
Greater Christchurch Partnership Committee

AGENDA

Notice of Meeting:

A meeting of the Greater Christchurch Partnership Committee will be held on:

Date: Friday 2 June 2017
Time: 10.30am
Venue: Council Chamber, Environment Canterbury, 200 Tuam Street

26 May 2017



To view copies of Agendas and Minutes, visit:

<https://www.ccc.govt.nz/the-council/meetings-agendas-and-minutes/>

Committee Members

UDS Independent Chair

Bill Wasley

Christchurch City Council

Mayor Lianne Dalziel, Councillors Phil Clearwater and Sara Templeton

Environment Canterbury

Chairman David Bedford, Councillors Cynthia Roberts and Peter Skelton

Selwyn District Council

Mayor Sam Broughton, Councillors Malcolm Lyall and Mark Alexander

Waimakariri District Council

Mayor David Ayers, Councillors Kevin Felstead and Neville Atkinson

Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu

Dr Te Maire Tau, Jane Huria and Gail Gordon

Canterbury District Health Board

Tā Mark Solomon

New Zealand Transport Agency (Non-Voting Member)

Jim Harland

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet (Non-Voting Member)

Kelvan Smith

Regenerate Christchurch (Non-Voting Member)

Ivan Iafeta

Partnership Manager

Keith Tallentire
ph 941 8590

Committee Adviser

Aidan Kimberley
ph 941 6566

1. TERMS OF REFERENCE

1.1. The role of the Committee is to:

- i. Foster and facilitate a collaborative approach between the Partners to address strategic challenges and opportunities for Greater Christchurch.
- ii. Show clear, decisive and visible collaborative strategic leadership amongst the Partners, to wider stakeholders, agencies and central government and to communities across Greater Christchurch.
- iii. Establish, and periodically review, an agreed strategic framework to manage growth and address urban development, regeneration, resilience and long-term economic, social, cultural and environmental wellbeing for Greater Christchurch
- iv. Oversee implementation of strategies and plans endorsed by the Committee and ratified at individual partner governance meetings, including through the adoption and delivery of an annual joint work programme.
- v. Ensure the Partnership proactively engages with other related partnerships, agencies and organisations critical to the achievement of its strategic goals.

1.2. The functions of the Committee are to:

- i. Establish an agreed strategic framework to manage growth and address urban development, regeneration, resilience and long-term wellbeing for Greater Christchurch. This is currently expressed through the Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy (2007) and the associated Strategy Update (2016).
- ii. As required, develop new and review existing strategies and plans to enable Partners to work more collaboratively with each other and to provide greater clarity and certainty to stakeholders and the community. Existing strategies and plans endorsed by the UDSIC and inherited by this Committee are:
 - a. Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy (2007)
 - b. Greater Christchurch Travel Demand Management Strategy and Action Plan (2009)
 - c. Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy Action Plan (2010)
 - d. Greater Christchurch Transport Statement (2012)
 - e. Greater Christchurch Freight Study and Action Plan (2014/15)
 - f. Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy Update (2016)
 - g. Resilient Greater Christchurch Plan (2016)
- iii. Recommend to Partners for ratification at individual partner governance meetings any new or revised strategies and plans.
- iv. Adopt and monitor the delivery of an annual joint work programme to deliver on strategic goals and actions outlined in adopted strategies and plans.
- v. Undertake reporting on the delivery of adopted strategies and plans, including in relation to an agreed strategic outcomes framework.
- vi. Identify and manage risks associated with implementing adopted strategies and plans.

- vii. Establish and maintain effective dialogue and relationships (through meetings, forums and other communications) with other related partnerships, agencies and organisations to the support the role of the Committee, including but not limited to:
 - a. Healthy Christchurch (and any similar arrangements in Selwyn and Waimakariri Districts) and other health partnerships
 - b. Safer Christchurch (and any similar arrangements in Selwyn and Waimakariri Districts)
 - c. Greater Christchurch Public Transport Joint Committee
 - d. Canterbury Mayoral Forum
 - e. New Zealand Police and other emergency services
 - f. Tertiary institutions and educational partnerships
 - g. Regeneration agencies, including Ōtākaro Limited and Development Christchurch Limited
 - h. Strategic infrastructure providers
 - i. Government departments
- viii. Undertake wider engagement and consultation as necessary, including where appropriate seeking submissions and holding hearings, to assist the development of any strategies and plans.
- ix. Advocate to central government or their agencies or other bodies on issues of concern to the Partnership, including through the preparation of submissions (in liaison with the Canterbury Mayoral Forum as necessary).

- 1.3. In undertaking its role and performing its functions the Committee will consider seeking the advice of the Chief Executives Advisory Group.

2. QUORUM AND CONDUCT OF MEETINGS

- 2.1. The quorum at a meeting of the Committee consists of the majority of the voting members
- 2.2. Voting shall be on the basis of the majority present at the meeting, with no alternates or proxies.
- 2.3. For the purpose of clause 2.2, the Independent Chairperson:
 - i. has a deliberative vote; and
 - ii. in the case of equality of votes, does not have a casting vote (and therefore the act or question is defeated and the status quo is preserved).
- 2.4. Other than as noted in this Agreement, the standing orders of the administering Council at the time, shall apply.

3. MEETING FREQUENCY

The Committee shall meet monthly, or as necessary and determined by the Independent Chair in liaison with the Committee.

4. DELEGATIONS

- 4.1. Establishing, and where necessary amending, protocols and processes to support the effective functioning of the Committee, including but not limited to those relating to the resolution of conflicting views, communications and public deputations.
- 4.2. Preparing communication and engagement material and publishing reports relevant to the functions of the Committee.
- 4.3. Undertaking engagement exercises in support of the terms of reference and functions of the Committee
- 4.4. Making submissions, as appropriate, on Government proposals and other initiatives relevant to the role of the Committee.
- 4.5. Selecting an Independent Chair and Deputy Chair in accord with any process agreed by the Committee and the requirements of the LGA 2002.
- 4.6. Appointing where necessary up to two additional non-voting observers to the Committee.

5. FINANCIAL DELEGATIONS

- 5.1. The Committee can make financial decisions within an agreed budget envelope and as long as the decision does not trigger any change to the statutory plans prepared under the LGA 2002, the RMA 1991, and the LTMA 2003.

AGENDA ITEMS

1. Apologies..... 8

2. Declarations of Interest..... 8

3. Deputations by Appointment..... 8

4. Confirmation of Previous Minutes 8

STAFF REPORTS

5. Partnership Communications Protocol..... 17

6. Psychosocial Update 21

7. Urban Development and Regeneration update 27

Standing Items

1. Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

2. Declarations of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant and to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as an elected representative and any private or other external interest they might have.

3. Deputations by Appointment

There were no deputations by appointment at the time the agenda was prepared.

4. Confirmation of Previous Minutes

That the minutes of the Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy Implementation Committee meeting held on Friday May 5th be confirmed (refer page 9).

Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy

Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy Implementation Committee OPEN MINUTES

Date: Friday 5 May 2017
Time: 9am
Venue: Council Chamber, Environment Canterbury, 200 Tuam Street

Present

Independent Chair Bill Wasley
Members Chairman David Bedford , Environment Canterbury
Councillor Cynthia Roberts , Environment Canterbury
Councillor Peter Skelton , Environment Canterbury
Councillor Mark Alexander , Selwyn District Council
Mayor David Ayers , Waimakariri District Council
Deputy Mayor Kevin Felstead , Waimakariri District Council
Councillor Neville Atkinson , Waimakariri District Council
Councillor Phil Clearwater , Christchurch City Council
Councillor Sara Templeton , Christchurch City Council
Gail Gordon , Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu
(Non-voting member) Jim Harland , New Zealand Transport Agency
(Non-voting member) Kelvan Smith , Greater Christchurch Group (DPMC)
(Observer) Ivan Iafeta , Regenerate Christchurch

4 May 2017

Keith Tallentire
UDS Implementation Manager

Aidan Kimberley
Committee and Hearings Advisor
941 6566
aidan.kimberley@ccc.govt.nz
www.ccc.govt.nz

The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

1. Apologies

Committee Resolved GCUC/2017/00031

That the apologies from David Meates, Mark Solomon, Jane Huria, Mayor Lianne Dalziel, Mayor Sam Broughton, Malcolm Lyall and Dr Te Maire Tau, apologies from David Bedford and Kelvan Smith for lateness and apology from Mayor David Ayers for early departure be accepted.

Mark Alexander/Peter Skelton

Carried

2. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest recorded.

3. Deputations by Appointment

There were no deputations by appointment.

4. Confirmation of Previous Minutes

Committee Resolved GCUC/2017/00032

Committee Decision

That the minutes of the Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy Implementation Committee meeting held on Friday, 7 April 2017 be confirmed subject to the following correction:

- a. Under item 7 in relation to Redcliffs School, replace Development Christchurch Limited with the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet.

Neville Atkinson/Phil Clearwater

Carried

Chairman David Bedford joined the meeting at 09:14 am.

5. Alignment issues regarding timeframes for the Settlement Pattern Review and district plan review processes

Committee Comment

Keith Tallentire introduced this item. The following points were raised during the discussion:

- The Chief Executives Advisory Group have indicated a desire to achieve the requirements of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity sooner than required.
- Staff from Selwyn District Council have indicated agreement with the advice contained in the report, but also a preference for the Settlement Pattern Project to be completed promptly with a review of the Regional Policy Statement to follow shortly after.
- Councillor Mark Alexander asked whether it would be possible for preliminary work on a potential review of the Regional Policy Statement to be started now to allow a review to be conducted promptly once the Settlement Pattern Review project is complete.
- The Committee added an additional resolution to request further advice on this matter.

Committee Resolved GCUC/2017/00033

Part C

That the Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy Implementation Committee:

1. Note the advice from the Chief Executives Advisory Group on alignment issues regarding the timeframes for completing the Settlement Pattern Review and associated district plan review processes.
2. Agree not to undertake an interim change to the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement at this stage and await the completion of the capacity assessment and the outcome of discussions by Selwyn District Council as to any amended approach or timeframes for its district plan review process.
3. Request that staff report back on appropriate processes in respect of the most efficient and timely mechanisms to achieve completion of the Settlement Pattern Review project and District Plan Review/Regional Policy Statement review.

Mark Alexander/Peter Skelton

Carried

Kelvan Smith joined the meeting at 09:29 am.

6. UDS Partnership submission on the Urban Development Authorities discussion document

Committee Comment

The Committee was requested to provide comments on the content of the draft submission and the following points were made:

- Councillor Peter Skelton noted that the Committee's support for the concept of an Urban Development Authority came before the current Regeneration Act, and indicated concern that in the current legislative framework an Urban Development Authority (UDA) could conflict with Regenerate Christchurch and other local government agencies.
- Councillor Sara Templeton suggested that it would be unwise to completely remove provisions for a UDA in Christchurch before the expiry of the Greater Christchurch Regeneration Act, as a UDA might become desirable in future.
- The Committee also expressed general support for advocating a more partnership style arrangement.

The Chairperson then ruled that the item would be adjourned until later in the meeting to allow staff to consider the feedback and suggest amendments to the draft submission.

7. An Accessible City implementation update

Committee Comment

The Committee was presented with a progress update on the *An Accessible City* (AAC) project. During the discussion, the following points were raised:

- The AAC project aim is to deliver the vision which originated from the outcome of the 'Share an Idea' consultation.
- It is acknowledged that the lead agencies need to improve their coordination with individual land owners.
- There is a lack of public awareness of the number of different projects, including private construction, underway in the central city which are causing disruption. Only a portion of these relate to AAC.
- While the project will reduce the number of on-street car parks, it is likely that the total number of car parks including off-street facilities will be higher than pre-earthquake levels.
- The Committee discussed possible marketing and technological tools that could be used to assist people to adjust to the new network.
- Discussions with land owners have indicated that there is general support for the vision of AAC, but frustration at the implementation.
- There is a need to ensure that the Canterbury District Health Board are involved in ongoing discussions about the project.
- It was noted that most bold projects have teething problems, but are ultimately judged on their final outcome.
- The Committee added a resolution in support of the AAC project.

Committee Resolved GCUC/2017/00034

Part C

That the Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy Implementation Committee:

1. Receives the information in the report.
2. Agrees that the Urban Development Strategy Partnership continues to support the central city recovery plan and the vision and intent of the An Accessible City chapter and associated objectives, but acknowledges the design and implementation issues that have arisen which are being worked through.

Neville Atkinson/Cynthia Roberts

Carried

8. Greater Christchurch Transport Statement implementation update

Committee Comment

During the discussion on this item, the following points were raised:

- Councillor Mark Alexander referred to the statement on page 46 that the major cycleways in the Selwyn District are complete, and informed the Committee that they are in fact mostly complete, but with some still being planned or constructed.
- Travel demand needs to be carefully managed to encourage a modal shift.
- Mayor David Ayers suggested that the modal shift might not require a significant investment in promotional campaigns. If alternative transport methods work effectively and provide reliable journey times, word of mouth will be a powerful tool.
- Door-to-door journey time is a key factor in people's travel choice which needs to be considered when designing alternative transport methods.
- Councillor Sara Templeton requested an update on any planned legislation for low power vehicles.

Committee Resolved GCUC/2017/00035

Part C

That the Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy Implementation Committee:

1. Receives the implementation update report

Sara Templeton/Cynthia Roberts

Carried

Mayor David Ayers left the meeting at 10:14 am.

9. Progress report for the Greater Christchurch Natural Environment Group

Committee Comment

Chrissie Williams introduced this item, and reported that the intention is to provide this report on a six-monthly basis. During the discussion, the following points were raised:

- The Committee requested interim reporting between the six-monthly reports, potentially with a more detailed focus on specific projects.
- The Committee requested that the reports include information on outcomes and trends to show the impact of the work being done.

Committee Resolved GCUC/2017/00036

Part C

That the Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy Implementation Committee:

1. Receive the report

Peter Skelton/Phil Clearwater

Carried

10. Urban Development and Regeneration update

Committee Comment

The Chairperson congratulated Waimakariri District Council for the awards that have been won by their Red Zone Recovery Plan.

The Committee was informed that the public consultation plan has closed on the Cranford Basin Regeneration plan. Over a hundred submissions were received with approximately two thirds in support. An independent consultant may be engaged to assist with reviewing submissions and determining the final proposal.

The Committee discussed the situation regarding the access to Te Ana (Dampier Bay) and was informed that the discussion on the ongoing use of Wharf 7 is one of the reasons for the delay in reaching an agreement.

Councillor Phil Clearwater requested further information on outstanding EQC settlements.

Committee Resolved GCUC/2017/00037

Part C

That the Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy Implementation Committee:

1. Note the report and receive the attached Urban Development and Regeneration update.

Sara Templeton/Mark Alexander

Carried

The meeting adjourned at 10:38am and resumed at 10:55am

6. UDS Partnership submission on the Urban Development Authorities discussion document

Committee Comment

The Committee resumed its discussion on this item.

Ivan Iafeta suggested that the proposed legislation could provide Christchurch with further options that will endure once the Regenerate Christchurch Act 2016 expires.

Keith Tallentire advised the Committee that the existing wording of the draft submission would not be in conflict with other submissions that Urban Development Strategy Partners have written. Councillor Peter Skelton requested the wording be amended to include a specific reference to the Greater Christchurch Regeneration Act 2016.

Gail Gordon requested that paragraph 17 be reworded to note concerns from Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu about compulsory acquisition clauses.

Committee Resolved GCUC/2017/00038

Part C

That the Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy Implementation Committee:

1. Endorse the content of the submission included as Attachment A to this report subject to:
 - a. Strengthening the aspects of the submission in support of a partnership arrangement.
 - b. A specific reference being added to the Greater Christchurch Regeneration Act 2016 and any potential conflicts between that statute and the new legislation.

- c. Rewording paragraph 17 to note concerns from Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu regarding compulsory acquisition.
- 2. Delegate responsibility to the Independent Chair to make any minor amendments necessary ahead of the submission being provided to the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment.

Peter Skelton/Neville Atkinson

Carried

Meeting concluded at 11:04am.

CONFIRMED THIS 2ND DAY OF JUNE 2017.

**BILL WASLEY
CHAIRPERSON**

Reports for Decision

5. Partnership Communications Protocol

Reference: 17/535650

Contact: Keith Tallentire ktallentire@greaterchristchurch.org.nz 941 9590

1. Purpose of Report

Purpose of Report

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to recommend that the Committee adopt the attached Communications Protocol for the Greater Christchurch Partnership.

2. Relationship to Partnership Objectives

- 2.1 The Communications Protocol finalises the resolutions of the Committee regarding a renewed Memorandum of Agreement.

3. Staff Recommendations

That the Greater Christchurch Partnership Committee:

1. Endorse the Communications Protocol outlined in Attachment A so that it can be incorporated within the Partnership's Memorandum of Agreement.
2. Note the work underway involving partner staff to operationalise the Communications Protocol and convey the visible and collaborative leadership of the Partnership.

4. Context/Background

Context

- 4.1 The 7 April meeting of this Committee agreed a revised Memorandum of Agreement (MoA), including revised Terms of Reference and a new name for the Partnership, the Greater Christchurch Partnership.
- 4.2 The Committee endorsement of the MoA has now been ratified by all the voting partner members necessary for the Committee to operate within its new mandate.
- 4.3 The Memorandum of Agreement and the Committee resolutions signalled that a Communications Protocol would be developed and considered for endorsement by the Committee. This Protocol would then form part of the MoA.
- 4.4 A supplementary Regeneration Protocol, specific to the conduct and procedures to be followed by all partners when seeking to use the powers under the Greater Christchurch Regeneration Act 2016, was also endorsed by the Committee in April.

Draft Communications Protocol

- 4.5 A draft Communications Protocol is included as **Attachment A**. The Chief Executives Advisory Group has reviewed its content agreed for it to be presented to this Committee for adoption.
- 4.6 The draft Protocol is a succinct statement outlining the principles which guide the collaborative approach of the Partnership. It is general in nature, covering all aspects of the Partnership's functions but draws from the Regeneration Protocol where the principles are common.
- 4.7 It also formalises the adopted practice for Partnership spokespeople relating to external communications, such as media releases and enquiries.

Supporting Communication Plan

- 4.8 A draft Communications Plan aimed at relevant partner staff is also being prepared as a way to operationalise the Protocol and advance related communications work to take appropriate steps to support the visibility of the Partnership and its renewed mandate.
- 4.9 The communications and engagement work required as part of the Settlement Pattern Review provides a tangible project of the Partnership that can assist in communicating the wider changes and approach of the Partnership as outlined in the MoA.
- 4.10 The Communications Plan will be finalised with input from partner communications staff and agreed by the Senior Managers Group.

Attachments

No.	Title	Page
A ↓	Greater Christchurch Partnership Communications Protocol	19

Greater Christchurch Partnership Communications Protocol

1.0 Purpose

This protocol has been prepared to enable members of the Greater Christchurch Partnership (GCP) to work together in a collaborative manner taking a 'no surprises' approach. It ensures early communication and consultation between the Partners during the preparation of reports, policy/plan initiatives and reviews that relate to the strategic goals of the GCP and other matters that could impact upon the Partnership. Using this Protocol will enhance the trust and mutual respect between Partner organisations and avoid misunderstandings or outcomes that undermine the benefits of unified sub-regional leadership.

This Protocol forms part of the Partnership's Memorandum of Agreement and is supplemented by the more specific Regeneration Protocol.

2.0 Principles

The Partners commit to:

- 2.1 **Work collaboratively:** Partners maintain a free flow of information, by regular formal and informal reporting and discussions. In particular, partners will signal potential decisions on policies, plans and actions early via the GCP governance and management structure (Senior Managers' Group, CEAG and at GCP Committee).
- 2.2 **A 'no surprises policy':** Partners communicate in an open and respectful manner, declaring issues and interests as soon as practicable. Partners consider the implications of their decisions and actions on the GCP and other partners ahead of time, and inform each other in advance of any major strategic initiative.
- 2.3 **Demonstrate leadership:** Partners will demonstrate their commitment to working collaboratively to their organisations and their communities, and champion the process of partnership when implementing any and all of the strategies and action plans agreed by the GCP.
- 2.4 **Discuss funding:** Partners discuss funding issues openly within the Partnership, particularly when there are gaps or changes that need to be made.
- 2.5 **Respond promptly:** Partners respond in an agreed and timely manner to any communication and consultative initiative by another Partner. The Partner proposing the policy, plan or action has responsibility for managing the associated timeframe and will advise other Partners accordingly.

3.0 Applications

This protocol applies in any and all of, but not exclusively, these situations:

- ☐ If any matter is a 'statement of proposal' relating to any strategy managed by the GCP (for example UDS, RGCP, GCTS) that has the potential to impact on other Partners;
- ☐ The matter involves more than one Partner and requires or involves a sub-regional response;
- ☐ The matter involves funding from more than one Partner;
- ☐ The matter may impact across the boundary into another local authority Partner;
- ☐ The matter may result in significant additional traffic on neighbouring local authority roads, State Highways or public transport routes;
- ☐ The matter proposes a new service that may be used by residents of another local authority;
- ☐ The matter relates to infrastructure provision to or from an adjacent local authority.

4.0 Spokespeople

For general matters the Deputy Chair of the GCP Committee shall be the spokesperson. For Partner-specific matters the relevant Partner representatives shall be the spokespeople. For GCP specific projects the GCP may nominate a spokesperson. For day-to-day operational matters the GCP Manager shall be the spokesperson.

5.0 Approvals, Implementation and Monitoring

The GCP Committee representatives adopt the protocol on behalf of their organisations. Thereafter, partner Chief Executives and the Senior Management Group has responsibility for Protocol management and ongoing implementation. It is the responsibility of each Partner to integrate the application of the Protocol within their organisation and through their representatives on each and every GCP group.

6.0 Resolution of Conflicts

The Partners commit to work in good faith to resolve any disagreements or conflicts that may arise in relation to the implementation of this Protocol. If any matters are unable to be resolved by the GCP Management Group, the matter is to be referred to the GCP Chief Executives Advisory Group for consideration and resolution of issues.

7.0 Review

This Protocol will remain in effect until further notice. It may be reviewed at any time by agreement of the Chief Executives Advisory Group, with any amendments recommended to the GCP Committee for endorsement.

Reports for Information

6. Psychosocial Update

Reference: 17/547495

Contact: Evon Currie ktallentire@greaterchristchurch.org.nz 941 8590

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to receive an update on psychosocial wellbeing, including the work of the Psychosocial Committee. The Psychosocial Committees 2017 Priorities are included as attachment A.

2. Relationship to Partnership Objectives

- 2.1 This update relates to priority action f of the UDS Update:

Improve the health of communities through supporting the continuation of the Healthy Christchurch partnership, by promoting:

- *Psychosocial wellbeing in Greater Christchurch*

- 2.2 As per the Terms of Reference for the Greater Christchurch Partnership Committee (8.2, vii) a function of the Committee is to establish and maintain effective dialogue and relationships with other related partnerships to the support the role of the Committee, including Healthy Christchurch.

3. Staff Recommendations

That the Greater Christchurch Partnership Committee:

1. Receive the update.

Attachments

No.	Title	Page
A ↓	Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee 2017 Priorities	22

2017 Priorities

Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee

Purpose

1. This paper provides an overview of the Greater Psychosocial Committee's 2017 priorities for psychosocial recovery and wellbeing.

Background

2. At its first meeting in 2017, the Psychosocial Committee revisited its priorities.
3. The Committee considered priorities informed by:
 - a. Monitoring: Issues or populations identified in the Canterbury Wellbeing Survey and Index.
 - b. Reporting: Issues or populations identified in the reports received by the Psychosocial Committee or other information supplied by Committee partners.
 - c. Shared Programme of Action: Activities or activity gaps identified in the revised Shared Programme of Action (December 2016).
 - d. Context: Potential opportunities to engage with other organisations/agencies, especially recovery agencies.

Current priorities

4. The Committee organised its priorities into three categories relating to priority populations, issues, and approaches.

POPULATION	ISSUE	APPROACH
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Those who have unresolved claims at the property they own and usually live in<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ Includes people engaging with re-repair processes.• People of Māori ethnicity• People living with a health condition or disability• People from a household with an income of less than \$30,000• People in the East of the city	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Being in a damaged environment and/or surrounded by construction work• Loss of recreational, cultural and leisure time facilities• Dealing with EQC/insurance issues in relation to personal property and house• Loss of indoor sports and active recreation facilities• Transport related pressures <p>AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mental wellbeing (including for recovery workforce)• Ongoing housing issues	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Support initiatives that build community capacity, capability and confidence• Promote community-led recovery• Communication and information pathways

5. For further information about how priorities have been addressed so far this year, see *Appendix 1*. The Committee will use this information to recognise gaps and emerging issues.

Appendix 1: Progressing Psychosocial Committee 2017 Priorities

	Priority	Actions to date (for 2017)	Shared Programme of Action activities
Populations (from monitoring)	Unresolved claims (including re-repairs) Māori	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'All Right?', CETAS, CSL, ESC, MBIE, RAS reports received [ongoing] 	Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee, 0800 Canterbury Support Helpline, All Right? Campaign, Earthquake Support Coordination Service, Whānau Ora Navigators (Resilience), Residential Advisory Service (RAS), Pilot Service Targeting Elderly Vulnerable, Developing a customer centric model, Housing Recovery Programme, Residential Insurance Monitoring
	Health condition/disability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerns re accessibility of anchor projects and sign-language interpreters [Feb – escalated to GG] EDLG presentation [Apr] 	Parenting Workshops, Richmond Neighbourhood Plan, Marae Neighbourhood Planning, Iwi resilience, Arts and Culture Recovery Programme, Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee, Canterbury Family Violence Collaboration, All Right? Campaign, The Whānau Effect, Whānau Ora Navigators (Resilience), Children's Teams, Integrated Safety Response, All Right? Campaign Research
	Household income <\$30k/year East		Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee, Repair Well, Warm and Well
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requested engagement with Regenerate [Feb] 	Parenting Workshops, Summer of Fun, Aranui Community Trust Incorporated Society (ACTIS), Richmond Neighbourhood Plan, Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee, Red Cross Eastern Suburbs Outreach, Communities and Neighbours
			Parenting Workshops, Summer of Fun, Aranui Community Trust Incorporated Society (ACTIS), Richmond Neighbourhood Plan, Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee, Red Cross Eastern Suburbs Outreach, Communities and Neighbours
Issues (from monitoring)	Damaged environment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> HRC presentation [Feb] 	You Me We Us Kaiapoi, Community Engagement Opportunities, Richmond Neighbourhood Plan, Arts and Culture Recovery Programme, Rangiora 7400 Upgrade, Transitional Public Spaces, Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee, Te Pūtahi Christchurch Centre for Architecture and Place participatory design initiatives, Canterbury Public Sector Rebuild, Christchurch City Central Recovery
	Loss of recreational, cultural, leisure facilities		Community Engagement Opportunities, Arts and Culture Recovery Programme, Arts Events, Transitional Public Spaces, Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee, Christchurch The Garden City online, CINCH (Community Information Christchurch), Canterbury Public Sector Rebuild, Christchurch City Central Recovery
	Dealing with EQC/insurance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CETAS, CSL, ESC, MBIE, RAS, reports received [ongoing] 	Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee, 0800 Canterbury Support Helpline, Earthquake Support Coordination Service, Whānau Ora Navigators (Resilience), Residential Advisory Service (RAS), Pilot Service Targeting Elderly Vulnerable, Developing a customer centric model, Housing Recovery Programme, Residential Insurance Monitoring
	Loss of sports and recreational facilities		Community Engagement Opportunities, Spaces, Places and People: Sport and Recreation, Transitional Public Spaces, Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee, Christchurch The Garden City online, CINCH (Community Information Christchurch), Canterbury Public Sector Rebuild, Christchurch City Central Recovery

	Transport-related pressures		Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee, Canterbury Health in All Policies Partnership, Cross Town Shuttle, Ellesmere Community Care Organisation, Social Connection Service
Issues (from Committee)	Mental wellbeing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explore Mental Health Impact Assessment – [upcoming] Pegasus information, wrap-around [April] 'All Right' reports received [ongoing] 	Impact of Trauma Workshops, Parenting Workshops, Tiny Adventures, Knowing Your Neighbour is a Piece of Cake, Arts and Culture Recovery Programme, Transitional Public Spaces, Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee, Community Wellbeing Planners Group, Social Services Waimakariri Advisory Group, Waimakariri Health Advisory Group, 0800 Canterbury Support Helpline, Red Cross Eastern Suburbs Outreach, Christchurch Community Response, Communities and Neighbours, All Right? Campaign, Psychosocial and Disaster Recovery Expert Sessions, Bounce.org.nz, The Whānau Effect, The Worry Bug Project, Sparklers (previously known as 'Tiny Interventions'), Reading in Mind, Whānau Ora Navigators (Resilience), Youth Mental Health Action Plan, Watchhouse Nurse Initiative, Social Workers in Schools, Ellesmere Community Care Organisation, Social Connection Service, Repair Well, The Alcohol and other Drug Canterbury Construction Project, The Mental Health Leadership Workstream (MHLWS), Residential Advisory Service (RAS), Newcomer Support, Earthquake Disabilities Leadership Group (EDLG), Short-term Counselling, Pilot Service Targeting Elderly Vulnerable, Referral and Access Pathways, Public Sector Organisational Resilience Team (PORT), Housing Recovery Programme, All Right? Campaign Research
	Housing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MBIE reports received [ongoing] 	Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee, Joint Housing Steering Group, Te Waipounamu Community Housing Providers Network, Canterbury Health in All Policies Partnership, Repair Well, Build Back Smarter, Warm and Well, Canterbury Earthquake Temporary Accommodation Service (CETAS), Housing Recovery Programme, Canterbury Public Sector Rebuild

Approaches	Community capacity, capability, confidence	•	<p>You Me We Us Kaiapoi, Promoting Dialogue within Communities, Canterbury Recovery Funders' Network, Community Led Grants, Third Sector Actions, NGO business continuity planning, Resilient Business website, University of Canterbury Community Engagement Hub, Strengthening the Youth Sector, Youth Voice Canterbury (YVC), Impact of Trauma Workshops, Parenting Workshops, PB4L School Wide, Incredible Years Parent Programme, PB4L Incredible Years Teacher Programme, Tiny Adventures, I've Got Your Back', Oxford, Knowing Your Neighbour is a Piece of Cake, Neighbourhood Week, Summer of Fun, Neighbours Day Initiative, Neighbourhood connection resources, Events Fund, Neighbourhood and Community Recovery Planning, Aranui Community Trust Incorporated Society (ACTIS), Richmond Neighbourhood Plan, Lyttelton Community Emergency Response Plan, Marae Neighbourhood Planning, Iwi resilience, Place-based community development planning, Preparedness workshops for people with disabilities and long-term health needs, Preparedness 101 training, - Psychological First Aid (PFA), Arts and Culture Recovery Programme, Spaces, Places and People: Sport and Recreation, Arts Events, Transitional Public Spaces, Leadership in Community (LinC), NGO Leadership Programme, Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee, Joint Housing Steering Group, Inter-Church Forum, Social Services Waimakariri Advisory Group, Social Wellbeing Network Group, Canterbury Family Violence Collaboration, North Canterbury Family Violence Network, Child and Youth Friendly Christchurch, Te Pūtahi Christchurch Centre for Architecture and Place participatory design initiatives, Red-i-hubs, Christchurch Community Response, Communities and Neighbours, All Right? Campaign, Psychosocial and Disaster Recovery Expert Sessions, Bounce.org.nz, The Whānau Effect, The Worry Bug Project, Sparklers (previously known as 'Tiny Interventions'), Reading in Mind, CEISMIC Canterbury Earthquake Digital archive, Community in Mind website, Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Learning and Legacy, Christchurch The Garden City online, Planning and Community Toolset (PaCT), CINCH (Community Information Christchurch), Community Capacity Building Workshops in Selwyn District, Whānau Ora Navigators (Resilience), Youth Mental Health Action Plan, Ellesmere Community Care Organisation, Build Back Smarter, The Alcohol and other Drug Canterbury Construction Project, The Mental Health Leadership Workstream (MHLWS), NGO Sector Recovery, Earthquake Disabilities Leadership Group (EDLG), Referral and Access Pathways, Developing a customer centric model, Canterbury Wellbeing Index and Canterbury Wellbeing Survey, Residential Insurance Monitoring, All Right? Campaign Research, Christchurch City Central Recovery</p>
	Community-led recovery	•	<p>You Me We Us Kaiapoi, Community Led Grants, Third Sector Actions, Resilient Business website, Strengthening the Youth Sector, Youth Voice Canterbury (YVC), I've Got Your Back', Oxford, Summer of Fun, Neighbourhood and Community Recovery Planning, Aranui Community Trust Incorporated Society (ACTIS), Richmond Neighbourhood Plan, Lyttelton Community Emergency Response Plan, Marae Neighbourhood Planning, Arts and Culture Recovery Programme, Rangiora 7400 Upgrade, Transitional Public Spaces, Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee, Community Wellbeing Planners Group, Christchurch City Resilience Steering Committee, Joint Housing Steering Group, Te Waipounamu Community Housing Providers Network, Canterbury Pasifika Network (CPN), Inter-Church Forum, Social Services Waimakariri Advisory Group, Canterbury Family Violence Collaboration, North Canterbury Family Violence Network, Child and Youth Friendly Christchurch, Te Pūtahi Christchurch Centre for Architecture and Place participatory design initiatives, Red Cross Eastern Suburbs Outreach, Christchurch Community Response, Communities and Neighbours, RHISE (Researching the Health Implications of Seismic Events) Group, Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Learning and Legacy, Ellesmere Community Care Organisation, Repair Well, The Alcohol and other Drug Canterbury Construction Project, Newcomer Support, NGO Sector Recovery, Earthquake Disabilities Leadership Group (EDLG), Pilot Service Targeting Elderly Vulnerable, Public Sector Organisational Resilience Team (PORT)</p>

	Communication and information pathways	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• MHERC resources shared with Te Pūtahitanga, the Whānau Ora Commissioning Agency for the South Island [Feb]• Fire recovery information circulated among Committee• Canterbury Support Line to present [June]• Concerns about communication protocols during Port Hills Fire [Feb, escalated to GG]• ESC, RAS, CSL reports received [ongoing]	Promoting Dialogue within Communities, Resilient Business website, University of Canterbury Community Engagement Hub, Community Engagement Opportunities, Greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee, Community Wellbeing Planners Group, Christchurch City Resilience Steering Committee, Joint Housing Steering Group, Te Waipounamu Community Housing Providers Network, Canterbury Pasifika Network (CPN), Inter-Church Forum, Social Services Waimakariri Advisory Group, Social Wellbeing Network Group, Canterbury Family Violence Collaboration, North Canterbury Family Violence Network, Waimakariri Health Advisory Group, Canterbury Health in All Policies Partnership, Child and Youth Friendly Christchurch, Ethnic and Pacific Advisory Group, 0800 Canterbury Support Helpline, Red-i-hubs, Red Cross Eastern Suburbs Outreach, Christchurch Community Response, Psychosocial and Disaster Recovery Expert Sessions, Bounce.org.nz, CEISMIC Canterbury Earthquake Digital archive, Community in Mind website, RHISE (Researching the Health Implications of Seismic Events) Group, Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Learning and Legacy, Christchurch The Garden City online, Planning and Community Toolset (PaCT), CINCH (Community Information Christchurch), Earthquake Support Coordination Service, Whānau Ora Navigators (Resilience), Children's Teams, Integrated Safety Response, Residential Advisory Service (RAS), Canterbury Earthquake Temporary Accommodation Service (CETAS), Newcomer Support, Earthquake Disabilities Leadership Group (EDLG), Pilot Service Targeting Elderly Vulnerable, Referral and Access Pathways
--	--	---	--

7. Urban Development and Regeneration update

Reference: 17/530588

Contact: Keith Tallentire ktallentire@greaterchristchurch.org.nz 941 8590

1. Purpose of Report

Purpose of Report

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to provide the Committee with brief updates on the broad range of urban development and regeneration activities underway across the Greater Christchurch area.
- 1.2 The update collates contributions from partner and a range of other agencies and government departments.

2. Staff Recommendations

[That the Greater Christchurch Partnership Committee:](#)

1. [Note the report and receive the attached Urban Development and Regeneration update.](#)

Attachments

No.	Title	Page
A ↓	Urban Development and Regeneration update - May 2017	28

Urban Development and Regeneration Update

May 2017

Updates as at 10 May 2017

Agency	Programme	Update
Regenerate Christchurch	Central City	<p>As part of the Regenerate Strategy for the Cathedral Square and Surrounds a draft Concept Plan is being developed with input from key stakeholders, the Reference Group and property owners.</p> <p>The success of the Strategy depends on strong partnerships with the private sector and their investment in the vision and actions. The timeframe for the development of a Strategy for Cathedral Square and surrounds has been extended from June to the end of August to ensure that key property owners are involved in the development of the Strategy.</p> <p>Broader public engagement will occur in June.</p>
	Residential Red Zone	<p>A draft vision and objectives for the Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor Regeneration Area was published for public feedback on 12 May on Regenerate Christchurch's engagement hub https://engage.regeneratechristchurch.nz/. The public feedback period closes on 2 June.</p> <p>Feasibility reports on potential land use categories including development opportunities, flood management, transport options and visitor attractions are under development and will be completed in June.</p>
	New Brighton	Regenerate Christchurch are working with DCL on the long-term strategic development of the New Brighton Town Centre and its surrounding environments.
Development Christchurch Ltd		No further update since last report.
Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet (DMPC)	Greater Christchurch Group	No further update since last report.
Government departments	MBIE residential rebuild and insurance	<p>Monitoring:</p> <p>As of 31 March 2017 98% of all first time dwelling claims have been settled. EQC have settled 100% of under-cap claims, however our working through 5,428 re-opened claims. There remain 3,318 unsettled over-cap claims, 814 of which are currently in construction. Over one quarter of these unsettled claims relate to multi-unit buildings, positively the settlement rate of these claims has improved markedly over the past year.</p> <p>The Public Sector Rebuild is projected to cost \$6.4bn on completion. As at 31 December 2016, 74% of projects by value have either been completed or are</p>

		in progress. Peak expenditure is expected to occur in 2017 and be maintained at a high rate through 2018. Recent achievements include HNZ completing its new house build and repair programme.
	LINZ land management	<p>As at 5 May 2017</p> <p>Flat Land Clearance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7,092 dwellings have been cleared by the Crown and Insurers from Crown-owned properties A further 5 properties are currently delayed and clearance cannot proceed. <p>Port Hills Land Clearance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A total of 398 Crown owned properties in the Port Hills have been cleared A further 22 properties are currently underway <p>Residential Red Zone Offer Process update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Of the 7,871 properties in the residential red zones (including 196 Housing NZ properties) 7,720 have now settled with the Crown 148 did not accept and 3 are yet to settle due to specific individual situations. There is one remaining Rapaki property which is on track to settle by the end of June 2017. The remaining two may not settle due to legal impediments.
	MoH	No further update since last report.
Canterbury District Health Board	Psychosocial Wellbeing	<p>The greater Christchurch Psychosocial Committee and Governance Group meet two-monthly. Minutes from the April meetings note that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Earthquake Disability Leadership Group (EDLG) presented information on the Canterbury Accessibility Charter. The Charter asks signatory organisations to commit to helping make Canterbury a model of best practice accessibility. Accessibility contributes positively to health and wellbeing, as well as being good for business, economic development, and tourism. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MBIE will report to the next Psychosocial Committee meeting on the implementation of the Accessibility Plan: Public Buildings, and how MBIE informs the public on progress towards its goals. Anecdotal evidence suggests there is over demand for services across NGOs. The Governance Group has asked for more data to inform its response. Workforce wellbeing in the public and NGO sectors continues to be an issue of concern. The results of some focus groups with government workers on workforce wellbeing are currently being analysed with an intention for the research to inform cross-sector action. <p>The Psychosocial Committee confirmed its priorities for 2017. Of particular concern are unresolved claims, support for people dealing with re-repairs, communities in the East of the city, and households with income < \$30k.</p>

		This year the committee will share information more widely and seek engagement around their priorities.
Environment Canterbury	Regional Approach to Managing Natural Hazard Risk	The key work to date includes documenting roles and responsibilities in hazard management (Milestone 1); undertaking a stocktake of research (Milestone 10); and assessing how we communicate risk within our communities (Milestone 17). Progress on each of these milestones was presented at a March workshop and has been circulated to the working group. An update was provided to the Regional CE Forum on 8 May.
	Lyttelton Port Recovery Plan	<p>Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour Catchment Management Plan A series of workshops in June will inform the community of progress with the project and provide an opportunity for feedback on the Science Advisory Group's recommendations.</p> <p>Development of the final Catchment Management Plan will begin in May and there will be opportunities for further community input in September.</p> <p>Te Ana (Dampier Bay) access agreement The remaining issue to resolve for the access agreement is the date that permanent access is provided. Negotiations are continuing between LPC, CCC and Environment Canterbury to reach agreement on this.</p> <p>Consents The reclamation consent applications have been lodged and are likely to be notified at the end of May. The activity status is controlled and public notification is a requirement under the changes to the Regional Coastal Environment Plan directed by the LPRP.</p> <p>The consent hearing for the Channel Deepening Project will be completed in May.</p>
	Regeneration	<p>A submission of views has been provided on the draft Cranford Regeneration Plan.</p> <p>Environment Canterbury's contribution to draft Ōtākaro Aron River Corridor Regeneration Plan is continuing.</p>
	Submission on MBIE Urban Development Authorities discussion document	An Environment Canterbury submission on the UDA discussion document was lodged on Wednesday 17 May.
Christchurch City Council	Replacement District Plan	<p>The Replacement District Plan is approximately 98% operative, with the only remaining matters being two applications for leave to appeal to the Court of Appeal, and a High Court decision.</p> <p>Design guides have been prepared to illustrate and support new District Plan provisions including in respect to the Residential New Neighbourhood Zone, Character Area Overlays and fencing in Residential Zones.</p>

	Cranford Regeneration Plan	Comments have closed on the draft Cranford Regeneration Plan, with approximately 118 comments received. Council staff are working through these, revising the draft Plan and undertaking any necessary technical work that is stemming from the feedback. Officers propose an independent peer review of the revised Cranford Regeneration Plan.
	Regeneration	In addition to the ongoing projects described in previous updates: The transitional projects led by Council are being rebranded as the Enliven Places Programme, with a new public participation process in preparation for rollout in July. Sites and buildings that are barriers to regeneration in the central city have been identified and will be addressed via a three-phased approach (moving from dialogue and incentives to a more strict enforcement effort).
	Heritage	Grant funded work is now underway on the repair and seismic upgrade of the former Midland Club on Oxford Terrace with a completion date estimated at the end of 2017. Grant funded work is now nearing completion on the former Harbour Board Building in Lyttelton. Stakeholder Workshops and public engagement 'Drop in' sessions are under way on the Future of Heritage project. Work has now reached the half way mark on the repair and upgrade of the Christchurch Town Hall and is progressing well. The most recent completed item is the installation of a replacement copper tray and/or membrane roof.
Selwyn District Council	District Plan Review	The team has also completed the tender evaluation process to establish a Panel of Suppliers for the District Plan Review. We have finalised, or are in the process of finalising, a number of scopes of work in line with the project plans for internal and external work packages. These scopes of works will be sent out to the various Suppliers to undertake these work packages. The procurement process has been initiated for the first wave of scopes of work, with 26 scopes of work scheduled for release up until end of May 2017. Another 10 scopes of work are ready for final review. The Panel of Suppliers we are engaging for this work includes planners, urban designers, transport engineers, infrastructure engineers, experts in air discharges, noise, hazard identification and mapping, GIS, heritage, ecology, and economic modelling and assessment. The DPR programme for release of Scopes will be staggered or sequential and new scopes are likely to be identified over time. This will be an on-going process of procurement and contract management as the work programme evolves. We are currently looking at releasing a number of scopes of work over the next couple of weeks.

		<p>Work is underway on a Community and Stakeholder Engagement plan and Council has employed a new Communications Advisor with significant focus on the District Plan Review.</p> <p>Significant progress has been made towards more accurate financial reporting and budgeting for the DPR.</p> <p>SDC are currently reviewing the growth model for the DPR and ahead of the NPS-UDC/UDS Review/CRPS Review (potentially).</p> <p>SDC are engaging Mahaauini Kurataio for work on, and including, Cultural Sites and Papakaiainga, as well as the Scope for Mahaanui Governance, Administration and Support.</p>
	National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity	<p>The Policy Statement directs Council to actively monitor housing and business land up-take and to identify and address any potential or actual capacity issues within the district. Selwyn District meets the definition of a High Growth Urban Area and we have developed a work program for the next 2 years with the UDS partners that will be required to fulfill these new statutory obligations. It was agreed at the Selwyn District Plan Committee meeting on the 22 March that the scope of the NPS-UDC will be limited to the Urban Development Strategy area (Greater Christchurch).</p> <p>We are working collaboratively with our Urban Development Strategy partners in this space and more specifically on the growth settlement plan and growth modelling ahead of a review of the urban development strategy for greater Christchurch.</p>
	Selwyn District Housing Accord	<p>With respect to the Geddes / Dryden Trust block this is consented for both land use and subdivision so it is now with the developer to bring it to market. We are yet to see anything further on this from them. The original developers involved in this development have gone into liquidation so the development was placed on the market. SDC are yet to receive an update from the owners and how, or if, this will progress.</p> <p>With respect to South Farringdon, we have consented 2 out of 3 applications for land use and subdivision. The final application for stages 5-7 is currently on hold at the applicants request to work through conditions. The first two applications – stages 1A, 1B and 2; and stages 3 & 4 are granted.</p> <p>We have granted 28 building consents for new dwellings in South Farringdon. We have a further 12 in process. This development is progressing quite rapidly and given they were only largely consented late last year the developer is making significant progress with road and services construction already well underway.</p>
Waimakariri District Council	District Development Strategy (DDS)	<p>Public and stakeholder engagement to help inform development of the DDS is completed, other than further key stakeholder engagement. Drafting of the DDS document 'Our District, Our Future Waimakariri 2048' is underway. This will focus on the seven key themes set out in the discussion document released late in 2016.</p>

		<p>Background technical work continues to progress, including a population model based on Statistics New Zealand medium projections. This model will assist with spatial planning and inform the preparation of the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The seven themes from the DDS will assist with further drafting of the District Plan later this year.</p> <p>Release of a draft District Development Strategy document for formal public consultation is scheduled for late June 2017, followed by opportunities to be heard by Council. For more information see: http://www.waimakariri.govt.nz/your-council/district-development.</p>
	District Plan Review	<p>A potential structure for the Plan review, following on from an earlier resolution of Council to prepare a more activities focussed second generation Plan for the District, is confirmed. A zoned based approach with district wide rules is preferred. Staff are mindful of the national direction incorporated within the amendment bill and the timing of this.</p> <p>Issues and options papers for public and stakeholder feedback are being prepared. These will follow nearer the completion of the DDS, to be informed by the DDS, and are planned for public and stakeholder comment in September.</p> <p>Consideration is turning to the requirements of individual chapters of the plan review, taking into account the earlier plan effectiveness outcomes and internal and external resource requirements. The 'strategic directions' chapter is first to be prepared.</p>
	Kaiapoi Town Centre 2028	<p>The 2011 Kaiapoi Town Centre Plan (KTC Plan) was completed following the September 4th earthquake, recognising that the Kaiapoi town centre was significantly damaged and that a coordinated approach needed to be undertaken for its restoration and redevelopment. With the Waimakariri Residential Red Zone Recovery Plan now in place, the KTC Plan is to be reviewed to address issues and opportunities arising from the residential red zone areas of Kaiapoi, particularly the mixed-use business areas identified by the Recovery Plan that complement and extend the existing town centre.</p> <p>The review progress is in its early stages with current work focusing on identifying and engaging external technical advice requirements for the mixed-use business regeneration areas, and formation of the project work and management groups. The current timeframes proposes finalisation and implementation of this plan in June 2018.</p>
	Waimakariri Red Zone Recovery Plan	<p>Consultation materials for the replacement roads in Kaiapoi South and Kaiapoi East were sent out in early May. The consultation period closes on 24th May, with submissions to be considered by the Regeneration Steering Group. Public drop-in sessions are scheduled for Thursday 11 May and Saturday 13 May.</p> <p>Repairs have commenced to water infrastructure in Kaiapoi East and sewer infrastructure in Kaiapoi South. Courtenay Drive will have partial closures in place until later this year.</p>

		<p>Regular meetings are continuing with LINZ staff on development of the Land Divestment Plan, and an interim use agreement to allow early access to all regeneration areas for the purposes of surveys, interim leases, and construction activities.</p> <p>Work continues on establishment of the food forest management structure, and concept designs and masterplans for the reserves in Kaiapoi East, including the sports fields.</p> <p>Development of the brief and engagement of Mahaanui Kurataio to produce an area-wide Cultural Values Report is underway. Application for Archaeological Authority is underway.</p> <p>Reinstatement of the roads and utilities in the Kaiapoi Wharf area is complete and the area has re-opened to the public. Final streetlight poles installation will be completed this week.</p>
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu		No further update since last report.
New Zealand Transport Agency		No further update since last report.