

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
GREATER CHRISTCHURCH URBAN DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY
IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE (UDSIC)**

**Held in the Council Chamber, Environment Canterbury,
200 Tuam Street, Christchurch
on Friday 8 July 2016 commencing at 9:08am**

PRESENT:

UDS Independent Chair
Bill Wasley

Christchurch City Council
Mayor Lianne Dalziel and Councillor Paul Lonsdale

Environment Canterbury
Commissioners Dame Margaret Bazley and Peter Skelton

Selwyn District Council
Mayor Kelvin Coe and Councillors Mark Alexander and Malcolm Lyall

Waimakariri District Council
Mayor David Ayers, Councillors Jim Gerard and Neville Atkinson

Canterbury District Health Board (observer)
David Meates

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet (observer)
Kelvan Smith

1. APOLOGIES

The Committee **received** the apologies for absence from Commissioner Rex Williams (Environment Canterbury), Councillor Phil Clearwater, Tā Mark Solomon and Lisa Tumahai (Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu) and Jim Harland (New Zealand Transport Agency); and for lateness from Mayor Lianne Dalziel (Christchurch City Council) and David Meates (Canterbury District Health Board).

Moved: Malcolm Lyall
Seconded: Mark Alexander

Mr Wasley acknowledged Mayor Coe and Mayor Ayers for chairing meetings in his absence.

2. DECLARATION OF INTEREST

No declarations were made.

* UDS partners in respect of this matter are the Christchurch City Council, Selwyn District Council, Waimakariri District Council, Environment Canterbury, Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu, New Zealand Transport Agency, Canterbury District Health Board and the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

3. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

Representatives of Generation Zero spoke to the Committee about SHIFT, a pledge campaign which encourages people commuting to the central city to choose more sustainable transport options. Generation Zero was founded in 2011 to reduce carbon emissions, with a particular focus on smarter transport, liveable cities and independence from fossil fuels.

The Committee requested that Generation Zero make this presentation to the Greater Christchurch Public Transport Joint Committee, that the SHIFT campaign also consider a Greater Christchurch perspective and involve the respective Youth Councils across the sub-region.

Generation Zero members tabled feedback forms for Committee members to fill in following the meeting.

Generation Zero was thanked for their presentation and commended on key matters it was focussing on.

4. CONFIRMATION OF PREVIOUS MINUTES

The Committee **confirmed** the minutes of the previous meeting of 10 June 2016.

Moved: Peter Skelton

Seconded: Jim Gerard

5. GREATER CHRISTCHURCH URBAN DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY UPDATE 2016

Chrissie Williams joined the table to speak to the report and the Strategy Update that was attached to the agenda. It was noted that in undertaking the update a review of significant related consultations since 2007 occurred and a stakeholder focus group had provided useful feedback and input. The 2007 Strategy is retained and a full review of the strategy is signalled over the next term of the councils.

The Committee **resolved** to:

- a. Endorse the Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy Update 2016
- b. Recommend that Strategy partners ratify the Strategy Update 2016
- c. Acknowledge and thank staff and consultants for their work in the development of the Strategy Update 2016.

Moved: Mark Alexander

Seconded: Paul Lonsdale

6. RESILIENT GREATER CHRISTCHURCH PLAN

Mike Gillooly and John Meeker joined the table to speak to the report. Mr Gillooly acknowledged key colleagues' involvement in the Resilient Greater Christchurch Plan, particularly the UDS Partners Working Group and an external advisory group. A stakeholder event in June had resulted in around 60 further feedback comments which had informed the final Plan.

An addition was requested to page 89 of the Plan, Implementation Programme 2, Urban Intensification Plans, add "Regional Council" as a recognised partner.

* UDS partners in respect of this matter are the Christchurch City Council, Selwyn District Council, Waimakariri District Council, Environment Canterbury, Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu, New Zealand Transport Agency, Canterbury District Health Board and the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

The Committee **resolved** to:

- a. Receive the report
- b. Endorse the Resilient Greater Christchurch Plan subject to minor modifications by the Chief Resilience Officer
- c. Recommend that individual partner governance meetings ratify the Plan
- d. Agree that ongoing governance oversight of the Plan rest with UDSIC
- e. Support the role of the Chief Resilience Officer as an advocate for co-ordination, ongoing development and implementation of the Plan across UDS partners
- f. Acknowledge and thank other staff for their work on the development of the Resilient Greater Christchurch Plan.

Moved: Mark Alexander

Seconded: Paul Lonsdale

7. OPTIONS FOR MAKING CHANGES TO THE CANTERBURY REGIONAL POLICY STATEMENT

Chrissie Williams joined the table to speak to the report and a power point presentation that outlined the Options in the report.

The Committee highlighted the need to use the provisions in the Greater Christchurch Regeneration act wisely, maintain a collaborative approach, and look for some appropriate early wins. The Committee also agreed to invite Regenerate Christchurch to a future meeting for further strategic discussion on recovery plans between all partners.

The Committee **received** the report.

Moved: Peter Skelton

Seconded: Malcolm Lyall

Item 9 was dealt with at this time.

9. CANTERBURY EARTHQUAKE RECOVERY LEARNING AND LEGACY UPDATE

Elizabeth McNaughton, Executive Director, Recovery Lessons and Legacy of the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet, Greater Christchurch Group, provided a power point presentation and updated the Committee on the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Learning and Legacy Programme.

A website associated with the Programme would be launched in August of this year and a Symposium of invited participants was planned for 14-16 February 2017.

The following feedback on the presentation was provided by the Committee:

- Ensure the project looks wider than the metropolitan area, as demonstrated by the Canterbury earthquakes, and the history of NZ earthquakes impacting smaller towns
- Recovery starts with emergency responses – know your neighbour and community, it is the initial response that leads to bigger things
- Some reviews have already been completed by various organisations e.g. SCIRT, CCC, CERA
- What are mechanisms that enable communities to thrive and support smaller communities
- Canterbury University and other tertiary organisations will have a lot of information available through initiatives like Seismic, Quake Centre, QuakeBox, etc

* UDS partners in respect of this matter are the Christchurch City Council, Selwyn District Council, Waimakariri District Council, Environment Canterbury, Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu, New Zealand Transport Agency, Canterbury District Health Board and the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

- Consider how we have responded at a governance level
- Consider international experience – learn from what they did wrong
- The challenge for the Programme in making comment on and assessing political decisions
- The Programme could benefit from some independent and external (outside NZ) commentary on some of the tough issues
- Assessing the role and effectiveness of the Canterbury EQ Commission
- Include the role of the Ministry of Defence in the emergency response phase and the cordoning approach in the central city.

The Committee **received** the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Learning and Legacy Update.

Moved: Paul Lonsdale

Seconded: Jim Gerard

The meeting returned to Item 8.

8. LYTTELTON PORT RECOVERY PLAN IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS REPORT

Rory Smeaton and Jill Atkinson, Environment Canterbury, spoke to the progress report on the Lyttelton Port Recovery Plan. The progress report focussed on the eight supporting actions contained in the recovery plan.

Commissioner Skelton acknowledged the major part that Councillor Andrew Turner had played in relation to the Plan. He also noted that although the recovery plan cited completion of the Catchment by December 2015 it was acknowledged that finalisation of the Management Plan would most likely be at the end of 2017. Staff will work in close collaboration with the Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee on this initiative.

The meeting was informed that Mr Smeaton was leaving Environment Canterbury, and the work he has carried out on the Plan was acknowledged.

The Committee **received** the Lyttelton Port Recovery Plan Implementation Progress Report.

Moved: Jim Gerard

Seconded: Kelvin Coe

10. DRAFT UDS SUBMISSION ON THE PROPOSED NPS URBAN DEVELOPMENT CAPACITY

Keith Tallentire noted the summary points outlined on page 41 of the Urban Development Strategy and spoke to the report.

The following points were noted from the discussion:

- High growth areas include areas of Waimakariri that are outside the Statistics NZ Main Urban Area boundary
- Statistics NZ data is sometimes not reflecting the changing nature of Canterbury areas, e.g. deprivation, and this has impacts on health, education and other services and their associated funding mechanisms
- Having standardisation is good but a rationale to go outside that framework and data is essential for good decision making.

* UDS partners in respect of this matter are the Christchurch City Council, Selwyn District Council, Waimakariri District Council, Environment Canterbury, Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu, New Zealand Transport Agency, Canterbury District Health Board and the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

The Committee **agreed** to the UDS Partnership submission on the proposed NPS Urban Development Capacity with the addition of a paragraph outlining the unique circumstances of Greater Christchurch with regard to using Statistics NZ data.

Moved: Malcolm Lyall

Seconded: Kelvin Coe

An adjournment was taken from 10.47am to 11.05am.

11. SMART CITIES UPDATE

Teresa McCallum, Programme Manager, Christchurch City Council provided a power point presentation on the Smart Cities project, that focuses on how technology can be used to inform decision making and make cities operate more efficiently by enhancing quality, performance and interactivity of urban services, reducing costs and resource consumption, and improving contact between citizens and the government.

The Committee highlighted the role of the Canterbury Mayoral Forum in enabling council collaboration across the region and how this initiative can encompass smart city approaches outside the city area, including smart farming and agriculture initiatives. Linking with the Canterbury Maps initiative was also encouraged.

The Committee **received** the Smart Cities project update.

Moved: Neville Atkinson

Seconded: Mark Alexander

The meeting concluded at 11.45am.