. Authorises the Interim Chief Executive to make any non-material changes to the documents and/or information attached to or referred to in the draft Long-Term Plan 2024-2034 report, and as well as amendments that may be required to ensure the documents and/or information align with the Auditor-General's report and as a result of the Water Services Acts Repeal Act 2024.
7. Notes that the list of properties in Attachment D will be consulted upon to seek community views and preferences as to their future use. This will help inform the decision to be made, when adopting the final Long-Term Plan, of retaining them for an alternative public work or declaring them surplus for disposal.
8. Approves the following process for consultation for the draft Long-Term Plan 2024-2034:
 - a. Consultation Document available on the Council's website on 18 March 2024;
 - b. Hard copies of the draft Long-Term Plan 2024-2034 will be available from Te Hononga Civic Offices on 18 March 2024, and available from the Council's libraries and service centres from the week commencing 18 March 2024.
 - c. Public Notices in The Star, The Press, and on the Council's website signalling the start of consultation on 18 March 2024;
 - d. The period for making submissions will run from 9am on 18 March 2024 to 11.59 on 21 April 2024;
 - e. For people who indicate they wish to present oral submissions, hearings will be held from late April through May 2024 (exact dates will be confirmed and communicated to those submitters closer to the time). The following time allocations will apply:
 - i. Community Boards, Ngā Papatipu Rūnanga and other councils – 10 minutes
 - ii. Groups and organisations – 5 minutes
 - iii. Individuals – 5 minutes
 - f. Notes that all submissions will then be considered before the Council meets in late June 2024 to adopt its Long-Term Plan 2024-34.
9. Receives the Auditor-General's Report dated 14 March 2024 on the Council's Consultation Document and the information and assumptions underlying the information provided in the Consultation Document.

10. Authorises the Mayor to sign the Letter of Representation.
11. Having received the Auditor-General's Report:
 - a. Notes that the following documents, as attached to the Agenda Additional Documents published on 13 March 2024 have been amended in accordance with resolutions 5 and 6 above:
 - i. The Consultation Document.
 - ii. The Financial Strategy.
 - iii. The Infrastructure Strategy.
 - iv. List of properties for disposal.
 - v. Proposed Fees and Charges
 - b. Pursuant to Standing Order 19.5 agrees to alter the following previous resolution made at the reconvened meeting on 21 February 2024, as follows:

Resolution 8a-d (CLP/2024/00040)

8. Approves the following process for consultation for the draft Long-Term Plan 2024-2034:

 - a. Consultation Document and draft Long-Term Plan 2024-2034 will be available on the Council's website on 18 March 2024;
 - b. Hard copies of the Consultation Document and draft Long-Term Plan 2024-2034 will be available from Te Hononga Civic Offices on 18 March 2024, and available from the Council's libraries and service centres from the week commencing 18 March 2024.
 - c. Public Notices in *The Star*, *The Press*, and on the Council's website signalling the start of consultation on 18 March 2024;
 - d. The period for making submissions will run from 9am on 18 March 2024 to 11.59pm on 21 April 2024;
 - c. Formally adopts the information that provides the basis for the Council's draft Long Term Plan 2024-2034 referred to in resolution 3, supporting technical documents referred to in resolution 4 (C-LTP/2024/00039) and resolution 11aii-v above (collectively referred to as the draft Long-Term Plan); and
 - d. Formally adopts the Consultation Document (including the Auditor-General's report) referred to in resolution 5 (C-LTP/2024/00040) and 11ai above; and
 - e. Formally adopts the consultation process referred to in resolution 8 (C-LTP/2024/00008, including the alteration referred to in recommendation 11b above).

Secretarial Note: The purpose of this Attachment is to provide the carried Councillor amendments of the Council meeting on 14 February to 14 March 2024 relating to the adoption of the draft Long-Term Plan 2024-2034 (refer to the Minutes of the meeting for the official voting records).

Councillors' proposed amendments - Schedules 1, 2 and 3

That the Council:

Schedule 1:

1. Notes the matters outlined in Schedule 1, as tabled at the meeting, are already accounted for in the draft Long-Term Plan 2024-34.

Schedule 2:

2. Requests the Chief Executive to commission the reports outlined in Schedule 2, as tabled at the meeting.

Schedule 3:

3. Requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the reports to provide the information outlined in Schedule 3, as tabled at the meeting, and report back in time for the final Long-Term Plan 2024-34 adoption.

Schedule 1

That the Council notes:

- A7a. Project #65405 Yew Cottage Conservation Works has a budget of \$471,884 in FY 24 and a budget of \$50,188 allocated in the proposed capital programme:
- A7b. That should an appropriate relocation site not be found, staff will commence the disposal process for the Yew Cottage, including the sale of the property in an as-is condition.
- A9a. Project #408 Head to Head Walkway has the following proposed budget in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34:
- i. \$391,313 in FY25
 - ii. \$165,808 in FY26
 - iii. \$169,380 in FY27
- A9b. Programme #16744 Programme - Regional Parks Port Hills & Banks Peninsula New Development budget includes \$725,765 for the Head to Head Walkway in FY 28 to FY 31.
- A12a. The following funding for #32243 Eastman Sutherlands & Hoon Hay Stormwater Basin LTP 2024-34 budget has recently been secured via a change request and is reflected in the Proposed Capital Programme:
- i. \$2,650,028 in FY 25

- ii. \$3,994,468 in FY 26
- iii. \$1,249,966 in FY 27.
- A13a. #2415 Programme - SW Management Plan on Pūharakekenui - Styx Waterway Detention & Treatment has a LTP 2024-34 budget of \$19,101,058, which is phased to take account of design, consenting, tendering and known planned development timeframes, with construction to be completed once all consents received.
- A14a. #74801 SW Waitaki Treatment Facility (OARC) has an LTP 2024-34 budget of \$13,508,411, which is phased to take account of design, consenting and tendering timeframes, with construction to be completed once all consents received.
- A15a. SW ANZAC to Waitaki Stopbank (OARC) has an LTP 2024-34 budget of \$28,223,385, which is phased to take account of design, consenting and tendering timeframes, with construction to be completed once all consents received.
- A17a. Options to remediate regular flooding on Waterloo Road between Kissel Street and Kirk Road are under investigation and final works are currently funded within the draft 2024-34 Long Term Plan but have yet to be prioritised and programmed.
- A25a. Work is underway with the Community Board to suppress anti-social road use via interventions at the intersection of Dyers Pass Road, Governors Bay Road and Main Road and via traffic calming measures in Governors Bay; and
- A25b. Staff will consider how to report progress on minor safety work direct to the Council.
- A26a. The budget for #68430 Ferry road - Active Transport Improvements in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 is \$593,221, being:
 - i. \$49,500 in FY 25
 - ii. \$363,721 in FY 26
 - iii. \$180,000 in FY 27.
- A27a. The draft Long Term Plan 24-34 includes \$500,000 in FY27 for the installation of an accessible toilet/changing facility at Taiora QEII, with options to bring work forward if able.
- A34a. Notes that the implementation of the Urban Forest Plan at Denton Park is already funded in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34, with public consultation on proposed changes expected in May 2024 to allow community feedback on which trees to plant, retain and replace.
- A38a. The capital project #38090 - Greens Stormwater facility is currently fully funded within the 2024-2034 draft LTP following a change request through Council with funding starting in FY25.
- A92a. Staff have been requested to communicate the Capital and Operational costs of Te Kaha to ratepayers, separate to the base rates cost to ratepayers, in the Long Term Plan 24-34 and in future Annual Plan processes of 25-26 and 26-27.
- A108a. Staff will table a report in FY24 or FY25 that provides an update to Council on the District Plan change process as it affects live music venues in the central city which will inform a future discussion around funding acoustic assessment trials in the 2025/2026 Annual Plan.
- A121a: Staff will work with local councillors to address street cleanliness, litter and fly tipping around the University and will discuss with the Community Board in the first instance.

Schedule 2

That the Council requests staff to investigate and report back on:

- A19a. Options for leasing parts of the Civic Building, including to Council-Controlled Organisations and Council-Controlled Trading Organisations.
- A20a. Opportunities and mechanisms for establishing partnerships with existing youth-focused organisations for establishing a dedicated youth space in the Riccarton ward that is accessible by public transport to service youth from a multi-ward catchment, in time for the Annual Plan 25-26 considerations, noting that this will rely on the Waipuna Community Board prioritising this work.
- A21a. How the Council can support the Air Force Museum on its extension through the Capital Endowment Fund.
- A81a. Options and timeframes for revising the Long Term Plan 24-34 capital programme that responds to the Central Government's directions around transport and maximises opportunities for external funding, in time for adoption of the final Long Term Plan 24-34.
- A83a. Options of changes to the District Plan that would facilitate the provision of residential building along Norwich Quay in Lyttelton, with advice - including a feasibility assessment - to be provided in calendar year 2024.
- A84a. Options for improving public trust and engagement and promoting diversity of thought in the Council's Annual Plan 25-26 process, including implementing ward-based 'citizen assemblies'.
- A109a. Options for streamlining the Council's tree management functions, in time for consideration of the adoption of the Long Term Plan 24-34 in June 2024.
- A110a. Options and timeframes for the installation of a public toilet in the Church Corner area in catchment of the Upper Riccarton Memorial Library site, for FY 27/28.
- A111a. The Citizens Experience Programme with options on how this work programme can be accelerated to continue to promote engagement, improve accessibility, raise levels of public trust and increase overall efficiency, by May 2024.
- A123a. Increasing the use of permeable surfacing as a tactical response to reducing the risk and effects of flooding, with the report back to be via a briefing or via the quarterly Transport Unit report within the next 12 months.
- A124a. The requirements for a pedestrian crossing on Governors Bay Road between Rāpaki Drive and Ōmaru Road in line with the objectives of the minor safety programmes (#50462 and #65924), with the report back to the Community Board.
- A131a. Options to increase engagement opportunities for residents that face language barriers, including regulatory and compliance matters, engagement and access to digital content.
- A132a. Key city priorities for permanent net provision in Parks for key sports such as basketball and soccer-football, along with advice on a trial installation to determine value for money for net use, in FY 25.

- A95: That the Council asks the Chief Executive to review and identify options for further savings in the Final Long Term Plan 24-34 or to bake-in to future Annual Plans and report back before June 2024.
- A93: That the Council asks the Chief Executive to report back to Council in relation to increasing the vacancy provision from 3% to 7.5%
- A128. That the Council notes:
- A128a. Staff will provide advice to the Community Boards regarding culvert and road reserve maintenance levels of service, available budgets and funding requirements so the Boards can appropriately address concerns through their priorities.

Schedule 3

That the Council requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the following reports, and report back in time for the final Long-Term Plan 2024-34 adoption:

- A44a: A report back on the logistics to establish an Activity Management Plan for the Ōpāwaho Heathcote River and surrounds.
- A72a: Options and timeframes for undertaking a feasibility study for project #915 Northcote Road Corridor Improvements without impacting rates this year, as part of the Annual Plan considerations.
- A22a: Options and timeframes for designing, applying and considering a global consent for cemetery headstones in the Banks Peninsula.
- A33a. The value and cost of a public transport bus lounge at Eastgate Mall (similar to Riccarton Road bus lounge) in time to inform the next draft Annual Plan.
- A48a. Options and timeframes for working with owners of buildings or sites that are perceived as barriers to regeneration in New Brighton in a similar manner to the approach taken to the 'Dirty 30' in the Central City.
- A52a. Identifying internal and external duplications in relation to the Council's urban regeneration functions, in time for the Long Term Plan 24-34 considerations.
- A64a: Costed options and timeframes to bring Project #266601, 26602, 26603 Major Cycleway - Ōtākaro-Avon Route (Sections 1-3) (OARC) forward to allow construction to begin in FY26, including an option for Rapid Roll Out Design, in time to allow a decision for the LTP for an earlier start, if possible.
- A66a: Costed options and timeframes for the design, planning, consenting and construction of an informal cycleway or shared path alongside Marine Parade by 2025/26.
- A68a. Investigate costed options and timeframes for including additional improvements to the intersection of Waterloo Road and Gilberthorpes Road alongside Project #26608 - Major Cycleway - South Express Route (Section 1) Hei Hei to Jones ((Execute) Construction), including options for either installing traffic signals or making Waterloo Road a left in, left out only from Gilberthorpes Road.

- A87a: Measures taken to reduce the carbon output of staff trips to Banks Peninsula, including but not limited to rideshare, by the end of December 2024.
- A89a: Options for enabling and funding the Community Boards to have greater autonomy to make local transport decisions, in time to inform the Annual Plan 25-26.
- A96a: Options for reducing the Council's digital operational budget by \$1 million and report back to the Council by May 2024 to allow for consideration in the Long Term Plan 24-34.
- A114a: Costed options and timeframes for the construction of a footpath or shared path along Cashmere Road between Sutherlands Road and Halswell Quarry carpark, noting existing footpaths along Cashmere Road between Halswell Quarry car park and Kennedy's Bush Road.
- A119a: Costed options and timeframes for providing walking and cycling connections between the 418 Main South Road (The Hub Hornby) and the Hornby Mega Centre on Main South Road.
- A122a: Opportunities to develop a community-led parks and berms maintenance program with community groups and community minded people, including options to consider a pilot project.

Councillors' proposed amendments - Citizens and Community

A1.1 – New Dog Park – South West Christchurch

A1.1: That the Council:

A1.1a. Confirms budget for #74029 - New Dog Park - South West Christchurch is within the draft Long-Term Plan 24-34.

A2 – Branston Park changing facility and toilets

A2. That the Council:

A2a. Confirms funding for the design and construction of a replacement changing facility and public toilets on Branston Park, Hornby is funded within #61793 - Programme - Community Parks Planned Buildings Renewals in the draft Long-Term Plan 24-34.

A4 – Addington Park toilet facilities

A4. That the Council:

A4a. Confirms that the design and construction of renewed toilet facilities on Addington Park is budgeted within the #61793 - Programme - Community Parks Planned Buildings Renewals in the draft Long-Term Plan 24-34.

A8 – Wainui toilet facilities

A8. That the Council:

A8a. Confirms that the renewal of the toilet facilities in Wainui Banks Peninsula is budgeted within #61741 - Programme - Regional Parks Planned Buildings Renewals in the draft Long-Term Plan 24-34.

A10 – Linwood Woolston greenspace

A10: That the Council notes that funding is available for the acquisition of additional greenspace in Linwood Woolston.

A86 – Objectives of Urban Forest Plan

A86: That the Council:

A86a: Requests staff provide a report to provide details on the implementation of Action 2 of Objective 1.1 and Action 1 of Objective 1.3 of the Urban Forest Plan in time for the final Long Term Plan considerations.

A11 – Bexley Dog Park

A11. That the Council notes:

A11a. The grass at the Bexley Dog Park will be replaced using existing operational budget in the draft Long-Term Plan 24-34 via the improved maintenance programme, which is due to commence from 1 July 2024.

A35 – Community Parks Planned Asset Renewals

A35. That the Council:

A35a. Increases the proposed capital budget for #61796 - Programme - Community Parks Planned Asset Renewals (Concept) in the draft Long-Term Plan 24-34 by \$1,813,463 as follows:

- i. an increase of \$507,231 to \$1,014,462 in FY 25
- ii. an increase of \$696,620 to \$1,393,240 in FY 26
- iii. an increase of \$609,612 to \$1,219,214 in FY 27.

A36 – Coastal and Plains Restoration and Pest Control

A36: That the Council:

A36a: Increases the budget for 75711 - Coastal and Plains Restoration and Pest Control in the draft Long-Term Plan 2024-34 by \$597,500 as follows:

- iv. an increase of \$150,000 to \$300,000 in FY2025
- v. an increase of \$147,500 to \$300,000 in FY2026
- vi. an increase of \$300,000 to \$300,000 in FY2027.

A37 – Port Hills and Banks Peninsula Restoration and Pest Control

A37: That the Council:

A37a. Increases the budget for 75712 - Port Hills and Banks Peninsula Restoration and Pest Control in the draft Long Term Plan 2024-34 by \$597,500 as follows:

- i. an increase of \$150,000 to \$300,000 in FY2025
- ii. an increase of \$147,500 to \$300,000 in FY2026
- iii. an increase of \$300,000 to \$300,000 in FY2027.

A53 – Preston / Marshlands Community Facility

A53. That the Council:

A53a. Includes \$800,000 in FY 32/33 and \$2,000,000 in FY 33/34 of capital funding in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34, towards the development of a locally focused community facility in partnership with a community-based organisation, in the Preston's/Marshland area as generally envisaged by the Council's Community Facility Network Plan 2020 and the Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board Plan 2022/25.

A53b. Notes that the Council's contribution is conditional upon:

- i. An identified community partner organisation contributing 50% of the construction cost (not including land improvements).
- ii. The facility scope not duplicating or otherwise compromising other Council or community, recreation, sporting or community services in the local area.
- iii. A feasibility study and business plan confirming the need for a facility, its scope, accessibility to community, and sustainable ongoing operation by a community partner organisation with no ongoing operational contribution by the Council.

A53c. Continues to investigate appropriate Council-owned land in the Preston's/Marshland area to locate the community facility, noting that any Council financial contribution can be applied to land improvements to best accommodate a facility on the site.

A57 – Community Facility Maintenance

A57. That the Council:

A57a. Includes \$178 499 per annum to the facilities maintenance budget to maintain community facilities to a minimum standard in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 and brief Council on the forward work programme for community facilities, including which facilities are in managed decline and expected to eventually be taken out of service prior to the Long Term Plan adoption meeting.

A80 – Carbon impact

A80. That the Council continue to improve its ability to assess the carbon impact of capital projects to enable more fully informed decision making and that staff report back to Council by 31 August 2024 at latest on a staged path to build this into future reporting, noting that initial assessments have been made for this LTP based on emissions factors and that work in this space is continuing to evolve.

127 – Duvauchelle toilet facilities

A127: That the Council:

A127a: Add the following budget to FY 25 of the Long-Term Plan 24-34 for provision of a temporary toilet at Duvauchelle boat ramp:

- i. \$5,000 Capex
- ii. \$10,000 Opex; and

A127b: Request staff to investigate and report back on options for permanent toilet facilities at Duvauchelle boat ramp.

A5 - Upper Riccarton Memorial Pocket Park

A5: That the Council:

Confirms and commits to the design, consent and construction of a pocket park on the current site of the Upper Riccarton Memorial Library beginning in FY 25 in the draft Long-Term Plan 24-34.

A6 – Sockburn Park

A6. That the Council:

A6a. Confirms the funding for the development of Sockburn park as follows.

- i. FY 26- \$ 49,209
- ii. FY 27- \$ 95,830
- iii. FY28 - \$ 418,481

A6b. Notes that:

- i. This is a priority of the Waipuna Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board.
- ii. Scope and deliverability is subject to the findings of the contaminated land assessment in FY26.
- iii. Dependent on the findings of the contaminated land assessment, funding for works has been brought forward to FY28.

A.PC – Capital Endowment Fund

APC. That the Council request staff provide information to Council by June 2024 on the effect of commencing inflation proofing the Capital Endowment Fund from year 3 of the Long-Term Plan 24-34.

Councillors' proposed amendments - Infrastructure and Regulation

A.SM – Rating for renewals

A.SM That Council amends its Financial Strategy of transitioning the funding of renewal expenditure for infrastructure from Debt to Rates by 2031 with the following effect:

A.SMb. That the amount of the transition be reduced in years 1 and 2 of the 2034 Long-Term Plan with effect of providing a rates impact of -1.8% in year 1 and -1.2% in year 2, the reduction in rates being replaced by borrowing.

A.SMc. That the target date of the transition to being fully funded by rates will be moved to 2032, and years 1-3 of the Long-Term Plan will not meet the balanced budget benchmark.

A42 – Robinsons Bay Stormwater

A42: That the Council requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the following reports:

A42a: Options and timeframes for the reconfiguration of the stormwater network in Robinsons Bay to re-route the catchment along the Council road reserve rather than through private property.

A43 – Improving urban waterways

A43 That the Council:

A43a. Includes \$22.5 million for Improving Urban Waterways in order to do more to improve urban water quality including increased budget for pest plant management for the Heathcote River and a plan change to protect the Heathcote/Ōpāwaho river as a site of ecological significance.

A46 - A3 New North-South Corridor Oram Avenue

A46. That the Council:

A46a. Notes that the proposed capital programme for the Long-Term Plan 24-34 has the following budget for #45165 - A3 New North-South Corridor Oram Avenue:

- iii. \$250,000 in FY 25
- iv. \$50,000 in FY 26

v. \$50,000 in FY 27

vi. \$50,000 in FY 30

46b. Agrees to amend the budget for project #45165 - A3 New North-South Corridor Oram Avenue in the draft Long-Term Plan 24-34 as follows:

vii. \$5,500,000 in FY 26; and

viii. \$9,085,797 in FY 29 (to allow for possible land purchase opportunities).

A50 - Selwyn Street Masterplan

A50. That the Council:

A50a. Increases the total budget for capital project #26622 Selwyn Street Masterplan to total \$850,000 in the draft Long-Term Plan 24-34; and

A50b. Agrees to rephase the capital project #26622 Selwyn Street Masterplan Selwyn Street Masterplan to FY 28 in the draft Long-Term Plan 24-34.

A51 - Diamond Harbour Village Improvements

A51. That the Council:

A51a. Notes that the proposed Capital Programme for draft Long-Term Plan 24-34 has no budget provision for project #60387 Diamond Harbour Village Improvements; and

A51b. Agrees to amend the budget for project #60387 Diamond Harbour Village Improvements in the draft Long-Term Plan 24-34 as follows:

i. \$36,319 in FY 26

ii. \$112,920 in FY 27

iii. \$463,875 in FY 28.

A62 – Heritage budget

A62: That the Council:

A62a. Remove the external heritage budget, except for that funded from the targeted rate; and

A63b. Request staff to investigate and report back on duplication of functions between Heritage New Zealand and the Council's internal Heritage functions.

A63 – Traffic lights at Harewood / Breens / Gardiners Roads

A63: That the Council:

A63a. Instructs staff to install a full set of recycled traffic lights at the intersection of Harewood/Breens/Gardiners, contingent on NZTA providing funding.

A65 - Local Cycle Network - Eastern Outer Orbital

A65: That the Council:

A65a. Notes the total capital budget of \$557,107 for 44700 - Local Cycle Network - Eastern Outer Orbital has been removed from the Proposed Capital Project.

A65b. Reinstates the total capital budget of \$557,107 for 44700 - Local Cycle Network - Eastern Outer Orbital, being \$100,000 in FY2024/25 and \$457,000 in FY2025/26 to the draft Long-Term Plan 24-34.

A67 - Amyes, Awatea & Springs Intersection Safety Improvement

A67: That the Council:

A67a: Maintain the capital budget for #60099 Amyes, Awatea & Springs Intersection Safety Improvement of \$198,105 in FY25, \$1,126,703 in FY26.

A79 - Church Corner Master Plan

A79. That the Council includes \$20,000 in FY 28/29 in the draft Long-Term Plan 24-34 for staff to produce a Church Corner Master Plan, noting the proposed Kainga Ora development, plan change 14 implications and prospective growth in the area.

A117 – Minor Safety Improvements work programme

A117: That the Council:

A117a. Request that staff develop the work programme for #41650 – Programme – Minor Safety Improvements in FY25 based on engineering advice and feedback from the Community Boards, service requests, elected members and staff.

A117b. Note that the workplan will consider the following the sites:

- i. Halswell Junction Road crossing at Copper Ridge/Knights Stream School
- ii. Sabys Road crossing by Ohalloran Drive (Moore)
- iii. Dunbars Road crossing at Balkwell Street
- iv. Ensign Street crossing at Cunningham Place.

A118 – New Footpaths programme

A118: That the Council increase Programme #75051 - Programme - New Footpaths from \$375,000 to \$1,000,000 in FY26 and then \$2,000,000 per annum from FY27, with the programme work plan to be determined in FY25 based on engineering advice and feedback from the Community Boards, service requests, elected members and staff.

A129 – Surface flooding programme

A129: That the Council:

A129a: Establish a new capital programme fund of \$20 million per year, starting in FY 27, for addressing and resolving regular surface flooding at sites identified against a priority matrix established by Council in FY 25 and FY 26; and

A129b. Requests staff to report back on resolving surface flooding issues at the following sites, with options considered against the priority matrix developed:

- i. Brenchley Ave
- ii. Robinsons Bay stormwater diversion
- iii. Cygnet Street Catchment review
- iv. Cambridge Terrace between Madras and Manchester
- v. Edgeware Village.

A77 – Levels of service for leak rates

A77: That the Council:

A77a. Notes the impending repealing of the Affordable Waters Legislation and need to Council to fund improvements our 3 waters networks 2) current high leakage rate and numbers of breaks in our water network due to the earthquakes and lower rates of renewals in previous years, 3) Level of Service target of 25% in the context of previous targets of 15% (2019) and recorded leak rate of 18% in 2018.

A77b. Changes the leak rate Level of Service to be 20% by 2030 and 15% by 2034.

A58 – Safe passage to schools

A58. That the Council provides information on an additional \$10,000 per Community Board to fund neighbourhood safety initiatives in partnership with schools on or near main arterial routes in time for the final Long-Term Plan 24-34 in June 2024.



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
7	<p>A7. That the Council notes: A7a. Project #65405 Yew Cottage Conservation Works has a budget of \$471,884 in FY 24 and a budget of \$50,188 allocated in the proposed capital programme: A7c. Notes that should an appropriate relocation site not be found, staff will commence the disposal process for the Yew Cottage, including the sale of the property in an as-is condition. A7. That the Council notes: A7a. Project #65405 Yew Cottage Conservation Works has a budget of \$471,884 in FY 24 and a budget of \$50,188 allocated in the proposed capital programme: A7b. Agrees that, following relocation or sale, Agrees to consult Staff could consult on disposal options for the Yew Cottage in 2024, including sale of the property in an as-is condition if an appropriate relocation site cannot be found.</p>	Updates to this amendment were made prior to the meeting.	Funding for the relocation, if an appropriate site is found, or repair of Yew Cottage is already provided for in the LTP. FY24 includes funding of \$471,884 and FY25 includes funding of \$50,000. If relocation is appropriate disposal options can be considered as part of the public consultation process.	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: Opex	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
9	<p>A9. That the Council notes: A9a. Project #408 Head to Head Walkway has the following proposed budget in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34: - \$391,313 in FY25 - \$165,808 in FY26 - \$169,380 in FY27 A9b. Programme #16744 Programme - Regional Parks Port Hills & Banks Peninsula New Development budget includes \$725,765 for the Head to Head Walkway in FY 28 to FY 31.</p>		No amendment required as funding is already available. The programme has sufficient funding to deliver the current programme. Project #408 Head to Head Walkway has a budget for the period FY24 to FY27 of \$756,270, and there is allocated budget in the period FY28 to FY31 of \$725,765 held at programme level within #61744 Programme	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: Capex	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
12	<p>A12: That the Council notes: A12a. The following funding for #32243 Eastman Sutherlands & Hoon Hay Stormwater Basin LTP 2024-34 budget has recently been secured via a change request and is reflected in the Proposed Capital Programme: - \$2,650,028 in FY 25 - \$3,994,468 in FY 26 - \$1,249,966 in FY 27.</p>		<p>The budget for #32243 - Eastman Sutherlands Hoon Hay Wetlands was secured via a change request through Council. The project is fully funded in the draft LTP, starting FY25.</p> <p>The Draft LTP budget reflects the additional budget.</p>	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: Capex	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
13	<p>A13: That the Council notes: A13a. #2415 Programme - SW Management Plan on Pūharakekenui - Styx Waterway Detention & Treatment has a LTP 2024-34 budget of \$19,101,058, which is phased to take account of design, consenting, tendering and known planned development timeframes, with construction to be completed once all consents received.</p>		<p>Project 2415 Programme - SW Management Plan on Pūharakekenui - Styx Waterway Detention & Treatment Facilities (concept) is currently fully funded within the draft LTP.</p> <p>The current budget for this programme is phased to consider design, consenting and tendering timeframes. It also takes into account known planned developments in the catchment and allows for the Council to work in with the developers. Should the need arise earlier, there is the ability to bring projects forward. Budgeting too early (before proposed developments are ready) may result in large sums of money having to be carried forward.</p>	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: Capex	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
14	<p>A14: That the Council notes: A14a. #74801 SW Waitaki Treatment Facility (OARC) has an LTP 2024-34 budget of \$13,508,411, which is phased to take account of design, consenting and tendering timeframes, with construction to be completed once all consents received.</p>		<p>This project is fully funded within the 10 year LTP and is timed to allow for planning, design, consenting and tendering of works to take place. Should the process take less time there is the ability to bring the project forward within the programme.</p> <p>This project is fully budgeted within the programme.</p>	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: Capex	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
15	<p>A15: That the Council notes: A15a. SW ANZAC to Waitaki Stopbank (OARC) has an LTP 2024-34 budget of \$28,223,385, which is phased to take account of design, consenting and tendering timeframes, with construction to be completed once all consents received.</p>		<p>This project is fully funded within the 10 year LTP and is timed to allow for planning, design, consenting and tendering of works to take place. Should the process take less time there is the ability to bring the project forward within the programme.</p>	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: Capex	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
17	A17: That the Council notes: A17a. Options to remediate regular flooding on Waterloo Road between Kissel Street and Kirk Road are under investigation and final works are currently funded within the draft 2024-34 Long Term Plan but have yet to be prioritised and programmed.		Investigations are being carried out to determine the cause of the reported flooding. No additional budget required at this stage as investigations and maintenance are funded from existing budgets. Should this investigation highlight significant design issues with the current infrastructure, this would need to be addressed through a future Annual Plan or LTP. Clearing and jettying the culverts, sump to sump connections and the under railway culvert should ensure that the current system functions as designed. There is a chance this work does not completely fix the issue at 630 Waterloo Road as the ground levels are low compared to the surrounding properties. Transport is aware of the long term need to renew this section of Kirk Road, however other competing and more urgent priorities across the district have had to be prioritised. Significant investment in under channel piping may be required if removing the deep dish channel compromises the conveyance capacity of the system.	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: N/A No additional budget required at this stage as investigations and maintenance are funded from existing budgets	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
25	A25: That the Council notes: A25a. Work is underway with the Community Board to suppress anti-social road use via interventions at the intersection of Dyers Pass Road, Governors Bay Road and Main Road and via traffic calming measures in Governors Bay; and A25b. Staff will consider how to report progress on minor safety work direct to the Council. A25: That the Council notes: A25a. Work is underway with the Community Board to suppress anti-social road use via interventions at the intersection of Dyers Pass Road, Governors Bay Road and Main Road and via traffic calming measures in Governors Bay; and A25b. Staff will consider how to report progress on minor safety work direct to the Council.	Updates to this amendment were made prior to the meeting.	This work is already underway as part of the minor safety programmes. Low-cost low-risk interventions are proposed to address concerns as much as possible. The design of any interventions needs to take into account the fact that this is an over-dimension and dangerous goods route and turning circles for large vehicles has to be maintained. Work is already progressing with the Community Board. It is noted that this intersection is listed as a priority by the Community Board in their Community Board Plan. Staff will look at how to report progress on the minor safety work direct to Council.	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: Capex	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
26	A26: That the Council notes: A26a. The budget for #68430 Ferry road - Active Transport Improvements in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 is \$593,221, being: - \$49,500 in FY 25 - \$363,721 in FY 26 - \$180,000 in FY 27.		#68430 - Ferry Road - Active Transport Improvements is in the proposed capital programme for delivery between FY25 and FY27. The first year will be scheme design and community engagement with construction anticipated to begin in the following year.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex FY25 = 0.00% FY26 = 0.00% FY27 = 0.00%	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
27	A27: That the Council notes: A27a: The draft Long Term Plan 24-34 includes \$500,000 in FY27 for the installation of an accessible toilet/changing facility at Taiora QEII, with options to bring work forward if able.		An allowance of \$500,000 is already included in the FY27 budget for an accessible toilet /changing facility as part of the Taiora:QEII Recreation and Sport Centre planned shutdown. There is \$18,300,000 of work (including earthquake repairs) planned at Jellie Park in FY26. Staff advice is not to close both Jellie Park and Taiora:QEII in FY26 or prioritise the work at Taiora:QEII Recreation and Sport Centre over the work at Jellie Park Recreation and Sport Centre.	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: Capex	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
34	A34. That the Council: A34a. Notes that the implementation of the Urban Forest Plan at Denton Park is already funded in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34, with public consultation on proposed changes expected in May 2024 to allow community feedback on which trees to plant, retain and replace.		Funding is already included in the draft LTP. Denton Park is the current Urban Forest Programme for the Hornby Ward. This plan will be released in early 2024 for consultation to enable commencement in May 2024.	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: Capex	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
38	A38: That the Council notes: A38a. The capital project #38090 - Greens Stormwater facility is currently fully funded within the 2024-2034 draft LTP following a change request through Council with funding starting in FY25.		Sufficient funding for the Greens Facility is still in the draft LTP, although it may have been rephased. Timing of the works is reliant on an external developers timeframes. Should the need arise earlier through accelerated development this funding can be brought forward. The ability to bring this funding forward removes the risk and any perceived impediments to growth.	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: Capex	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
45	A45. That the Council notes: A45a. Staff are working on estimated costings to relocate or strengthen the Bangor Street No. 3 Heritage Pump Station and will report to Council for a decision.		We are working on estimated costings to relocate (and give it a use) or to strengthen the Pump Station in its current position as evidence of the earthquake (with no use). We are due to receive these estimates within the next month, once these estimates are received for strengthening and repair/reuse a report will go Council for a decision. Once a decision has been made by Council, work will commence within the Financial year.	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Capex	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
82	A82. That the Council notes: A82a. Te Hurihanga ō Rangatahi - The Youth Hub has begun an application process to the Council's Capital Endowment Fund.	This amendment was withdrawn by the Mover during the meeting.	The Council is already able to consider an application to the Capital Endowment Fund through its existing procedure. The application does not require inclusion in an LTP process. The fund's policy states applications need to be assessed by staff with recommendations made through a report to the Council.	No rates impact - not applicable Capex/Opex: N/A Finance advice based on the funding being made via the capital endowment fund.	A. Schedule 1	WITHDRAWN
92	A92. That the Council notes: A92a. Staff have been requested to communicate the Capital and Operational costs of Te Kaha to ratepayers, separate to the base rates cost to ratepayers, in the Long Term Plan 24-34 and in future Annual Plan processes of 25-26 and 26-27.		This suggestion would provide clarity on the standard rates increase excluding Te Kaha and can be implemented without an amendment. Finance to provide the split to Comms department.	No rates impact - not applicable Capex/Opex: N/A	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
108	<u>A108: That the Council notes: A108a. Staff will table a report in FY24 or FY25 that provides an update to Council on the District Plan change process as it affects live music venues in the central city which will inform a future discussion around funding acoustic assessment trials in the 2025/2026 Annual Plan.</u>	Updates to this amendment were made prior to the meeting.	As detailed in the recent Council resolution (12 December 2023), the update report (which will include advice on potential future funding for live music acoustic assessments) will await further progress on the District Plan change process and a trial acoustic assessment. The report will not be ready for the 14 February draft LTP adoption meeting. It is envisaged that the update report will be available later in the financial year. This approach will provide for most effective use of funds and enable decisions on budget allocation to benefit from more complete information regarding the likely scale and value of acoustic assessments. If funding is allocated and acoustic assessments undertaken this year, these would need to be completed within the framework of the current District Plan noise rules. This would be unlikely to provide value for money as the acoustic assessment would potentially become quickly out of date. Put another way, it would be inappropriate to commission work based on the current rules that may give rise to under or over-engineering i.e. too little or not enough noise insulation relative to future rules. In addition, there is a strong chance that venues would not undertake any mitigation until the Plan Change and the new rules package is confirmed – as is the case with the feedback from the operator who has been involved in the current trial acoustic assessment. Alternatively, if funding is allocated in the Draft LTP for future years, the amount needed and the rationale for its allocation will be via guesswork. This is better managed via consideration of a report later in the financial year and decisions on any funding taken via the process of finalising the LTP or amending via a future Annual Plan.	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Capex + Opex	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
121	A121: That the Council notes: A121a: Staff will work with local councillors to address street cleanliness, litter and fly tipping around the University and will discuss with the Community Board in the first instance.		<p>All street sweeping is undertaken on a cyclic basis and have a different return period depending on the surrounding land use.</p> <p>Blenheim Road outside Caltex was last swept on 10/01/24 at 18:09hrs and is on a 6 weekly sweeping round frequency. Matipo Street outside the Caltex was last swept on 14/01/24 at 21:43 hrs and is also on a 6 weekly sweeping round frequency. Contractors use a 12-week programme, and both Blenheim Rd and Matipo Street are swept on weeks 4 & 10 of those 12 weeks.</p> <p>All contractors conduct their own random audits to assess the quality of the work completed. We will request a special audit of these once swept.</p> <p>The impact of fly tipping can be seasonal and is shown in the attached graph of the Southern Contract. Staff (both Transport and Waste) are working on strategies to address fly tipping concerns. Unfortunately the only option we often have at present is to engage the Compliance & Investigations team. this will sometimes result in enforcement action.</p> <p>Staff will work with the proposer and other Cllrs with similar requests to clearly understand the desired outcomes and frame possible solutions.</p> <p>This advice cannot be provided to inform the draft Long Term Plan. Advice may be able to be refined to inform the final Long Term Plan.</p> <p>The increase of any sweeping frequency will have an impact on existing contacts and operational funding. The size and scope of this change is unknown at this stage.</p> <p>Resource implications including costs and time of providing advice are unknown at this stage. Staff could investigate and report back. The cost of this investigation and report is estimated to be \$50K and is likely to impact other maintenance work. Staff have noted the concern and are working on ways to provide advice and refine the issue.</p>	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Opex	A. Schedule 1	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 1 relating to Schedule 1 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
19	A19. That the Council requests staff to investigate and report back on: A19a. Options for leasing parts of the Civic Building, including to Council-Controlled Organisations and Council-Controlled Trading Organisations.		<p>The supporting work on this matter is already underway and can be dealt with separately to the Long Term Plan process as part of the existing programme of work. There have been two possible options explored, however, in each case the interested party has decided not to move. Specific timing will rely on market interest.</p> <p>There is no certainty that we will be able to get a tenant, so it is not appropriate to include this revenue in budgets.</p>	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Opex Potential revenue received from renting out two floors is unknown. If the floors were to be rented out this would reduce council rates, at a rate of 0.1% per \$700k of rent.	B. Schedule 2	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
20	A20. That the Council requests staff to investigate and report back on: A20a. Opportunities and mechanisms for establishing partnerships with existing youth-focused organisations for establishing a dedicated youth space in the Riccarton ward that is accessible by public transport to service youth from a multi-ward catchment, in time for the Annual Plan 25-26 considerations, noting that this will rely on the Waipuna Community Board prioritising this work.		<p>This amendment applies to the Riccarton Ward and is best delivered locally by the local community team working through the Waipuna Community Board. The local team have access to first rate community development resources, partnership networks and financial resources including, but not limited to, strengthening communities, better off and capital endowment.</p> <p>Subject to Board prioritisation this project can be started promptly within existing levels of service. A key part of scoping (?) would be to establish potential users therefore whether this should be a ward or metro initiative.</p> <p>No budget resource implications if the Waipuna CB prioritise this ahead of existing commitments (e.g. the CB will need to agree a substitution). This is primarily a locally focused matter but will require support from a city-wide perspective.</p>	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Capex Project costs have not been estimated. If the works were to be undertaken as a capital project the council would incur a rates increase, to cover cost of borrowing.	B. Schedule 2	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
21	<p>A21. That the Council requests staff to investigate and report back on: A21a. How the Council can support the Air Force Museum on its extension through the Capital Endowment Fund.</p> <p>Alternative: Withdraw amendment as the process is underway as indicated in the staff comment.</p>		<p>Grant funding provisions and processes are in place. The Air Force Museum has been introduced to the Council Funding Team via Cllr Peters (local councillor). If the Air Force Museum decides to proceed with an application for funding via the Capital Endowment Fund, then a report will be prepared for a Council decision.</p> <p>Council Staff are in contact with the Air Force Museum.</p>	<p>No rates impact - not applicable Capex/Opex: Opex Providing support to extend funding provided by the Capital Endowment fund will have no rates impact, so long as additional funding is not sought to top up the capital endowment fund, for the funds paid to the airforce museum.</p>	B. Schedule 2	<p>This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)</p>
81	<p>A81. That the Council requests staff to investigate and report back on: A81a. Options and timeframes for revising the Long Term Plan 24-34 capital programme that responds to the Central Government's directions around transport and maximises opportunities for external funding, in time for adoption of the final Long Term Plan 24-34.</p>		<p>The government announced at the end of 2023 that it intends to prepare a new Government Policy Statement (GPS) in place of the draft GPS released by the previous government in mid-2023. The May 2024 budget will reflect the changes to the GPS. To maximise Council's eligibility for NZTA funding of our capital programme, Council, as much as possible, aligns its transport capital programme with the GPS.</p> <p>Other than knowing that there will be changes, at this stage the detail of the GPS and therefore government's funding priorities is unclear.</p> <p>A way forward is for Council to request staff to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• re-align the 24-34 transport programme with the new GPS when the information to enable this to happen becomes available and• make the decision during final deliberation of 24-34 LTP. <p>Note: The consultation document would need to reflect this process.</p>	<p>Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Capex</p>	B. Schedule 2	<p>This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)</p>
83	<p>A83: That the Council requests staff to investigate and report back on: A83a. Options of changes to the District Plan that would facilitate the provision of residential building along Norwich Quay in Lyttelton, with advice - including a feasibility assessment - to be provided in calendar year 2024.</p>		<p>The intensification of residential activity is not contemplated within the Lyttelton Port Influences Overlay, nor is the introduction of other sensitive activities, such as healthcare facilities. Such proposals would be non-complying activities under the operative plan, which implements strategic direction in the District Plan and Canterbury Regional Policy Statement to recognise and provide for the safe, efficient and effective operation and development of infrastructure, including strategic infrastructure. Specifically, the CRPS requires that the effects of land use activities on infrastructure are managed, including "avoiding activities that have the potential to limit the efficient and effective, provision, operation, maintenance or upgrade of strategic infrastructure" (Policy 6.3.5). Having regard to the policy framework in the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement and District Plan, it is not considered appropriate to facilitate the provision of residential development within the Port Noise Influence Overlay.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this, the Port Noise Influence Overlay as inserted into the Banks Peninsula District Plan following the Environment Court's decision of circa 2006, is based on modelling proceeding that decision. On this basis, it is appropriate that the contours are remodelled by LPC and subsequently peer reviewed to determine if any change is required to the Port Noise Influence Overlay. This would be subject to the agreement of LPC with Council needing to allocate sufficient budget for a peer review. Estimating the costs of any peer review would require input from an acoustic consultant.</p> <p>A change to the plan will have resource and cost implications including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Staff time required to define the scope of a review, commission an independent panel/ expert to carry out a peer review and consider options thereafter, informed by the peer review.- Costs of commissioning an independent panel/ expert to carry out the peer review.- Any time and costs allocated to this would be drawn away from other priorities including plan changes and local area planning.	<p>Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Opex</p>	B. Schedule 2	<p>This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)</p>



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
84	A84. That the Council requests staff to investigate and report back on: A84a. Options for improving public trust and engagement and promoting diversity of thought in the Council's Annual Plan 25-26 process, including implementing ward-based 'citizen assemblies'.		Staff are able to investigate and report back on options for improving public trust and engagement and promoting diversity of thought in the Council's 2025/26 Annual Plan process, including implementing ward-based 'citizen assemblies', within existing budgets and resources.	No rates impact - not applicable Capex/Opex: N/A	B. Schedule 2	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
109	A109: That the Council requests staff to investigate and report back on: A109a. Options for streamlining the Council's tree management functions, in time for consideration of the adoption of the Long Term Plan 24-34 in June 2024.		Staff can provide a report to Council no later than May 2024 for consideration of options.	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Opex	B. Schedule 2	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
110	A110. That the Council requests staff to investigate and report back on: A110a. Options and timeframes for the installation of a public toilet in the Church Corner area in catchment of the Upper Riccarton Memorial Library site, for FY 27/28.		Staff can Investigate the building of a public toilet in the Church Corner area in catchment of the Upper Riccarton Memorial Library site for FY27/28 to determine scope and demand. We will report back to Council with options and timeframes. Depending on the outcome of the investigation the toilets installed could cost anywhere between \$300k for a single toilet up to \$710k for an 8 toilet block. Budget will be in the region of \$500k - \$1m including planning and associated site location costs.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex + Opex Assuming 510k CAPEX & 240 OPEX in FY28 FY-28 Rate impact 0.03%	B. Schedule 2	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
111	A111. That the Council requests staff to report back on: A111a. The Citizens Experience Programme with options on how this work programme can be accelerated to continue to promote engagement, improve accessibility, raise levels of public trust and increase overall efficiency, by May 2024.		<p>The Digital Citizen Experience is an existing programme of work funded in the current LTP. In 2023, the project was refocused to help accelerate delivery. A business case in currently in development and approaching execution through Agile delivery methodology. This will allow features to be released incrementally.</p> <p>The programme will follow the current PMO reporting process which always provides the opportunity for councillors to interact with the programme and have sight of the progress. If Council requests an additional report outside of the current PMO reporting process this can be into the programme governance.</p>	No rates impact - not applicable Capex/Opex: N/A	B. Schedule 2	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
123	A123: That the Council requests staff to investigate and report back on: A123a. Increasing the use of permeable surfacing as a tactical response to reducing the risk and effects of flooding, with the report back to be via a briefing or via the quarterly Transport Unit report within the next 12 months.		<p>Permeable pavements are not used in flood prone areas, as this would result in moisture coming up through the subbase and basecourse (part of the road that provides the strength). Water is the biggest cause of roads failing, so wherever permeable pavements have been constructed, a good drainage system needs to have been installed to allow for the flow of the water. Areas that are prone to flooding around Christchurch are being targeted for emulsion stabilising, as that sees between 150-200mm of water resistant basecourse, which in turn ensures the strength of the pavement is retained. A more detailed description is attached for information.</p> <p>With regard to initiatives/incentives for increasing the use of permeable surfacing - there has not been time to research what initiatives other Councils have nor provide detailed advice to this process.</p> <p>There is not enough information to inform the draft Long-Term Plan.</p> <p>Regarding the request to hold a briefing on increasing the use of permeable surfacing as a tactical response to reducing the risk and effects of flooding, staff can provide advice as part of the quarterly Transport Unit report within the next 12 months.</p>	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Capex	B. Schedule 2	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
124	A124: That the Council requests staff to investigate and report back on: A124a. The requirements for a pedestrian crossing on Governors Bay Road between Rāpaki Drive and Ōmaru Road in line with the objectives of the minor safety programmes (<i>#50462 and #65924</i>), with the report back to the Community Board.		An assessment report on the requirements of a pedestrian crossing on Governors Bay Road between Rāpaki Drive and Ōmaru Road in line with the objectives of the minor safety programmes (<i>#50462 and #65924</i>) can be completed as part of the minor safety programme works and reported back to Community Board.	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Capex	B. Schedule 2	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
128	A128: That the Council notes: A128a. Staff will provide advice to the Community Boards regarding culvert and road reserve maintenance levels of service, available budgets and funding requirements so the Boards can appropriately address concerns through their priorities.		Levels of service are not set at the Community Board level. Staff can provide advice to the Community Boards regarding levels of service and available budgets and funding requirements on this matter so the Boards can appropriately address concerns through their priorities.	Capex/Opex:	B. Schedule 2	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
131	A131: That the Council requests staff to investigate and report back on: A131a: Options to increase engagement opportunities for residents that face language barriers, including regulatory and compliance matters, engagement and access to digital content .	Updates to this amendment were made during the meeting.	A report back to the Council on options to increase engagement opportunities for residents that face language barriers, including the use of suitably qualified Council staff volunteers, can be undertaken within existing budgets and resource.		B. Schedule 2	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
132	A132: That the Council requests staff to investigate and report back on: A132a: Key city priorities for permanent net provision in Parks for key sports such as basketball and soccer-football, along with advice on a trial installation to determine value for money for net use, in FY 25.		On 12 December 2023 the Council meeting requested staff to undertake an audit on the condition of equipment at youth facilities across the city, to be broken down into wards (such as sports equipment e.g. basketball hoops, football posts without nets), in relation to the barriers for rangatahi/youth participating and accessing sport. This assessment is currently underway and will be reported back to the Council.		B. Schedule 2	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
93	A93: That the Council asks the CE to report back to Council in relation to increasing the vacancy provision from 3% to 7.5%	Updates to this amendment were made prior to the meeting.	Staff will provide a verbal update at the meeting		B. Schedule 2	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
95	A95: That the Council asks the CE to review and identify options for further savings in the Final Long Term Plan 24-34 or to bake-in to future Annual Plans and report back before June 2024.		The Chief Executive has advised that she has capacity to do this.	n/a	B. Schedule 2	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 2 relating to Schedule 2 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
44	A44: That the Council: A44a:Requests a report back on the logistics to establish an Activity Management Plan for the Ōpāwaho Heathcote River and surrounds. A44. That the Council establish an Activity Management Plan for the Ōpāwaho Heathcote River and surrounds.	Updates to this amendment were made during the meeting.	The LGA 2002 specifies certain groups of activity that must by law be specifically provided in an LTP. All current Council activities on the Heathcote are covered through our four existing activity plans (and funded accordingly). They are monitored and reported on via our consent and compliance conditions (to ECan and Taumata Arawai), Stormwater Management Plans and also through the performance reporting system to Council. This would create additional work that is currently not funded and would have no benefit when the information is already captured elsewhere. If this amendment was to proceed, resources would need to be redirected from other Quality and Compliance work to carry it out. Note: This amendment is subject to further advice from staff regarding the cost impact/what projects resources will be diverted from in order to do this at the meeting.	No rates impact - not applicable Capex/Opex: N/A	C. Schedule 3	The meeting agreed that this amendment was updated to request a report and was therefore referred to Schedule 3.



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
72	<p>A72: That the Council request staff to investigate and report back on: A72a: Options and timeframes for undertaking a feasibility study for project #915 Northcote Road Corridor Improvements without impacting rates this year, as part of the Annual Plan considerations.</p> <p>A72: That the Council: A72a: Adds the following budget for project #915 Northcote Road Corridor Improvement to undertake feasibility and initial stages of the project: -\$100,000 in FY 25 (Opex) -\$150,000 in FY 26 (Capex) -\$250,000 in FY 27 (Capex) A72b: Removes the following budget from the Proposed Capital Programme [substitution TBC]</p>	Updates to this amendment were made during the meeting.	<p>Any changes to the network along Northcote Road are complex and will involve interactions with the KiwiRail network.</p> <p>Given the changes in the local land use (Marion College and Pak'N'Save) and other schools in the area (Casebrook Intermediate, Bishopdale Primary, St Joseph's Primary and St Bedes College) there is a necessity to re-assess the travel needs in the area and re-scope the project as originally planned. This would be undertaken via a feasibility assessment and scoping exercise.</p> <p>The complexity of the site and the potential impact of possible solutions, indicate that the planning for this project should be expected to take 2 to 3 years. A detailed Level Crossing Safety Impact Assessment (LCISA) will be required as changes to the road network will impact the rail line and the Northcote Road crossing.</p> <p>Undertaking the above work will allow time to re-define and properly scope the project to fully inform the 2027-37 Long Term Plan.</p> <p>Given the scope of the project is not defined it is not possible to determine the final costs of the project. In order to undertake the feasibility and the initial stages of the project it is suggested that the following budgets are applied:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- FY25 - \$100k OPEX- FY26 - \$150k CAPEX- FY27 - \$250k CAPEX <p>Initial feasibility cannot be undertaken under capital rules. Therefore, the first year of funding is OPEX. Given the additional budget the feasibility work can be undertaken by in-house staff.</p> <p>The proposed substitution project (#1969 Wayfinding) is not appropriate as in Draft 6 of the proposed Long Term Plan there is no budget available. Other substitutions will need to be assessed.</p>	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex + Opex FY25 = 0.01% ; FY26 = -0.01%	C. Schedule 3	The meeting agreed that this amendment was updated to request a report and was therefore referred to Schedule 3.
22	A22: That the Council request staff to investigate and report back on: A22a: Options and timeframes for designing, applying and considering a global consent for cemetery headstones in the Banks Peninsula.		<p>As part of Plan Change 13, a permitted activity rule has been proposed to permit work to be carried out on headstones without requiring a resource consent. A permit from the Cemeteries Team is still required. This rule is not yet operative. It applies to Christchurch and Banks Peninsula. There is only one scheduled cemetery on Banks Peninsula in the District Plan, the French Cemetery at 7 Rue Pompellier in Akaroa. There are no family headstones at the French Cemetery, only a single monument. The Council is also looking at two additional cemeteries in Lyttelton for future listing. Though not specific to this query, there are five scheduled cemeteries in Christchurch city: Addington, Barbadoes Street, Rutherford Street in Woolston, Roper Street in Sydenham and Linwood. These five cemeteries have a global consent to allow works to headstones which expires in 2025 . If the proposed rule in Plan Change 15 13 becomes operative, the District Plan will permit the works without a need for a global consent.</p>	No rates impact - not applicable Capex/Opex: N/A	C. Schedule 3	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 3 relating to Schedule 3 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
33	A33: That the Council requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the following reports: A33a. The value and cost of a public transport bus lounge at Eastgate Mall (similar to Riccarton Road bus lounge). in time to inform the next draft Annual Plan.		<p>The Council has recently upgraded the bus stops on Buckleys Road - these have larger shelters and improved stop facilities. There is currently an ITS board in the food court of Eastgate Mall where people can wait for buses to arrive.</p> <p>Any costs relating to the development and running of bus lounges are opex costs so will have a direct impact on rates. The current bus lounge at Riccarton has high running costs, especially relating to security requirements because of anti-social behaviour challenges.</p> <p>Costs to undertake a report are unknown and would require resource to be diverted to undertake an assessment. There will be property, construction, usage and security considerations which would need to be investigated. In addition, the ongoing operational costs will also need to be assessed. When compared to Riccarton Bus Lounge, they are likely to be significant.</p>	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Capex	C. Schedule 3	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 3 relating to Schedule 3 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
48	A48. That the Council requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the following reports: A48a. Options and timeframes for working with owners of buildings or sites that are perceived as barriers to regeneration in New Brighton in a similar manner to the approach taken to the 'Dirty 30' in the Central City.		Compliance action (where legislation allows), existing rating tools and continuing engagement of property owners are going to be the most effective ways forward in motivating owners to tackle perceived barriers to regeneration. That activity can be prioritised within existing staff resourcing across different council and CNZ teams. It would be valuable to catalogue the properties concerned to allow an assessment of what action could be pursued/prioritised in each case. Council staff have committed to exploring the development of a city-wide Derelict Building rating scheme to inform the 2025/26 Annual Plan. It should be emphasised that motivation of owners to repair/develop commercial space will remain dependent on growing the New Brighton customer base and new business activity. CNZ are set to continue their business support / capacity building activities until at least June 2025. Potential duplication of existing functions (e.g. compliance) and work programme priorities already identified (e.g. rating, CNZ support). A report for investigating options can be undertaken within existing budgets, however, this work will require reprioritising the existing work programme if it is required to be delivered within the next 12 months.	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: Opex	C. Schedule 3	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 3 relating to Schedule 3 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
52	A52. That the Council requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the following reports: A52a. Identifying internal and external duplications in relation to the Council's urban regeneration functions, in time for the Long Term Plan 24-34 considerations.		If the Council wished to further progress this area, work would be undertaken to identify duplications and opportunities of cost reductions between the organisations. Funding would need to be identified to support this work.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Opex Advice based on preparation work. FY-25 = 0.01% Rates Increase	C. Schedule 3	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 3 relating to Schedule 3 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
64	A64: That the Council requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the following reports: A64a: Costed options and timeframes to bring Project #266601, 26602, 26603 Major Cycleway - Ōtākaro-Avon Route (Sections 1-3) (OARC) forward <u>to allow construction to begin in FY26, including an option for Rapid Roll Out Design, in time to allow a decision for the LTP for an earlier start, if possible.</u>	Updates to this amendment were made during the meeting.	Draft 6 of the proposed 24-34 LTP includes sections 1, 2 and 3 of the Major Cycleway - Ōtākaro-Avon Route (#26601, #26602 and #26603). Budget is available from FY 29 until FY 33. Given the time required to plan, design and consult on changes relating to the MCR projects there will be a significant impact on the resources that are available to undertake the remainder of the Transport programme. There is not enough information available to inform the draft Long Term Plan on the costs and implications of a rapid rollout using tactical urbanism principles. Staff will work with the proposer and other Cllrs with similar requests to clearly understand the desired outcomes and frame possible solutions. This can be assessed further and advice may be able to be refined for the final Long Term Plan. It is noted that this project is not listed as a priority in the Community Board Plan. A report on options of alternative construction methodologies would have timing and cost implications and resource requirements are not known at this stage. This piece of work would require a reprioritisation of other existing works or projects. It is probable that providing a report for the FY25 Annual Plan would be difficult.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex FY25 = 0.09% FY26 = 0.20%	C. Schedule 3	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 3 relating to Schedule 3 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
66	A66: That the Council requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the following reports: A66a: Costed options and timeframes for the design, planning, consenting and construction of an informal cycleway or shared path alongside Marine Parade <u>by 2025/26.</u>	Updates to this amendment were made prior to the meeting.	Resource implications including costs and time of providing advice are unknown at this stage. A report on costed options and timeframes for the design, planning, consenting and construction of an informal cycleway or shared path alongside Marine Parade can be prepared, however, other Community Board work would need to be delayed to deliver. It is noted that this issue is not listed as a priority in the Community Board Plan-	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex Rates impact minor below 0.00% each year.	C. Schedule 3	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 3 relating to Schedule 3 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
68	A68: That the Council requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the following reports: A68a. Investigate costed options and timeframes for including additional improvements to the intersection of Waterloo Road and Gilberthorpes Road alongside Project #26608 - Major Cycleway - South Express Route (Section 1) Hei Hei to Jones ((Execute) Construction), including options for either installing traffic signals or making Waterloo Road a left in, left out only from Gilberthorpes Road.		<p>Major Cycleway - South Express (Section 1) Hei Hei to Jones (# 26608) is in the proposed capital programme with delivery over FY25 to FY27.</p> <p>This project is Shovel Ready funded and has scope to deliver a cycleway connection across the Parker Street arm of the intersection. The additional scope to deliver changes to the intersection need to be addressed as a separate project. The issues with the intersection are not integral to the activity of the MCR network. Integrating this work with the MCR project has the potential of delaying the MCR benefits and/or causing safety concerns for the cycle network.</p> <p>This intersection is not listed as a priority in the Community Board Plan.</p> <p>The intersection interacts with the KiwiRail network and will result in the usual time and budget challenges associated with rail projects.</p> <p>Staff are aware of the concerns of the local community and any potential changes to the intersection will have budget implications. This is not resourced at this stage.</p> <p>A project candidate was proposed for the 2024/34 Long Term Plan and with the prioritisation and deliverability assessment it did not make the proposed list.</p> <p>Should this amendment be accepted it should be to initiate a separate project to address the concerns at the intersection. The delivery risks are high with KiwiRail interaction/a five arm intersection and costs are unknown (estimate \$3,000,000 to \$5,000,000).</p> <p>It should also be anticipated that when compared with other intersections across the city this project will not meet the threshold for subsidy as a safety project. Should a project progress then it will have to follow the full business case process and there should be an assumption that NZTA are unlikely to subsidise. Any cost assumptions should assume 0% subsidy.</p> <p>A report to investigate on costed options and timeframes for including additional improvements to the intersection of Waterloo Road and Gilberthorpes Road alongside Project #26608 - Major Cycleway - South Express Route (Section 1) Hei Hei to Jones ((Execute) Construction), including options for either installing traffic signals or making Waterloo Road a left in, left out only from Gilberthorpes Road, would require substitutions. This request cannot be undertaken as part of the MCR South Express project.</p>	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Capex Rates impact between 0.03% - 0.06% depending on cost.	C. Schedule 3	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 3 relating to Schedule 3 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
87	A87: That the Council requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the following reports: A87a: Measures taken to reduce the carbon output of staff trips to Banks Peninsula, including but not limited to rideshare, by the end of December 2024.		<p>Staff collect data on Council's operational greenhouse gas emissions. A report on annual emissions for 2023 is going through an external auditing and verification process and will then be presented to the Council. This data includes emissions from petrol and diesel vehicles but does not distinguish whether the trip was to Banks Peninsula or elsewhere. This data is used by staff for planning of emissions reductions, including production of an overall Emissions Reduction Plan for the Council.</p> <p>As vehicles come up for replacement they are replaced with greener options were possible. For example, a 4WD Ute is replaced with a Hybrid 4WD SUV. Our petrol fleet is being changed for Electric and where range is an issue we are looking at Hybrids as well, including for use on Banks Peninsula. We are actively considering ways to consolidate our petrol fleet number down further and in turn further reduce our emissions.</p>	No rates impact - not applicable Capex/Opex: N/A	C. Schedule 3	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 3 relating to Schedule 3 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
89	A89: That the Council requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the following reports: A89a. Options for enabling and funding the Community Boards to have greater autonomy to make local transport decisions, in time to inform the Annual Plan 25-26.		<p>Following a discussion with the heads of Transport and Community Support & Partnerships, staff are offering to work with the proposer and other councillors/board chairs to understand the desired outcomes and frame possible solutions, if any.</p> <p>This advice cannot be provided to inform the draft Long Term Plan. Advice may be able to be refined to inform the final Long-Term Plan and would need to include how to address delegation levels, procurement processes, operational delivery etc.</p> <p>Resource implications including costs and time of providing advice are unknown at this stage. It is noted that budget will be identified from within existing resources and re prioritised.</p>	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Capex Investigation and preparation of the report will have no rates impact.	C. Schedule 3	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 3 relating to Schedule 3 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
96	A96. That the Council requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the following reports: A96a. Options for reducing the Council's digital operational budget by \$1 million and report back to the Council by May 2024 to allow for consideration in the Long Term Plan 24-34.		<p>Management is cognisant of the financial challenges of Council and is proactively applying measures to reduce the operational spend of all units.</p> <p>Digital's larger actions for example include: replacing contractors with permanent staff to offset operational overspend, continuing their analysis of outsourcing arrangements to determine the best cost effective perspective, and reviewing the licensing subscription model to determine the best fit operationally and financially.</p>	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Opex FY25 = -0.15%	C. Schedule 3	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 3 relating to Schedule 3 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
114	A114: That the Council requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the following reports: A114a. Costed options and timeframes for the construction of a footpath or shared path along Cashmere Road between Sutherlands Road and Halswell Quarry carpark, noting existing footpaths along Cashmere Road between Halswell Quarry car park and Kennedy's Bush Road.		Draft 6 of the proposed capital programme has #75051 - Programme - New Footpaths. This programme has \$375,000 annually. Some footpath projects can be very complex due to issues such as drainage, utilities and structures. Therefore, they need to be defined in the LTP as a stand-alone project. These can be drawn down from the programme. All footpath works should be prioritised on a city-wide and needs basis. It is noted that improved footpath connections are listed as a priority for the Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board. An alternative solution (refer to #118) would be to propose additional budget is considered for the new footpaths programme (#75051) from FY26 onwards as part of the FY26 Annual Pan and that staff undertake an exercise in year one of the LTP (FY25) to determine the requirements for new footpaths on a city-wide basis. This exercise would consider information from service requests, feedback from the Community Boards and area engineer knowledge. It would also provide a prioritisation process to ensure that city-wide benefits are maximised, e.g. funding. The resulting plan would inform the Annual Plan and future LTP's. Assessment work will be completed using existing resources and budgets.	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Capex Rates impact dependent on the complexity of the project, and whether it can be accommodated within Programme works or will require a dedicated project.	C. Schedule 3	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 3 relating to Schedule 3 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
119	A119: That the Council requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the following reports: A119a: Costed options and timeframes for providing walking and cycling connections between the 418 Main South Road (The Hub Hornby) and the Hornby Mega Centre on Main South Road.		Draft 6 of the proposed capital programme has #75051 - Programme - New Footpaths. This programme has \$375,000 annually. Some footpath projects can be very complex due to issues such as drainage, utilities and structures. Therefore, they need to be defined in the LTP as a stand-alone project. These can be drawn down from the programme. All footpath works should be prioritised on a city-wide and needs basis. It is noted that improved footpath connections are listed as a priority for the Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board. Complex projects such as the Countdown footpath have been considered by staff and assessed to be high cost and lower benefit due to the fact that there is an alternative safe route. Staff have also assessed the risk of cost and time escalation being high for that specific project due to the interaction with both the state highway and the KiwiRail network. An alternative solution (#118) would be to propose additional budget be assigned to the new footpaths programme (#75051) from FY26 onwards as part of the FY26 Annual Pan and that staff undertake an exercise in year one of the LTP (FY25) to determine the requirements for new footpaths on a city-wide basis. This exercise would consider information from service requests, feedback from the Community Boards and area engineer knowledge. It would also provide a prioritisation process to ensure that city-wide benefits are maximised, e.g. funding. The resulting plan would inform the Annual Plan and future LTP's. A high-level cost estimate of the requested projects cannot be provided at this stage. Staff could undertake an investigation and report back to inform future Annual or Long-Term Plans. An estimated budget of \$100K would be required and undertaking the work is likely to impact other work programmes.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex Rates impact of the investigation FY25 = 0.01%	C. Schedule 3	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 3 relating to Schedule 3 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)
122	A122: That the Council requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the following reports: A122a: Opportunities to develop a community-led parks and berms maintenance program with community groups and community minded people, including options to consider a pilot project.		A report on options of opportunities to develop a community-led parks and berms maintenance program with community groups and, including options to consider a pilot project would have timing and cost implications and resource requirements are not known at this stage. This piece of work would require a reprioritisation of other existing works or projects.	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Capex + Opex	C. Schedule 3	This amendment was block resolved in resolution 3 relating to Schedule 3 (refer to section 3.3 of the Minutes)



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
1.1	<p>A1.1. That the Council notes: A1.1a. Confirms budget for #74029 - New Dog Park - South West Christchurch is within the draft LTP 2024-34- Budget includes an allocation of \$550,000 for #74029 - New Dog Park - South West Christchurch as follows: \$50,000 #74092 - New Dog Park - South West Christchurch in FY 27 \$200,000 #61783 - Programme - Community Parks Buildings, Structures and Furnishings New Development in FY 29 \$100,000 #61783 - Programme - Community Parks Buildings, Structures and Furnishings New Development in FY 30 A1.1b. Subject to consultation, the dog park can be located on land recently vacated by the Greyhound Club.</p>	Updates to this amendment were made during the meeting.	<p>No amendment required as funding already available. A dog Park can be located in the land recently vacated by the Greyhound Club, subject to consultation.</p> <p>\$550,000 budget already allocated for this project in the proposed Long Term Plan, broken down as follows: - FY27 \$50,000 in project #74029 - New Dog Park - South West Christchurch - FY28 \$ 200,000 in programme #61783 - Programme - Community Parks Buildings, Structures and Furnishings New Development - FY29 \$ 200,000 in programme #61783 - Programme - Community Parks Buildings, Structures and Furnishings New Development - FY30 \$ 100,000 in programme #61783 - Programme - Community Parks Buildings, Structures and Furnishings New Development</p>	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: Capex	D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED
11	<p>A1.1. That the Council notes: A1.1a. The grass at the Bexley Dog Park will be replaced using existing operational budget in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 via the improved maintenance programme, which is due to commence from 1 July 2024.</p>	This amendment was updated prior to the meeting.	<p>No amendment required as funding is already available in Opex. This can be managed through improved maintenance programme once maintenance is carried out directly by in house staff from July 1, 2024 onwards. No additional funding required.</p>	TBC	D. Citizens & Community	The meeting agreed that this amendment was a confirmation of budget and was therefore agreed to.
29	<p>A29. That the Council: A29a. Agrees that all Council's Community Grants and Funds are held at the level dated 1/7/2023, and are not adjusted for inflation in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34.</p>		<p>This proposed amendment is straightforward to implement, does not target one particular area and will result in ongoing savings of about \$200k per annum (to be confirmed).</p> <p>The saving is based on the fact that the Strengthening Communities funds are inflation adjusted. Regarding Rates-funded general grants, strengthening communities are inflation adjusted, the rest are not.</p> <p>There is limited reputational risk to Council from lowering its commitment to funding at a time of greater community need.</p>	Rates - impact provided FY25 = (0.02%)	D. Citizens & Community	LOST
1.1	<p>A1.1. That the Council: A1.1b. Removes project 21789 - Carrs Reserve Club Location from the draft Long Term Plan 2024-34, noting this has a proposed capital budget of \$4,164,684.</p>	This amendment was withdrawn by the Mover during the meeting.	<p>61789 - Carrs Reserve Club Location Remove from LTP - \$4,001,303 saving This will require a Council decision to discontinue this project. A staff report is planned in the first half of 2024 on a potential relocation option.</p>	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex FY25 = 0.00% FY26 = 0.00% FY27 = (0.01%) FY28 = (0.03%)	D. Citizens & Community	WITHDRAWN
2	<p>A2. That the Council: A2a. Confirms funding for the design and construction of a replacement changing facility and public toilets on Branston Park, Hornby is funded within #61793 - Programme - Community Parks Planned Buildings Renewals in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34. Allocates the following budget from #61793 - Programme - Community Parks Planned Buildings Renewals in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 for the design and construction of a replacement changing facility and public toilets on Branston Park, Hornby: A2ai. \$100,000 in FY26- and A2a.ii. \$1,000,000 across FY 27 and FY 28.</p>	Updates to this amendment were made during the meeting.	<p>Branston Park condition rating has not yet triggered a requirement to renew the existing facility, the budget could be accommodated from the programme level for Community Parks Planned Buildings Renewals in FY26, FY27 and FY28, so no amendment to the proposed long term plan is required.</p>	No rates impact - not applicable Capex/Opex: N/A	D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED
3	<p>A3. That the Council: A3a. Invests \$120,000 in FY 2024/25 to implement the Council's Pest Plant Management Plan currently being finalised</p>	Updates to this amendment were made prior to the meeting.	<p>The Pest plant management plan is currently being finalised and operationalised. The plan will be rolled out and implemented across all land holdings within council.</p> <p>No further budget required.</p>	TBC	D. Citizens & Community	LOST
4	<p>A4. That the Council: A4a. Confirms that the the design and construction of renewed toilet facilities on Addington Park is budgeted within the #61793 - Programme - Community Parks Planned Buildings Renewals in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34. Allocates the following budget from #61793 - Programme - Community Parks Planned Buildings Renewals in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 budget for the design and construction of renewed toilet facilities on Addington Park: A4ai. \$100,000 in FY 26- and A4a.ii. \$500,000 in FY 27-.</p>	Updates to this amendment were made during the meeting.	<p>The Addington Park toilets are not currently scheduled for renewal. These toilets are located in a sports park and have been an ongoing source of concern regarding anti-social behaviour. Therefore, consideration could be given to prioritising the renewal through the LTP #61793 - Programme - Community Parks Planned Buildings Renewals which has funding available for unallocated projects. Parks staff advice is for this to be completed over 2 years, commencing in 2026 (\$100k in FY26 for design and \$500k in FY27 for build).</p>	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: Capex	D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
5	<p>A5. That the Council: Confirms and commits to the design, consent and construction of a pocket park on the current site of the Upper Riccarton Memorial Library beginning in FY 25 in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34.</p> <p>A5. That the Council: A5a. Commits to the design, consent and construction of a pocket park on the current site of the Upper Riccarton Memorial Library beginning in FY 25 in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34. A5b. Notes the Waipuna Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board's commitment of up to \$75,000 of its "Better Off" fund to this project. A5c. Notes that if sufficient funds are not available for allocation from the #61782 Programme - Community Parks New Development Long Term Plan 24-34 budget, additional funding will be addressed through a future Annual or Long Term Plan process.</p>	Updates to this amendment were made during the meeting.	<p>Parks unit will complete design, consent etc with Community Board allocated funding. At this time costs can be determined, and funding allocated from parks development programme level funding from future years, or funding can be addressed through the next annual plan programme.</p> <p>Already within budget.</p> <p>The Community Board commitment of \$75,000 will be used to complete the design and consent, thereafter costs can be determined. It is not clear which financial year the Community Board are committing their portion of the Better Off Funding to this project. Better Off Funding money needs to be spent initially by the Council and then reimbursed by the Department of Internal Affairs. Therefore, it is advisable that the Council seeks reimbursement as soon as possible to ensure the allocation is spent within the milestones agreed (before or by 30 June 2027), regardless of the stage of the project. This will mean that the BOF money from the Government will be spent before ratepayer dollars are used. The project will achieve the same outcomes. The remaining costs to complete the project will be allocated from Parks Development Programme level funding, or addressed through the next Annual Plan programme.</p> <p>\$6.4 million budget already noted in proposed Long Term Plan for currently available for projects for #61782 - Programme - Community Parks New Development, broken down as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- FY27 \$142,969- FY28 \$1,086,000- FY29 \$1,147,350- FY30 \$816,397- FY31 \$1,877,725- FY32 \$0- FY33 \$538,564- FY34 \$814,553 <p>,</p>	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: Capex	D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED
6	<p>A6. That the Council: A6a. Confirms the funding for the development of Sockburn park as follows: i. FY 26- \$ 49,209 ii. FY 27- \$ 95,830 iii. FY28 - \$ 418,481 A6b. Notes that: i. This is a priority of the Waipuna Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board. ii. Scope and deliverability is subject to the findings of the contaminated land assessment in FY26. iii. Dependent on the findings of the contaminated land assessment, funding for works has been brought forward to FY28.</p> <p>Officer alternative A6. That the Council: Confirms funding for #61782 Programme - Community Parks New Development is in budget and will be bought forward if it is deliverable. Councillor original amendment: A6a. Notes that the proposed budget for #61782 Programme - Community Parks New Development in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 capital programme has an indicative allocated budget of \$563,519 towards the Sockburn Park development as follows: - FY 26 \$49,209 - FY 27 \$95,830 - FY 30 \$38,145 - FY 31 \$380,336 A6b. Agrees to bring forward the proposed budget from #61782 Programme - Community Parks New Development in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 for the Sockburn Park development as follows: A6bi. FY 29 \$38,145 from FY 30; and A6bii. FY 30 \$380,336 from FY 31. A6c. Prioritises the redesign and redevelopment of the Sockburn Park with construction work beginning in FY 29. A6d. Notes the Sockburn Park development is a priority of the Waipuna Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board, which has committed \$25,000 to the project. Councillor updated amendment via email (14/02/2024 3:40pm): Commits and confirms funding for #61782 Programme - Community Parks New Development is in budget and will commence.</p>	The updated amendment was tabled at the reconvened meeting on 21 February 2024 and carried on that date.	<p>No adjustment required as already funded as follows: Community Parks New Development has an allocation towards Sockburn Park development at a programme level, with an indicated budget of \$563,519 broken down as follows at the moment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- FY26 \$49,209- FY27 \$95,830- FY30 \$38,145- FY31 \$380,336 <p>We can confirm that there is some budget at programme level (\$240,000) in years FY28 and FY29 that could accommodate moving some of this project forward to these years without requiring a substitution.</p>	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex FY29 = 0.00% FY30 = 0.00% FY31 = 0.00%	D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
8	<p>A8. That the Council:</p> <p><u>A8a. Confirms that the renewal of the toilet facilities in Wainui, Banks Peninsula is bugeted within #61741 - Programme - Regional Parks Planned Buildings Renewals in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34.</u></p> <p>Allocates funding for the complete renewal of the toilet facilities in Wainui, Banks Peninsula from the #61741 - Programme - Regional Parks Planned Buildings Renewals budget in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34.</p>	Updates to this amendment were made during the meeting.	<p>No amendment required, as funding is already available.</p> <p>Maintenance and repair works on the Stanbury Reserve Toilets (Wainui) to resolve general maintenance issues have been completed.</p> <p>Options and costings for the toilet facilities refurbishment/replacement are being undertaken for the most economical and sustainable method of improvement. This will be prioritised and funded through the existing LTP budgets.</p> <p>\$3,3 million budget already noted in proposed Long Term Plan for currently available for projects for #61741 - Programme - Regional Parks Planned Buildings Renewals, broken down as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- FY27 \$521,684- FY28 \$450,413- FY29 \$546,523- FY30 \$594,516- FY31 \$569,387- FY32 \$304,050- FY33 \$28,742- FY34 \$326,249	No rates impact - already budgeted Capex/Opex: Capex	D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED
10	<p><u>A10: That the Council</u></p> <p><u>A10a: Notes that funding is available for the acquisition of additional greenspace in Linwood Woolston.</u></p> <p>A10: That the Council provide \$10 million via Development Contributions as a growth project for the acquisition of additional greenspace in Linwood Woolston to cater for increased sports field need, in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34.</p>	Updates to this amendment were made during the meeting.	Staff are actively progressing acquisition of Garrick Park from the Woolston Club. Funding can be brought back from existing years to fund this purchase.	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Capex	D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED
28	<p>Foreshadowed Motion if amendment A29 fails</p> <p>A28. That the Council:</p> <p>A28a. Increases the Sustainability Fund budget by \$20,000, from \$380,000 to \$400,000 in FY 25 in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34.</p> <p>A28b. Notes the Sustainability fund is currently scheduled to end after FY 25.</p> <p>A28c. Agrees to reinstate the Sustainability Fund at \$400, 000 in FY 26 and \$400,000 in FY 27 in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34.</p>		<p>The Sustainability Fund is scheduled to an end in financial year 2024/25 with no budget beyond this point. Applicants would then be referred to a number of other contestable funds depending on the nature of the subject matter.</p> <p>The cost or reinstating the Sustainability Fund over 2025/26 and 2026/27 would be \$800,000.</p> <p>If the proposed amendment were adopted there would be an unbudgeted increase in operational expenditure of \$400,000 in 2025/26 and 2026/27.</p>	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Opex FY25 = 0.00% FY26 = 0.05% FY27 = 0.00%	D. Citizens & Community	LOST
35	<p>A35. That the Council:</p> <p>A35a. Increases the proposed capital budget for #61796 - Programme - Community Parks Planned Asset Renewals (Concept) in the draft Long-Term Plan 24-34 by \$1,813,463 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- an increase of \$507,231 to \$1,014,462 in FY 25- an increase of \$696,620 to \$1,393,240 in FY 26- an increase of \$609,612 to \$1,219,214 in FY 27		<p>The budget is considered adequate for the currently planned programme of works.</p> <p>A Playground network plan will be delivered by December 2024. Adoption of this Plan will facilitate a strategic approach to asset renewals and any changes to the budget required to support implementation of the Plan can be dealt with via a future annual plan process.</p>	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex If the proposed increases occurred then the rates impact would be as follows: FY25 = 0.00% FY26 = 0.01% FY27 = 0.01%	D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED
36	<p>A36: That the Council:</p> <p>A36a: Increases the budget for 75711 - Coastal and Plains Restoration and Pest Control in the draft Long-Term Plan 2024-34 by \$597,500 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- an increase of \$150,000 to \$300,000 in FY2025- an increase of \$147,500 to \$300,000 in FY2026- an increase of \$300,000 to \$300,000 in FY2027	Updates to this amendment were made prior to the meeting.	Budget allocation for the Coastal and Plains Restoration and Pest Control is \$150,000 in 2025 and \$152,500 in 2026, this is currently considered adequate from staff on the ground.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex If the proposed increases occurred then the rates impact would be as follows: FY25 = 0.00% FY26 = 0.00% FY27 = 0.00% If the staff advice is followed, there will be no rates impact.	D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
37	A37: That the Council: A37a. Increases the budget for 75712 - Port Hills and Banks Peninsula Restoration and Pest Control in the draft Long Term Plan 2024-34 by \$597,500 as follows: - an increase of \$150,000 to \$300,000 in FY2025 - an increase of \$147,500 to \$300,000 in FY2026 - an increase of \$300,000 to \$300,000 in FY2027	Updates to this amendment were made prior to the meeting.	Budget allocation for the Banks Peninsula and Port Hills Restoration and Pest Control is \$150,000 in 2025 and \$152,500 in 2026, this is currently considered adequate from staff on the ground.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex If the proposed increases occurred then the rates impact would be as follows: FY25 = 0.00% FY26 = 0.00% FY27 = 0.00% If the staff advice is followed, there will be no rates impact.	D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED
53	A53. That the Council: A53a. Includes \$800,000 in FY 32/33 and \$2,000,000 in FY 33/34 of capital funding in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34, towards the development of a locally focused community facility in partnership with a community-based organisation, in the Preston's/Marshland area as generally envisaged by the Council's Community Facility Network Plan 2020 and the Waitai Coastal-Burwood-Linwood Community Board Plan 2022/25. A53b. Notes that the Council's contribution is conditional upon: A53i. An identified community partner organisation contributing 50% of the construction cost (not including land improvements). A53ii. The facility scope not duplicating or otherwise compromising other Council or community, recreation, sporting or community services in the local area. A53iii. A feasibility study and business plan confirming the need for a facility, its scope, accessibility to community, and sustainable ongoing operation by a community partner organisation with no ongoing operational contribution by the Council. A53c. Continues to investigate appropriate Council-owned land in the Preston's/Marshland area to locate the community facility, noting that any Council financial contribution can be applied to land improvements to best accommodate a facility on the site.	Updates to this amendment were made prior to the meeting.	Council's Community Facilities Network Plan envisages the need for a new community facility, developed in partnership with the community, serving a local community in the "new suburbs" of the city's northwest. This is supported by the Waitai Community Board Plan 2022/25 - this project is a top priority. The proposed amendment would be consistent with the Council's Community Facilities Network Plan and the Waitai Community Board's Plan. The \$800,000 in FY 33 and \$2,000,000 in FY 34 would need to be added to the LTP 2024-34 budget.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Opex FY33 = 0.21% FY34 = 0.00%	D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED
54	A54. That the Council: A54a. Includes budget in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 for a temporary facility for the South Library during the rebuild at a budget of \$375,000 in FY 24/25, \$750,000 in FY 25/26 and \$375,000 in FY 26/27.		The provision of a temporary facility for the south library during the rebuild should be considered in the following context: - Library services can be provided by extending the hours of the nearby Spreydon Library, 2.4 km away from South, through the mobile library service and through numerous digital channels. - Customer services can be provided at the nearby Pioneer Recreation and Sports Centre, 2.7km away, on line, by telephone or at Civic. - Governance Services can be provided through the Smith Street Board Rooms that were recently upgraded to provide this function over the South Library shutdown. Locally based staff can work from a number of local facilities. This is consistent with other rebuild projects. - The cost of providing a temporary facility is circa \$1.2m with every indication that this will increase. - The temporary facility will require a building and technology upgrade the value of which will be "sunk" into an asset that is not community owned and therefor, lost. - The South Library project is \$10m short of funds needed to complete, there is a bid for a \$10m increase in the draft LTP. - A temporary facility represents a significant increased workload for staff that will need to be delivered concurrent with preparing for the opening of Omokihi.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Opex FY25 = 0.05% FY26 = 0.05% FY27 = (0.05%)	D. Citizens & Community	LOST



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
55	Foreshadowed Motion if amendment A29 fails A55. That the Council: A55a. Increases the Biodiversity Fund from \$340,000 to \$500,000 in FY 24/25 in the draft Long Term Plan 24-25.		The proposed Biodiversity Fund increase needs to be considered in the light of: - There is no evidence as to what specific benefits or outcomes would be achieved by increasing the value of the Fund. - The proposed increase coincides with "peak-rates", the time when Council is under the most significant financial pressure. - Many potential beneficiaries of the Biodiversity Fund would also be eligible for Strengthening Communities funding at a city-wide and a local level. - The Biodiversity Fund has already doubled in size from its original amount. - Temporarily reducing the value of the Fund between 2025 and 2027 is a tool to help alleviate the burden of "peak-rates" on communities. - In the 2021/31 LTP biodiversity funding is scheduled to increase to \$439k in 2024/25 and \$552k in 2025/26 and \$511 p.a. thereafter and no changes have been made to this in the draft LTP2024-34.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Opex FY25 = 0.02% FY26 = (0.02%)	D. Citizens & Community	LOST
56	A56. That the Council: A56a. Notes that project #20053 Shirely Community Centre budget in the proposed Capital Programme for the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 has allocated budget in FY 29/30 and FY 30/31; and A56b. Agrees to bring forward the budget for the Shirely Community Centre in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 as follows: - \$245,346 in FY 25 - \$1,200,000 in FY 26 - \$2,260,000 in FY 27 A56c. Notes that the budget for the operational costs of the Shirley Community Centre are unlikely to exceed \$40,000 per annum, and that this budget will be dealt with through the Annual Plan 25-26 process.		This facility is an existing level of service and a Waipapa Board priority. The results of a Council funded feasibility study will now inform Board decision making on scope, function and community partners in a manner consistent with the 2021/31 LTP. It was always envisaged that existing funding in the latter years of the 2021/31 LTP would be brought back when the project was ready to proceed. Existing CAPEX, in CPMS project 20023, could be brought back: From: 2029/30 - \$245,000; 2030/31 \$3,460,000 To: 2024/25 - \$245,000; 2025/26 - \$1,200,000; 2026/27 - \$2,260,000 If the amendment is adopted there will be an additional cost of borrowing due to funds being brought forward. However, this will be potentially offset by the project being cheaper to build by avoiding the impact of project escalation currently estimated at 5% p.a. - CAPEX provision in year 2026/27 will be adjusted accordingly. When the concept design and nature of the community partnership are understood an application will be made to the 2025/26 annual plan for OPEX costs, this will not exceed \$40,000 p.a. and may well be offset by the impact of Council decision making on retiring other facilities.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex FY26 = 0.01% FY27 = 0.01% FY31 = (0.01%) FY32 = (0.02%)	D. Citizens & Community	LOST
57	A57. That the Council: <u>Includes \$178,499 per annum to the facilities maintenance budget to maintain community facilities to a minimum standard in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 and brief Council on the forward work programme for community facilities, including which facilities are in managed decline and expected to eventually taken out of service prior to the Long Term Plan adoption meeting.</u> A57a. Briefs the Community Boards and Councillors on the forward work programme and plans for community facilities ahead of the adoption of the final Long Term Plan 24-34; and A57b. Includes \$178,499 per annum to the facilities maintenance budget to maintain community facilities to a minimum standard in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34. or alternative to A57b: A57c. Includes \$329,000 per annum to the facilities maintenance budget to maintain community facilities to an adequate standard in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34.	Updates to this amendment were made during the meeting.	The proposed amendment is inconsistent with current levels of service, and the Community Facilities Network Plan which both provide for the managed decline of some community facility assets over time, i.e. Council's current and historic approach. A change in Council's current approach involving maintaining the existing portfolio at the original intended build quality, will require an additional minimum of \$329,547 OPEX, increased by inflation annually. It would also require a minimum additional CAPEX of \$2,900,000 per annum over the next 20 years, increased by inflation annually. Based on recent experience with building costs these estimates are likely to grow by up to 25%. As an alternative Council could consider increasing the number of annual routine maintenance plans to optimise the whole-of-life cost of facilities across the portfolio from 314 plans to 437 plans at a (2023) cost of \$178,499 per annum. To alleviate immediate pressure on rates this could be deferred to begin in financial year 2025/26, budgets should be inflation adjusted annually. If the proposed amendment were to be adopted Council would be acting in a manner inconsistent with its policy. Substantial increases in financial resources will be needed over many years. Investment would be made in facilities that cannot be justified by use, the availability of alternatives or the deteriorated condition/vulnerability of the facility itself.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex + Opex FY25 = 0.06% FY26 = 0.03% FY27 = 0.02% FY28 = 0.02% FY29 = 0.02% FY30 = 0.02% FY31 = 0.02% FY32 = 0.02% FY33 = 0.02% FY34 = 0.02%	D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED
59	A59. That the Council: A59a. Allocates \$5 million over 10 years of the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 to: A59i. Provide necessary expertise to develop the Lower Ōpāwaho /Heathcote River Guidance Plan into an implementation plan with prioritised projects; and A59ii. Commence implementation. A59b. Notes that the Waihoru Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote Community Board will consider sponsoring the Ōpāwaho Heathcote River Network and contribute Better Off funding to the project.		The proposed amendment provides an appropriate mechanism and resources for the Council to develop an implementation plan with prioritised projects. Governance oversight from the Waihoru CB is appropriate. It is likely that a separate decision making process would be needed to initiate prioritised projects, that are affordable within the \$5 million budget proposed. However, this will depend on their nature. The \$5 million is additional budget and would need to provide for staff and other resources.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Opex FY25 = 0.07%	D. Citizens & Community	LOST



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
75	<u>A75: That the Council removes the pay to play system at Ngā Puna Wai Sports Hub Tennis Courts from the draft 2024-34 Long Term Plan.</u>	Updates to this amendment were made prior to the meeting.	Managing the spaces at Ngā Puna Wai is based on the original operational approach and agreement held between Council and the founding Sports Partners. Tennis Canterbury made a significant contribution to the installation of the tennis courts and now pay for their annual use. The initial plan was for Tennis Canterbury to install a pay to play system to enable and support public use. This was not achieved and initially locks were installed on the gates, during covid times the locks were removed. RSE are now planning to install an automated pay to play system to enable public bookings and access. This information has been placed on the Tennis Court gates to notify the public. The one-off cost to install the Pay for Play system is \$5,400. This will improve the efficiency and accuracy of managing Tennis Canterbury use (circa \$10k pa), and a conservative estimate of \$7000 of public use. A one-off cost of \$5,400 to generate a conservative estimate of \$17k per annum. The cost for the community use of a court is \$11.20 - per court Note: The tennis courts are International Tennis Federation Class 1 (Laykold) Not asphalt The proposed pay for play system is utilised by many sporting clubs including tennis as the most efficient way to collect fees for community use.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Opex Minor rates impact below 0.00% per year.	D. Citizens & Community	LOST
76	A76. That the Council: A76a. Removes the proposed off street car parking charges for the Botanic Gardens from the draft Long Term Plan 24-34.	This amendment failed for lack of a Seconder during the meeting.	The \$2 Million revenue loss will increase the rate requirement to enable a balanced budget.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Opex 0.31% increase in rates	D. Citizens & Community	NOT CONSIDERED
80	<u>A80: That the Council:</u> <u>A80a: Continues to improve its ability to assess the carbon impact of capital projects to enable more fully informed decision making, and that staff report back to Council by 31 August 2024 at latest on a staged path to build this into future reporting, noting that initial assessments have been made for this LTP based on emissions factors and that work in this space is continuing to evolve.</u>	Updates to this amendment were made prior to the meeting.	Note: Staff will speak to this on the day. Finance comments and a legal assessment will also need to be provided verbally on the day		D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED
86	<u>A86: Tha the Council:</u> <u>A86a: Requests staff provide a report to provide details on the implementation of Action 2 of Objective 1.1 and Action 1 of Objective 1.3 of the Urban Forest Plan in time for the final Long Term Plan considerations.</u> A86: That the Council provide the funding: a. to implement Action 2 of Objective 1.1 of the Urban Forest Plan to undertake a desktop analysis of our city to locate viable planting spaces across Council land and work with Council ecologists to locate areas that should not be planted for ecological reasons in 2024 – 2026; and b. to implement Action 1 of Objective 1.3 of the Urban Forest Plan to develop a comprehensive list of engineering design standards to allow trees to be incorporated into our streets, and how they can be used for other functions, such as speed management in 2024 – 2026; and c. to prioritise work that progresses the equity minimum of 15% total canopy cover per ward, and work with a particular focus on transport corridors.	Updates to this amendment were made during the meeting.	Note: The proposed amendment has been altered since the staff and finance comments were provided - staff and finance comments and a legal assessment will need to be provided verbally on the day "The cost of planting street trees varies greatly depending on the implementation requirements. Considerations for implementation include: - whether there is space to plant in the berm or tree pits need to be created. - the presence of underground or overhead services. - whether there are any traffic resolutions that are impacted e.g. no-stopping lines. - what community feedback has been. There is not enough information to inform the draft Long-Term Plan. Staff will work with the proposer and other Cllrs with similar requests to clearly understand the desired outcomes and frame possible solutions. This advice cannot be provided to inform the draft Long Term Plan. Advice may be able to be refined to inform the final Long Term Plan. Resource implications including costs, time and scope requirements for each Ward for providing advice are unknown at this stage. There needs to be an assessment of all proposals as part of the LTP process to clearly understand the impact on the work programme for staff. This will have to address the resource implications and estimate any cost implications. Staff are working through the logistics of all the requests and anticipate that initial conversations will have been had with all Councillors that have proposed amendments by the end of March 2024. From that a work plan and prioritisation will be reported back to Council before moving forward with any proposals. If a number of these amendments are accepted for the draft LTP there will be resourcing implications for the Operations Team which will impact the ability of the Area Engineers to deliver priorities from the Community Board Plans.	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Opex	D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
127	A127: That the Council: A127a: Add the following budget to FY 25 of the Long Term Plan 24-34 for provision of a temporary toilet at Duvauchelle boat ramp: - \$5,000 Capex - \$10,000 Opex; and A127b: Request staff to investigate and report back on options for permanent toilet facilities at Duvauchelle boat ramp.		There is currently no funding allocated for this, and the cost is unknown as no planning work has been done yet. However, investigation into a proposed toilet in the area can be done within the next LTP, though this might impact other work. A temporary toilet would cost approx. 5K capex and 10K opex per annum - Neither are included in draft LTP2024-34.	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Capex + Opex Rates impact of the temporary toilet would be less than 0.00%.	D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED
A.PC	APC. That the Council request staff provide information to Council by June 2024 on the effect of commencing inflation proofing the Capital Endowment Fund from year 3 of the Long Term Plan 24-34.	This amendment was tabled at the reconvened meeting on 21 February 2024 and carried that day.			D. Citizens & Community	CARRIED
62	A62: That the Council: A62a. Remove the external heritage budget, except for that funded from the targeted rate; and A63b. Request staff to investigate and report back on duplication of functions between Heritage New Zealand and the Council's internal Heritage functions.		<u>Grant funding</u> Funding for targeted rate levies are being retained for the Cathedral, Arts Centre, and Museum. As discussed in a Council briefing on 23rd January 2024: - Funding for heritage incentive grants of \$573K is not being continued. This fund ceases June 2024 and is not proposed to continued. - Funding of \$209K is proposed to be retained for heritage grants. This was already contained within the draft LTP budget. Not providing funding will mean owners of heritage buildings would not have access to this funding for repairs to Council protected heritage buildings. Repairs would therefore need to be self-funded. <u>Role of Christchurch City Council heritage team</u> Council role in heritage is different to that of Heritage New Zealand. Council's role is largely driven by the functions and duties assigned to Council under the Resource Management Act (RMA). Under the RMA, heritage is listed as a matter of national importance and requires Council to develop a District Plan that addresses the protection of heritage. Under the statutory District Plan buildings are protected and require a resource consent where demolition or alterations are proposed. These types of applications require specialist heritage and other input. In addition, Council have approved the Our Heritage Our Taonga Heritage Strategy 2019-2029. This strategy helps guides the Council's approach to heritage in Christchurch. <u>Role of Heritage NZ</u> Heritage New Zealand (HNZPT) are a part of Central Government and have responsibility for a number of assets which they own and maintain for the entire country including the Kate Shepherd House in Christchurch and for example Fyfe House in Kaikoura. HNZPT have their own extensive 'List' of Heritage Items across the whole country, but this list does not provide any statutory protection. The only statutory protection of heritage is through the RMA with the various district councils and their district plans. HNZPT also administer the requirements of legislation for archaeology including issuing Archaeological Authorities for excavations. The functions of NZHPT are different to that of Council. HNZPT also provide a small amount of heritage grants across New Zealand.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Opex FY25 = (0.03%)	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED
58	A58. That the Council provide information on an additional \$10,000 per Community Board to fund neighbourhood safety initiatives in partnership with schools on or near main arterial routes in time for the final LTP in June. A58. That the Council: A58a. Allocates \$10,000 for one year to each Community Board for a programme to provide safe passage to and from schools within a walkable catchment of main arterial routes until the Safer Neighbourhoods programme or traffic calming measures are implemented, in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34.	This amendment was updated during the reconvened meeting on 21 February 2024 and carried that day.	There are already suitable funding schemes at a Council and Community Board level that can be used to support this purpose. In addition, Better-off funding can be applied at a Council and Board level. Additionally, this work may also come under the responsibility of other agencies such as Waka Kotahi. Note staff will be bringing a report to Council in February 2024 on allocating Better-off funding set aside for city safety. The adoption of the amendment would result in an additional \$60k OPEX, additional work for staff and community boards, potentially duplicating an existing funding scheme and supporting safety outcomes potentially shared with other agencies.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Opex FY25 = 0.01%	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED
42	A42: That the Council requests the Chief Executive to provide budget and resource implications for commissioning the following reports: A42a: Options and timeframes for the reconfiguration of the stormwater network in Robinsons Bay to re-route the catchment along the Council road reserve rather than through private property. A42: That the Council put funding on budget as soon as is practicable for a reconfiguration of the stormwater network in Robinsons Bay so that stormwater in the main catchment is re-routed along council road reserve rather than through private property.	The alternative wording was accepted by the Mover and Seconder during the meeting.	The stormwater in this location follows an existing natural watercourse which should continue to be utilised for this purpose. Relocation of natural waterways would require consultation with Mana Whenua, along with additional budget Additional budget of approximately \$20K Opex would be required for an investigation and report back to Council on options and costings of this work.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex Investigation works rates impact: FY-25 = 0.00%	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
43	A43 That the Council: A43a. Includes \$22.5 million for Improving Urban Waterways in order to do more to improve urban water quality including increased budget for pest plant management for the Heathcote River and a plan change to protect the Heathcote/Ōpāwaho river as a site of ecological significance.	Updates to this amendment were made during the meeting.	There are three different issues included in this proposed amendment 1.Increase funding to do more to improve urban water quality 2. Increase budget for pest plant management 3. Plan change to classify the Heathcote/Ōpāwaho River as a site of ecological significance. Recommendations as follows:- 1. Should Council resolve to accelerate the water quality programme - an additional \$18.25M Capex would be required over the term of the LTP (table attached - see Water Quality sheet in this Workbook) 2. Not recommended: There is sufficient funding in contract budgets for pest plant management. Should the Pest Plant Strategy change significantly in its final adoption, an increase would be requested in subsequent Annual Plans 3. Not Recommended: The Christchurch District Plan already designates the Ōpāwaho Heathcote River as an SES. The reference is Appendix 9.1.6.1 - Schedule of Sites of Ecological Significance. Funding for recommendation 1 has been phased across the 10 years of the LTP The risk of not funding this proposal is that improvements in water quality will take a longer time period	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex FY25 = 0.00% FY26 = 0.00% FY27 = 0.00% FY28 = 0.01% FY29 = 0.02% FY30 = 0.02% FY31 = 0.02% FY32 = 0.02% FY33 = 0.02% FY34 = 0.02%	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED
46	A46. That the Council: A46a. Notes that the proposed capital programme for the Long Term Plan 24-34 has the following budget for #45165 - A3 New North-South Corridor Oram Avenue: - \$250,000 in FY 25 - \$50,000 in FY 26 - \$50,000 in FY 27 - \$50,000 in FY 30 46b. Agrees to amend the budget for project #45165 - A3 New North-South Corridor Oram Avenue in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 as follows: - \$5,500,000 in FY 26; and - \$9,085,797 in FY 29 (to allow for possible land purchase opportunities).		The Oram Avenue extension if one of the key outstanding actions from the New Brighton Masterplan, alongside improvements to the Mall. The current draft LTP contains \$4.20m for the New Brighton Mall upgrade in FY24 to FY28. This reflects Community Board priorities and builds on the Council's decision to bring forward design work into the 2023/24 FY. There is also \$400k, primarily in FY 25 to FY 27 for Oram Avenue extension. This is mainly for the landscaping of the recently demolished Westpac building. Funding for the remainder of the Oram Avenue extension work (land purchase, design , construction) is not included in the draft LTP as it is of lower priority than other projects in the Transport portfolio. The work is still considered important to achieve regeneration outcomes and is supported by the Community Board in their Community Plan. The Councillor's proposal would allow for the purchase of the required land (est at \$5 million), demolition of existing buildings and tidying the site up to an acceptable standard if the opportunity to acquire it eventuated. Subject to a willing seller, the land purchase can be delivered by existing staff resources. Given the earlier financial pressures and the other work around the area, this could be in FY 29. While having funding available for full design and construction of the street extension is preferable to meet Community Board outcomes, complete the implementation of the Masterplan and assists to make the case for compulsory acquisition (if needed), the works could be delayed beyond the time frame suggested in the amendment (e.g. after FY 34).	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex FY25 = 0.00% FY26 = 0.01% FY27 = 0.01% FY28 = 0.01% FY29 = 0.01% FY30 = 0.01% FY31 = 0.01% FY32 = 0.01% FY33 = 0.01% FY34 = 0.01%	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED
50	A50. That the Council: A50a. Increases the total budget for capital project #26622 <i>Selwyn Street Masterplan</i> to total \$850,000 in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34; and A50b. Agrees to rephase the capital project #26622 <i>Selwyn Street Masterplan Selwyn Street Masterplan</i> to FY 28 in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34.		Officers note that the project remains, as a carry forward of previously approved funding. It could be rephased to 2027/28 and the budget be increased in line with the Councillor request. The current LTP (2021-31) provides a budget of approximately \$707k for this project. The project was commenced. However, with the Waka Kotahi-led Brougham Street upgrade impacting on Council plans, staff recommended and the community board agreed (November 2022), to pause the work until more information was available. The intent was that the works (including remaining budget of \$634,170) be rephased and delivered following completion of the Brougham / Selwyn Street intersection. The mechanism for this rephasing is through a carry forward. Contractor and material cost escalations have been experienced across the capital programme. The initial scope for Selwyn Street has therefore needed to be pared back over recent years while aiming to deliver the highest priority elements within the budget allocated. The Councillor's proposed increase to the budget could achieve improved outcomes over and above the carried forward amount. To meet the full intent of the original master plan would require closer to \$2m today. This amount is harder to justify as from an economic recovery perspective the centre has recovered reasonably well (e.g. vacant sites are largely redeveloped/rebuilt, remaining derelict site has a clear future outcome – to become part of the Brougham Street works – Waka Kotahi now own the land).	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex FY29 = 0.01%	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
51	A51. That the Council: A51a. Notes that the proposed Capital Programme for draft Long Term Plan 24-34 has no budget provision for project #60387 <i>Diamond Harbour Village Improvements</i> ; and A51b. Agrees to amend the budget for project #60387 <i>Diamond Harbour Village Improvements</i> in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 as follows: - \$36,319 in FY 26 - \$112,920 in FY 27 - \$463,875 in FY 28.		<p>There is a current CCC commitment to support delivery of the 'Getting to the Point' community-led plan for Diamond Harbor, in particular this project to improve the pedestrian experience and the look, feel and function of the centre. A high-level concept plan was prepared in 2017 and \$613k allocated across three years from FY26. Given this plan was community-led, it would be a good fit with the Council's Strengthening Communities Together Strategy to acknowledge and support the community's efforts to improve and 'own' their local places. Staff acknowledge the reputational risk of withdrawing from the commitments made to this community.</p> <p>However, this currently funded project doesn't meet the Transport prioritization criteria (Access, Safety, Environment) and the amount allocated may no longer be sufficient to deliver the full range of interventions anticipated – hence the staff recommendation not to include this work in the LTP</p> <p>There is a programme of minor safety improvements relating to speed in particular that address areas around schools – Diamond Harbour will be one of these. This will address some pedestrian safety concerns in Diamond Harbour (acknowledging this may not address all or the specific ones in the "Getting to the Point" work). We will also ask Transport Operations to look at signage/parking compliance etc which may improve the immediate situation at Stoddart Point.</p>	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex Minor, less than 0.00% annually	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED
63	A63. That the Council: A63a. Instructs staff to install a full set of recycled traffic lights at the intersection of Harewood/Breens/Gardiners, <u>contingent on NZTA providing funding with all the hardware to be removed from the redundant intersection of Barbadoes St and Lichfield St and</u> A63b. Ask staff to request NZTA to pay for the removal and installation of said traffic lights.	Updates to this amendment were made during the meeting.	<p>The question of constructing a signalised intersection at the intersection of Harewood Road, Gardiners Road and Breens Road has been raised at previous Annual Plans and Long Term Plans and not accepted.</p> <p>By Council resolution, staff were asked to construct a signalised intersection as part of the MCR Wheels to Wings project. This is what is progressing.</p> <p>Recycling of signals infrastructure -when decommissioning or upgrading traffic signals viable materials are retained as parts for the maintenance of other intersections.</p> <p>Given the rapid changes in technologies for signals staff would not recommend using old technology for a new site. This will cost more over the life of the asset and is not following good asset management principles.</p> <p>NZTA priorities and policies are currently under review pending decisions by the new Government.</p> <p>NZTA have previously advised that they are unlikely to subsidise a standalone project for the signalisation of the intersection. This is because when compared with other intersections across the city the project will not meet the threshold for NZTA subsidy. Should the project progress, any cost assumptions should assume 0% subsidy.</p> <p>Rough order costs indicate that should the project proceed as a standalone project the budget required would be at least \$2,000,000.</p>	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex FY25 = 0.01% FY26 = 0.01%	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED
65	A65: That the Council: A65a. Notes the total capital budget of \$557,107 for 44700 - <i>Local Cycle Network - Eastern Outer Orbital</i> has been removed from the Proposed Capital Project; A65b. Reinstates the total capital budget of \$557,107 for 44700 - <i>Local Cycle Network - Eastern Outer Orbital</i> , being \$100,000 in FY2024/25 and \$457,000 in FY2025/26 to the draft Long Term Plan 24-34.		Draft 6 of the proposed capital programme shows that project #44700 local cycle network easter outer orbiter cycle connections has been deferred beyond the 10 year period. Bringing this project back to FY25 will have resource and deliverability implications and would require substitutions.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex Rates impact minor below 0.00% each year.	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
67	A67: That the Council: A67a: Maintain the capital budget for #60099 Amyes, Awatea & Springs Intersection Safety Improvement of \$198,105 in FY25, \$1,126,703 in FY26		<p>This project #60099 Amyes, Awatea & Springs Intersection Safety Improvement is included in the FY24 Long-Term Plan with a small amount of funding to initiate the project. Limited work has been undertaken to date and details of this are attached.</p> <p>These intersections are currently listed as #286 (Awatea Springs) and #639 (Amyes Springs) in the KiwiRap assessment.</p> <p>The concern with this intersection was not listed by the Community Board as a priority in their Plan.</p> <p>Current assessments show that any solution at this intersection is more complex than originally anticipated.</p> <p>To resolve the current issues identified a significant increase in budget and/or a reduction in scope will be required. Given the complexity of the project it will have substantial resource implications. Scoping work to understand costs and implications would require approximately \$100K. This scoping could be carried out by the area engineer but this would impact other Community Board projects. A report back to Council could inform future Annual or Long-Term plans.</p> <p>discussions with NZTA have identified that when compared with other intersections across the city this project does not meet the threshold for subsidy as a safety project.</p> <p>should this project progress then it will have to follow the full business case process and there should be an assumption that NZTA are unlikely to subsidise. Any cost assumptions should assume 0% subsidy.</p> <p>If funding for project #60099 Amyes, Awatea & Springs Intersection Safety Improvement is reinstated to FY24/25 and FY25/26 in the draft 2024-2034 Long Term Plan it is likely that the Council would need to fully fund the project as this would not gain Waka Kotahi subsidy. For this project to be retained/brought forward substitutions will be required.</p>	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex FY25 = 0.00% FY26 = 0.00% FY27 = 0.00% FY28 = 0.01%	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED
71	<p>A71. That the Council: <u>That the budget for project 55894 Evans Pass Road & Reserve Terrace Remedial Works be moved out by 3 years, from what was originally planned in the LTP 21-31; and</u> A71b. <u>Staff to report back to Council through Transport's regular reporting process to provide assurance to the community that safety has been regularly assessed with a particular focus on pedestrian safety on Reserve Terrace.</u></p> <p><u>A71: That the Council:</u> <u>A71a. Notes that the proposed Proposed Capital Programme removes the capital budget of \$21,359,963 for #55894 Evans Pass Road & Reserve Terrace Remedial Works;</u> <u>A71b. Reinstates the total capital budget of \$21,359,963 for #55894 Evans Pass Road & Reserve Terrace Remedial Works to the draft Long Term Plan 24-34;</u> <u>A71c. Requests staff to report back on any safety works associated with #55894 Evans Pass Road & Reserve Terrace Remedial Works, on the part of Reserve Terrace in Lyttelton between Randolph Tce and Sumner Road, that could be expedited.</u></p>	This item was withdrawn prior to the meeting on 21 February 2024.	<p>Note: The proposed amendment has been altered since the staff and finance comments were provided - staff and finance comments and a legal assessment will need to be provided verbally on the day.</p> <p>Evans Pass Road and Sumner Road form the over-dimension and dangerous goods route to Lyttelton Port.</p> <p>#55894 Evans Pass Road & Reserve Terrace Remedial Works has had a reduced budget in Draft 6 of the proposed Long Term Plan.</p> <p>The assessment of scope requirements has resulted in a shift of prioritisation for funding on this project.</p> <p>There has been no record of safety issues along reserve Terrace since the re-opening of Sumner Road following the earthquakes. Should the Reserve Terrace works continue, it should be anticipated that when compared with other projects this project will not meet the threshold for subsidy as a safety project. It is therefore unlikely that NZTA will subsidise the project.</p> <p>An issue with pavement condition and a retaining wall have been identified at the top of Evans Pass Road, near the hair pin bend. Damage has been caused to the pavement structure and the retaining wall by water movement and under-runners.</p> <p>It is proposed to retain some budget in FY 25 and FY26 to address the immediate concern with further budget later in the Long Term Plan, as identified below.</p> <p>FY25 - \$0.6m FY26 - \$0.4m FY32 - \$1.3m FY33 - \$0.8m FY34 - \$0.4m</p> <p>Works on the initial project will need to be listed as renewals not safety works and then will be eligible for NZTA subsidy as they are under the \$2m cap for low-cost low-risk works. It is proposed to set this up as a new project moving forward.</p> <p>Estimating costs and understanding scope is very difficult on this project as the extent of the water damage is not able to be defined until construction starts.</p>	Capex/Opex:	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	WITHDRAWN
73	A73: That the Council: A73a. Retains the current budget provision in FY 25 for: - #26604 Major Cycleway Ōpāwaho River Route (Section 1) Princess Margaret Hospital to Corson Avenue (\$210,819) - #26606 Major Cycleway Ōpāwaho River Route (Section 2) Corson to Waltham (\$214,748) - #26605 Major Cycleway Ōpāwaho River Route (Section 3) Waltham to Ferrymead Bridge (\$104,750); and A73b. Notes this is effectively a bring back from FY 27 in the Proposed Capital Programme.		<p>Draft 6 of the capital programme brought all sections of the MCR Ōpāwaho into the 10 year programme.</p> <p>The amendment suggests just bringing forward scheme design and consultation.</p> <p>The proposal to bring forward the design and consultation has the following implications</p> <p>a) it will be a deliverability challenge in FY25 and FY26;</p> <p>b) just bringing forward scheme design and not construction runs the risk of negating the consultation.</p>	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex Minor rates impact, below 0.00% impact per year.	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	LOST



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
74	A74: That the Council: A74a. Increases the budget for Delivery Package - Kerb and Channel Renewals - Minor Works by \$4,000,000 to \$6,000,000 across FY 25 and FY 26 to renew Hay Street in Bromley based on Healthy Streets principles in FY 25 and FY 26; A74b. Notes that taking account of Healthy Streets principles may increase costs; A74c. Notes that community consultation on design will be required.		<p>Hay Street is approximately 1.2km long, about half of it is deep-dish kerb and channel with the remainder as flat kerb and channel. Some of the street has had utilities works that have been undertaken in trenches in the street.</p> <p>Hay Street is proposed for re-surfacing in the 2024/25 construction season.</p> <p>The condition is Hay Street is assessed as the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Road pavement - poor to average- Kerb and channel - poor to average- Footpath - average <p>Given the above assessment this places the street at about 160 on the cross-city priority list for street renewals.</p> <p>The cost of full street renewals is currently running approximately \$5,000,000 per km. Therefore, if the amendment proceeds there is need to an available budget of at least \$6,000,000.</p> <p>This cost does not take into account healthy streets principles which may increase the costs.</p> <p>Given the expected cost of the project it may need to follow the NZTA business case process for the application of funding principles.</p> <p>Should the amendment proceed there would need to be community consultation undertaken on any design that was finalised. It should also be anticipated that when compared to other streets across the city this project may not meet the threshold for subsidy. Therefore, it should be assumed that NZTA are unlikely to fund the project (0% subsidy)</p>	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex FY25 = 0.02% FY26 = 0.04%	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	LOST
79	A79: That the Council: A79a: Includes \$20,000 in FY 28/29 in the draft Long Term Plan 24-34 for staff to produce a Church Corner Master Plan, noting the proposed Kainga Ora development, plan change 14 implications and prospective growth in the area.		<p>Various ‘business as usual’ projects will continue in the Church Corner area (e.g. funded safety improvements, redevelopment of the Upper Riccarton War Memorial site for a commemorative garden, and urban design advice on development proposals). However, any further planning work for the Church Corner area around Main South Road/Riccarton Road/Bowen Street, including to integrate the proposed Kainga Ora development and improve the amenity of the shopping area, will need to await and form part of the local area plans programme.</p> <p>Church Corner is within an identified Priority Development Area in the draft Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan (GCSP). The draft Ōtautahi Christchurch Planning Programme identifies priorities for local area planning in the city, based on need and demand. The Riccarton / Upper Riccarton corridor is identified as a location for local area planning based on the greater population enabled in this corridor, the role of the centre and its location on the potential MRT route. The full programme is anticipated to take many years across both planning and delivery.</p> <p>At this stage, the Riccarton to Upper Riccarton corridor forms part of phase 2 of the local area planning Programme. Exact timing for commencing the local area planning work will need to be considered alongside other work programme priorities, capacity, operational budgets and deliverability – being cognisant of the significant capital budget constraints that impact on implementation. While timing has not been defined for planning of phase 2, it may align with the timing sought by the Councillor. The timing is better able to be confirmed via subsequent Annual Plan processes.</p> <p>If staff time is prioritised toward the Church Corner section of the Riccarton to Upper Riccarton corridor and in the timeframe indicated, this may not give best effect to a strategic understanding of the needs of the corridor and relevant priorities within it. It may also take capacity away from potential higher priority planning tasks and initiatives. As well as staff resources, a budget of approximately \$20,000 would be required for additional services.</p>	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex FY-25 = 0.00%	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
85	A85: That the Council: A85a. Puts on hold all work related to the Harewood Road cycleway until an updated budget is made public and a funding split as well as funding from NZTA is confirmed, including not letting any contracts for any works until all funding is confirmed; and A85b. Notes the Harewood Road rail crossing is not part of the cycleway and is not impacted by any changes to the programming of that cycleway.		Significant work has been undertaken on sections 1 to 3 of Wheels to Wings Major Cycle Route (#26611, #26612 and #26613). This has included significant engagement with the community, the completion of a Hearing Panel and Council decision to proceed on 28 July 2022. Since then, work on the design has continued and contracts are in place to undertake the detailed design and investigation works to complete the design and plan the construction, e.g. service identification. Works at the Papanui end of Harewood Road are aligned with major water supply and sewer upgrades. Delaying the MCR project will also delay these works. It should be noted that the Harewood Road rail crossing is not part of the Wheel to Wings MCR. Therefore, is not impacted by any changes to the programming of this project. Further delay to this project runs the risk of the following: - additional cost to the project through re-work and additional engagement with the community. - costs against existing contracts. - undertaking multiple works on the same sections of road in close succession increases the reputational risk to Council and the disruption to residents. - loss of efficiency in combining work.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex Assuming all works ceased on the 31/07/24 & there were no making right costs or contract cancellation fees the rates impact would be: FY25 (0.01%), FY26 (0.05%), FY27 (0.06%), FY28 (0.04%)	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	LOST
117	A117: That the Council: A117a. Request that staff develop the work programme for #41650 – Programme – Minor Safety Improvements in FY25 based on engineering advice and feedback from the Community Boards, service requests, elected members and staff. A117b. Note that the workplan will consider the following the sites: • Halswell Junction Road crossing at Copper Ridge/Knights Stream School • Sabys Road crossing by Ohalloran Drive (Moore) • Dunbars Road crossing at Balkwell Street		Resource implications including costs and time of providing advice are unknown at this stage. Projects of this nature are typically delivered through the minor safety programmes (#50462 and #65924) via a Community Board report.	Rates impact - unknown Capex/Opex: Capex	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED
118	A118: That the Council: A118a: Increases Programme #75051 - Programme - New Footpaths from \$375,000 to \$1,000,000 in FY26 and then \$2,000,000 per annum from FY27, with the programme work plan to be determined in FY25 based on engineering advice and feedback from the Community Boards, service requests, elected members and staff.		Draft 6 of the proposed capital programme has #75051 - Programme - New Footpaths. This programme has \$375,000 annually. Some footpath projects can be very complex due to issues such as drainage, utilities and structures. Therefore, they need to be defined in the LTP as a stand-alone project. These can be drawn down from the programme. All footpath works should be prioritised on a city-wide and needs basis. It is noted that improved footpath connections are listed as a priority for the Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board. An alternative solution would be to propose additional budget is considered for the new footpaths programme (#75051) from FY26 onwards as part of the FY26 Annual Pan and that staff undertake an exercise in year one of the LTP (FY25) to determine the requirements for new footpaths on a city-wide basis. This exercise would consider information from service requests, feedback from the Community Boards and area engineer knowledge. It would also provide a prioritisation process to ensure that city-wide benefits are maximised, e.g. funding. The resulting plan would inform the Annual Plan and future LTP's. Assessment work will be completed using existing resources and budgets. Deliverability will be able to be addressed once a work programme has been completed in time for future Annual Plans.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex FY26 = 0.00% FY27-34 = 0.01% per year	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED
129	A129: That the Council: A129a: Establish a new capital programme fund of \$20 million per year, starting in FY 27, for addressing and resolving regular surface flooding at sites identified against a priority matrix established by Council in FY 25 and FY 26; and A129b. Requests staff to report back on resolving surface flooding issues at the following sites, with options considered against the priority matrix developed - Brenchley Ave - Robinsons Bay stormwater diversion - Cygnet Street Catchment review - Cambridge Terrace between Madras and Manchester - Edgeware Village		As previously reported to Council in on 5 April 2023, there are a number of areas across the city that are susceptible to flooding from surface water to varying degrees. Some of these cause ponding on road corridors, while others potentially impact residential and commercial properties. A specifically funded programme could be created with the purpose of addressing these identified areas. This list would be brought to Council for prioritising. Funding of \$20M per year (beginning in 2027) would be required, and would be additional to the current capital programme. This is timed to allow detailed planning and design to be carried out.	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Capex FY27 = 0.05% FY28 = 0.18% FY29 = 0.16% FY30 = 0.15% FY31 = 0.14% FY32 = 0.14% FY33 = 0.14% FY34 = 0.14%	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
77	<p>A77: That the Council:</p> <p>A77a. Notes the impending repealing of the Affordable Waters Legislation and need to Council to fund improvements our 3 waters networks 2) current high leakage rate and numbers of breaks in our water network due to the earthquakes and lower rates of renewals in previous years, 3) Level of Service target of 25% in the context of previous targets of 15% (2019) and recorded leak rate of 18% in 2018.</p> <p>A77b. <u>Changes</u> the leak rate Level of Service to be 20% by 2030 and 15% by 2034 with budget provided to achieve those Level of Service changes.</p>	<p>Updates to this amendment were made during the meeting.</p>	<p>Staff can review the leak rate Level of Service by the next LTP to better reflect a total Water Balance figure. The reason for this is because the current KPI % measurement for unaccounted for water (leak rate) is also influenced by lower pumping volumes. For instance in the examples below, because the abstraction figure is smaller, the percentage went up, despite the unaccounted for water volume dropping by 271,619m3:</p> <p>For FY2021-2022 Water loss= 27% Unaccounted for water = 13,982,137m3 per year Water abstracted = 52,546,318 m3 per year</p> <p>For FY2022-2023: Water loss = 27.3% Unaccounted for water = 13,710,518 m3 per year Water abstracted = 50,178,971 m3 per year</p> <p>Staff recommend reviewing the KPI to better reflect a total Water Balance figure. By comparing our water input volume (amount of water pumped into the network) with customer consumption (now available from meter reads on a quarterly basis), we will be able to measure the volume of unaccounted for water per water supply zone, rather than relying on a 5 yearly cycle of night flow monitoring. This will provide improved relevancy of a water loss KPI (likely to be re-termed as unaccounted for water).</p> <p>The roll out of the smart customer water meter programme will allow us to refine our water balance and will provide the volume of unaccounted for water on a daily or even hourly basis.</p> <p>Leak detection on sub-zones can then be better targeted and used to detect and fix leaking pipes.</p>	TBA	E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED
A.SM	<p>That Council amend its Financial Strategy of transitioning the funding of renewal expenditure for infrastructure from Debt to Rates by 2031 with the following effect:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• That the amount of the transition be reduced in years 1 and 2 of the 2034 LTP with effect of providing a rates impact of -1.8% in year 1 and -1.2% in year 2, the reduction in rates being replaced by borrowing.• That the target date of the transition to being fully funded by rates will be moved to 2032, and years 1-3 of the LTP will not meet the balanced budget benchmark.	<p>This amendment was tabled at the meeting.</p>			E. Infrastructure & Regulations	CARRIED
31	<p>A31: That the Council does not defer the Events Ecosystem funding</p>	<p>This amendment was not considered by the meeting as Recommendation 5b instructed the Interim Chief Executive to make changes to incorporate Council feedback from the meeting.</p>	<p>Advice on this issue has been provided separately via memo</p>	<p>Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Opex FY25 = 0.42% FY26 = 0.04% FY27 = 0.14% FY28 = -0.34% FY29 = -0.05% FY30 = -0.14% FY31 = 0.00% FY32 = 0.00% FY33 = 0.00% FY34 = 0.00%</p>	F. Consultation Document	NOT CONSIDERED
32	<p>A32: That the Council funds the Events Ecosystem Fund as follows in the draft 2024-34 Long Term Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- \$0.9million in FY 24/25 for CNZ services- \$1.67million in FY 25/26 for the Ecosystem- \$2.28million in FY 26/27 for the Ecosystem plus \$0.36million additional to fund the large event to be announced.	<p>This amendment was not considered by the meeting as Recommendation 5b instructed the Interim Chief Executive to make changes to incorporate Council feedback from the meeting.</p>	<p>Advice on this issue has been provided separately via memo</p>	<p>Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Opex FY25 = 0.13% FY26 = 0.09% FY27 = 0.11% FY28 = (0.31%)</p>	F. Consultation Document	NOT CONSIDERED
130	<p>A130: That the Council provides \$2.8 million to the Events Ecosystem fund in FY25.</p>	<p>This amendment was not considered by the meeting as Recommendation 5b instructed the Interim Chief Executive to make changes to incorporate Council feedback from the meeting.</p>	<p>Advice on this issue has been provided separately via memo</p>		F. Consultation Document	NOT CONSIDERED



#	Proposed Amendments Tabled at the Meeting on 14 February 2023	Secretarial Notes	Staff comment	Finance comment	Schedule	Council Decision
61	A61: That the Council: A61a: Includes in the Consultation Document a question on whether an allocated line item budget should be provided to the following major organisations, instead of requiring them to use the contestable grant mechanism: - Ferrymead Heritage Park - Christchurch Community House - Christchurch Symphony Orchestra - Orana Park.	This amendment was not considered by the meeting as Recommendation 5b instructed the Interim Chief Executive to make changes to incorporate Council feedback from the meeting.	The proposed amendment has the following implications if changes were ultimately made to the funding mechanism: - The cost of maintaining the current value of contestable community funding schemes and moving Council funding for the four organisations to a non-contestable grant will be an additional \$940,000 p.a. - Moving four organisations to a non-contestable grant removes an element of elected member oversight vital in ensuring the Council grant remains focused on the areas that offer most value to the community. - To allow recipient organisations certainty of funding, elected members have the existing ability to specify a grant period from one to five years. - Non-contestable community funding risks creating dependency. If changes were ultimately made to the funding mechanism, additional OPEX funding of \$940,000 p.a. would be needed and valuable elected member oversight over four important community	Rates impact - provided Capex/Opex: Opex FY25 = 0.14%	F. Consultation Document	NOT CONSIDERED