

**BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD  
AGENDA**

**MONDAY 5 MAY 2014**

**AT 4.30PM**

**IN THE BOARDROOM,  
CORNER BERESFORD AND UNION STREET,  
NEW BRIGHTON**

**Community Board:** Andrea Cummings (Chairperson), Tim Baker, David East, Glenn Livingstone, Tim Sintes, Linda Stewart and Stan Tawa.

**Community Board Adviser**  
Peter Croucher  
Phone 941 5305 DDI  
Email: [peter.croucher@ccc.govt.nz](mailto:peter.croucher@ccc.govt.nz)

**PART A - MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION**

**PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION**

**PART C - DELEGATED DECISIONS**

<b>INDEX</b>	<b>PG NO</b>
<b>PART C 1. APOLOGIES</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>PART C 2. DECLARATION OF INTEREST</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>PART C 3. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – 22 APRIL 2014</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>PART B 4. BURWOOD/PEGASUS SUBMISSIONS COMMITTEE MINUTES – 14 APRIL 2014</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>PART B 5. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT</b>	<b>18</b>
5.1 Community Energy Action (CEA)	
5.2 Rawhiti Community Sports Update	
<b>PART B 6. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>PART B 7. NOTICES OF MOTION</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>PART B 8. CORRESPONDENCE</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>PART B 9. BRIEFINGS</b>	<b>18</b>
9.1 Greenspace Facilities Rebuild	
9.2 Parks And Open Spaces	
9.3 Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority (CERA)	
<b>PART C 10. EASTERN RECREATION AND SPORT CENTRE SITE SELECTION PROCESS</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>PART B 11. NEW BRIGHTON LEGACY PROJECT - PROCESS</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>PART B 12. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE</b>	<b>22</b>

**We're on the Web!**

<http://www.ccc.govt.nz/thecouncil/meetingsminutes/index.aspx>

<b>INDEX</b>		<b>PG NO</b>
<b>PART B</b>	<b>13. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>PART B</b>	<b>14. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE</b>	<b>22</b>

**1. APOLOGIES**

Stan Tawa

**2. DECLARATION OF INTEREST**

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

**3. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 22 APRIL 2014**

The minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 22 April 2014 are **attached**.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 22 April 2014 be confirmed.

**BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD  
22 APRIL 2014**

**Minutes of a meeting of the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board  
held on Tuesday 22 April 2014 at 4.33pm in the Board Room,  
Corner Beresford and Union Street, New Brighton, Christchurch.**

**PRESENT:** Tim Baker (Deputy Chairperson), David East, Glenn Livingstone and Linda Stewart.

**APOLOGIES:** Andrea Cummings, Tim Sintes and Stan Tawa.

The Board reports that:

**PART A – MATTERS REQUIRING A COUNCIL DECISION**

**1. EASEMENT OVER SOUTH NEW BRIGHTON RESERVE**

The Board considered a report seeking its recommendation to the Council that it exercise the delegation of the Minister of Conservation to a right to convey storm water over part of South New Brighton Reserve held in Computer Freehold Register CB8K/989.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the Council:

- 1.1 Exercises the powers of the Minister of Conservation referred to in the First Schedule of the Reserves Act 1977 and Instrument of Delegation for the Territorial Authorities dated 12 June 2013 pursuant to Section 48(1) of the Reserves Act 1977 for the easements listed in the schedule of Proposed Easements on DP 465996.

**BOARD RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

**2. 2014 CITY WIDE SPEED LIMIT REVIEW – PROPOSED SPEED LIMIT CHANGES  
BURWOOD/PEGASUS WARD**

The Board considered a report seeking its recommendation that the Council approve proposed changes to speed limits within the ward and the commencement of public consultation on the proposed changes to roads within the ward.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

- 2.1 That the Council approves the commencement of public consultation on the proposed speed limit changes specified below:
  - 2.1.1 Prestons Road, proposed 60 kilometres per hour from Marshland Road to the existing 50 kilometres per hour change point 200 metres west of Waitikiri Drive.
  - 2.1.2 Frosts Road, proposed 70 kilometres per hour from QEII Drive to 100 metres south of Beach.

**BOARD RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

**ATTACHMENT TO CLAUSE 3**

**3. 2014 CITY WIDE SPEED LIMIT REVIEW – PROPOSED SPEED LIMIT CHANGE TO MARSHLAND ROAD**

The Board considered a report seeking its recommendation that the Council propose a change to the speed limit on Marshland Road, on the section that forms a Ward boundary (between Prestons Road and Lower Styx Road) and approve the commencement of public consultation on the proposed change.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Council:

3.1 Approves the commencement of public consultation on the proposed speed limit change below:

3.1.1 Marshland Road, proposed 70 kilometres per hour speed limit commencing at its intersection with Prestons Road and extending in a northerly direction to its intersection with Lower Styx Road.

**BOARD RECOMMENDATION**

That the staff recommendation be adopted.

**PART B - REPORTS FOR INFORMATION**

**4. DECLARATION OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest.

**5. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**

**5.1 OUTWARD BOUND SCHOLARSHIPS**

Rachael Neutze of Outward Bound provided the Board with information on current Outward Bound programmes and scholarships, including the Leaps and Bounds scholarship for Canterbury Residents only for a parent/caregiver and their teenager in the Outward Bound environment. The Board viewed a short video highlighting the various activities undertaken by participants in the Outward Bound program in Nelson. Ms. Neutze responded to Board member questions and agreed to provide additional information on the programme to be circulated to Board members.

The Chairperson thanked Rachael Neutze for her deputation.

**5.2 LOCATION OF EASTERN RECREATION AND SPORTS CENTRE**

Drucilla Kingi-Patterson (resident) addressed the Board and provided supporting information on her view of the location of an eastern recreation and sports centre. Ms. Kingi-Patterson expressed her support of a rebuild of swimming pool facilities on the QEII site, the repair of the old Trotting Club building and advised Board members of the community contributions, including funding raising, that contributed to establishment of the former facilities on the site.

The Chairperson thanked Drucilla Kingi-Patterson for her deputation.

5 Cont'd

**5.3 ARANUI HUB SUB COMMITTEE**

Rachel Fonotia, Manager of Aranui Community Trust Incorporated Society (ACTIS) and Natalie Dally (Strengthening Communities Adviser) updated the Board on the Aranui and Surrounds Community Response Plan.

Board members were advised of the evolving response plan and progress with stage one, including dividing the area into ten sections for a door knocking process by volunteers to facilitate emergency assistance for residents and direction to information sources.

A next step in developing and improving the response plan will include a presentation to stakeholders, including the Canterbury District Health Board and Civil Defence to continue coordination and improvement.

The Chairperson thanked Rachel Fonotia and Natalie Dally for their deputation.

**6. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS**

Nil.

**7. NOTICES OF MOTION**

Nil.

**8. CORRESPONDENCE**

Nil.

**9. BRIEFINGS**

Nil.

**10. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE**

- The Board **received** information from the Community Board Adviser on Board related activities including upcoming meetings and an invitation to the Southshore Residents Association Annual General Meeting on 8 May 2014.
- The Board **received** information in response to a request for information on parks related management and master plans currently on hold.

**11. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**

Nil.

**12. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**

• **LANDFILL LIAISON GROUP**

David East confirmed for Board members he has resigned from the Landfill Liaison Group, leaving Linda Stewart as the sole Board appointee. The Board **decided** to request that the Community Board Adviser include the appointment of second Board member to this Group as part of his update to the Board meeting on 5 May 2014.

• **CHRISTCHURCH HOUSING ACCORD**

Glenn Livingstone advised Board members that the Council will consider a draft statement of proposal on the Housing Accord with the Crown, involvement in affordable housing and re-evaluating the Council's role in social housing at the Council meeting on 24 April 2014. Following Council approval of a statement of proposal it will be distributed for consultation.

**PART C – REPORT ON DELEGATED DECISIONS TAKEN BY THE BOARD**

**13. CONFIRMATION OF MEETING MINUTES – 7 APRIL 2014**

The Board **resolved** that the minutes of the Board's ordinary meeting of 7 April 2014 (both open and public excluded sections) be confirmed.

**14. MAIREHAU ROAD AT BURWOOD HOSPITAL– PROPOSED NO STOPPING RESTRICTIONS AND BUS STOP UPGRADES**

The Board considered a report seeking its approval to install No Stopping Restrictions and marked bus stops on Mairehau Road adjacent to Burwood Hospital.

The Board **resolved** to:

- 14.1 Approve that all existing parking and stopping restrictions on the southern side of Mairehau Road commencing at a point 163 metres east of its intersection with Chartwell Street and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 49 metres be revoked.
- 14.2 Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the southern side of Mairehau Road commencing at a point 163 metres east of its intersection with Chartwell Street and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of four metres.
- 14.3 Approve that a "Bus Stop" be installed on the southern side of Mairehau Road commencing at a point 167 metres east of its intersection with Chartwell Street and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 14 metres.
- 14.4 Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the southern side of Mairehau Road commencing at a point 181 metres east of its intersection with Chartwell Street and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 31 metres.
- 14.5 Approve that all existing parking and stopping restrictions on the northern side of Mairehau Road commencing at a point 438 metres east of its intersection with Burwood Road and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 55 metres be revoked.
- 14.6 Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the northern side of Mairehau Road commencing at a point 438 metres east of its intersection with Burwood Road and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of eight metres.

**ATTACHMENT TO CLAUSE 3**

**14. Cont'd**

- 14.7 Approve that a "Bus Stop" be installed on the northern side of Mairehau Road commencing at a point 446 metres east of its intersection with Burwood Road and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 14 metres.
- 14.8 Approve that the stopping of vehicles be prohibited at any time on the northern side of Mairehau Road commencing at a point 460 metres east of its intersection with Burwood Road and extending in an easterly direction for a distance of 33 metres.

**15. BURWOOD/PEGASUS YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUNDING SCHEME 2013/14 – JACK WILSON AND HANNA MALLOCH**

The Board considered two applications for funding to the Youth Development Scheme 2013/14.

The Board **resolved** to:

- 15.1 Approve a grant of \$250 from its 2013/14 Youth Development Fund to Jack Wilson to attend the North Island Grom Competition in Raglan on 14 May 2014.
- 15.2 Approve a grant of \$400 from its 2013/14 Youth Development Fund to Hanna Malloch to attend the 2<sup>nd</sup> Trial of the Australian Gymnastics Championships in Melbourne Australia on 14 May 2014.

**16. BURWOOD/PEGASUS YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FUNDING SCHEME 2013/14 – CAMERON DOUGLAS CLARK**

The Board considered an application for funding to the Youth Development Funding Scheme 2013/14.

The Board **resolved** to:

- 16.1 Approve a grant of \$500 from the 2013/14 Youth Development Fund to Cameron Douglas Clark towards the cost of a 28 day expedition to Vietnam and Cambodia organised by the school through World Challenge from 29 June to 27 July 2014.

**17. SITE SELECTION ANALYSIS – EQUIPMENT RELOCATION FOR SHORTLAND STREET PLAYGROUND**

The Board considered a report seeking its approval to remove the playground equipment from Shortland Street Playground Reserve.

The Board **resolved** to:

- 17.1 Receive information from Foodstuffs South Island Limited, that they are not a willing party relating to the requested payment of the relocation of the Shortland Street Playground.
- 17.2 Approve Aranui Playground Reserve and Cuthberts Green as suitable sites to relocate the playground equipment removed from Shortland Street Playground Reserve when funding is secured.

**Note:** The Board indicated that it would prefer multiple quotes are sourced for costs associated with removal and relocation, including the possibility of using volunteers in this process.



5. 5. 2014

- 9 -

**ATTACHMENT TO CLAUSE 3**

**18. SOUTH NEW BRIGHTON RESERVES DEVELOPMENT PLAN**

The Board considered a report seeking its approval, under delegated authority from the Council, to amend the South New Brighton Reserves Development Plan.

The Board **resolved** that the report lie on the table until Board members have had the opportunity to workshop the Draft South New Brighton Reserves Development Plan with staff.

**Note:** The Board noted that this would not delay the scheduled planting days.

The Board Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 6.35pm.

**CONFIRMED THIS 5TH DAY OF MAY 2014**

**ANDREA CUMMINGS  
CHAIRPERSON**

**4. BURWOOD/PEGASUS SUBMISSIONS COMMITTEE MINUTES - 14 APRIL 2014**

The Board's Submissions Committee met on 14 April 2014 to determine and submit, under delegated authority, a submission of the Christchurch City Draft Annual Plan and Three Year Plan Amendments 20014 - 2015. The minutes are **attached**.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the Board receives the minutes of the Burwood/Pegasus Submissions Committee's meeting of 14 April 2014, and notes for record purposes the contents of the Committee's submission on the Christchurch City Draft Annual Plan and Three Year Plan Amendments 20014 - 2015.

**BURWOOD/PEGASUS COMMUNITY BOARD  
SUBMISSIONS SUBCOMMITTEE  
14 APRIL 2014**

**Minutes of a meeting of the Submissions Committee  
held on Monday 14 April 2014 at 4.37pm in the Board Room,  
corner Beresford and Union Streets, New Brighton.**

**PRESENT:** Linda Stewart (Chairperson) and Tim Baker.

**APOLOGIES:** Apologies for absence were received and accepted from Andrea Cummings and Tim Sintes.

The Committee reports that:

**PART C – DELEGATED DECISIONS**

**2. DECLARATION OF INTEREST**

Nil.

**3. CHRISTCHURCH CITY DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN AND THREE YEAR PLAN AMENDMENTS  
2014- 15**

At its meeting on 17 March 2014, the Board established the Submissions Committee, giving it the delegation to respond to submission opportunities on behalf of the Board, when timeframes necessitate such action.

The Committee reviewed the Christchurch City Draft Annual Plan and Three Year Plan Amendments 2014-15 from which the **attached (Attachment 1)** submission was completed.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

That the minutes of the Submissions Committee meeting of 14 April 2014 be received and that the submission prepared on the Christchurch City Draft Annual Plan and Three Year Plan Amendments 2014-15, be adopted.

The meeting concluded at 6.39pm.

**SUBMISSION TO:** Christchurch City Council

**ON:** Christchurch City Draft Annual Plan and Three Year Plan  
Amendments 2014 - 2015

**BY:** Burwood/Pegasus Community Board

**CONTACT:** Linda Stewart  
Chairperson, Burwood/Pegasus Community Board Submissions  
Committee  
423A Bower Avenue  
Christchurch 8083

027 405 3257  
linda.stewart@ccc.govt.nz

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Burwood/Pegasus Community Board appreciates the opportunity to provide this response to the Christchurch City Council on its Draft Annual Plan and Three Year Plan Amendments 2014 - 2015 ('the Plan').

The Board **wishes to be heard** in support of this submission.

## 2. BOARD SUBMISSION

### 2.1 General Comments

The Board agrees that the Plan clearly sets out what is required to be done as the city faces the challenge of recovery and rebuilding.

## 3. ACTIVITIES AND SERVICES

### 3.1 Stormwater and Flood protection and control works (Page 35)

To date, consultation with residents in the flood management area and information provision regarding the implications of recent changes, have in the Board's opinion been insufficient. This needs to be addressed as soon as possible. Within the Board's ward, flooding has occurred in Southshore, South Brighton, Avondale, Dallington and East Burwood. The Board sees this as a **high** priority.

Current performance – data needs to be included from 2012/13 on the number of houses recorded as flooded (Page 35). The Board sees this as a **high** priority.

Planned performance – 4 households per 1000 flooded in a 50-year rain event is considered to be too high (Page 35). The Board suggests that a 100-year rain event also be taken into account. The Board would like to see a ward breakdown of where the 4 per 1,000 dwellings are located. The Board sees this as a **high** priority.

The Board is satisfied with the levels of service around response times to drainage faults and Surface Water management issues.

With regard to the target in 2015 of at least 66 percent customer satisfaction with the maintenance of waterways and their margins, the Board considers this to be too low. The target suggests that 34 percent of customers are not satisfied with this maintenance.

On 1 May 2014 the Board will be having a conversation with Council Officers and Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority staff to determine responsibility for the prevention of seawater inundation onto red zone properties of the Estuary side of Southshore Spit south of Caspian Street. The inundation has resulted from unmaintained seawalls that have fallen into disrepair. In the meantime, there is an urgent need to fast-track temporary stop banks in those areas where breaches have occurred.

### 3.2 Sewerage collection, treatment and disposal (Page 38)

Wastewater Collection: The Board proposes that:

- current performance data regarding wet weather overflow events, broken down into suburb, in 2011/12 and 2012/13 be included. The Board cannot comment on the priority of this until the data has been received.
- planned performance - wet weather overflow events be reduced over time.

### 3.3 Roads and Footpaths (Page 41)

#### Road Network

The Board understands the complexity of repairing the road network, but does have concerns about road quality and safety in the Eastern suburbs and considers that transitional repairs are required on many of the roads within the Burwood-Pegasus ward. The Board sees this as a **high** priority.

Planned performance – residents' satisfaction with the road quality should be expected to increase over time. Maintaining satisfaction levels from the baseline result of 2012/13 is not a satisfactory level of service for 2014/15.

Page 42 states the target for the Road Network activity is "Residents satisfied with roadway quality." The Board believes this statement is too general.

#### Active Travel

The Board has concerns about footpath quality and safety in the Eastern suburbs and considers that transitional repairs are required on many of the footpaths within the ward. This particularly affects mobility scooter users. The Board sees this as a **high** priority.

Planned performance – residents' satisfaction with the footpath quality should be expected to increase over time. Maintaining satisfaction levels from the baseline result of 2012/13 is not a satisfactory level of service to expect for 2014/15 (Page 41). The Board sees this as a **medium** priority.

In conclusion for this section, the residents of the ward see roading and footpaths as their top priority.

### 3.4 Recreation and Leisure (Page 58)

#### Events and Festivals

The Board is very satisfied with the number of events and festivals that have been held both within the ward and across the city and recognises the important role that these play in contributing to psychosocial recovery and community wellbeing (Page 58).

Of importance to the Board is the continuation of the World Buskers Festival, in particular the performances scheduled for New Brighton.

#### Recreation and sports services

There has been a substantial loss of recreational and sporting opportunities in the Burwood-Pegasus ward as a result of damage from earthquakes. The Board considers that transitional measures are required to help address gaps in provision within the ward. Suitable transitional measures would include:

- Neighbourhood walking circuits linking greenspace, including completing paths through parks and reserves to provide local walking circuits (as noted above, this would also require improvements in footpath quality in some areas) The Board sees this as a **high** priority and notes that a small outlay for that proposal would enable residents to self-manage the stresses they have living in TC3 properties.
- Transitional aquatic facilities, since the Eastern Recreation and Sports facility is still several years away from being operational. The Board sees this as having a **medium** priority.

Measure: Deliver a high range of customer satisfaction with the range and quality of facilities. The Board sees this as having a **medium** priority.

The availability of Council Kiwi Card needs to be better publicised – accessible community-based recreational and sporting programmes and events (p.153). The Board sees this as having a **medium** priority.

### 3.5 Parks and Open Spaces

#### Harbours and marine structures (page 62)

In order to increase the safety and amenity of the New Brighton pier, it is proposed that a fenced-off with gate access, "No Fishing Zone" is created on part of the pier. The Board sees this as having a **medium** priority.

#### Neighbourhood, sports and regional parks

It appears that the Levels of Service for some of the neighbourhood, sports and regional parks within the Burwood-Pegasus ward have been lowered after the earthquakes. The Board is not satisfied with this approach as it contributes to low morale and loss of quality of life for residents within the ward.

The Board would like confirmation of whether this is the case, and if so, a list of the parks in this category and the reasons why this was decided. The Board sees this as a **high** priority.

The Board would also like to see a budget allowance that would enable park equipment from red zone parks, being maintained/stored or dispersed to areas of need.

Economic development (page 71)

The Board is not in favour of asset sales as a means of raising revenue. The Board sees this as a **low** priority.

Democracy and Governance

Public participation in democratic processes (Page 72)

The Board is supportive of a move towards E-voting as a way of facilitating increased public participation in local elections. The Board sees this as a **high** priority.

The Board notes that while there is a proportion of the population that does not have internet access, this could be accommodated for by publicity to use free access in libraries or to have dedicated voting computers in libraries over the election period.

The Board also needs that education is required to combat a general unawareness of what local government achieves.

Community Support

Civil defence and emergency management (Page 80)

Better liaison is required between Civil Defence and Community Boards, particularly in urban areas - it is suggested that a performance measure be added in relation to this. The Board sees this as a **high** priority.

The current performance of 17% of residents participating in CDEM meetings does not reflect Board members' experience of these meetings in their communities. The Board would like further information on how performance is measured and the source of this data. The Board sees this as a **high** priority.

Social Housing (Page 81)

To best meet the needs of its customers the Council's social housing complexes needs to be split into 'housing for the elderly' and 'other'. In the Board's view mixing the two is detrimental to the well-being of elderly people living in the Council's social housing. The Board sees this as a **high** priority.

To help address the shortage of affordable housing in the city, the Board is supportive of measures to increase the availability of the Council's operational social housing as soon as possible, with a focus on repairing units that are not currently tenanted and building more. The Board sees this as a **high** priority.

The Board also suggests that all Council social housing complexes require a shared Community Room.

#### City Planning and Development (Page 86)

The Board considers that this group of activities should be focused on planning in relation to the natural environment, risk management and urban design. The Board sees this as a **low** priority.

The Board signals that it would like to be kept informed and consulted with respect to the preparation of Stormwater Management Plans. The Board sees this as a **high** priority.

The Board considers that in consultation with community boards, there is a need for strategic planning for the provision of recreation and leisure opportunities and proposes that this be added as an activity. The Board sees this as a **low** priority.

#### Corporate Activities (Page 91)

The Board would like more information on the internal services provided under this group of activities. This would help to increase transparency and enable the Board to understand this area, and thus provide meaningful comment in its submission to future draft Annual Plans. The Board sees this as a **low** priority.

### 3.6 Proposed changes to the Capital Programme

Major Community Facilities (page 285 of the Christchurch City Three Year Plan 2013–16 [revised]).

The Board proposes that:

The priorities and timing of the Anchor Projects need to be re-visited. The Board sees this as a **high** priority.

The building of a covered stadium be deferred. The Board sees this as a **high** priority.

The current timeframes for anchor projects places an unnecessarily large debt burden upon the Council and rate payers. Rather than allocating funds towards the cost of servicing this debt, a fraction of it would provide greater benefits for citizens if it was allocated to address current community needs at a local level, such as the need to provide for transitional recreational and leisure opportunities, particularly in more earthquake-damaged areas, such as the Burwood/Pegasus ward. Also in particular, the north east aquatics and recreation centre should, in the Board's opinion, be achieved without selling valuable assets.

#### Stormwater Drainage and Flood protection and Control (Page 96)

The Board notes that the descriptions Lower Milns, South West SMP lack the details necessary for members of the public to identify them.

### 3.7 Council fees and charges

The Board proposes that there should be no change to fees and charges for Libraries, and in particular, that the general loan of books should remain free (Page 140). The Board supports the proposed increase in fines. The Board sees this as a **low** priority.



**ATTACHMENT TO CLAUSE 4**  
**Attachment 1**

The discount to Kiwiable Card Holders for the use of the Council's Recreational and Leisure facilities should be increased from its current 25%, in recognition of the health and rehabilitation benefits that these facilities provide for people with disabilities, the many residents still living in broken homes and the acknowledged low morale and loss of quality of life since the loss of QE11 has inhibited their ability to self-manage stress. Kiwiable also needs to be publicised to assist residents. The Board sees this as a **high** priority.

The Board proposes that recognised not-for-profit community groups should not be charged for the use of Council-managed community halls. The Board sees this as a **low** priority.

**4. COMMENT ON THE SUMMARY DOCUMENT**

4.1 Development Contributions (Page 7)

The Board supports the reinstatement of development contribution charges to cover new library and leisure facilities.

4.2 Capital Endowment Fund (Page 7)

The Board supports the Plan putting \$1 million towards community grants. It is suggested that any shortfall from the Capital Endowment Fund (either from interest or capital) could be used to meet the requirements of providing an Eastern Recreation and Sports Centre and Legacy project in the ward.



Linda Stewart

**Chairperson, Burwood/Pegasus Community Board Submissions Committee**

17 April 2014

**5. DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT**

**5.1 COMMUNITY ENERGY ACTION (CEA)**

Jess Fiebig of Community Energy Action (CEA), will address the Board on work they do in Canterbury to keep the community warm by providing subsidised insulation and heating, recycled curtains from our curtain bank, and free and impartial energy advice to vulnerable and low income people.

**5.2 RAWHITI COMMUNITY SPORTS UPDATE**

Steve Gibling, Board member of Rawhiti Community Sports Incorporated and Alan Direen, Consultant, will present a report on the operation of the community pool at North New Brighton School over the past summer.

**6. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS**

**7. NOTICES OF MOTION**

**8. CORRESPONDENCE**

**9. BRIEFINGS**

**9.1 GREENSPACE FACILITIES REBUILD**

Grant McLeod, Senior Advisor Capital Program (Greenspace) and Matt Cummins, Project Manager will provide information and answer any questions, about the closure of a number of assets over the Christmas period.

**9.2 PARKS AND OPEN SPACES**

Ross Campbell, Manager Parks Operations, will brief the Board on expectations for parks and open spaces in the New Brighton and Burwood Pegasus Ward.

**9.3 CANTERBURY EARTHQUAKE RECOVERY AUTHORITY (CERA)**

Matt Walters, Relationship Manager, Canterbury Earthquake Recover Authority (CERA), will update the Board on recent work.

**10. EASTERN RECREATION AND SPORT CENTRE SITE SELECTION PROCESS**

Report to be separately circulated.

**11. NEW BRIGHTON LEGACY PROJECT - PROCESS**

		Contact	Contact Details
<b>Executive Leadership Team Member responsible:</b>	Chief Planning Officer		
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Unit Manager Urban Design and Regeneration	Y	941 8902
<b>Author:</b>	Carolyn Bonis		

**1. PURPOSE AND ORIGIN OF REPORT**

1.1 The Earthquake Recovery Committee of the Whole (ERCoW) resolved on 3 April 2014 to:

*“Request staff to identify options for a legacy project in New Brighton and report these back to the Council by the end of May 2014.”*

1.2 This report details a process to be used to identify options for a legacy project in New Brighton, to be led by the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board.

**2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

2.1 Following initial discussions with members of the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board, a Board-led process for determining options for a legacy project will be commenced. This will involve:

2.1.1 Community-generated ideas for a legacy project by the end of May 2014.

2.1.2 A Board decision in June 2014 on any further consultation and progression of these ideas.

2.1.3 The Board's preferred legacy project option/s will subsequently be reported to the Council along with anticipated outcomes and possibly further information or evaluation requirements.

2.1.4 All final decisions on any further evaluation and the extent of any funding will lie with the Council.

**3. BACKGROUND**

3.1 The concept of providing a 'legacy project' for New Brighton was publicly raised in the early months of 2014. Although the concept and the ERCoW resolution above were generated through discussions on provision of aquatic facilities in the east of the City, the resolution is not specific to aquatic facilities, providing the opportunity to consider a broader range of possibilities. These projects could enable, for example:

3.1.1 An attractor for people visiting New Brighton.

3.1.2 A contribution to place-making and a positive experience of the locality, without dominating the commercial centre or its environs.

3.1.3 A contribution to social and economic development within the suburb.

3.1.4 If located near the commercial centre, potential to encourage movement through the centre to help support pedestrian activity.

3.2 A legacy project could be anticipated to have longevity and provide multi-generational benefits. It would support social connections and economic wellbeing, reflect local character, enable remembrance of recent events and support a thriving local community. A legacy project need not necessarily be a physical asset, it may be a process or entity (for example a Trust). Equally there may be a multitude of ways for the Council to fund or otherwise support a legacy project, including shared ownership, procurement or other provision of land, expert advice, seed funding and rates.

11. Cont'd

- 3.3 There is an opportunity for identification and leadership of a legacy project to rest with the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board. This has been discussed informally with members of the Board, with general agreement on a process to identify options for consideration by the Council. This report confirms the discussions and provides a process to enable the Community Board to progress this work.
- 3.4 In summary, the process would involve community-led identification of ideas and a Board decision on any further consultation on these ideas. The Board would then report to the Council its preferred legacy project, anticipated outcomes and possibly further information or evaluation requirements. The Council would then decide on any further evaluation and extent of funding. The intended process is explained further below.

4. **COMMENT**

***Generation of ideas***

- 4.1 A Community Advisory Group (CAG) has already been formed to provide input to the Draft New Brighton Master Plan. This group comprises members representing the following groups: Renew Brighton; Brighton Project; New Brighton Business and Landowners Association; Eastern Vision; WOW Brighton; New Brighton Pier and Foreshore Association; the Police; and the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board.
- 4.2 The focus for the CAG is currently limited to the commercial area of New Brighton. The group concludes its meetings in early May. Members of the CAG will be approached to determine their availability for further meetings during May, with a broader focus across the wider suburb and the task of identifying preferences for a legacy project. The chairs (or their representatives) of the coastal Residents Associations will be invited to join this group and a facilitator will be engaged to assist and guide discussions.

***Board decisions***

- 4.3 The outcomes of the above discussions will be reported to the Board. Decisions will be sought on the potential legacy project/s and whether or not to consult with the wider community, including the nature of any consultation.
- 4.4 Any consultation will be clear that the process is about generating ideas for consideration and further evaluation. The outcomes of any consultation will be collated and reported to the Council.

## 11. Cont'd

4.5 The intended process and timeframes are shown below.

Process step	Details	Who	When
1. Community Board discussion	Informal discussion with community board members suggesting their 'ownership' / leadership of a process to identify a preferred legacy project for New Brighton.	Strategy & Planning Group (SPG) staff to brief	<b>22 April</b>
2. Engage facilitator and prepare process report	<p>a. Engage facilitator</p> <p>b. Prepare report for Board or Committee and Council setting out intended process, for information.</p>	SPG staff	<p><b>Procurement early May</b></p> <p><b>Report by end May</b></p>
3. New stakeholder group convened, top 5-6 projects identified, Board decision on what is put forward for wider consultation	<p>a. The New Brighton Community Advisory Group (CAG) reconvened and expanded to invite local residents associations. The Group to use the CAG discussions from April as a starting point to determine a specific range of potential 'legacy' projects for New Brighton. Establish evaluation criteria, potentially drawing on earlier CAG work.</p> <p><u>Output:</u> 5-6 top projects.</p> <p>b. Board decisions on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agreed potential legacy project/s</li> <li>• Whether or not to consult with the wider Community and the nature of any consultation.</li> </ul>	<p>External facilitator; Governance and Civic Services Unit (GCSU) staff; Strengthening Communities Advisors; supported by SPG staff</p> <p>CAG reports to Community Board via External facilitator and Board Advisor</p>	<p><b>By end May</b></p> <p><b>By end June</b></p>
4. Community consultation – if agreed by Community Board.	<p>a. Engage additional project management support.</p> <p>b. Consultation undertaken, the nature/extent as per decision above.</p> <p>c. Responses collated.</p>	<p>SPG staff</p> <p>Communications &amp; Consultation Unit; Project manager</p> <p>Project manager</p>	<b>Timeframes as agreed in Board decision Step 3</b>
5. Community Board report results to Council	<p>a. Consider responses, identify any further information requirements. Recommendations for any evaluation and potential funding options.</p> <p>b. Report to Council</p>	Project manager Supported by GCSU staff	<b>Timeframes as agreed in Board decision Step 3</b>

### Risks

4.6 The key risks associated with the above process include the following:

4.6.1 Potential to raise community expectations beyond the Council's ability to deliver, due to absence of parameters or committed funding.

4.6.2 If wider consultation undertaken:

- Insufficient time to evaluate and cost a range of options prior to wider community input unless otherwise directed by the Board in June;
- Potential overlap with other consultation (Council: Eastern Recreation and Sports Centre; Eastern Vision; New Brighton Business and Landowners Association via their commercial arm 'Strategic Urban Regeneration Force').

4.6.3 Spatial/environmental constraints (potentially limiting options or increasing maintenance or relocation costs).

4.7 The principal method of addressing these risks will be via clear communication – for example, by explaining that concepts have not been costed and that the process is simply generating ideas for consideration and further evaluation by the Council.

**11. Cont'd**

**5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

- 5.1 The process above, including engagement of a facilitator and other support for the Community Board, will be met through current budgets. Any financial implications of a preferred legacy project will be addressed through subsequent reporting. The timing will not allow for consideration of a preferred legacy project through the current Annual Plan process, but this information will be available for deliberation when preparing the Long Term Plan.

**6. STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board:

- 6.1 Agree to lead a process to identify options for a legacy project in New Brighton, with initial input from a community advisory group.

5. 5. 2014

- 23 -

12. COMMUNITY BOARD ADVISER'S UPDATE
13. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS
14. ELECTED MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

## 10. PROCESS TO DETERMINE THE LOCATION OF THE EASTERN RECREATION AND SPORTS CENTRE

		Contact	Contact Details
<b>General Manager responsible:</b>	General Manager Community Services		
<b>Officer responsible:</b>	Development Manager Recreation and Sports	Y	Simon Battrick, 941 5174
<b>Author:</b>	Simon Battrick		

### 1. PURPOSE AND ORIGIN OF REPORT

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to seek Burwood Pegasus Community Board approval on a process to determine the site of the Eastern Recreation and Sport Centre.

### 2. BACKGROUND

- 2.1 At the meeting dated 3 April 2014 Council's Committee of the Whole resolved to:

*Begin a new site selection process (including site criteria and working party membership) for an Eastern Recreation and Sport Centre in the Northeast of Christchurch, with the final decision on the process to be signed off by the Burwood/Pegasus Community Board, the Chairperson of the Community Committee and the Mayor; with an interim report from this group to come back to the Council in May 2014.*

- 2.2 This report will recommend a process to determine the site of the Eastern Recreation and Sport Centre and seek permission to begin the process.

### 3. COMMENT

- 3.1 On April 7 2014, at a Burwood Pegasus Community Board Seminar, staff sought direction regarding a site selection process including a process to determine the membership of a working party. A range of options were presented and broad agreement was reached.

- 3.2 An outline of the site selection process including a process to determine the membership of a working party was subsequently presented to the Mayors Office on 14 April and has received the approval of the Mayor and Chair of the Community Committee to proceed to the Burwood Pegasus Community Board for a decision on 28 April 2014.

- 3.3 The site selection process including a process to determine the membership of a working party that will be recommended to the Burwood Pegasus Community Board for approval is detailed in sections 3.3.1 to 3.3.4 of this report.

3.3.1 The preferred site will be identified by the recommendation of a working party to the Burwood Pegasus Community Board and onto Council through a Council report. Council will make the final decision.

3.3.2 The Chair of the Burwood Pegasus Community Board will appoint the members of the working party. An Expression of Interest will be sort for community representatives and any conflict of interest will be declared to the independent facilitator. The final decision on the make up of the community representatives will be made by the Chair of the Burwood Pegasus Community Board.

3.3.3 The working party will comprise;

- An independent non-voting facilitator
- Two Burwood Pegasus Community Board Members
- Up to eight community representatives
- Up to two non-voting Council Officers



10. Cont'd

3.3.4 The working party will meet a number of times over a six to eight week process and:

- Agree exactly how the working party will operate using the expertise of the independent facilitator
- Confirm Councils criteria for determining the location of aquatic facilities as detailed in the report to Council's Committee of the Whole on 3 April 2014 and attached to this report as attachment 1
- Understand the meaning of the criteria, their application to the site selection process and any weighting of criteria
- Confirm the potential site options
- Consider any other site options not yet presented
- Assess the site options against the criteria supported by the independent facilitator and by Council staff sourcing the relevant supporting information
- Identify the high level components of the Recreation and Sport Centre necessary to meet community need and envisaged by Council in the 2012/2013 Annual Plan
- Analyse and discuss the emerging information and conclusions seeking information from others as deemed necessary
- Make a justified recommendation on a site for the Eastern Recreation and Sports Centre in the North East of Christchurch to the Burwood Pegasus Community Board through a Community Board report.

3.4 The primary function of the working party is to consider and apply all the information to the decision making process and act in the best interests of the Council in recommending the best site in the North East of Christchurch.

3.5 The primary function of Council Officers is to provide information, administrative support and executive assistance to the Chair of the Burwood Pegasus Community Board and the working party.

3.6 The primary function of the independent facilitator will be to support and assist the working party making the best recommendation. The independent facilitator and Council Officers will keep the Chair of the Burwood Pegasus Community Board informed throughout the process.

3.7 A timetable for the site selection process is presented in table 1 below:

<b>Table 1. Site Selection Process Timetable</b>		
<b>Action</b>	<b>Date (2014)</b>	<b>Responsibility</b>
B/P Community Board approve process	5 May	Officers
Interim report to Council on process	22 May or 12 June	Officers
Establish working party	June 9	Board Chair
Working party workshops	June to 14 July	Working Party
Working party recommendation	21 July	Board Chair
Report recommending a site presented to B/P Community Board	18 August	Board Chair & Officers
Report recommending a site presented to Council	11 September	Board and Officers

**10. Cont'd**

- 3.8 Should the Burwood Community Board approve the recommendations of this report a copy of this report will be presented to the Council as an interim report on the site selection process.

**4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

- 4.1 The operation of the process identified in section 3 of this report above can be funded through existing budgets in the Three Year Plan.
- 4.2 A budget of \$30.5 million has been allocated to an Eastern Recreation and Sport Centre in the 2012/13 annual plan and subsequently brought into to the Three Year Plan. The Canterbury Earthquake Appeal Trust has allocated \$6.5 million for water attractions and the building structure to house them.

**5. STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Burwood Pegasus Community Board:

- 5.1 Approve the process and timeline to determine the site of the Eastern Recreation and Sport Centre as detailed in section 3 of this report.
- 5.2 Request that a copy of this report is presented to the Council as in interim report on the site selection process to date.

**Council's Criteria to determine the location of aquatic facilities**

- Increase overall participation over the city, not merely switch already active residents. Areas of city and population growth outside close proximity to existing aquatic facilities should therefore be priorities for development.
- Plan to complement future growth of the city as outlined in the Urban Development Strategy and Land Use Recovery Plan. Therefore position facilities close to major destinations e.g. malls and transport routes and facility development prioritised to complement city growth and recovery.
- Developments are complementary to the existing indoor aquatic facility network.
- Where possible, co-locate aquatic facilities with other Council facilities e.g. libraries, and/or other public recreation facilities, schools and other providers.
- Low risk of natural hazards, e.g. geologically stable (via Technical Category rating) and minimal flood risk (via flood plain level and sea level rise assessments).
- Maximises existing infrastructure, e.g. parking, utilities, other sport and recreation infrastructure.
- Minimal displacement of other users - ideally the options currently have low intensity use.
- Contribution to local economic recovery, including linkage to suburban planning, business recovery planning and other economic activity and proposals.
- Contribution to local community identity, sense of belonging, quality of life, access to key community infrastructure