

Coastal-Burwood Community Board

AGENDA

Notice of Meeting:

An ordinary meeting of the Coastal-Burwood Community Board will be held on:

Date: Monday 20 February 2017
Time: 9am
Venue: Boardroom, Corner Beresford and Union Streets,
New Brighton

Membership

Chairperson	Kim Money
Deputy Chairperson	Tim Sintes
Members	Tim Baker
	David East
	Glenn Livingstone
	Linda Stewart

14 February 2017

Jo Wells
Manager Community Governance, Coastal-Burwood
941 6451
Jo.Wells@ccc.govt.nz
www.ccc.govt.nz

Note: The reports contained within this agenda are for consideration and should not be construed as Council policy unless and until adopted.
If you require further information relating to any reports, please contact the person named on the report.

To view copies of Agendas and Minutes, visit:
www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/meetingminutes/agendas/index

Part A	Matters Requiring a Council Decision
Part B	Reports for Information
Part C	Decisions Under Delegation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

C	1.	Apologies.....	4
B	2.	Declarations of Interest.....	4
C	3.	Confirmation of Previous Minutes	4
B	4.	Deputations by Appointment.....	4
B	5.	Presentation of Petitions	4
C	6.	Correspondence	15

STAFF REPORTS

C	7.	Fairway Reserve Basketball Court - Noise Complaint.....	19
C	8.	Coastal-Burwood Community Board Discetionary Response Fund 2016/17 - Poppies over Gallipoli ANZAC Garden	25
B	9.	Elected Member Information Exchange	28
B	10.	Question Under Standing Orders	28
C	11.	Resolution to Exclude the Public	29

1. Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

2. Declarations of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as an elected representative and any private or other external interest they might have.

3. Confirmation of Previous Minutes

That the minutes of the Coastal-Burwood Community Board meeting held on [Friday, 10 February 2017](#) be confirmed (refer page 5).

4. Deputations by Appointment

- 4.1** Avril Enslow, Chief Executive Officer and Dave Evans, Design Committee Chair – Christchurch School of Gymnastics, and Warren Lewis, Project Manager – Lewis and Barrow Ltd will speak to the Board about the School's preferred site options on QEII Park.
- 4.2** Paul Lonsdale will speak on behalf of the New Brighton Business and Landowners Association and Development Christchurch Limited regarding New Brighton regeneration.

5. Presentation of Petitions

There were no petitions received at the time the agenda was prepared.

Coastal-Burwood Community Board OPEN MINUTES

Date: Friday 10 February 2017
Time: 1pm
Venue: Boardroom, Corner Beresford and Union Streets,
New Brighton

Present

Chairperson	Kim Money
Deputy Chairperson	Tim Baker
Members	Linda Stewart

9 February 2017

Jo Wells
Manager Community Governance, Coastal-Burwood
941 6451
Jo.Wells@ccc.govt.nz
www.ccc.govt.nz

To view copies of Agendas and Minutes, visit:
www.ccc.govt.nz/Council/meetingminutes/agendas/index

-
- Part A** **Matters Requiring a Council Decision**
Part B **Reports for Information**
Part C **Decisions Under Delegation**
-

The agenda was dealt with in the following order.

1.

1. Apologies

Community Board Resolved CBCB/2017/00029

That the apologies received from David East, Glenn Livingstone and Tim Sintes be accepted.

Kim Money/Tim Baker

Carried

2. Declarations of Interest

Part B

There were no declarations of interest recorded.

3. Confirmation of Previous Minutes

Part C

Community Board Resolved CBCB/2017/00030

Community Board Decision

That the minutes of the Coastal-Burwood Community Board meeting held on Monday, 5 December 2016 be confirmed.

Tim Baker/Linda Stewart

Carried

4. Deputations by Appointment

Part B

- 4.1** Caroline Shone, Chief Executive Officer presented on behalf of Community Energy Action regarding services they provide to residents within the constituencies.

The Chair thanked Caroline Shone for her deputation.

- 4.2** Jan Burney, and behalf of herself and Gary Sharlick, residents of Brooklands spoke to her written deputation on the Residential Red Zone (RRZ) Provision of Waste Water and Horizontal Infrastructure Update at Item 11.

Of concern to Jan Burney and Gary Sharlick was the lack of documentation around the contractual obligations the participating residents would need to agree to. Residents require a clear understanding of their legal obligations. Their preference was for the Pressure Tank System to be located on Council property outside of the private property boundary.

The Chair thanked Jan Burney for her deputation.

5. Presentation of Petitions

Part B

There was no presentation of petitions.

10. Residential Red Zone (RRZ) Provision of Waste Water and Horizontal Infrastructure Update

Board Comment

Staff provided information in response to the concerns raised by Jan Burney and Gary Sharlick in their deputation.

Staff undertook to finalise agreements with each affected resident.

Staff also undertook to respond in detail to the written deputation from Jan Burney and Gary Sharlick.

Staff Recommendation Accepted Without Change

Community Board Decided CBCB/2017/00031

Part A

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board recommends to Council that:

1. It approve the provision of Pressure Wastewater horizontal infrastructure in the Brooklands and Avon Residential Red Zone(RRZ) area
2. It notes that staff will continue discussions with the remaining residents who have not provided a formal submission on their preferred waste water system, including two properties where due to their isolated location (at least 1 km away from the nearest service) the project team will need to further investigate the best solution for these properties
3. It delegates to the Senior Technician Water and Waste Planner the power to decide on the best solution for a wastewater system for the two properties, and delegates to the Senior Technician Water and Waste Planner and the Property Unit to negotiate and agree any easements that may be required for those two properties and the other properties to be provided with a pressure wastewater system.

Tim Baker/Linda Stewart

Carried

6. Correspondence

Staff Recommendations

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board:

1. Receives the information in the correspondence report dated 10 February 2017; and
2. Endorses the responses proposed by staff.

Community Board Resolved CBCB/2017/00032

Part B

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board:

1. Receives the information in the correspondence report dated 10 February 2017; and
2. Endorses the responses to the South Brighton Residents Association proposed by staff noting that not all questions can be answered at this stage. Those unanswered matters to be followed up and responses given.

Linda Stewart/Tim Baker

Carried

7. Coastal-Burwood Community Board Recess Committee Minutes - 20 December 2016

Community Board Resolved CBCB/2017/00033

Part B

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board receives the Minutes from the Coastal-Burwood Community Board Recess Committee meeting held 20 December 2016.

Tim Baker/Linda Stewart

Carried

8. Haeata Community Campus School Speed Zone

Staff Recommendation Accepted Without Change

Community Board Decided CBCB/2017/00034

Part A

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board recommends that the Council:

1. Revoke the existing 40 kilometres per hour variable speed limit on Breezes Road located between Pages Road and Wainoni Road and that this revocation shall come into force on completion of the removal of existing infrastructure.
2. Approve the installation of a 40 kilometres per hour variable speed limit on Shortland Street (school zone) and modify the 40 kilometres per hour variable speed limit on Breezes Road, as it meets the requirements of Section 7.1 of the Land Transport Setting of Speed Limits Rule 2003, and the New Zealand Gazette notice (21/04/2011, Number 55, page 1284) including the times of operation.
3. Approve that, subject to the Council approving recommendation 1 above, pursuant to Clause 5(1) of the Christchurch City Speed Limits Bylaw 2010, a variable speed limit (40 kilometres per hour School Speed zone) apply on:
 - a. Shortland Street, commencing at a point 170 metres north west of its intersection with Pages Road and extending in a north westerly direction for a distance of 465 metres.
 - b. Breezes Road, commencing at a point 275 metres north west of its intersection with Pages Road and extending in a north westerly direction for a distance of 320 metres.

4. Approve that subject to the Council approving recommendations 1, 2 and 3 above, the above mentioned new variable speed limits shall come into force on completion of infrastructure installation and public notification.

Linda Stewart/Kim Money

Carried

9. Marshland School Speed Zone

Staff Recommendation Accepted Without Change

Community Board Decided CBCB/2017/00035

Part A

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board recommends that the Council:

1. Approve the installation of a 40 kilometres per hour variable speed limit on Te Korari Street (school zone), as it meets the requirements of Section 7.1 of the Land Transport Setting of Speed Limits Rule 2003, and the New Zealand Gazette notice (21/04/2011, Number 55, page 1284) including the times of operation.
2. Approve that, subject to the Council approving recommendation 1 above, pursuant to Clause 5(1) of the Christchurch City Speed Limits Bylaw 2010, a variable speed limit (40 kilometres per hour School Speed zone) apply on:
 - a. Te Korari Street, commencing at a point 85 metres north of its intersection with Prestons Road and extending in a northerly direction for a distance of 310 metres.
3. Approve that, subject to the Council approving recommendations 1 and 2 above, the above mentioned variable speed limit shall come into force on completion of infrastructure installation and public notification.
4. Approve, in pursuance of the powers vested in it by Section 8.3(1) of the Land Transport Rule - Traffic Control Devices 2004 (Rule 54002), and pursuant to the powers vested in it by the Local Government Act 1974 and 2002, the Christchurch City Council hereby authorises the head teacher of Marshland School to appoint appropriately trained persons to act as school patrols on Te Korari Street, located at a point more or less 43 metres north of its intersection with Te Aika Street.

Tim Baker/Linda Stewart

Carried

11. Avon River Stopbanks Tree Removals and Landscape Plan

Staff Recommendations

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board approves:

1. The removal of 45 street trees to allow the second stage of the Avon River Temporary Stopbank Project to be fully implemented.
2. The implementation of the proposed replacement tree planting plan, comprising 206 replacement street and park trees, which also includes the replacement planting for the first stage of the Avon River Temporary Stopbank Project as per the former Burwood/Pegasus Community Board resolution (BPCB/2016/00102) which included the removal of 29 street and park trees.

Community Board Resolved CBCB/2017/00036

Part C

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board approves:

1. The removal of 45 street trees to allow the second stage of the Avon River Temporary Stopbank Project to be fully implemented.
2. The implementation of the proposed replacement tree planting plan, allowing for flexibility in species and placement, comprising 206 replacement street and park trees, which also includes the replacement planting for the first stage of the Avon River Temporary Stopbank Project as per the former Burwood/Pegasus Community Board resolution (BPCB/2016/00102) which included the removal of 29 street and park trees.
3. Staff presenting a workshop to the Board for a more in-depth discussion on the replacement tree species and placement.

Tim Baker/Kim Money

Carried

12. Coastal-Burwood Community Board Meeting Schedule 2017

Community Board Resolved CBCB/2017/00037 (Staff Recommendation Accepted Without Change)

Part C

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board

1. Adopts the following meeting schedule to December 2017:

Monday 3 April	9.00am	New Brighton Boardroom	Easter is on Monday
Tuesday 18 April	4.30pm	New Brighton Boardroom	
Monday 1 May	9.00am	New Brighton Boardroom	
Monday 15 May	4.30pm	New Brighton Boardroom	Monday Queens Birthday
Tuesday 6 June	9.00am	New Brighton Boardroom	
Monday 19 June	4.30pm	New Brighton Boardroom	
Monday 3 July	9.00am	New Brighton Boardroom	
Monday 17 July	4.30pm	New Brighton Boardroom	
Monday 7 August	9.00am	New Brighton Boardroom	
Monday 21 August	4.30pm	New Brighton Boardroom	
Monday 4 September	9.00am	New Brighton Boardroom	
Monday 18 September	4.30pm	New Brighton Boardroom	
Monday 2 October	9.00am	New Brighton Boardroom	
Monday 16 October	4.30pm	New Brighton Boardroom	
Monday 6 November	9.00am	New Brighton Boardroom	
Monday 20 November	4.30pm	New Brighton Boardroom	
Monday 4 December	9.00am	New Brighton Boardroom	

2. Notes it has previously agreed to review its ordinary meeting arrangements in early 2017 for the remainder of the 2016/19 term.

Linda Stewart/Tim Baker

Carried

13. StrengthsFinder Coaching

Staff Recommendations

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board approves up to \$1,500 from its operational funding to enable Board members to undertake StrengthsFinder coaching provided by Strengths Network South Pacific.

Community Board Resolved CBCB/2017/00038

Part C

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board approves up to \$1,500 from its operational funding to enable Board members to undertake StrengthsFinder coaching provided by Strengths Network South Pacific, subject to at least five Board members agreeing to participate.

Chairperson Money/Member Baker

Carried

14. 2017 Community Board Chairpersons and Deputy Chairpersons Workshop

Board Comment

It was noted that Tim Sintes was unable to attend this workshop.

Community Board Resolved CBCB/2017/00039 (Staff Recommendation Accepted Without Change)

Part C

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board:

1. Approves the attendance of Kim Money at the Community Board Executive Committee workshop for Chairpersons and their Deputies to be held in Christchurch on 25 February 2017.
2. Notes that the cost of \$100 will be met from the Board's operational funds.

Linda Stewart/Tim Baker

Carried

15. Coastal-Burwood Community Board Area Report

- 15.1 **2017 Bi-Annual Community Boards Conference** - staff undertook to obtain more detail around accommodation, conference content etc., when available.
- 15.2 **Best Practice Awards** – staff agreed to work with the Board to identify a suitable project for submission for a best practice award.
- 15.3 **New Brighton Pier Fishing Management 2015** - the Board noted the feedback given and asked staff to obtain information from the Mall Guardians around their perceptions of the Pier Fishing Management.
- 15.3 **Requests for information from Board meeting on Newsline** - members to consider further.
- 15.4 **Significant Board matters of interest to raise at Council**
- Coast to coast event
 - Pier repair/Library re-opening
 - Haeata Community College opening
 - NZ Surf Life Saving Championships
 - Ray White NB Duke Festival of Surfing

Community Board Resolved CBCB/2017/00040

Part B

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board receives the Area Update.

Kim Money/Linda Stewart

Carried

16. Elected Member Information Exchange

Part B

- 16.1 The Dallington Community Cottage Trust is currently looking for new premises. The Trust is supported by an annual grant from the Board.
- 16.2 Haeata Community Campus Opening was well attended.
- 16.3 Staff undertook, in conjunction with the Board, to consider how best to engage with youth.

17. Questions Under Standing Orders

Part B

There were no questions under Standing Orders at this meeting.

Meeting concluded at 3.40pm.

CONFIRMED THIS 20th DAY OF FEBRUARY 2017

KIM MONEY
CHAIRPERSON

6. Correspondence

Reference: 17/107728

Contact: Peter Croucher Peter.croucher@ccc.govt.nz 941 5305

1. Purpose of Report



Correspondence has been received from:

Name	Subject
L Callaghan	Correspondence in respect of the report Fairway Reserve Basketball Court – Noise Complaint at Item 7.

2. Staff Recommendations

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board receives the information in the correspondence report dated 20 February 2017.

Attachments

No.	Title	Page
A  	Correspondence from L Callaghan	16

26 January 2017

L Callaghan
12B Giles Place
Shirley
Christchurch 8061

Mr Peter Croucher
Community Board Adviser - Burwood/Pegasus,
Community Governance Team
Governance & Partnerships Unit
Shirley Service Centre
36 Marshland Rd
Box 73023
Christchurch

Dear Mr Croucher

I was very surprised to get your email letter of 17 January 2017. It is a complete reversal of the information that I have been given by Council staff, both in writing and verbally (phone), over the preceding months.

The content of your email is a total and unacceptable back-flip. It came right out of the blue, without any indication that the path this was going down was now being abandoned.

I had been told that a sound-proof fence would be erected. I was told the date on which this work was due to commence with the fence posts being put in on the 15 and 16th December, and the wooden paling would be going on the 20 and 21 December 2016, I was also told that plantings would be put in to soften the visual impact of the new fence and in keeping with the park. Of course, none of this actually happened.

I have been told many times by Council staff that signs don't work – and that was more than adequately proven by the placement of a sign at this court early in 2016. So why will a sign work now?

I have real concerns about the validity of the sound-testing you describe. It does not say so but it must be assumed that someone bounced one basketball an undefined number of times, during daytime and the sound level was measured from that.

Such a "test" is a significantly different situation from the real world. My complaints are that there are often multiple basketballs, multiple "players", with a combination of the bouncing sound and the noise of people running around and verbalising – loudly – over prolonged **periods during the evening, often after dark and often late into the night**. It is not just one basketball bounced a few times. This is a continuous barrage – much more different than one basketball being bounced a few times. One night two youths arrived at 11pm and woke me up. I couldn't go back to sleep, so I stayed up. This is not acceptable!

I'm all for the kids playing, but they show no respect or regard for anyone else and become a nuisance late at night. Sound in this situation carries through the quiet of the evening and is a very different proposition to the sound of the short-term bouncing of a basketball, without the accompanying human sounds, against the background noise of daytime traffic and normal community activity.

That sort of noise is acceptable during the day. It is not acceptable at night, particularly when it infringes on my ability to sleep. Even one basketball with one "player" in the evening is a very different and unacceptable intrusion than the same thing during the day.

Just as someone made an arbitrary decision to create this nuisance, it seems that they are now able to make an arbitrary decision as to what they think is an acceptable daily timescale for this nuisance to occur.

I'm sorry but I do not agree. The basketball court should not have been put there in the first place.

As we all know – and Council staff confirm - signs do not work. So, assuming that the arbitrary timeframe chosen by Council staff is posted on a known to be ineffective sign, I have two questions:-

- a) What powers of enforcement does Council have to ensure that those hours are not breached and if they are breached, to take action against offenders?
- b) Who do I call after the 9.00 p.m. cut-off time to have the timeframe enforced? Your email makes it clear that the noise level is not, in Council's opinion, exceeding any noise standard and therefore the usual noise abatement process available to me is clearly not going to be available in this situation. Will you be providing me with the telephone number of the staff member responsible so that they will immediately come out, irrespective of the time of night, to have the offenders cease and desist?.

I have several other questions:-

- a) Why does the basketball facility at the corner of Joy Street and Pagoda Street (Pagoda Playground) in Shirley have a soundproofing fence but one will not be erected at the Fairway Park facility only a few kilometres away? What is different there to here?
- b) Why is that some staff members within the Council recognise that these facilities are a nuisance and actively oppose them in the "planning" stage but are ignored?

This all gives the impression of a situation where Council staff have a "bright" idea, make decisions which do not affect them personally and then irrespective of whether they might be right or wrong, will defend that decision to the bitter end, knowing full well that the resident / ratepayer has virtually no ability to challenge those decisions or to protect their rights to the natural and peaceful use and occupation of their home. It makes the Christchurch City Council a very bad neighbour indeed.

The decision you are recommending to the Board, to take no action (except for signage) to effectively remove the nuisance created by Council, as outlined in your email is not acceptable and does not bring this matter to an end.

As I stated in my letter dated 5 April 2016, the Council needs to recognize and take responsibility for the nuisance it has created. The Council has put this thing there. Council should get rid of it, or at least do everything they can to arrive at an acceptable solution for all.

Yours faithfully.



Linda Callaghan
Home: 03 3854262
Mobile: 0276043132

7. Fairway Reserve Basketball Court - Noise Complaint

Reference: 17/10935

Contact: Peter Croucher Peter.croucher@ccc.govt.nz 941 5305

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 This report fulfils the previous Board's request for staff to investigate options for mitigating the noise issues from the Fairway Reserve basketball court backboard and to bring the investigation results back to the Board.


2. Staff Recommendations

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board receives the information in the report noting that noise levels at the Fairway Reserve basketball facility are compliant with City Plan standards.

3. Key Points

- 3.1 On 18 April 2016 the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board received a deputation from Linda Callaghan, resident of Giles Place who was concerned at noise from the Fairway Reserve basketball facility, especially at night. The Board requested that staff investigate options for mitigating the noise issues and to bring the investigation results back to the Board. In a customer service request lodged the year prior, Linda Callaghan had noted that noise from the basketball court in the Reserve behind her house goes from around 9-10pm at night and sometimes starts around 7am.
- 3.2 The Council's Environmental Health Officer has provided the **attached** noise assessment which was carried out to determine whether or not noise from people using the basketball court, i.e. bouncing a ball on the concrete and against the backboard, would comply with City Plan noise standards at Linda Callaghan's Giles Place property. The report concludes that noise levels taken by the fence line during use of the court are compliant with those standards and the Council is not in a position to take further action in response to basketball noise complaints.
- 3.3 Staff have replaced old bolts to stop the basketball ring from rattling. At the time of this report's preparation, it is intended to reinstall signage following vandalising which will be either be concreted in place or become part of the entrance signage. The sign will request users of the Fairway Reserve Basket Ball Court to restrict their use to between 8am and 9pm.
- 3.4 There have not been any similar noise complaints from other residents who border the Reserve.

Attachments

No.	Title	Page
A 	Fairway Reserve Noise Report	20

Signatories

Author	Peter Croucher - Community Board Advisor
Approved By	Jo Wells - Manager Community Governance, Coastal-Burwood

Fairway Reserve – Basketball Noise Complaint Investigation

1. A visit was made to Fairway Reserve on Wednesday 21/12/2016 at 10:15 am. The purpose of the visit was to determine whether or not noise from people using the basketball court (i.e bouncing a ball on the concrete and against the backboard) would comply with City Plan noise standards at 2/12 Giles Place. I was accompanied by Simon Curtis, Parks Advisor.
2. At the time of the visit it was approximately 22-23°C, fine and sunny, with a light breeze blowing in from the east.
3. The general environment was very quiet; with the following noise sources noted:
 - ☐ Distant traffic noise from QE2 Drive;
 - ☐ Occasional traffic (i.e 1-2 cars per minute) along Fairway Drive;
 - ☐ An occasional slight hum from a 2 tonne excavator on the driveway at 7 Fairway Drive (approximately 95 metres SE of the measurement position);
 - ☐ Birdsong.

No aircraft, other park users or dogs were observed or heard throughout the duration of our visit.

4. An inspection of the boundary fence (between 2/12 Giles and Fairway Reserve) was also undertaken. The fence is in good, solid condition with no gaps or cracks of concern. Whilst it does not meet the minimum specifications of an acoustic fence it is considered capable of attenuating noise by approximately 5dB.
5. A measurement position was selected (see the pink dot on the aerial view below). This point was located approximately 4-5 metres from the western boundary fence.



6. The first measurement was taken between 10:24 am and 10:29 am.

The purpose of this measurement was to determine the 'background' (L_{A90}) noise level, and included those sources that are continuously present; traffic, aircraft, people, animals, birdsong etc. The results were as follows:

L_{A10} : 42dB
 L_{Aeq} : 41.4dB
 L_{A90} : 38.5dB

7. The second measurement was taken between 10:33 am and 10:38 am.

During this period Simon was bouncing the basketball and shooting hoops. The purpose of this measurement was to determine how much the noise levels above increased as a result of activity on the basketball court.

The results were as follows:

L_{A10} : 48.5dB
 L_{Aeq} : 46.3dB
 L_{A90} : 41.0 dB
 L_{Amax} : 61.1dB

The background level (L_{A90}) increased by 2.5 dB as a result of activity on the basketball court. In addition, the L_{Aeq} (i.e the average sound pressure level) also increased; by approximately 5dB.

8. The L_{Amax} is a maximum sound pressure level, and represents a more impulsive sound, such as a basketball hitting the backboard, or a car door slam.
9. This site is zoned Living 1, therefore the Group 1 Zone day time development noise standards (i.e the most noise sensitive) apply.

These noise standards are as follows:

Table 1				
	Development Standards			
Group 1 Zones		Daytime	Night-time	Ldn
(including scheduled activities) Refer Clause 1.3.2 (a)	L 10	40dBA	42dBA	50dBA
	L eq	50dBA	41dBA	
	L max	75dBA	65dBA	
Group 2 Zones	N/A			
(including scheduled activities) Refer Clause 1.3.2 (b)				

10. The results indicate that compliance with the applicable noise standards is being achieved.

A noise level of 46.3dB L_{Aeq} is compliant with the 50dB L_{Aeq} day time standard.
A noise level of 61.1dB L_{Amax} is also compliant with the 75dB L_{Amax} day time standard.
11. It should be noted that these levels are indicative of the noise received 4-5 metres inside the western boundary of Fairway Park. It is expected that these noise levels would drop a further 5dB (at least) if a measurement was taken at 2/12 Giles Place; on account of the additional distance and the boundary fence.
12. Based on these results, Council is not in a position to take further action in response to basketball noise complaints.
13. If a timber fence was erected between the basketball court and the reserve boundary it is not certain if this would provide any further attenuation. It also raises Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) issues, may result in additional noise (children could bounce/throw balls against it) and it may become a target for taggers.
14. Finally, under Vol. 3, Part 11, 1.2.3 the following activity is excluded from the noise rules in Clauses 1.3.1, 1.3.2 and 1.3.4.
 - (j) spontaneous social activities and children's play (but not including pre-schools in Living Zones);

Noise from children using the basketball court is likely to fit this description.

Kirsten Rayne

Environmental Health Officer

8. Coastal-Burwood Community Board Discretionary Response Fund 2016/17 - Poppies over Gallipoli ANZAC Garden

Reference: 17/95709

Contact: Heather Davies Heather.davies@ccc.govt.nz

941 5314

1. Purpose and Origin of Report

Purpose of Report

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is for the Coastal-Burwood Community Board to consider an application for funding from its 2016/17 Discretionary Response Fund from the organisation listed below.

Funding Request Number	Organisation	Project Name	Amount Requested
55983	Avon-Otakaro Inc	Poppies over Gallipoli ANZAC Garden	\$4,670

Origin of Report

- 1.2 This report is to assist the Community Board to consider an application for funding from Avon-Otakaro.

2. Significance

- 2.1 The decision in this report is of low significance in relation to the Christchurch City Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.
- 2.1.1 The level of significance was determined by the number of people affected and/or with an interest.
- 2.1.2 Due to the assessment of low significance, no further community engagement and consultation is required.

3. Staff Recommendations

That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board approves a grant of \$4,168.30 to Avon-Otakaro Incorporated towards Poppies Over Gallipoli ANZAC Garden Project. \$3,000 for garden construction. \$558.80 for plants. \$379.50 for fencing and \$200 for food.



4. Key Points

- 4.1 At the time of writing, the balance of the Discretionary Response Fund is as detailed below.

Total Budget 2015/16	Granted To Date	Available for allocation	Balance If Staff Recommendation adopted
\$72,542	\$25, 000	\$47, 424	\$43,285.70

- 4.2 Based on the current Discretionary Response Fund criteria, the application listed above is eligible for funding.
- 4.3 The attached Decision Matrix provides detailed information for the application. This includes organisational details, project details, financial information and a staff assessment.

Attachments

No.	Title	Page
A  	Decision Matrix Avon-Otakaro Poppies Over Gallipoli Garden	27

Confirmation of Statutory Compliance

Compliance with Statutory Decision-making Requirements (ss 76 - 81 Local Government Act 2002).

(a) This report contains:

- (i) sufficient information about all reasonably practicable options identified and assessed in terms of their advantages and disadvantages; and
- (ii) adequate consideration of the views and preferences of affected and interested persons bearing in mind any proposed or previous community engagement.

(b) The information reflects the level of significance of the matters covered by the report, as determined in accordance with the Council's significance and engagement policy.

Signatories

Author	Heather Davies - Community Development Advisor
Approved By	Jo Wells - Manager Community Governance, Coastal-Burwood

2016/17 DRF COASTAL-BURWOOD DECISION MATRIX

Priority Rating

One	Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes significantly to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Highly recommended for funding.
Two	Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities. Recommended for funding.
Three	Meets all eligibility criteria and contributes to Funding Outcomes and Priorities but to a lesser extent than Priority 2 applications. Not recommended for funding.
Four	Meets all eligibility criteria and has minimum contribution to Funding Outcomes and Priorities / Insufficient information provided by applicant (in application and after request from Advisor) / Other funding sources more appropriate. Not recommended for funding.

00055983	Organisation Name	Project Name and Description	Total Cost	Contribution sought towards	Staff Recommendation	Priority
	Avon-Otakaro Incorporated	Poppies over Gallipoli Anzac Garden 'Poppies over Gallipoli' ANZAC Garden. The creation of a memorial garden to complement the Poppies sculpture on the corner of Anzac Drive and Travis Road.	\$ 4,670 \$ Requested \$ 4,670 (100% requested)	\$3,000 landscaping materials and equipment \$670 plants \$379.50 Safety fencing \$200 sausages, bread and sauce \$300 Koha for speakers \$100 Public Announcement hire	\$ 4,168.30 That the Coastal-Burwood Community Board award \$4,168.30 to Avon-Otakaro Incorporated for the Poppies Over Gallipoli ANZAC Garden project. \$3,000 for garden construction. \$558.80 for plants. \$379.50 for fencing and \$200 for food.	2

Organisation Details Service Base: Legal Status: Incorporated Society Established: 1/10/2011 Target groups: Annual Volunteer hours: 400 Number of project participants: 2,000 Alignment with Council Strategies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strengthening Communities A city of people who value and protect the environment. CCC Funding History \$2615.00 (Matariki in the Zone)	Other Sources of Funding (this project only) Rotary Christchurch - amount not yet specified. Staff Assessment The Anzac sculpture, "Poppies over Gallipoli", was unveiled on 11 November 2003. The sculpture was designed by Ian Lamont and Judith Streat. The inscription on the rectangular steel plates is the poem written in 1934 by Kemal Ataturk "Those heroes that shed their blood". The poem is written on a plaque on the shores of Gallipoli. Earthquake land damage skewed the sculpture and it was shifted to its present site in 2015. An agreed part of that project between the Avon-Otakaro Network and the Council was to create a memorial garden to complement the sculpture. The garden was to use shingle brought to New Zealand by the Turkish Embassy from the Cannukale, Gallipoli Peninsula. This application seeks funding to construct the garden and hold an event. Costings for the garden include material, equipment and plants. The group want the garden completed in time for ANZAC Day 2017. When they will hold a celebration, planting day. Both artists are comfortable with the proposed garden. The Avon-Otakaro Network will maintain the planting. Mowing will continue under park and transit costs.
--	---

9. Elected Member Information Exchange

This item provides an opportunity for Board Members to update each other on recent events and/or issues of relevance and interest to the Board.

10. Question Under Standing Orders

Any member of the local authority may at any meeting of the local authority at the appointed time, put a question to the Chairperson, or through the Chairperson of the local authority to the Chairperson of any standing or special committee, or to any officer of the local authority concerning any matter relevant to the role or functions of the local authority concerning any matter that does not appear on the agenda, nor arises from any committee report or recommendation submitted to that meeting.

Wherever applicable, such questions shall be in writing and handed to the Chairperson prior to the commencement of the meeting at which they are to be asked.

11. Resolution to Exclude the Public

Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.

I move that the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting, namely items listed overleaf.

Reason for passing this resolution: good reason to withhold exists under section 7.

Specific grounds under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution: Section 48(1)(a)

Note

Section 48(4) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides as follows:

“(4) Every resolution to exclude the public shall be put at a time when the meeting is open to the public, and the text of that resolution (or copies thereof):

- (a) Shall be available to any member of the public who is present; and
- (b) Shall form part of the minutes of the local authority.”

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by Section 6 or Section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as follows:

ITEM NO.	GENERAL SUBJECT OF EACH MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED	SECTION	SUBCLAUSE AND REASON UNDER THE ACT	PLAIN ENGLISH REASON	WHEN REPORTS CAN BE RELEASED
12	SOUTH BRIGHTON MOTOR CAMP - NEW OPERATOR AND LEASE	S7(2)(B)(II), S7(2)(H)	PREJUDICE COMMERCIAL POSITION, COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES	THIS REPORT IS WITHELD TO PROTECT THE COMMERCIAL SENSITIVITY OF THE ASSOCIATED OPERATOR AND TRANSACTION.	Upon lease commencement